



© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

The Nutrition Society Winter Conference 2022/23 was hosted collaboratively by The Royal Society London on 24–25 January 2023

## Conference on ‘Architecture of food: Processing, structure and health’ Symposium three: Bioaccessibility/bioavailability of nutrients and non-nutrient

### Strategies to increase the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of iron and zinc from cereal products

Sarah M. Arafsha<sup>1,2</sup>, Mohamad F. Aslam<sup>1</sup>, Peter R. Ellis<sup>1,3</sup>, Gladys O. Latunde-Dada<sup>1</sup> and Paul A. Sharp<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nutritional Sciences, School of Life Course and Population Sciences, King's College London, London SE1 9NH, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Nutrition, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, School of Life Course and Population Sciences, King's College London, London SE1 9NH, UK

Cereal products provide 50 % of iron and 30 % of zinc in the UK diet. However, despite having high content, the bioavailability of minerals from cereals is low. This review discusses strategies to increase mineral bioavailability from cereal-based foods. Iron and zinc are localised to specific tissue structures within cereals; however, the cell walls of these structures are resistant to digestion in the human gastrointestinal tract and therefore the bioaccessibility of these essential minerals from foods for absorption in the intestine is limited. In addition, minerals are stored in cereals bound to phytate, which is the main dietary inhibitor of mineral absorption. Recent research has focused on ways to enhance mineral bioavailability from cereals. Current strategies include disruption of plant cell walls to increase mineral release (bioaccessibility) during digestion; increasing the mineral:phytate ratio either by increasing the mineral content through conventional breeding and/or agronomic biofortification, or by reducing phytate levels; and genetic biofortification to increase the mineral content in the starchy endosperm, which is used to produce white wheat flour. While much of this work is at an early stage, there is potential for these strategies to lead to the development of cereal-based foods with enhanced nutritional qualities that could address the low mineral status in the UK and globally.

#### Iron: Zinc: Bioavailability: Bioaccessibility: Cereals

#### Mineral nutrition

Mineral malnutrition is a global health concern and deficiencies in iron and zinc are particularly prevalent. Iron deficiency is present in one-third of the world's population<sup>(1)</sup> and accounts for approximately 50 % of

global cases of anaemia<sup>(2)</sup>. The global incidence of zinc deficiency is estimated to be 15–20 %<sup>(3)</sup> and is associated with several disorders including poor immune function and growth. Stunting associated with zinc deficiency affects more than 150 million children under age 5<sup>(4)</sup>. Both iron and zinc are therefore regarded as nutrients

Abbreviations: DMA, deoxymugineic acid; NA, nicotianamine.  
\*Corresponding author: Paul Sharp, email [paul.a.sharp@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:paul.a.sharp@kcl.ac.uk)

of concern by The World Health Organisation<sup>(2,4)</sup>. While iron and zinc deficiencies are more prevalent in low- and middle-income countries, the rates of deficiency are also high in the UK. Iron deficiency (serum ferritin levels below 15 µg/l) affects up to 25 % of adolescent and premenopausal adult females<sup>(5)</sup>, while up to 20 % of females in the UK may have mild-to-moderate zinc deficiency based on the International Zinc Nutrition Consultative Group thresholds for plasma zinc<sup>(6,7)</sup>.

According to the National Diet and Nutrition Survey<sup>(5)</sup>, cereal products are major contributors to mineral intake in the UK. For example, in the diet of UK adolescent population groups, cereal products provide over 50 % of iron and more than 30 % of zinc<sup>(5)</sup>. This includes the endogenous minerals present in foods and those added through fortification (e.g. of white starch and breakfast cereals). However, while cereal products may contribute significantly to dietary intake, the minerals contained in cereal products are poorly absorbed.

### Mineral bioaccessibility

In this review, we have defined bioaccessibility and the amount of a nutrient released from food during digestion. Bioavailability (discussed later) is the amount of the released nutrient absorbed in the intestine and either stored within the body or utilised for metabolic purposes.

Mineral bioaccessibility from cereals is influenced by several factors including the localisation in plant tissues, the speciation (e.g. the chemical forms in which the mineral is stored), the composition of the plant cell walls and the type and degree of processing during the production of cereal products. Wheat is the major cereal grown and consumed in the UK. The structure of the wheat grain (or caryopsis) is highly specialised and the minerals in wheat are stored in specific tissues of the caryopsis. Iron is largely found in the aleurone cell layer, which is located between the endosperm and the outer bran layers, and in the scutellum<sup>(8–12)</sup>. Up to 90 % of the iron in wheat is found in these regions. Zinc is more widely distributed in the plant and is found in scutellar epithelium, endosperm transfer cells and the embryonic axis<sup>(9–12)</sup>. In contrast, the starchy endosperm is low in minerals. Therefore, when wheat is milled to produce white starch most of the mineral and vitamin content of the wheat grain is removed. The Bread and Flour Regulations (1998)<sup>(13)</sup> require some key minerals (iron and calcium) and B-vitamins (niacin, thiamine and more recently folic acid) to be added back into white wheat starch to compensate for the losses during processing.

