

THE SIMILARITY DEGREE OF SOME C^* -ALGEBRAS

DON HADWIN[✉] and WEIHUA LI

(Received 23 October 2012; accepted 8 December 2012; first published online 27 June 2013)

Abstract

We define the class of weakly approximately divisible unital C^* -algebras and show that this class is closed under direct sums, direct limits, any tensor product with any C^* -algebra, and quotients. A nuclear C^* -algebra is weakly approximately divisible if and only if it has no finite-dimensional representations. We also show that Pisier's similarity degree of a weakly approximately divisible C^* -algebra is at most five.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46L05; secondary 46A22, 46H25, 46M10, 47A20.

Keywords and phrases: Kadison similarity problem, similarity degree, tracially nuclear, C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction

One of the most famous and oldest open problems in the theory of C^* -algebras is Kadison's similarity problem [12], which asks whether every bounded unital homomorphism ρ from a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into the algebra $B(H)$ of operators on a Hilbert space H must be similar to a $*$ -homomorphism, that is, does there exist an invertible $S \in B(H)$ such that $\pi(A) = S\rho(A)S^{-1}$ defines a $*$ -homomorphism? One measure of the quality of a good problem is the number of interesting equivalent formulations. In this regard Kadison's problem gets high marks.

- (1) Inner derivation problem [4, 13]: if $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra and $\delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a derivation, does there exist a $T \in B(H)$ such that, for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\delta(A) = AT - TA?$$

- (2) Hyperreflexivity problem [4, 13]: if $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra, does there exist a K , $1 \leq K < \infty$, such that, for every $T \in B(H)$,

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq K \sup\{\|PT - TP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}', P = P^* = P^2\}?$$

- (3) Dixmier's invariant operator range problem [6] (Foiş [7], Pisier [21, Theorem 10.5], see also [10]): if $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra, $A \in B(H)$ and $T(A(H)) \subseteq A(H)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{M}$, then does there exist $D \in \mathcal{M}'$ such

that $A(H) = D(H)$? Paulsen [16] proved that an affirmative answer is equivalent to the assertion that the range of $A \oplus A \oplus \dots$ is invariant for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2)$.

In [8] Haagerup proved that Kadison's question has an affirmative answer whenever the representation ρ has a cyclic vector, a result that is independent of the structure of the algebra \mathcal{A} . Haagerup [8] also showed that a homomorphism ρ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if and only if ρ is completely bounded. (See also [3]; see the union of [9] and [26] for another proof; see [16, 17] for a lovely exposition of these ideas.) In [18] Pisier proved that, for a fixed C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , every bounded homomorphism of \mathcal{A} is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if and only if \mathcal{A} satisfies a certain factorisation property. It was shown in [10] that Kadison's similarity property is universally true if and only if there is a Pisier-like factorisation in terms of scalar matrices and noncommutative polynomials that is independent of the C^* -algebra. It was also shown in [10] that if $H = \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \oplus \dots$ and $D = 1 \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2^2} \oplus \dots$ and \mathcal{S} is the unital algebra of all operators $T \in B(H)$ with an operator matrix $T = (A_{ij})$ such that $\rho(T) = D^{-1}TD = (2^{j-i}A_{ij})$ is bounded, then Kadison's similarity problem has an affirmative answer if and only if, for every unital C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{S} , the homomorphism $\rho|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism.

Our main focus in this paper is another amazing result of Pisier [18] where he shows that, for a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , Kadison's similarity property holds for \mathcal{A} if and only if there is a positive number d for which there is a positive number K such that

$$\|\rho\|_{cb} \leq K\|\rho\|^d$$

for every bounded unital homomorphism ρ on \mathcal{A} . Pisier proved that the smallest such d is an integer which he calls the *similarity degree* $d(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} . Here are a few results on the similarity degree.

