

the geographical extent of Magnentius' support and the hatred that was directed against Constans (whose name is erased in many inscriptions where the name of Constantius is left intact). Notably, Constantius made few, if any, efforts to rehabilitate his brother's memory. He made few efforts, as well, to obliterate the memory of Magnentius' high-ranking supporters: the 'usurper's' prize catch, Fabius Titianus, ex-praetorian prefect and urban prefect of Rome, left a lasting, visible impact on the city, with some ten statue bases bearing his name still extant, 'some of which remain in situ in the forum today' (p. 259).

This review can hardly do justice to the wealth of material information presented throughout the book. The richness of late Roman society, of the many ways in which the individuals who carved or erased inscriptions reacted to current political events, is brilliantly presented. One leaves the book wishing for more chapters, focusing on other emperors, more words about more inscriptions: a sure sign of the work's quality.

Some comments about editing. U.'s book is that rarest of animals, a book (presumably) written and revised almost exclusively through computer screens where one can hardly find a typo. A more substantial mistake can be found on p. 82, where, in the transcription of a building plaque, a "[[Maximinus]]" (Daia) becomes "[[Maximianus]]"; the reader who has had the distinct misfortune of dealing with Tetrarchic and Constantinian imperial nomenclature will forgive the slip. The choice to have a separate bibliography at the end of each chapter (with eventual repetitions between them) initially seems odd, given the complete bibliography at the end of the book. After perusal of the work, however, it is clear that there are advantages to this approach, which more than justify that choice. Praise must also be given for the wide geographical variety of U.'s reading: the bibliography lists works also in French, Italian, Spanish and German. The care taken with reproducing pictures from statue bases and milestones in colour is evident and useful.

The only real sour note to the work is its exorbitant price. It ensures this foundational work, as of now, will only find a home in the personal libraries of well-established professionals and in institutional facilities: it will remain beyond the reach of most undergraduates. A paperback version is an immediate *desideratum*.

Porto Alegre

GABRIEL REQUIA GABBARDO gabrielgabbardo@hotmail.com

CLERGY IN LATE ANTIQUE ITALY

Mossong (I.) Der Klerus des spätantiken Italiens im Spiegel epigraphischer Zeugnisse. Eine soziohistorische Studie. (Klio 36.) Pp. xii+696. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter, 2022. Cased, £122, €133.95, US\$154.99. ISBN: 978-3-11-074543-6. doi:10.1017/S0009840X23001932

Those familiar with M.'s research have long awaited the publication of her Ph.D. thesis, which she defended in Strasbourg in 2014. When this happened in 2022, it may be safely said that patience was rewarded. M. presents a compelling description of the Christian clergy in late antique Italy. They are presented not in light of doctrinal disputes or juridical developments, nor even in their liturgical functions, but in their everyday dealings with

The Classical Review (2024) 74.1 210–212 © The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association

each other, their families and other people. Notably, the focus is not on the bishops and other higher clerics, whom we know from literary sources, but rather on the presbyters and lower clergy, usually neglected in similar studies. M. achieves this result due to her choice of source material: inscriptions. She collected all the available epigraphic evidence concerning clergy in Italy up to the beginning of the seventh century. The edition of these inscriptions constitutes the second part of the book.

Thanks to this second part, M.'s book has double value: it is an outstanding publication of the sources with thorough descriptions. Both parts could have been published separately, and in that case, each book would have constituted a significant research output. The 400 pages of the catalogue include 871 entries. While some entries are very short, down to a single word preserved, there are also many examples of longer inscriptions, often including poems of more than ten verses. In each case M. provides detailed information about the source, its place and time of origin, previous editions, the original text in Latin or Greek, a translation into German and usually a short commentary. The catalogue is ordered by the regions of Italy, starting with Rome, and then by clerical titles, from bishops down to lectors. Inscriptions without a clerical title and dubious inscriptions follow.