Much of the iron and zinc in wheat co-localises with phosphorus, present as phytic acid (IP6; inositol hexakisphosphate; phytate) and this leads to the formation of mineral–phytate complexes<sup>(8,10,12)</sup>. In aleurone cells, iron and phytate are incorporated into phytin globoids inside the protein storage vacuoles, which potentially limits bioaccessibility during digestion<sup>(8)</sup>. Furthermore, the low solubility of mineral–phytate complexes may also reduce mineral bioavailability from cereal-based foods. In addition to phytate, zinc, particularly in the

embryonic axis, may be associated with the thiol groups in proteins<sup>(14)</sup>. The difference in the localisation and speciation of iron and zinc in cereals may explain the higher bioavailability of zinc (estimated to be 25 %) compared with iron (approximately 10 %) from wheat<sup>(15)</sup>.

A further limiting factor to mineral bioaccessibility from cereals is the presence of the plant cell walls, which are resistant to digestion in the human gastrointestinal tract. For example, aleurone cell walls are formed mainly of water-insoluble fibres including arabinoxylans and cellulose and remain largely intact during milling and food processing<sup>(16,17)</sup>. Several studies have shown that wheat cell walls are resistant to digestion in the small intestine and the nutrients encapsulated within intact wheat cells have low bioaccessibility<sup>(17–19)</sup>. Our own work has shown that iron-rich aleurone cells transit undigested through the mouse gut and emerge intact in faeces<sup>(19)</sup>.

### Mineral bioavailability

In order to be absorbed, minerals need to be liberated from food during digestion and presented in a water-soluble form that can be transported by proteins resident on the apical membrane of enterocytes, namely DMT1 (divalent metal transporter), for iron<sup>(20,21)</sup> and ZIP4 (zinc import protein), for zinc<sup>(22,23)</sup>. Mineral bioaccessibility from foods ultimately determines mineral bioavailability. In the case of cereals, the high phytic acid content, physical encapsulation by plant cell walls and the removal of mineral-rich structures during food processing (e.g. milling to produce white starch) all affect bioavailability.

#### *Effects of phytic acid*

The bioavailability of iron is governed by several dietary factors (reviewed in<sup>(24)</sup>), but the principal regulators of absorption are ascorbic acid, which acts as a reducing agent to maintain iron in its absorbable ferrous (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) form and stimulates absorption, and phytic acid which forms insoluble complexes with iron (and zinc) at intestinal pH and thereby inhibits absorption. Thus, the high phytate content in cereals decreases the bioavailability of iron and zinc. However, when consumed as part of a meal, foods containing elevated levels of ascorbic acid can, to some extent, counteract the inhibitory effects of phytic acid on iron absorption<sup>(25)</sup>.

Several food preparation strategies are known to be effective in reducing phytic acid levels in cereal foods. Wheat itself contains phytase enzyme activity which allows the liberation of phosphorus stored in phytic acid<sup>(26)</sup>. Grain particle size, fermentation time and hydrothermal processing have all been shown to influence phytate levels<sup>(27)</sup>. Furthermore, the addition of yeast, which is common in bread-making, introduces additional phytase enzyme activity and reduces levels of phytic acid in the final product<sup>(28)</sup>. Sourdough fermentation reduces the pH of wheat doughs and activates endogenous wheat phytase activity reducing the phytate

content of bread<sup>(29)</sup>. Studies comparing bread-making processes (sourdough v. conventional bread making v. Chorleywood bread process) have observed differential effects on phytic acid levels<sup>(30)</sup>. While levels of IP6 in the conventional and Chorleywood breads were more than 50% below that seen in the wholewheat starch, levels of IP6 in the sourdough bread were below the levels of detection<sup>(30)</sup>. In this study<sup>(30)</sup> and others where phytic acid levels in bread have been reduced by fermentation<sup>(31–33)</sup> there was an associated increase in iron bioaccessibility and bioavailability.

