

Corrigendum

Comparison of central-line-associated bloodstream infections between central venous catheters lined by combined chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine versus silver ionotrophes alone: A before–after–before retrospective study — CORRIGENDUM

Youssef Z. Abouleish MD¹, Edward C. Oldfield IV MD² and Paul E. Marik MD, FCCP, FCCM³

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, Virginia, ²Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia and ³Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, Virginia

In the above mentioned article, Table 1 contains an error regarding the second period for CHSS. The correct Table 1 appears below.

Table 1. Results

Period	CVC Type	No. of CLABSIs	Central-Line Days	CLABSI Rate
7/1/2016–4/30/2017	CHSS	4	9,237	0.43 ^a
7/1/2017–4/30/2018	SI	10	9,629	1.03 ^a
7/1/2018–4/30/2019	CHSS	1	11,534	0.08 ^a
	Combined CHSS	5	20,771	0.24 ^{a,b}

Note. CHSS, chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine lined catheters; SI, silver ionotrophe-lined catheters.

^aPer 1,000 central line.

^bThe incidence rate ratio of the CHSS versus SI catheters was 0.2318 (95% CI, 0.06216–0.7443; *P* = .004).

Reference

1. Abouleish YZ, Oldfield EC, Marik PE. Comparison of central-line-associated bloodstream infections between central venous catheters lined by combined chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine versus silver ionotrophes alone: A before–after–before retrospective study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2020 September 11 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1017/ice.2020.423](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.423)