- (1) \mathcal{A} is nuclear if and only if $d(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ [2, 4, 22];
- (2) if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$ [20];
- (3) $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) \leq 3$ for any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} [8, 19];
- (4) if \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II_1 with property Γ , then $d(\mathcal{M}) = 3$ [5];
- (5) if \mathcal{A} is an approximately divisible C^* -algebra [1], then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$ [14, 15];
- (6) if \mathcal{A} is nuclear and contains unital matrix algebras of any order, then $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 5$ for any unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} [23];
- (7) if \mathcal{A} is nuclear and contains finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebras of arbitrarily large subrank (see the definition below), then $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 5$ for any unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} [14];
- (8) if \mathcal{A} is nuclear and contains homomorphic images of certain dimension-drop C^* -algebras $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ for all relatively prime integers p, q (for example, \mathcal{A} contains a copy of the Jiang–Su algebra), then $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 5$ for any unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} [11].

In this paper we define the class of weakly approximately divisible C^* -algebras and show that this class is closed under unital $*$ -homomorphisms, arbitrary tensor products

and direct limits. We also define the class of tracially nuclear C^* -algebras that properly contains the class of nuclear C^* -algebras, and we show that a tracially nuclear C^* -algebra is weakly approximately divisible if and only if it has no finite-dimensional representations. We prove that if \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$. We extend the results (6)–(8) above to the case when \mathcal{A} is tracially nuclear and has no finite-dimensional representations, and the tensor product is with respect to any C^* -crossnorm.

2. Weakly approximately divisible algebras

If τ is a tracial state on \mathcal{M} , we let $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ denote the seminorm on \mathcal{M} defined in the Gelfand–Naimark–Segal (GNS) construction by

$$\|a\|_\tau^2 = \tau(a^*a).$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a finite-dimensional unital C^* -subalgebra of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . First, we know that \mathcal{B} is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{k_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ and its *subrank*, $\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B})$, is defined to be $\min(k_1, \dots, k_m)$. Note that if $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism, then

$$\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \text{subrank}(\pi(\mathcal{B})).$$

If $P_1 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, P_2 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, \dots, P_m = 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 1$ are the minimal central projections of \mathcal{B} , then, for $1 \leq s \leq m$, we have $P_s \mathcal{A} P_s$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_s = \mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ for some algebra \mathcal{A}_s . The relative commutant of $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_s = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathcal{A}_s \right\},$$

and the relative commutant of \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{A} is $\mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_m$. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{A}$, and $P_s T P_s = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k_s}$. Let $D_s = \text{diag}(c, \dots, c)$ where $c = (1/k)k_s(a_{11s} + \cdots + a_{k_s k_s s})$. The map $E_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ sending T to $D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_m$ is called the conditional expectation from \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ and is a completely positive unital idempotent. For $1 \leq s \leq m$, let \mathcal{G}_s be the group of all matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})$ such that the only nonzero entry in each row and each column is 1 or -1 , and let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{G}_m \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$E_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \frac{1}{\text{Card } \mathcal{G}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{G}} UTU^*. \tag{*}$$

Moreover, if $S \in \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$E_{\mathcal{B}}(ST) = SE_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathcal{B}}(TS) = E_{\mathcal{B}}(T)S.$$

Furthermore, if τ is a tracial state on \mathcal{A} , then, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|E_{\mathcal{B}}(A)\|_\tau \leq \|A\|_\tau.$$

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra and $\{v_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a family satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} v_i^* v_i = 1$ (convergence is in the weak* topology). Then $\varphi(T) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^* T v_i$ defines a unital completely positive map from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{M} . Let us call such a map *internally spatial*, and call a unital completely positive map *internal* if it is a convex combination of internally spatial maps on \mathcal{M} .

REMARK 2.1. There are two key properties of internal maps.