#### *Disruption of plant cell walls*

Changes to food structure following thermal and mechanical processing can greatly influence nutrient bioaccessibility<sup>(17,18,34)</sup>. For example, in wheat, hydrothermal treatment causes swelling and gelatinisation of starch, which changes food structure and influences food digestion and nutrient absorption<sup>(35)</sup>. Furthermore, addition of yeast during bread-making not only reduces phytic acid, but also results in changes to loaf volume and texture<sup>(36)</sup>. Leavening agents increase rates of digestion of starches<sup>(37)</sup>, while natural fermentation (e.g. sourdough) of cereals can modify cellular structure through the extraction of phenolic compounds from cell walls<sup>(38)</sup>. We have investigated whether changes to the cellular structure of wheat might also influence mineral bioavailability. Enhanced mechanical disruption during milling to micronised starch particle size increases the bioaccessibility of iron, increases its solubility and enhances iron absorption *in vitro*<sup>(19)</sup>. The present paper measures iron and zinc bioavailability from breads made using the standard and micronised starch. Interestingly, enzymatic disruption of plant cell walls also increases iron bioaccessibility from wheat starch<sup>(19)</sup>. Thus, the use of food-grade enzymes during bread-making, for example, using xylanases to target the arabinoxylan-rich aleurone cell walls to increase iron release from its major storage site in cereals, might improve iron bioavailability. A similar approach has been shown to increase iron bioaccessibility from injera starch (a mix of teff, wheat and sorghum)<sup>(39)</sup>.

#### *Biofortification*

Recognising the pressures on global food systems to produce sufficient nutrient-dense foods to feed the expanding global population, novel strategies have been introduced to increase nutrient content in staple foods. To date, this biofortification approach has focused on increasing iron, zinc and vitamin A content of foods commonly consumed in low- and middle-income countries (reviewed in<sup>(40)</sup>). This can be achieved through either agronomic practices, for example, the application of micronutrient rich fertilisers or sprays to increase nutrient content of the crops; conventional breeding to select for crops with higher levels of the nutrients of interest; or genetic methods to introduce or increase the expression of specific genetic traits that lead to enhanced accumulation of particular nutrients. The background to the development of biofortification as a strategy and its global

reach in terms of populations consuming biofortified crops has been reviewed elsewhere<sup>(40)</sup>.

Agronomic biofortification, where minerals are either applied to the soil in fertiliser or applied directly to the plant through foliar sprays, has proved effective in increasing the content of some minerals and trace elements. For example, the zinc content of rice<sup>(41)</sup>, maize<sup>(42)</sup> and wheat<sup>(43)</sup> can be increased by agronomic biofortification. In preliminary work using an *in vitro* digestion model, we have shown that increased zinc content in agronomically biofortified maize starch is bioavailable<sup>(44)</sup>. Furthermore, Signorell *et al.*<sup>(43)</sup> in a human dietary intervention study, demonstrated the bioavailability of zinc from foods produced from agronomically biofortified wheat.

Conventional breeding has also been used successfully to develop iron and zinc biofortified staple crops. For example, iron-biofortified beans have increased iron bioavailability<sup>(45)</sup>. Subsequent studies have shown that consumption of biofortified beans improves iron status<sup>(46)</sup> and is associated with improved cognitive performance<sup>(47)</sup> and physical work efficiency<sup>(48)</sup>. Zincol-2016, a variety of zinc biofortified wheat has been developed and grown in Pakistan. In a short-term randomised controlled trial, consumption of foods incorporating Zincol-2016 starch was associated with increased zinc intake and elevated plasma zinc levels<sup>(49)</sup>. Current work is evaluating zinc absorption from breads made in Pakistan from biofortified and control wheat in both randomised controlled trials and *in vitro* digestion studies<sup>(50)</sup>.

Developing iron-biofortified cereals has proved to be more challenging due to the lack of variation in genetic traits associated with iron accumulation. Iron-biofortified pearl millet has been successfully introduced<sup>(51)</sup>, but so far, the development of iron-biofortified wheat remains elusive. Strategies to overcome this problem include a genetic biofortification approach in which a wheat vacuolar iron transporter (TaVIT2), which permits iron accumulation in aleurone cells, has been overexpressed in wheat under the control of an endosperm-specific promoter. This strategy has proved successful in targeting TaVIT2 to the endosperm and mobilising iron from the aleurone into the endosperm<sup>(52)</sup>. Notably, the level of iron present in endosperm of the biofortified wheat is greater than that required through mandatory fortification of white starch suggesting potential nutritional benefits. An alternative approach has been to overexpress the rice nicotianamine synthase gene (OsNAS2) in wheat<sup>(53)</sup>. This enzyme increases the production of nicotianamine (NA), which is subsequently metabolised to deoxymugineic acid (DMA). Both NA and DMA are endogenous chelators of iron and zinc in cereals<sup>(54)</sup>. This genetic strategy increases iron and zinc content in the grain of transfected wheat<sup>(53)</sup>. More recently these two genetic strategies have been combined to produce a double-transfected wheat line (VIT2-NAS), which is high-yielding and contains elevated iron levels in endosperm and shows high iron bioaccessibility in *in vitro* digestion assays<sup>(55)</sup>. Interestingly, these mineral-NA and -DMA chelates are thought to be bioavailable and recently a specific intestinal iron-NA transport pathway

