

Dr. Paul Bartholow, of Philadelphia, has shown (*Journ. Amer. Medical Association*, November 14th, 1896) that homicides in the United States were nearly six times more in 1895 than in 1885. The foregoing are facts the public of America must attend to and intelligently deal with. The "reviewer" declares the book is "unnecessary," yet society and its machinery are chiefly responsible for the crime within it, and I know of no other book which presents typical cases of every-day offenders described in a way conducive to a better understanding by the public of the main factors in our criminal problem. And surely no one author can expect to reach the whole reading public. Medical psychologists do not make our laws.

So far, at the hands of "reviewers" my book has met with only commendation or tirade, and surely tirade is not criticism, nor even review. Let reviewers observe the golden rule, and be at least fair and honest. They have the *facts* before them, if they do not always have the requisite *understanding*.

[I have been favoured with the perusal of the above letter anent my review of Dr. Christison's book, *Crime and Criminals*, in the last number of the JOURNAL. Dr. Christison first quarrels with me for saying that his book "is not a scientific work," and then proceeds to admit the justice of the statement; ditto as regards the slang terms employed. As to the character of the English in which the text is conceived, a glance at the book itself will suffice to condone my use of the word "execrable." He next accuses me of "flatly denying" his statement that "crimes are nearly five times as numerous as forty years ago," whereas the words I used were "which we venture to doubt." As to the book being "unnecessary," that is only my opinion as reviewer. I must apologise to Dr. Christison for not having been able to take his book seriously, and for having treated it with a levity which he evidently considers undeserved. As I remain unable, after re-perusal of it, to modify my already expressed opinion, I must ask him to ascribe my want of appreciation to a lack of the "requisite understanding."—THE REVIEWER.]

THE LUNACY COMMISSION.

The retirement of Dr. Southey from the Lunacy Commission will be a source of regret to those members of our association who have had the best opportunity of knowing his worth, and the uniformly high ideas that he has maintained in the discharge of his duties. It is to be hoped that the onerous character of those duties, owing to the obvious and notorious understaffing of the Commission, has not had a share in leading to his retirement.

Dr. Sydney Coupland, who succeeds Dr. Southey, has a reputation both professional and individual that ensures his becoming a very successful member of the Commission. His long connection with the Middlesex Hospital has given him a very wide circle of friends, who regret the loss of his services to that institution, and who, we are informed, intend to express that regret in the practical form of a handsome testimonial.

OBITUARY.

JOHN BYWATER WARD.

John Bywater Ward, M.A., M.D. Cantab. Born March 18th, 1844; died October 3rd, 1898. Medical Superintendent and Secretary of the Warneford Asylum, Oxford.

Dr. Ward was a native of Leeds. He was the second son of Mr. William Sykes Ward, solicitor, of that city, and his wife Caroline, daughter of Mr. John Bywater, also of Leeds. In 1856 he entered the Leeds Grammar School, where he received the early part of his general education. On leaving the grammar school he became a student at the Leeds School of Medicine. He then entered at Caius College, Cambridge, as a Scholar, and graduated B.A. in 1867, taking a Second Class in Natural Science. He took the degree of M.B. in 1868, and became M.D. in 1872. He also held the diplomas of M.R.C.S. Eng., and L.S.A. His education being finished, Dr. Ward became one of the house surgeons to the Sheffield Infirmary, a post which he appears to have held for about two years. He then accepted a clinical clerkship at the West Riding Asylum under