- (1) They can be pushed forward through normal unital *-homomorphisms between von Neumann algebras. Suppose that \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are von Neumann algebras and $\rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a unital weak*-weak*-continuous unital *-homomorphism, and suppose that $\{v_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $\sum_{i \in I} v_i^* v_i = 1$ and $\varphi(T) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^* T v_i$. Then $\{\pi(v_i) : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and

$$1 = \pi(1) = \pi\left(\sum_{i \in I} v_i^* v_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \pi(v_i)^* \pi(v_i).$$

We define $\varphi^\pi(S) = \sum_{i \in I} \pi(v_i)^* S \pi(v_i)$, and we have, for every $a \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\varphi^\pi(\pi(a)) = \pi(\varphi(a)).$$

So if $b \in \pi(\mathcal{A})$ and $b = \pi(a)$, then $\varphi^\pi(b) = \pi(\varphi(a))$, which is independent of a . For a general φ this only makes sense when $\varphi(\ker \pi) \subseteq \ker \pi$. It follows that φ^π makes sense when φ is an internal map, and in this case, φ^π is an internal map on \mathcal{N} .

- (2) If $\varphi(T) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^* T v_i$ and T commutes with each v_i , then, for every S ,

$$\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)T.$$

Hence if ψ is a convex combination of spatially internal maps defined in terms of elements commuting with an operator T , we have $\psi(ST) = \psi(S)T$.

DEFINITION 2.2. We say that a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is *weakly approximately divisible* if and only if, for every finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} , there is a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ where each \mathcal{B}_λ is a finite-dimensional unital C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and φ_λ is an internal completely positive map such that:

- (1) $\lim_\lambda \text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) = \infty$;
- (2) $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$;
- (3) for every $a \in \mathcal{F}$, $\varphi_\lambda(a) \rightarrow a$ in the weak* topology on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$.

REMARK 2.3. Suppose that n is a positive integer and let \mathcal{V}_n be the set of n -tuples (a_1, \dots, a_n) of elements in \mathcal{A} such that the conditions in Definition 2.2 hold when $\mathcal{F} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Suppose that U_k is a weak* neighbourhood of a_k in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since addition on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is weak*-continuous, there is a weak* neighbourhood V_k of a_k and a weak* neighbourhood E of 0 such that

$$V_k + E \subseteq U_k$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose that (b_1, \dots, b_n) is in the norm closure of \mathcal{V}_n and that U_k is a weak* neighbourhood of b_k in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since addition on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is weak*-continuous, there is a weak* neighbourhood V_k of b_k and a weak* neighbourhood E of 0 such that

$$V_k + E \subseteq U_k$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $0 \in E$ and E is weak*-open, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} : \|x\| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq E$. Now choose $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{V}_n$ so that $a_k \in V_k$ and $\|a_k - b_k\| < \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Next suppose that m is a positive integer. It follows from the definition of \mathcal{V}_n that there is a finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and a completely positive unital map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that $\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}) \geq m$ and such that $\varphi(a_k) \in V_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. It follows that $\varphi(b_k) - \varphi(a_k) = \varphi(b_k - a_k) \in E$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, so

$$\varphi(b_k) \in V_k + E \subseteq U_k$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Thus \mathcal{V}_n is norm closed. It is also clear that \mathcal{V}_n is a linear space. Hence, to verify that \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, it is sufficient to show that the conditions of Definition 2.2 hold for all finite subsets \mathcal{F} of a set W whose norm closed linear span $\overline{\text{sp}}(W)$ is \mathcal{A} .

Recall [25] that a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is *nuclear* if, for every Hilbert space H and every unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, we have that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. We say that \mathcal{A} is *tracially nuclear* if, for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} with GNS representation π_τ , we have that $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. As a flip side of the notion of residually finite-dimensional (RFD) C^* -algebras, we say that a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is *NFD* if \mathcal{A} has no unital finite-dimensional representations.