has been identified, which utilises the amino acid transporter PAT1<sup>(56)</sup>. It is hoped that the iron bioavailability from breads made from this new VIT2-NAS wheat line will be tested soon in human dietary interventions.

While it is currently not possible to chemically synthesise NA due to its rare azetidine ring, some work is currently developing synthetic DMA derivatives for potential use in fertilisers<sup>(57)</sup>. If DMA from fertiliser is taken up by wheat and incorporated into the endosperm this has the potential to both increase the iron and zinc content of wheat and to hold these minerals in bioavailable forms in white wheat starch.

### Fortification

The mineral content of wheat varieties used for bread-making varies greatly depending on the growth site, year of harvest, soil conditions, etc. Estimated whole-grain wheat iron levels are between 25 and 40 mg/kg dry weight and zinc levels between 15 and 35 mg/kg dry weight<sup>(12,19,55,58)</sup>. However, as most of the iron and zinc in wheat resides in the aleurone and embryo, respectively, and these structures are removed during milling to produce white starch, the final mineral content of white wheat starch is substantially reduced compared to the wholegrain starch (as low as 6–10 mg of iron and zinc/kg starch<sup>(58)</sup>). The Bread & Flour Regulations (1998)<sup>(13)</sup> were introduced to compensate for nutrient losses resulting from production of white starch and require all white and brown wheat starch produced in the UK to be fortified with iron to a level that would be seen in wholemeal starch. This mandatory minimum level is set at 16.5 mg iron/kg starch. While the UK legislation allows for several forms of iron to be used to restore white starch levels, the most commonly used form is reduced elemental iron powder. This has the advantage of being inert so it does not affect the quality and shelf-life of bread and has no discernible adverse sensory properties (e.g. changes in colour, smell or taste). However, elemental iron is not soluble in water or dilute acid and therefore has low bioavailability compared with other iron compounds<sup>(59)</sup>.

Several randomised controlled trials have investigated the efficacy of foods fortified with elemental iron on iron status. These trials have produced inconsistent results with several showing no benefit on Hb or serum ferritin levels (reviewed in<sup>(60)</sup>). Countrywide fortification programmes have also produced mixed results. For example, in Denmark, where fortification with carbonyl iron was discontinued in 1987, follow-up studies have found no increase in the prevalence of iron deficiency or iron deficiency anaemia in adult males or females<sup>(61,62)</sup>. In Sweden, where fortification was in place until 1994, discontinuation of white wheat starch fortification with iron has led to a 39% decrease in dietary iron intake and an increase in the incidence of iron deficiency in adolescent girls, but not in adolescent boys<sup>(63)</sup>. The Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition in the UK has reviewed the Bread & Flour Regulations and the evidence for mandatory fortification of starch in the UK<sup>(64)</sup>. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition

concluded that there was still a public health benefit to mandatory fortification with iron. If this process was discontinued it would increase the proportion of the population with low-dietary iron intakes, and this would disproportionately affect lower socio-economic groups.

While the data presented earlier relates to elemental iron, there is evidence that fortification with other forms of iron may be more efficacious. For example, a recent Cochrane Database systematic review and meta-analysis of trials with iron-fortified wheat starch (not restricted to elemental iron) found that there may be some benefit of fortification on the incidence of anaemia<sup>(65)</sup>. This raises the possibility that other novel fortification strategies could be considered.

In collaboration with partners at Bühler Industries we have investigated iron bioaccessibility and bioavailability of purified aleurone starch. The iron content of the aleurone starch is approximately four times higher than wholegrain starch (120–140 mg/kg dry weight) and *in vitro* assays suggest that the iron from aleurone starch is both bioaccessible and bioavailable<sup>(19)</sup>. We have hypothesised that addition of aleurone starch to white starch, at levels that would meet the mandatory fortification target in the UK, would provide a bioavailable source of iron for white bread.

### Future challenges

In this review, we have highlighted several strategies that could increase mineral bioavailability from cereal products. Much of the work on mineral bioavailability has focused on white wheat starch products, particularly bread. UK Flour Millers estimate that bread is purchased by more than 90% of households. However, despite many marketing campaigns highlighting the benefits of wholegrain foods, up to 70% of all bread sold is white bread and only 10% would be classed as wholegrain.

