

## ON GAUSSIAN AND GEODESIC CURVATURE OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

HANSKLAUS RUMMLER

**Introduction.** In [1], S. S. Chern gave a very elegant and simple proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed (i.e. compact without boundary) oriented Riemannian manifolds of even dimension:

$$\int_M \Omega = c\chi(M).$$

Here,  $c$  is a suitable constant depending on the dimension of  $M$  and  $\Omega$  is an  $n$ -form ( $n = \dim M$ ) which may be calculated from its curvature tensor. W. Greub gave a coordinate-free description of this integrand  $\Omega$  (cf. [4]).

Chern generalized his result in [2] to smooth polyhedral regions  $G$  with boundary  $\partial G$ :

$$\int_G \Omega + \int_{\nu(\partial G)} \Pi = c\chi(G, \partial G).$$

Here,  $\Pi$  is a  $(n - 1)$ -form on the unit sphere bundle  $E$  over  $M$  and  $\nu: \partial G \rightarrow E$  is the outer unit normal field on the boundary  $\partial G$  of  $G$ . Now,  $\Omega = nKdV_n$ , where  $dV_n$  is the oriented Riemannian volume on  $M$  and  $K$  is a smooth function on  $M$ , which may be considered as Gaussian curvature. In the same way,  $\nu^*\Pi = \kappa dV_{n-1}$ , where  $dV_{n-1}$  is the induced volume on  $\partial G$ . The function  $\kappa$  is then uniquely determined and corresponds to the geodesic curvature in the case  $n = 2$ , where  $\partial G$  is a curve. The aim of this article is to define the geodesic curvature for any oriented hypersurface in an even-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold—without using the sphere bundle for this definition—and to state and prove the Gauss-Bonnet formula for compact regions with smooth boundary:

$$\int_{\partial G} \kappa dV_{n-1} + n \int_G K dV_n = c_{n-1}\chi(G, \partial G).$$

Here,  $c_{n-1} = 2 \pi^m / (m - 1)!$  is the volume of the unit  $(n - 1)$ -sphere and  $\chi$  is the Euler-characteristic. The constants  $n$  and  $c_{n-1}$  appear in the formula to simplify notation in the definition of  $K$  and  $\kappa$ .

Part 1 of this article defines  $K$  and  $\kappa$  in terms of the Riemannian connexion and curvature tensor. Part 2 proves the Gauss-Bonnet formula. To do so, we

---

Received December 8, 1972 and in revised form, March 14, 1973. This research was supported by NRC Grant A-3018.

use Chern’s idea to work with the unit sphere bundle. Furthermore we use details of Greub’s proof in [4].

**1. Gaussian and geodesic curvature and the Gauss-Bonnet formula.**

Throughout this paragraph,  $M$  denotes an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n = 2m$ , with 2-co- and 2-contravariant curvature tensor  $R$ , regarded as 2-form on  $M$  with values in  $\Lambda^2 TM$  ( $TM$  the tangent bundle of  $M$ ). To avoid unnecessary minus-signs, let us make the following sign-convention for  $R$ : If  $M$  is the  $n$ -sphere of radius  $r$  in Euclidean  $(n + 1)$ -space, its curvature tensor is given by

$$R(x; u_1, u_2) = + \frac{1}{r^2} u_1 \wedge u_2 \quad \text{for } x \in M, u_1, u_2 \in T_x M.$$

(This corresponds to the form  $-\Lambda$  in [4]!)  $R$  induces an  $n$ -form  $R^m$  on  $M$ , with values in the line bundle  $\Lambda^n TM$ : define for  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in T_x M$

$$R^m(x; u_1, \dots, u_n) := \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon_\sigma R(x; u_{\sigma_1}, u_{\sigma_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge R(x; u_{\sigma_{n-1}}, u_{\sigma_n}).$$

Here,  $S_n$  is the symmetric group of permutations of  $n$  objects, and  $\epsilon_\sigma$  is the sign of  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

The oriented Riemannian volume on  $M$  is a map  $dV_n = e^* : \Lambda^n TM \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , linear on each fibre. It is determined by the property  $\langle e^*, e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n \rangle = 1$  for any positively oriented orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $T_x M$ .

*Definition.* Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_x M$ , and denote by  $e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$  the dual basis. Then the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  at  $x$  is defined by

$$K(x) := \frac{1}{2^m m!} \langle e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*, R^m(e_1, \dots, e_n) \rangle.$$

(The choice of the constant factor is not the usual one; it is, however, useful in our context.)