M.'s catalogue offers a fascinating resource in its own right. Those familiar with the topic will encounter some relatively well-known clergymen: the bishops of Rome buried in the Catacombs of Callixtus, Presbyter Menas, who governed the Church of Rome during Pope Vigilius' exile in the mid-sixth century, or Presbyter Andrew, founder of the Basilica of St Sabina. However, most of the people mentioned in the catalogue are completely unknown. We learn about the old presbyters who lived to 90 years (Ursicinus of Pavia, no. 540, and Severus of Como, no. 541) and the young ones, such as Gaudentius of Arcisate (no. 548), who died at the age of 22. This last example shows incidentally how various prescriptions regarding minimum age and previous clerical careers for the presbyterate were not always obeyed; similar proof comes from the tomb inscription of Candidianus, a presbyter from Northern Italy who must have been ordained at around the age of 24 years (no. 546). The clergymen are not the only people whose names, otherwise unknown, come to light thanks to M.'s catalogue. Members of their families also appear, mainly wives, but also parents, children and siblings. Sometimes we learn further details such as the fact that the marriage between Martius Firmissimus, a presbyter from Capua, and his wife Decimia Aproniane lasted for 31 years (no. 389). As is usual in collections of Christian epigraphs, we meet many children who died at a tender age: Florentia, daughter of an anonymous presbyter of Como, who lived 'one year, 10 months and three days' and died on 11 August 531 (no. 537); Respectus, son of a Sardinian lector, Rogatus, who lived 'one year and four months' (no. 577); Primicenia, daughter of Roman lector Primigenius and Asella, who lived 'two years and 30 days' (no. 37), and five-year-old Euplia (no. 12). However, much older children of clerics also appear in the catalogue, three in their thirties. The oldest mentioned is Chresimos, who was a son of Bishop Chresimos of Cyprus and died in Rome at the age of 55 years.

In addition to the catalogue is M.'s discussion. The first stage of her analysis consists of twelve tables that follow the catalogue (pp. 621–52). They show, among other things, the earliest confirmation of the given clerical name in the sources, the list of 187 Italian clerics (133 of them anonymous) unrecorded in the Italian volume of *Prosopographie chrétienne du Bas-Empire*, the clerics mentioned by the inscriptions in Greek, the lectors' ages when they died, the building dedicatory inscriptions offered by the clerics and the clerics' various family connections.

These interesting tables show how M. directed her analysis, which forms the first part of the book. Here she aims to offer a general overview of the lives and activities of the Italian clergy of the period. She lists various clerical titles and grades and tries to show the place of the clerics in society. At this point, however, the limitations of the study become apparent. They result from the character of the sources. What do we learn about the clerics from the inscriptions, given that such inscriptions are usually either funerary or commemorate construction works? With some irony, one can answer: they lived, they died, they had parents, wives and children, sometimes they constructed something (often connected with the martyrs), and eventually they were busy selling tombs and administering cemeteries. We do not learn much about their primary religious activities. The most we discover are long elegies, mainly of bishops and presbyters, in which their orthodoxy, piety and care for the poor are praised. We must look elsewhere for other information about the lives and activities of clerics. This is not a criticism of M.'s book, which is faithful to its title: it shows the life of the clergy of late antique Italy in the light of the epigraphical evidence. Nothing more, but also nothing less. The epigraphical material itself is rich. M. analyses it professionally, and the edition of the sources will be an indispensable tool for anyone interested in the topic.

Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń

STANISŁAW ADAMIAK xadamiak@umk.pl

LATE ROMAN FIELD ARMIES

KALDELLIS (A.), KRUSE (M.) *The Field Armies of the East Roman Empire, 361–630.* Pp. xxii+205, maps. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2023. Cased, £85, US\$110. ISBN: 978-1-009-29694-6. doi:10.1017/S0009840X23002524

Kaldellis and Kruse are meticulous scholars who have read the evidence regarding the commanders of late Roman field armies in the east closely and interpreted it aggressively, rejecting orthodoxy on the *Notitia Dignitatum*. Four short chapters covering 361–395, 395–450, 450–506 and 506–630 CE are supported by four long appendices, three on the prosopography of Roman generals (at Adrianople, under Theodosius I, from Arcadius to Heraclius) and one on the date of the eastern *Notitia*. The book presents two major arguments, that the system of regional and praesental generals described in the eastern *Notitia* was not put in place until the 440s and that the eastern chapters of the *Notitia* therefore date to the 440s.

The eastern *Notitia* recorded two commanders of imperial field armies (*praesentales*) and three regional commanders, in Thrace, Illyricum and Oriens. It is traditionally dated to *c*. 395, for example in A.H.M. Jones's *Later Roman Empire* (1964), *PLRE* and A. Demandt's 1970 piece (*RE* Suppl. 12, 553–790), with the military arrangements projected backwards to the mid-fourth century. K. and K. reject this orthodoxy. Chapter 1 starts in 361, so, unfortunately, omits discussion of arrangements under Constantius II, although they do see 'a number of quasi-formalized regional commands, specifically for Gaul, Illyricum, and Oriens' (p. 99, cf. p. 8). K. and K. argue that the two *magistri* who usually accompanied emperors had the titles *magistri equitum, magistri peditum* or *magistri equitum et peditum* until early in the reign of Theodosius I, but were then called *magistri utriusque militiae* or *magistri militum*. This is based on *CT* 12.1.113, which referred to *magistri equitum et peditum* in 386 and *CT* 1.21.1 of 393 directed to *magistri utriusque*

The Classical Review (2024) 74.1 212–214 © The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association