Biofortified crops, including cereals, offer great potential to increase mineral intakes globally. However, as yet they have not been tested for growth, yield and nutrient content in the UK. If adopted, they have the potential to improve mineral nutrition, but there would be challenges for the food industry and retailers to ensure that these foods made from these nutrient-dense crops are available at a price that is affordable to the lower socio-economic groups who would benefit most from their introduction. Genetic biofortification could hasten progress in the development of new cereal crops with enhanced nutritional properties, for example, the study by Harrington *et al.*<sup>(55)</sup> has the potential to increase iron levels in white wheat starch. However, the use of these technologies to develop crops for human consumption is subject to legislation relating to GM organisms, and there remains opposition from some lobby groups and sections of the population to the production of GM foods.

To increase the nutritional properties of white starch with respect to minerals, we have proposed the use of aleurone as a potential food fortificant for use in white starch wheat products<sup>(66)</sup>. Our preliminary work indicates that iron from aleurone is bioavailable<sup>(19)</sup>; however,

the use of aleurone for widespread fortification would require changes to milling strategies and food production lines, which would have cost implications for industry and consumers. Interestingly, a similar approach has been proposed in which fava bean starch is incorporated into white bread<sup>(67)</sup>. Current white bread production in the UK incorporates imported soya starch as a bread improver and the proposal is to replace soya with UK-grown fava bean starch. Importantly, fava beans also have high levels of iron and the incorporation of fava bean starch into white bread could increase the iron content of the standard loaf<sup>(67)</sup>.

The use of alternative bread-making technologies has potential benefits for mineral nutrition. For example, sourdough processing reduces phytic acid levels and increases iron bioavailability<sup>(30)</sup>. The use of food-grade enzymes such as xylanases and phytases has the potential to increase both mineral bioaccessibility by disrupting plant cell walls and mineral bioavailability by decreasing phytate, but the use of these enzymatic approaches is still not widespread in industrial bread-making.

Cereal products remain the single biggest contributor to mineral intakes in the UK diet. They are particularly important in the diets of the adolescent population who are at risk of multiple micronutrient deficiencies. This review has shown that there are several viable strategies to increase mineral content and bioavailability from cereal products. To achieve substantial changes in mineral nutrition will require a food systems approach involving close collaboration with agronomists, farmers, food industry, retailers and consumers. However, there is a realistic prospect that at least one of the strategies discussed here could be developed in the coming years to improve the nutritional quality of cereal-based foods.

### Conclusions

Globally, a high proportion of dietary iron and zinc is provided by cereal-based foods. However, the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of these minerals from cereals is limited by their localisation in the cereal plant tissue and the presence of high levels of phytic acid, the main dietary inhibitor of mineral absorption. Agricultural, food processing and culinary methods can potentially increase mineral bioavailability from cereal products. These methods include biofortification or conventional fortification to increase iron and zinc levels in cereals and foods; the disruption of plant cell walls to increase the liberation of nutrients from foods during digestion; and reduction of phytic acid levels during food preparation and cooking. Together these strategies could improve the nutritional quality of cereal-based foods and provide a solution to the low bioavailability of minerals in the diets of vulnerable populations.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Walter von Reding (Bühler AG, Uzwil, Switzerland) and Simon Penson (ADM Milling,

Corby, UK) for providing materials, and Michael Adams and Lucas Westphal (Campden BRI, Chipping Campden, UK) for food analysis, relating to some of the studies described in this review.

### Financial Support

Some of the work described in this review was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (grant numbers: BB/N021002 and BB/P017584). S. M. A. was supported by a PhD student scholarship from King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

### Conflict of Interest

None.

### Authorship

The first draft of this review was written by P. A. S. All authors provided input into the development of the manuscript. All authors approved the final submitted version.