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} are unital C^* -algebras. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{A} is approximately divisible, then \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a surjective unital $*$ -homomorphism, then \mathcal{D} is weakly approximately divisible.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, then \mathcal{A} has no finite-dimensional representations.*
- (4) *If \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ is weakly approximately divisible.*
- (5) *A finite direct sum $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n}^{\oplus} \mathcal{A}_k$ of unital C^* -algebras is weakly approximately divisible if and only if each summand \mathcal{A}_k is weakly approximately divisible.*
- (6) *If n is a positive integer, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is weakly approximately divisible if and only if \mathcal{A} is.*
- (7) *A direct limit of weakly approximately divisible C^* -algebras is weakly approximately divisible.*
- (8) *If \mathcal{A} is an NFD C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is the type II_1 direct summand of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the inclusion into $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ followed by the projection map, then \mathcal{A} is*

weakly approximately divisible if and only if, for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ there is a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ where \mathcal{B}_λ is a finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{M} , φ_λ is an internal map on \mathcal{M} and

$$\varphi_\lambda(\pi(a)) \rightarrow \gamma(a)$$

in the weak* topology for every $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

- (9) If \mathcal{A} is tracially nuclear, then \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible if and only if \mathcal{A} is NFD.
- (10) If \mathcal{A} is nuclear, then \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible if and only if \mathcal{A} is NFD.

PROOF. (1) This follows immediately from the definitions.

(2) If $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a surjective unital $*$ -homomorphism, then π extends to a weak*-weak*-continuous surjective unital $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\#\#}$. Given $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}$, choose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\pi(a_k) = d_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Choose a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ according to Definition 2.2 with $\mathcal{F} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. It follows that φ_λ^ρ is an internal completely positive map on $\mathcal{D}^{\#\#}$ and

$$\varphi_\lambda^\rho(\mathcal{D}) = \varphi_\lambda^\rho(\rho(\mathcal{A})) = \rho(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \rho(\mathcal{B}'_\lambda \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}) \subseteq \rho(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)' \cap \mathcal{D}^{\#\#}.$$

Further, for each d_k ,

$$w^* \text{-} \lim_\lambda \varphi_\lambda^\rho(d_k) = w^* \text{-} \lim_\lambda \rho(\varphi_\lambda(a_k)) = \rho(a_k) = d_k,$$

since ρ is weak*-weak*-continuous. Since $\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) \leq \text{subrank}(\rho(\mathcal{B}_\lambda))$, we conclude that \mathcal{D} is weakly approximately divisible.

(3) This follows from (2) and the obvious fact that no finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is weakly approximately divisible.

(4) Let $\rho : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#}$ be the natural inclusion map. We can assume $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#} \subseteq B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H so that, on bounded subsets of $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#}$, the weak* topology coincides with the weak-operator topology. If $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes 1 \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ is the inclusion map, then there is a weak*-weak*-continuous unital $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#}$ such that the restriction of σ to \mathcal{A} is ρ . Let $W = \{a \otimes b : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Clearly, $\overline{\text{sp}}W = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}$ (where the closure is with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$). Suppose that $a_1 \otimes b_1, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n \in W$. Since \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, we can choose a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ as in Definition 2.2. We know that $\{\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\}$ is a net of internal maps on $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#}$ and

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma(a_k \otimes 1) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma(\sigma(a_k)) = \sigma(\varphi_\lambda(a_k)) \rightarrow \sigma(a_k) = a_k \otimes 1$$

in the weak* topology for $1 \leq k \leq n$. On the other hand, each φ_λ is a convex combination of spatially internal maps defined by partial isometries in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, so each φ_λ^σ is a convex combination of spatially internal maps defined by partial isometries in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\#\#})$ which is contained in $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#} \cap (1 \otimes \mathcal{D})'$. Hence, for every $S \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D})^{\#\#}$ and every $d \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma(S(1 \otimes d)) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma(S)(1 \otimes d).$$

Hence, for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma(a_k \otimes d_k) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma((a_k \otimes 1)(1 \otimes d_k)) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma(a_k \otimes 1)(1 \otimes d_k).$$

But $\varphi_\lambda^\sigma(a_k \otimes 1) \rightarrow a_k \otimes 1$ in the weak* topology. Hence

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma(a_k \otimes d_k) \rightarrow a_k \otimes d_k$$

in the weak* topology on $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B})^{\#\#}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since, for every λ ,

$$\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) \leq \text{subrank}(\sigma(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)),$$

we see that $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}$ is weakly approximately divisible.