Now fix an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $T_x M$ , and select  $2p$  pairwise different indices  $j_1, \dots, j_{2p} (1 \leq p \leq m)$ .  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}$  span a  $2p$ -dimensional subspace of  $T_x M$ , and for a sufficiently small neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in that subspace,  $\exp_x(U)$  is a  $2p$ -dimensional submanifold of an open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $M$ . We denote it by  $M_{j_1 \dots j_{2p}}$  or  $M_J$ , if  $J$  denotes the  $2p$ -tuple  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_{2p})$  and call it the submanifold spanned by  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}$ .  $M_J$  shall be endowed with the induced Riemannian metric. In particular, it has a well-defined Gaussian curvature at  $x$ .

LEMMA. *The Gaussian curvature of  $M_J$  at  $x$  is*

$$K_J(x) = \frac{1}{2^p p!} \langle e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{2p}}^*, R^p(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Denote the curvature tensor of  $M_J$  by  $\tilde{R}$ .  $\Lambda^{2p}T_xM_J$  may be regarded as a one-dimensional subspace of  $\Lambda^{2p}T_xM$ , and if  $p_*: \Lambda^{2p}T_xM \rightarrow \Lambda^{2p}T_xM_J$  denotes the map induced by the orthogonal projection  $T_xM \rightarrow T_xM_J$ , one checks that

$$\tilde{R}^p(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}) = p_*R^p(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}).$$

(To do so, one needs the fact that  $M_J$  is geodesic at  $x$ , i.e. it contains the geodesics passing through  $x$  in directions  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}$ .) Now the lemma follows, because  $(T_xM_J)^*$  can be regarded as a subspace of  $(T_xM)^*$ ,  $e_{j_1}^*, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}^*$  being the dual basis to  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{2p}}$ .

Before defining the geodesic curvature, we introduce some notational conventions: For  $p, r \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $p \leq r$ , denote by  $A \binom{r}{p}$  the set of ordered  $p$ -tuples  $(i_1, \dots, i_p)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq r$ , and for  $I \in A \binom{r}{p}$  let  $J(I)$  be the complementary  $(r - p)$ -tuple in

$$A \binom{r}{r-p}: J = (j_1, \dots, j_{r-p}), 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{r-p} \leq r, \\ \{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_{r-p}\} = \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

If  $p = r$ ,  $J(I)$  is not defined since  $A \binom{r}{r} = \emptyset!$  For  $I \in A \binom{r}{p}$  and real numbers  $\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_p}$  set  $\lambda_I := \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_p}$ .

Next consider an oriented hypersurface  $N$  of  $M$ .  $N$  has an upper unit normal field  $\nu$ . For  $x \in N$ , define  $L_x: T_xN \rightarrow T_xN$  by  $L_x(u) := D_u\nu$ , where  $D$  is the Levi-Civita connexion on  $M$ .  $L_x$  is the so-called Weingarten map, which is self-adjoint with respect to the induced metric on  $N$  (see [6]). Therefore there exists an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  of  $T_xN$ , consisting of eigenvectors of  $L_x$ . Denote the respective eigenvalues by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ .

*Definition.* With the foregoing notations, the geodesic curvature of  $N$  at  $x$  is defined by

$$\kappa_N(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k}^{-1} \sum_{I \in A \binom{n-1}{n-1-2k}} \lambda_I K_{J(I)}(x).$$

(Note that for  $k = 0$ ,  $I = (1, \dots, n - 1)$  and that  $J(I)$  is not defined. So the term for  $k = 0$  is simply  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1}!$ )

This definition reduces to the usual one in the case  $n = 2$ , where  $N$  is an oriented curve on a surface. More generally, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  can be interpreted as geodesic curvatures of certain curves on surfaces: Take a smooth curve  $\gamma$  in  $N$ , passing through  $x$  in direction  $e_i$ , and attach to its points the geodesics passing through it in direction  $\nu$ . This yields a surface  $M_{\nu,\gamma}$  whose tangent space at  $x$  is spanned by  $\nu(x)$  and  $e_i$ . Endow  $M_{\nu,\gamma}$  with the induced Riemannian metric and orient it by requiring  $(\nu(x), e_i)$  to represent the orientation at  $x$ . Then, if  $\gamma$  is oriented by its tangent vector  $e_i$  at  $x$ ,  $\lambda_i$  is the geodesic curvature of  $\gamma$  at  $x$ , regarded as curve on the surface  $M_{\nu,\gamma}$ . We leave the verification to the reader.

