

mental health services during the pandemic. In the beginning, the only services maintained were in-hospital and emergency services, while daily hospitals and ambulatory visits were disrupted. Psychiatric resources were not formally implemented in the treatment and management of COVID-19 and majority of mental health workers remained within the boundaries of psychiatric services. In the following period, some of the services reopened, with reorientation to online provision. However, the interference of services gradually occurred as the second wave started, with some psychiatric departments being repurposed for non-psychiatric use. Psychiatric care was organized in some of the COVID-19 departments as liaison service. Some of the psychiatric services offered consultation and prevention of burn-out for frontline personnel. Lastly, as the University hospital centre Zagreb was implementing the flexible assertive community treatment teams for persons with severe mental illness through the Large-scale implementation of community based mental health care for people with severe and enduring mental ill health in Europe (RECOVER-E) project in the period from 2018-2022, we investigated the effect of this service on the health outcomes during the pandemic and found it superior compared with the standard treatment.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: Mental Health Services; pandemic; flexible assertive community treatment teams

W0012

Promoting physical distancing and not social distancing: When the words matter

D. Wasserman

National Centre For Suicide Research And Prevention Of Mental Ill-health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.153

As COVID-19 began to plague the world, the term ‘social distancing’ was frequently used, encouraging people to keep a safe physical distance from others to reduce the transmission of the virus. Despite being well-intended, the term has negative implications, further isolating vulnerable groups in society by evoking feelings of rejection and exclusion. For this reason, the members of the European Psychiatric Association Ethics Committee wrote an e-letter in response to an opinion piece published by Science (<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/367/6484/1282/tab-e-letters>), and also wrote to the European Psychiatry (<https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.60>) and the World Health Organisation explaining why the term ‘physical distancing’ should instead be used among policymakers, governments and the general public. Words are important and carry great meaning. Therefore, by using the term physical distancing and not social distancing the message becomes clear, individuals should remain physically distant but socially connected to protect the vulnerable communities in societies. The World Health Organisation, as well as the Lancet journals, adopting the term physical distancing in replacement of social distancing, was a rewarding and important step in the right direction.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: EPA Ethics Committee; Vulnerable groups; physical distancing; Socially connected

W0014

The impact of lockdown measures on the mental health of the general population: Results from a national multicentric study

A. Fiorillo

Department Of Psychiatry, University of Campania “L. Vanvitelli”, Naples, Italy
doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.154

The COVID-19 pandemic and the related containment measures, such as lockdown, are affecting mental health of the general population worldwide. This is an unprecedented event, which is influencing the health care, political, economic, and social welfare systems. Among Western countries, Italy has been one of the first severely hit by the pandemic in terms of number of cases and mortality rates. On March 8, 2020, the Italian Prime Minister issued restrictive measures in order to limit the spread of the disease. During this period known as “Phase one” of the national health emergency, all not necessary activities have been closed, more than 29,000 people have died and almost 100,000 people have been home-isolated, with strict lockdown measures. The COvid Mental hEalth Trial (COMET) network, including ten university Italian sites and the National Institute of Health, has promoted a national online survey in order to evaluate the impact of lockdown measures on the mental health of the Italian general population. The COMET survey reports data from a large sample of more than 20,000 people from Italian general population, showing that lockdown has had a detrimental impact on mental health, in terms of worsening of anxiety, depressive and stress symptoms. Findings from this study can be useful to inform national and international associations, policy makers and stakeholders on the importance to provide adequate support to the mental health of the general population.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: lockdown; Anxiety; Depression; mental health

Educational

Psychiatric genetics: What a european psychiatrist should know in 2021?

W0015

What is the genetic architecture of major psychiatric disorders?

W. Hennah^{1*} and M. Gennarelli²

¹Neuroscience Center, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland and

²Department Of Molecular And Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

*Corresponding Author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.155