### References

1. Zimmermann MB & Hurrell RF (2007) Nutritional iron deficiency. *Lancet* **370**, 511–520.
2. WHO (World Health Organization) (2015) *The Global Prevalence of Anaemia in 2011*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
3. Wessells KR & Brown KH (2012) Estimating the global prevalence of zinc deficiency: results based on zinc availability in national food supplies and the prevalence of stunting. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e50568.
4. WHO (World Health Organization) (2013) *World Health Report: Research for Universal Health Coverage*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
5. National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Years 9 to 11 of the Rolling Programme (2016/2017 to 2018/2019). <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/ndns-results-from-years-9-to-11-2016-to-2017-and-2018-to-2019> (accessed May 2023).
6. National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS): results years 1 and 2. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-headline-results-from-years-1-and-2-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-2008-09-2009-10-supplementary-report-blood-analytes> (accessed May 2023).
7. International Zinc Nutrition Consultative Group (IZiNCG) (2004). *Assessment of the risk of zinc deficiency in populations and options for its control*. Hotz C and Brown KH, eds. Food and Nutrition Bulletin 25: pp. S91–S204.
8. Moore KL, Zhao F-J, Gritsch C *et al.* (2012) Localisation of iron in wheat grain using high resolution secondary ion mass spectrometry. *J Cereal Sci* **55**, 183–187.
9. Neal AL, Geraki K, Borg S *et al.* (2013) Iron and zinc complexation in wild-type and ferritin-expressing wheat grain: implications for mineral transport into developing grain. *J Biol Inorg Chem* **18**, 557–570.