(5) This easily follows from the fact that $(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n}^\oplus \mathcal{A}_k)^{\#\#} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n}^\oplus \mathcal{A}_k^{\#\#}$.

(6) This is clear, since $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))^{\#\#}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

(7) Suppose that $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ is an increasingly directed family of C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} such that $W = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ is dense in \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq W$ is finite. Then there is an $i \in I$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$. If $\rho : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the inclusion map, there is a unital weak*-weak*-continuous unital *-homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A}_i^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ whose restriction to \mathcal{A}_i is ρ . The rest follows as in the proof of (2).

(8) If \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, then for a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ we can find a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ as in Definition 2.2 that works in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, and if we project all of this onto \mathcal{M} , we get the desired net. Now suppose that \mathcal{A} satisfies the condition in (8). We can write $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$, and since \mathcal{A} has no finite-dimensional representations, \mathcal{N} is the direct sum of a type I_∞ algebra, a II_∞ and a type III algebra. In particular, this means that there is an orthogonal sequence $\{P_n\}$ of pairwise Murray–von Neumann equivalent projections whose sum is 1. Suppose that N is a positive integer, and let $Q_k = \sum_{j=(k-1)N+1}^{kN} P_j$. Then $\{Q_n\}$ is an orthogonal sequence of pairwise equivalent projections whose sum is 1. We can construct a system of matrix units $\{E_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j < \infty}$ so that $E_{kk} = Q_k$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then every $T \in \mathcal{N}$ has an infinite operator matrix $T = (T_{ij})$. The map

$$\psi_N(T) = \text{diag}(T_{11}, T_{11}, \dots) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty E_{j1} T E_{j1}^*$$

is spatially internal and, for every T ,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^N P_k\right) \psi_N(T) \left(\sum_{k=1}^N P_k\right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N P_k\right) T \left(\sum_{k=1}^N P_k\right) \rightarrow T$$

in the weak* topology. Hence $\psi_N(T) \rightarrow T$ in the weak* topology. Moreover, $\mathcal{N} \cap \psi_N(\mathcal{N})'$ contains full matrix algebras of all orders. Next suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is finite. For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ we write $A = \gamma(A) \oplus T_A$ relative to $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. Given the net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ in \mathcal{M} based on our assumption on \mathcal{A} , we let $N_\lambda = \text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)$ and choose a full $N_\lambda \times N_\lambda$ matrix algebra \mathcal{C}_λ in $\mathcal{N} \cap \psi_N(\mathcal{N})'$. Then $\tau_\lambda(S \oplus T) = \varphi_\lambda(S) \oplus \psi_{N_\lambda}(T)$ is an internal map on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ whose range is in $(\mathcal{B}_\lambda \oplus \mathcal{C}_\lambda)' \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that

$$\tau_\lambda(A) \rightarrow A$$

in the weak* topology for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible.

(9) Let \mathcal{M} and γ be as in (8). Let Λ be the set of all triples $\lambda = (\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{T}_\lambda, k_\lambda)$ where $\mathcal{F}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is finite, \mathcal{T}_λ is a finite set of normal tracial states on \mathcal{M} , and $k_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. With the ordering $(\subseteq, \subseteq, \leq)$ we see that Λ is a directed set. If τ is a tracial state on \mathcal{M} , we let $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ denote the seminorm on \mathcal{M} defined by

