Article: 2199

Topic: 46 - Eating Disorders

## AN EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED COGNITIVE-BEHAVIOURAL MODEL AND ITS CONSTRUCTS AS MODERATORS OF THE CORE PSYCHOPATHOLOGY OF EATING PATHOLOGY-BULIMIC SYMPTOMATOLOGY RELATIONSHIP

**A. Dakanalis**<sup>1</sup>, A.C. Timko<sup>2</sup>, A.M. Zanetti<sup>1</sup>, G. Riva<sup>3,4</sup>, M. Lombardi<sup>5</sup>, P. Arrivabene<sup>5</sup>, J. Fornoni<sup>6</sup>, S. Bertelli<sup>6</sup>, L. Rinaldi<sup>7,8</sup>, S. Fraietta<sup>7</sup>, M. Clerici<sup>9,10</sup>

Introduction: The original cognitive-behavioural model of bulimia nervosa (CBT-BN) proposes that specific dysfunctional cognitions and behavioural factors maintain BN, and has provided the basis for the widely used cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) of BN. However, Fairburn et al. (2003) noted that among treatment completers with BN, only 40% achieved full remission of the bulimic symptomatology. The enhanced CBT-BN model (CBT-E) proposed by the authors describes how four additional factors (i.e., clinical perfectionism, low self esteem, mood intolerance and interpersonal difficulties) interact with the core psychopathology of BN (i.e., over-evaluation of eating, weight, and shape and their control) to maintain the disorder.

Aims: The goal of this study was to examine (a) the validity of the CBT-E model and (b) whether each of the four hypothesized maintenance factors intensifies the core psychopathology-bulimic symptomatology relationship in a clinical sample.

**Methods:** Data were analysed from 362 adults seeking treatment for BN (n = 167) or atypical BN (n = 195) at four Italian specialized care centres, using latent variable structural equation modeling approach.

**Results:** Both the measurement and the structural model were good fits for the data. All four hypothesized factors exacerbated the core psychopathology-bulimic symptomatology relationship. Core psychopathology explained approximately 47.7% of the variance of bulimic symptomatology. The inclusion of the direct effects and interaction terms increased the explained variance of bulimic symptomatology to 64%.

**Conclusions:** Overall, results supported the validity of the CBT-E model and highlighted the importance of assessment and treatment of the four maintenance processes included in the CBT-E model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Humanistic Studies, Section of Psychology, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Behavioural and Social Sciences, University of the Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Psychology, Catholic University of Milan, <sup>4</sup>Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Psychiatry, Eating Disorder Centre, Civil Hospital of Brescia, Brescia, <sup>6</sup>Eating Disorder Centre, San Paolo Hospital, Milan,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Psychiatry, Policlinico 'Agostino Gemelli', <sup>8</sup>Catholic University of Rome, Rome, <sup>9</sup>Neurosciences and Biomedical Technologies, University of Milan Bicocca, Milan, <sup>10</sup>S.Gerardo Health Care Trust, Monza, Italy