10. De Brier N, Gomand SV, Donner E *et al.* (2016) Element distribution and iron speciation in mature wheat grains (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using synchrotron X-ray fluorescence microscopy mapping and X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) imaging. *Plant Cell Environ* **39**, 1835–1847.
11. Balk J, Connorton JM, Wan Y *et al.* (2019) Improving wheat as a source of iron and zinc for global nutrition. *Nutr Bull* **44**, 53–59.
12. Wan Y, Stewart T, Amrahli M *et al.* (2022) Localisation of iron and zinc in grain of biofortified wheat. *J Cereal Sci* **105**, 103470.
13. The Bread and Flour Regulations (1998) <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukSI/1998/141/contents> (accessed May 2023).
14. Persson DP, Hansen TH, Laursen KH *et al.* (2009) Simultaneous iron, zinc, sulfur and phosphorus speciation analysis of barley grain tissues using SEC-ICP-MS and IP-ICP-MS. *Metallomics* **1**, 418–426.
15. Bouis HE & Welch RM (2010) Biofortification – a sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south. *Crop Sci* **50**, 20–32.
16. Brouns F, Hemery Y, Price R *et al.* (2012) Wheat aleurone: separation, composition, health aspects, and potential food use. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **52**, 553–568.
17. Edwards CH, Grundy MM, Grassby T *et al.* (2015) Manipulation of starch bioaccessibility in wheat endosperm to regulate starch digestion, postprandial glycemia, insulinemia, and gut hormone responses: a randomized controlled trial in healthy ileostomy participants. *Am J Clin Nutr* **102**, 791–800.
18. Edwards CH, Ryden P, Mandalari G *et al.* (2021) Structure–function studies of chickpea and durum wheat uncover mechanisms by which cell wall properties influence starch bioaccessibility. *Nat Food* **2**, 118–126.
19. Latunde-Dada GO, Li X, Parodi A *et al.* (2014) Micromilling enhances iron bioaccessibility from whole-grain wheat. *J Agric Food Chem* **62**, 11222–11227.
20. Gunshin H, Mackenzie B, Berger UV *et al.* (1997) Cloning and characterization of a mammalian proton-coupled metal–ion transporter. *Nature* **388**, 482–488.
21. Tandy S, Williams M, Leggett A *et al.* (2000) Nramp2 expression is associated with pH-dependent iron uptake across the apical membrane of human intestinal Caco-2 cells. *J Biol Chem* **275**, 1023–1029.
22. Dufner-Beattie J, Wang F, Kuo YM *et al.* (2003) The acrodermatitis enteropathica gene ZIP4 encodes a tissue-specific, zinc-regulated zinc transporter in mice. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 33474–33481.
23. Liuzzi JP, Bobo JA, Lichten LA *et al.* (2004) Responsive transporter genes within the murine intestinal-pancreatic axis form a basis of zinc homeostasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**, 14355–14360.
24. Sharp PA (2010) Intestinal iron absorption: regulation by dietary & systemic factors. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res* **80**, 231–242.
25. Hallberg L, Brune M & Rossander L (1989) Iron absorption in man: ascorbic acid and dose-dependent inhibition by phytate. *Am J Clin Nutr* **49**, 140–144.
26. Bohn L, Meyer AS & Rasmussen SK (2008) Phytate: impact on environment and human nutrition. A challenge for molecular breeding. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* **9**, 165–191.
27. Majzoobi M, Pashangeh S, Farahnaky A *et al.* (2014) Effect of particle size reduction, hydrothermal and fermentation treatments on phytic acid content and some physico-chemical properties of wheat bran. *J Food Sci Technol* **51**, 2755–2761.
28. Türk M, Carlsson N-G & Sandberg A-S (1996) Reduction in the levels of phytate during wholemeal bread making: effect of yeast and wheat phytases. *J Cereal Sci* **23**, 257–264.
29. Leenhardt F, Levrat-Verny MA, Chanliaud E *et al.* (2005) Moderate decrease of pH by sourdough fermentation is sufficient to reduce phytate content of whole wheat flour through endogenous phytase activity. *J Agric Food Chem* **53**, 98–102.
30. Rodriguez-Ramiro I, Brearley CA, Bruggraber SF *et al.* (2017) Assessment of iron bioavailability from different bread making processes using an *in vitro* intestinal cell model. *Food Chem* **228**, 91–98.
31. Brune M, Rossander-Hultén L, Hallberg L *et al.* (1992) Iron absorption from bread in humans: inhibiting effects of cereal fiber, phytate and inositol phosphates with different numbers of phosphate groups. *J Nutr* **122**, 442–449.
32. Chaoui A, Faid M & Belahsen R (2006) Making bread with sourdough improves iron bioavailability from reconstituted fortified wheat flour in mice. *J Trace Elem Med Biol* **20**, 217–220.
33. Sanz-Penella JM, Laparra JM, Sanz Y *et al.* (2012) Assessment of iron bioavailability in whole wheat bread by addition of phytase-producing bifidobacteria. *J Agric Food Chem* **60**, 3190–3195.
34. Grundy MM, Edwards CH, Mackie AR *et al.* (2016) Re-evaluation of the mechanisms of dietary fibre and implications for macronutrient bioaccessibility, digestion and postprandial metabolism. *Br J Nutr* **116**, 816–833.
35. Edwards CH, Warren FJ, Campbell GM *et al.* (2015) A study of starch gelatinisation behaviour in hydrothermally-processed plant food tissues and implications for *in vitro* digestibility. *Food Funct* **6**, 3634–3641.
36. Struyf N, Van der Maelen E, Hemdane S *et al.* (2017) Bread dough and baker's yeast: an uplifting synergy. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf* **16**, 850–867.
37. Garcia-Hernandez A, Roldan-Cruz C, Vernon-Carter EJ *et al.* (2022) Effects of leavening agent and time on bread texture and *in vitro* starch digestibility. *J Food Sci Technol* **59**, 1922–1930.
38. Ravisankar S, Dizlek H & Awika JM (2021) Changes in extractable phenolic profile during natural fermentation of wheat, sorghum and teff. *Food Res Int* **145**, 110426.
39. Baye K, Guyot JP, Icard-Vernière C *et al.* (2015) Enzymatic degradation of phytate, polyphenols and dietary fibers in Ethiopian injera flours: effect on iron bioaccessibility. *Food Chem* **174**, 60–67.
40. Lockyer S, White A & Buttriss JL (2018) Biofortified crops for tackling micronutrient deficiencies – what impact are these having in developing countries and could they be of relevance within Europe? *Nutr Bull* **43**, 319–357.
41. Prom-U-Thai C, Rashid A, Ram H *et al.* (2020) Simultaneous biofortification of rice with zinc, iodine, iron and selenium through foliar treatment of a micronutrient cocktail in five countries. *Front Plant Sci* **11**, 589835.
42. Botoman L, Chimungu JG, Bailey EH *et al.* (2022) Agronomic biofortification increases grain zinc concentration of maize grown under contrasting soil types in Malawi. *Plant Direct* **6**, e458.
43. Signorell C, Zimmermann MB, Cakmak I *et al.* (2019) Zinc absorption from agronomically biofortified wheat is similar to post-harvest fortified wheat and is a substantial source of bioavailable zinc in humans. *J Nutr* **149**, 840–846.
44. Watts C, Aslam M, Gunaratna N *et al.* (2020) Agronomic biofortification of maize with zinc fertilizers increases zinc uptake from maize flour by human intestinal Caco-2 cells. *Curr Dev Nutr* **4**, 1853.