Now, let  $G$  be a compact domain in  $M$ , with smooth boundary  $\partial G$ , and denote by  $\nu$  the outwards pointing unit normal field on  $\partial G$ . (Recall that  $M$  is oriented!) It can be extended to a vector field  $\hat{\nu}$  on  $G$  with a single singularity. The index of this singularity does not depend on the particular extension, but only on  $\nu$ , and hence on  $G$ . We define the Euler-characteristic of  $(G, \partial G)$  by

$$\chi(G, \partial G) := \text{index}(\hat{\nu}).$$

In this definition,  $\nu$  can be replaced by any tangent field on  $\partial G$  without zeroes. Such tangent fields exist, because  $\partial G$  has odd dimension.

**THEOREM (Gauss-Bonnet formula).** *Let  $M$  be an oriented Riemannian manifold of even dimension  $n = 2m$ , and  $G$  a compact domain in  $M$  with smooth boundary  $\partial G$ , oriented by the outwards pointing normal field. Then*

$$\int_{\partial G} \kappa_{\partial G} dV_{n-1} + n \int_G K dV_n = c_{n-1} \chi(G, \partial G).$$

Here,  $dV_n$  and  $dV_{n-1}$  denote the oriented Riemannian volume on  $M$  and  $\partial G$ , respectively, and  $c_{n-1} = 2 \pi^m / (m - 1)!$  is the volume of the unit  $(n - 1)$ -sphere.

**2. The proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula.** Denote by  $(E, p, M)$  the unit sphere bundle over  $M$ , whose fibre at  $x$  is the unit sphere  $S_x$  in the tangent space  $T_x M$ .

For  $v \in E$ , the Levi-Civita connexion  $D$  on  $M$  defines a decomposition of the tangent space

$$T_v E = H_v E \oplus V_v E$$

into horizontal and vertical part. The horizontal part,  $H_v E$ , is isomorphic to  $T_{p(v)} M$ , the isomorphism being given by the derivative of  $p$  at  $v$ ,  $p_* : T_v E \rightarrow T_{p(v)} M$ . We therefore regard  $p_*$  as the projection of  $T_v E$  onto its horizontal part and write  $H := p_*$ . The vertical part can be identified with the subspace  $v^\perp$  of  $T_{p(v)} M$ , and we denote by  $V : T_v E \rightarrow T_{p(v)} M$  the corresponding projection map.

If  $v : U \rightarrow E$  is a differentiable section ( $U$  an open subset of  $M$ ), for its derivative  $v_* : TU \rightarrow TE$  and its covariant derivative  $Dv : TU \rightarrow TM$  the following relations hold:

$$(1) \quad V \circ v_* = Dv, \quad H \circ v_* = \text{id}.$$

On the fibre product  $TE \times_E TE$  we define the alternating bilinear bundle map (“Alternating bilinear” means alternating and  $\mathbf{R}$ -bilinear on each fibre.)

$$W := V \wedge V : TE \times_E TE \rightarrow \Lambda^2 TM$$

over  $p : E \rightarrow M$ , i.e.,  $W(w_1, w_2) = 2V(w_1) \wedge V(w_2) \in \Lambda^2 T_{p(v)} M$  for  $v \in E$ ,

$w_1, w_2 \in T_v E$ . In the same way,

$$R \circ H := R \circ (H \times_{\mathbb{R}} H) : TE \times_E TE \rightarrow \Lambda^2 TM$$

is an alternating bilinear bundle map over  $p : E \rightarrow M$ .

Following the main ideas of [4], we construct for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  the alternating  $(n - 1)$ -linear bundle map

$$\Phi_\lambda := V \wedge (\lambda W + R \circ H)^{m-1} : TE \times_E \dots \times_E TE \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} TM.$$

Here, the “exterior power” is defined as

$$(\lambda W + R \circ H)^{m-1} := \frac{1}{(m - 1)!} (\lambda W + R \circ H) \wedge \dots \wedge (\lambda W + R \circ H),$$

and the binomial formula holds:

$$(\lambda W + R \circ H)^{m-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda^k W^k \wedge (R \circ H)^{m-1-k}.$$

(See [4].)