$$\|A\|_\tau = \tau(A^*A)^{1/2}.$$

Suppose that $\lambda \in \Lambda$. There is a central projection $P \in \mathcal{M}$ so that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_a \oplus \mathcal{M}_s$ ($\mathcal{M}_a = P\mathcal{M}$) and so that $\gamma = \gamma_a \oplus \gamma_s$ and such that $\gamma_a \ll \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda} \pi_\tau$ and γ_s is disjoint from $\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda} \pi_\tau$. Also, by assumption, $(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda} \pi_\tau)(\mathcal{A})'' = \mathcal{M}_a$ is hyperfinite. Hence, there is a finite-dimensional unital subalgebra \mathcal{D}_λ of \mathcal{M}_a and a contractive map $\eta : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ such that

$$\max_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda, A \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda} \|P\gamma(A) - \eta(A)\|_\tau < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Note that $\|T\|_\tau = \|PT\|_\tau$ for every $T \in \mathcal{M}$ and every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$. The relative commutant $\mathcal{D}'_\lambda \cap \mathcal{M}_a$ is also a II_1 von Neumann algebra, so there are k_λ mutually orthogonal unitarily equivalent projections in $\mathcal{D}'_\lambda \cap \mathcal{M}_a$ whose sum is 1. Hence $\mathcal{D}'_\lambda \cap \mathcal{M}_a$ contains a unital subalgebra \mathcal{E}_λ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{k_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, \mathcal{M}_s (if it is not 0) is a II_1 von Neumann algebra and contains an isomorphic copy \mathcal{G}_λ of $\mathcal{M}_{k_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \mathcal{E}_\lambda \oplus \mathcal{G}_\lambda$ is finite-dimensional and $\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) = k_\lambda$. Define $\varphi_\lambda = E_{\mathcal{B}_\lambda}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - \varphi_\lambda(A)\|_\tau &= \|PA - P\varphi_\lambda(A)\|_\tau \leq \|PA - \eta(A)\|_\tau + \|\eta(A) - E_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}(PA)\|_\tau \\ &= \|PA - \eta(A)\|_\tau + \|E_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}(\eta(A)) - E_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}(PA)\|_\tau \\ &\leq 2\|PA - \eta(A)\|_\tau \leq \frac{2}{k_\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_\lambda \text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) = \infty,$$

and, since there are sufficiently many tracial states on \mathcal{M} [24], we have, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi_\lambda(a) \rightarrow A$$

in the ultrastrong topology on \mathcal{M} . By assumption \mathcal{A} has no finite-dimensional representations, so it follows from (8) that \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible.

(10) This follows immediately from (9) since the nuclearity of \mathcal{A} is equivalent to the hyperfiniteness of $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ for every representation π of \mathcal{A} . □

3. Similarity degree

THEOREM 3.1. *If \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, then the similarity degree of \mathcal{A} is at most five.*

PROOF. Suppose that H is a Hilbert space and $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a bounded unital homomorphism. Then ρ extends uniquely to a normal homomorphism $\bar{\rho} : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow B(H)$. Suppose that $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$. Since \mathcal{A} is weakly approximately divisible, we can choose a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ as in Definition 2.2 corresponding to $\mathcal{F} = \{a_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$. We know that

$$\bar{\rho}_n(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij})) = (\bar{\rho}(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))) \rightarrow (\bar{\rho}(a_{ij})) = \rho_n(A),$$

where the convergence is in the weak* topology. Moreover, since φ_λ is completely contractive,

$$\|(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))\| \leq \|A\|,$$

so

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))\| = \|A\|,$$

and

$$\|\rho_n(A)\| \leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\bar{\rho}_n(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))\|.$$

However, $\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{B}'_\lambda$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $\lim_{\lambda} \text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) = \infty$. So the remainder of the proof follows from [14, Lemma 3.1]. \square

In [23] Pop proved that if \mathcal{A} is a nuclear C^* -algebra containing copies of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for arbitrarily large values of n , then the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is at most five for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} . In [14] the second author showed that this result remains true if \mathcal{A} is nuclear and contains finite-dimensional algebras with arbitrarily large subrank. It was shown by [11] that if \mathcal{A} is nuclear and contains homomorphic images of certain dimension-drop C^* -algebras $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ for all relatively prime integers p, q (for example, \mathcal{A} contains a copy of the Jiang–Su algebra), then, for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is at most five. The following corollary includes all of these results.