45. Petry N, Rohner F, Gahutu JB *et al.* (2016) In Rwandese women with low iron status, iron absorption from low-phytic acid beans and biofortified beans is comparable, but low-phytic acid beans cause adverse gastrointestinal symptoms. *J Nutr* **146**, 970–975.
46. Haas JD, Luna SV, Lung'aho MG *et al.* (2016) Consuming iron biofortified beans increases iron status in Rwandan women after 128 days in a randomized controlled feeding trial. *J Nutr* **146**, 1586–1592.
47. Murray-Kolb LE, Wenger MJ, Scott SP *et al.* (2017) Consumption of iron-biofortified beans positively affects cognitive performance in 18- to 27-year-old Rwandan female college students in an 18-week randomized controlled efficacy trial. *J Nutr* **147**, 2109–2117.
48. Luna SV, Pompano LM, Lung'aho M *et al.* (2020) Increased iron status during a feeding trial of iron-biofortified beans increases physical work efficiency in Rwandan women. *J Nutr* **150**, 1093–1099.
49. Lowe NM, Zaman M, Khan MJ *et al.* (2022) Biofortified wheat increases dietary zinc intake: a randomised controlled efficacy study of Zincol-2016 in rural Pakistan. *Front Nutr* **8**, 809783.
50. Lowe NM, Zaman M, Moran VH *et al.* (2020) Biofortification of wheat with zinc for eliminating deficiency in Pakistan: study protocol for a cluster-randomised, double-blind, controlled effectiveness study (BIZIFED2). *BMJ Open* **10**, e039231.
51. Cercamondi CI, Egli IM, Mitchikpe E *et al.* (2013) Total iron absorption by young women from iron-biofortified pearl millet composite meals is double that from regular millet meals but less than that from post-harvest iron-fortified millet meals. *J Nutr* **143**, 1376–1382.
52. Connorton JM, Jones ER, Rodríguez-Ramiro I *et al.* (2017) Wheat vacuolar iron transporter TaVIT2 transports Fe and Mn and is effective for biofortification. *Plant Physiol* **174**, 2434–2444.
53. Beasley JT, Bonneau JP, Sánchez-Palacios JT *et al.* (2019) Metabolic engineering of bread wheat improves grain iron concentration and bioavailability. *Plant Biotechnol J* **17**, 1514–1526.
54. Eagling T, Neal AL, McGrath SP *et al.* (2014) Distribution and speciation of iron and zinc in grain of two wheat genotypes. *J Agric Food Chem* **62**, 708–716.
55. Harrington SA, Connorton JM, Nyangoma NIM *et al.* (2023) A two-gene strategy increases iron and zinc concentrations in wheat flour, improving mineral bioaccessibility. *Plant Physiol* **191**, 528–541.
56. Murata Y, Yoshida M, Sakamoto N *et al.* (2021) Iron uptake mediated by the plant-derived chelator nicotianamine in the small intestine. *J Biol Chem* **296**, 100195.
57. Suzuki M, Urabe A, Sasaki S *et al.* (2021) Development of a mugineic acid family phytosiderophore analog as an iron fertilizer. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1558.
58. Zhao FJ, Su YH, Dunham SJ *et al.* (2009) Variation in mineral micronutrient concentrations in grain of wheat lines of diverse origin. *J Cereal Sci* **49**, 290–295.
59. Hurrell RF (2002) Fortification: overcoming technical and practical barriers. *J Nutr* **132**(Suppl. 4), 806S–812S.
60. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2010) *Iron and Health*. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/339309/SACN\\_Iron\\_and\\_Health\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/339309/SACN_Iron_and_Health_Report.pdf) (accessed May 2023).
61. Milman N, Ovesen L, Byg K *et al.* (1999) Iron status in Danes updated 1994. I: prevalence of iron deficiency and iron overload in 1332 men aged 40–70 years. Influence Of blood donation, alcohol intake, and iron supplementation. *Ann Hematol* **78**, 393–400.
62. Milman N, Byg KE & Ovesen L (2000) Iron status in Danes 1994. II: prevalence of iron deficiency and iron overload in 1319 Danish women aged 40–70 years. Influence of blood donation, alcohol intake and iron supplementation. *Ann Hematol* **79**, 612–621.
63. Sjöberg A & Hulthén L (2015) Comparison of food habits, iron intake and iron status in adolescents before and after the withdrawal of the general iron fortification in Sweden. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **69**, 494–500.
64. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2012) *Nutritional implications of repealing the UK Bread and Flour Regulations*. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/221137/sacn-uk-bread-flour-regulations-position-statement.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/221137/sacn-uk-bread-flour-regulations-position-statement.pdf) (accessed May 2023).
65. Field MS, Mithra P & Peña-Rosas JP (2021) Wheat flour fortification with iron and other micronutrients for reducing anaemia and improving iron status in populations. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **2021**, CD011302.
66. Aslam MF, Ellis PR, Berry SE *et al.* (2018) Enhancing mineral bioavailability from cereals: current strategies and future perspectives. *Nutr Bull* **43**, 184–188.
67. Lovegrove JA, O'Sullivan DM, Tosi P *et al.* (2023) 'Raising the pulse': the environmental, nutritional and health benefits of pulse-enhanced foods. *Nutr Bull* **48**, 134–143.