For fixed  $v \in E$ ,  $\varphi_\lambda(v) := \langle e^*, v \wedge \Phi_\lambda \rangle$  is a well-defined alternating  $(n - 1)$ -form on  $T_v E$ , i.e.  $\varphi_\lambda$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -form on the manifold  $E$ , depending on the parameter  $\lambda$ . With the inclusion map  $J : E \rightarrow TM$ , we can write

$$\varphi_\lambda = \langle e^*, J \wedge \Phi_\lambda \rangle.$$

From (1) we obtain for any local differentiable section  $v$  in  $E$  the relation

$$(2) \quad v^*(\varphi_\lambda) = \langle e^*, v \wedge Dv \wedge (\lambda Dv \wedge Dv + R)^{m-1} \rangle.$$

Greub proved in [4] the formula

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & d \langle e^*, v \wedge Dv \wedge (\lambda Dv \wedge Dv + R)^{m-1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(2k + 1)!}{k!} \lambda^k \langle e^*, 2(k + 1)(Dv)^{2(k+1)} \wedge R^{m-1-k} \\ & \quad - (Dv)^{2k} \wedge R^{m-k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(Note our sign-convention for  $R$ !) Since (2) and (3) hold for any local differentiable section  $v$  in  $E$ , (3) determines  $d\varphi_\lambda$  uniquely:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} d\varphi_\lambda &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(2k + 1)!}{k!} \lambda^k \langle e^*, 2(k + 1)V^{2(k+1)} \wedge (R \circ H)^{m-1-k} \\ & \quad - V^{2k} \wedge (R \circ H)^{m-k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $\lambda^k$  in  $\varphi_\lambda$  and  $d\varphi_\lambda$  leads to the definition

$$(5) \quad \varphi_k := \langle e^*, J \wedge V^{2k+1} \wedge (R \circ H)^{m-1-k} \rangle, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m - 1,$$

and the relation

$$(6) \quad d\varphi_k = \langle e^*, 2(k + 1)V^{2(k+1)} \wedge (R \circ H)^{m-1-k} - V^{2k} \wedge (R \circ H)^{m-k} \rangle.$$

Now set

$$(7) \quad \varphi := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^k k! \binom{m-1}{k}} \varphi_{m-1-k}.$$

Let us integrate  $\varphi$  over a fibre in the bundle  $(E, p, M)$ : The integrals of the terms containing  $R \circ H$  vanish, because  $H(T_v(S_x)) = 0$  for  $x \in M, v \in S_x$ . So we obtain

$$(8) \quad \int_{S_x} \varphi = \int_{S_x} \varphi_{m-1} = \int_{S_x} \langle e^*, J \wedge V^{n-1} \rangle = \int_{S_x} dV_{n-1} = c_{n-1}.$$

From (5) and (6) we find that

$$d\varphi = \frac{-1}{2^{m-1}(m-1)!} \langle e^*, (R \circ H)^m \rangle = \frac{-1}{2^{m-1}(m-1)!} p^* \langle e^*, R^m \rangle,$$

and our definition of the Gaussian curvature turns this into

$$(9) \quad d\varphi = -np^*(KdV_n).$$

If  $N$  is any oriented hypersurface in  $M$  with upper normal field  $\nu$ , the  $(n - 1)$ -form  $\nu^*\varphi$  on  $N$  can be written as

$$(10) \quad \nu^*\varphi = \tilde{\kappa}_N dV_{n-1},$$

where  $\tilde{\kappa}_N$  is a well-defined smooth function on  $N$ .

Now it is easy to prove the theorem with  $\tilde{\kappa}_{\partial G}$  instead of  $\kappa_{\partial G}$ : Extend the outwards pointing normal field  $\nu$  on  $\partial G$  to a unit vector field  $\hat{\nu}: G - x_0 \rightarrow E$  with a singularity of index  $\chi := \chi(G, \partial G)$  at  $x_0 \in G$ .  $\hat{\nu}(G)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $E$  with boundary  $\partial\hat{\nu}(G) = \nu(\partial G) - \chi S_{x_0}$ . Hence, by Stokes' Theorem, and (8), (9), (10),

$$-n \int_G KdV_n = \int_{\hat{\nu}(G)} d\varphi = \int_{\nu(\partial G)} \varphi - \chi \int_{S_{x_0}} \varphi = \int_{\partial G} \tilde{\kappa}_{\partial G} dV_{n-1} - c_{n-1}\chi.$$

Our proof will be completed, if we can show  $\tilde{\kappa}_N = \kappa_N$ , for any oriented hypersurface  $N$  in  $M$  with upper normal field  $\nu$ . Fix a point  $x \in N$  and set  $e_0 := \nu(x)$ , and let  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  be any positively oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_x N$ . Then, by definition,

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\kappa}_N(x) &= \nu^*\varphi(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^k k! \binom{m-1}{k}} \nu^*\varphi_{m-1-k}(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To express  $\nu^*\varphi_{m-1-k}(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$ , let us write

$$e_{0, I}^* = e_{0, i_1 \dots i_r}^* := e_0^* \wedge e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r}^* \quad \text{for } I = (i_1, \dots, i_r),$$