COROLLARY 3.2. *If \mathcal{A} is a unital tracially nuclear NFD C^* -algebra, then, for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is at most five.*

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian and M. Rørdam, ‘Approximately central matrix units and the structure of noncommutative tori’, *K-Theory* **6** (1992), 267–284.
- [2] J. W. Bunce, ‘The similarity problem for representations of C^* -algebras’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), 409–414.
- [3] E. Christensen, ‘On nonselfadjoint representations of C^* -algebras’, *Amer. J. Math.* **103** (1981), 817–833.
- [4] E. Christensen, ‘Extensions of derivations II’, *Math. Scand.* **50** (1982), 111–122.
- [5] E. Christensen, ‘Finite von Neumann algebra factors with property Γ ’, *J. Funct. Anal.* **186** (2001), 366–380.
- [6] J. Dixmier, ‘Étude sur les variétés et les opérateurs de Julia, avec quelques applications’, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **77** (1949), 11–101.
- [7] C. Foiaş, ‘Invariant para-closed subspaces’, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21** (1971/72), 887–906.

- [8] U. Haagerup, 'Solution of the similarity problem for cyclic representations of C^* -algebras', *Ann. Math.* **118** (1983), 215–240.
- [9] D. Hadwin, 'Dilations and Hahn decompositions for linear maps', *Canad. J. Math.* **33** (1981), 826–839.
- [10] D. Hadwin and V. Paulsen, 'Two reformulations of Kadison's similarity problem', *J. Operator Theory* **55** (2006), 3–16.
- [11] M. Johanesová and W. Winter, 'The similarity problem for \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **44**(6) (2012), 1215–1220.
- [12] R. Kadison, 'On the orthogonalization of operator representations', *Amer. J. Math.* **77** (1955), 600–622.
- [13] E. Kirchberg, 'The derivation problem and the similarity problem are equivalent', *J. Operator Theory* **36**(1) (1996), 59–62.
- [14] W. Li, 'The similarity degree of approximately divisible C^* -algebras', Preprint, 2012, *Oper. Matrices*, to appear.
- [15] W. Li and J. Shen, 'A note on approximately divisible C^* -algebras', Preprint arXiv 0804.0465.
- [16] V. I. Paulsen, 'Completely bounded maps on C^* -algebras and invariant operator ranges', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86** (1982), 91–96.
- [17] V. I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 146 (Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1986).
- [18] G. Pisier, 'The similarity degree of an operator algebra', *Algebra i Analiz* **10** (1998), 132–186; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **10** (1999), 103–146.
- [19] G. Pisier, 'Remarks on the similarity degree of an operator algebra', *Internat. J. Math.* **12** (2001), 403–414.
- [20] G. Pisier, 'Similarity problems and length', *Taiwanese J. Math.* **5** (2001), 1–17.
- [21] G. Pisier, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, second, expanded edition. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618 (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- [22] G. Pisier, 'A similarity degree characterization of nuclear C^* -algebras', *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **42**(3) (2006), 691–704.
- [23] F. Pop, 'The similarity problem for tensor products of certain C^* -algebras', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **70** (2004), 385–389.
- [24] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras. I* (Springer, New York, 1979).
- [25] M. Takesaki, 'Nuclear C^* -algebras', in: *Theory of Operator Algebras. III*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 127 (Springer, Berlin, 2003), 153–204.
- [26] G. Wittstock, 'Ein operatorwertiger Hahn-Banach Satz', *J. Funct. Anal.* **40** (1981), 127–150.

DON HADWIN, Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire,
Durham, NH 03824, USA
e-mail: don@unh.edu

WEIHUA LI, Science and Mathematics Department, Columbia College Chicago,
Chicago, IL 60605, USA
e-mail: wli@colum.edu