

## SUMMARIES OF PERIODICALS

## PHILOLOGISCHE WOCHENSCHRIFT.

(OCTOBER-NOVEMBER, 1931.)

GREEK LITERATURE.—O. Körner, *Die ärztlichen Kenntnisse in Ilias und Odyssee* [Munich, 1929, Bergmann. Pp. 90] (Lorenz). Systematically collects examples of medical knowledge in Homer. These are surprisingly numerous and reveal exceedingly exact observation.—J. Amann, *Die Zeusrede des Ailios Aristeidēs* [Stuttgart, 1931. Pp. 112] (Richtsteig). Reviewer expresses gratitude for A.'s careful and detailed work, the contents of which include a chapter on the substance, arrangement, place, and time of the speech, a skilful translation, and a very full commentary.—J. Lammers, *Die Doppel- und Halbchöre in der antiken Tragödie*. Diss. Münster i. W. [Paderborn, 1931. Pp. 170] (Morel). Very rightly adopts a critical attitude to the attempts of his predecessors to prove double choruses at any price. L.'s first work reveals genuine talent for research.

LATIN LITERATURE.—M. Schmidt, *Die Komposition von Vergils Georgica mit vier Beilagen: 1. Catulls Bedeutung für die klassische Kompositionskunst. 2. Zur Komposition von Catulls 64. Gedicht. 3. Stoff- und Versverteilung in den Dichtungen Culex und Ciris. 4. Zur Kompositionstechnik des Horaz* [Paderborn, 1930, Schönigh. Pp. 235] (Klotz). S. proves her main thesis that Virgil put together the framework of the Georgics with conscious artistry. Though there is much in the book with which reviewer does not agree, on the whole it marks an advance.—P. Vergili Maronis opera. Rec. R. Sabbadini [Rome, 1930] (Aly). A sumptuous edition worthy of the bimillenary of the poet's birth. S.'s name is a sufficient guarantee of its excellence.—O. Seel, *Sallust. Von den Briefen ad Caesarem zur Coniuratio Catilinae* [Berlin, 1930, Teubner. Pp. 92] (Klotz). Throws interesting light on Sallust's personality, though perhaps justice is hardly done to the artist in him.

HISTORY.—M. Vogelstein, *Kaiseridee-Romidee und das Verhältnis von Staat und Kirche seit Constantin* [Breslau, 1930, Marcus. Pp. 127] (L. Schmidt). Penetrating sketch based on careful study of the sources. Much recommended.

PHILOSOPHY.—K. Deichgräber, *Die griechische Empirikerschule. Sammlung der Fragmente und Darstellung der Lehre* [Berlin, Weidmann. Pp. viii+398] (Philippson). Fragments collected with admirable industry and great skill and care. Reviewer in a long discussion criticises some of D.'s interpretations; but he is substantially in agreement. A valuable book.

PALAEOGRAPHY.—W. Weinberger, *Wegweiser durch die Sammlungen althilologischer Handschriften*. Ak. d. Wiss. in Wien. Phil.-Hist. Klasse; Sitz.-Ber., Bd. 209, Abh. 4 [Vienna, 1930, Hölder-Pichler-Tempsky. Pp. 136] (Rabe). A real guide at last of the right kind by one of the first authorities in this sphere.—R. Zimmermann, *Der Sallust-text im Altertum* [Munich, 1929, Hueber. Pp. 152] (Wessner). In some respects Z.'s results are helpful. But he was not fully qualified for his task, and both his main thesis and numerous details are unacceptable. Long review.

LANGUAGE.—F. de Saussure, *Grundfragen der allgemeinen Sprachwissenschaft* übersetzt von H. Lommel [Berlin, 1931, De Gruyter. Pp. xvi+285] (Hermann). Very opportune German translation of these most important lectures of de S. The fluent rendering deserves much praise.

ARCHAEOLOGY.—A. Schulten, *Numantia. Bd. II. Die Stadt Numantia* [Munich, 1931, Bruckmann. Pp. xvi+284, with 83 illustrations, 63 elevations, and 62 plates; also 1 map and 15 plans] (Grosse). Main portion of this volume is devoted to the third settlement by Iberians, following earlier late-neolithic and Keltic occupations of the site. A 'monumentum aere perennius.' No other excavations equal this for historical significance in the sphere of Roman antiquity.

## CORRESPONDENCE

To the Editors of the CLASSICAL REVIEW.

SIRS,

It has been pointed out to me by Mr. Tate that in *C.R.* XLV., 1931, p. 148, I inadvertently made it appear that the views of Villeneuve and Professor H. J. Rose on Persius I. 75-78 are identical, and for this I hasten to express my regret. These two critics are alike in holding that Persius is not attacking archaisers. This makes incorrect what Professor Rose says in *C.R.* XXXVIII., 1924, p. 64, about 'everyone from Casaubon down,' and it is this to which I wished to refer.

G. B. A. FLETCHER.

University of Liverpool.

SIRS,

It has been pointed out to me by Mr. G. B. A. Fletcher that the reading I independently suggested for Cicero, *De domo sua*, XXXIII. 87 in *C.R.* XLV. 6 (1931), p. 218, had already been proposed by Professor A. Klotz in *Rh. Mus.* LXVII. (1912), pp. 386-388, and in the *apparatus criticus* of the Teubner text. The same scholar remarks that Landgraf's reading, as reported in the Oxford text and criticised by me, was withdrawn in Burs. *Jahresb.* LIX. (1890), II., p. 212.

H. HILL.

University College, Swansea.