

ON THE BEHAVIOUR OF THE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION OF A PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF THE ORIGIN

E. J. G. PITMAN¹

(Received 20 April 1967)

1. Introduction

Let X be a real valued random variable with probability measure P and distribution function F . It will be convenient to take F as the *intermediate* distribution function defined by

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2}[P\{X < x\} + P\{X \leq x\}].$$

In mathematical analysis it is a little more convenient to use this function rather than

$$F_1(x) = P\{X < x\} \text{ or } F_2(x) = P\{X \leq x\},$$

which arise more naturally in probability theory. In all cases we shall consider

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) &\sim F_2(x) \sim F(x), & x \rightarrow -\infty, \\ 1 - F_1(x) &\sim 1 - F_2(x) \sim 1 - F(x), & x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

With this definition, if the distribution function of X is $F(x)$, then the distribution function of $-X$ is $1 - F(-x)$. The distribution of X is symmetrical about 0 if $F(x) = 1 - F(-x)$.

The characteristic function of X , or of F , is ϕ , defined for all real t by

$$\phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x).$$

This paper deals with the relation between the value of $F(x)$ for large x and the value of $\phi(t)$ for small t . We are interested in the behaviour of $\phi(t)$ in the neighbourhood of $t = 0$ because upon this depend all limit theorems on sums of random variables. Most of the theorems proved here were stated without proofs in [1].

For $x \geq 0$, put

¹ This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract No. Nonr 4010(09).

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= 1 - F(x) + F(-x), & \text{the tail sum,} \\ K(x) &= 1 - F(x) - F(-x), & \text{the tail difference.} \end{aligned}$$

If the distribution is symmetrical about 0, then $K(x)$ is identically zero. If X is a non-negative random variable, $F(x) = 0$ when $x < 0$, and $K(x) = H(x)$ for $x > 0$.

We may write

$$\phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{itx} dF(x) + \int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} d[F(x) - 1].$$

Integrating by parts, and putting

$$\phi(t) = U(t) + iV(t),$$

where

$$U(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos tx dF(x), \quad V(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin tx dF(x),$$

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-U(t)}{t} &= \int_0^{\infty} H(x) \sin tx dx, \\ \frac{V(t)}{t} &= \int_0^{\infty} K(x) \cos tx dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have the inversion formulae,

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1-U(t)}{t} \sin xt dt, \\ K(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{V(t)}{t} \cos xt dt. \end{aligned}$$

$U(t)$ depends only on H , and $V(t)$ depends only on K , and H and K are not closely connected. The only connections between H and K are the relations, $H(x) \geq |K(x)|$, and $H(x) \pm K(x)$ both non-increasing functions of x . In investigating the behaviour of $\phi(t)$ in the neighbourhood of $t = 0$, it is therefore advisable to consider $U(t)$ and $V(t)$ separately.

Consider $H(x)$ and $U(t)$. The sort of result we are interested in is

$$(1) \quad 1 - U(t) \sim cH(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0,$$

where c is a constant depending on the distribution. If the distribution has finite second moment μ_2 , then

$$U(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 t^2 + o(t^2), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$1 - U(t) \sim \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 t^2, \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

In order to get a result of the type of (1) we must have a distribution of infinite variance.

2. Functions of regular growth

It is shown in [1] that we can expect a result of type (1) only when the tail sum $H(x)$ has the property that for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{H(\lambda x)}{H(x)} \rightarrow \lambda^k \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall express this property of H by saying that $H(x)$ is of index k as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Functions with this property were studied by Karamata [2], [3], who showed that if $G(x)$ is integrable over any finite interval with lower endpoint b , a necessary and sufficient condition for $G(x)$ to be of index $k, > -1$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is

$$(2) \quad \frac{\int_b^x G(u) du}{xG(x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{k+1} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

He also showed, what can easily be deduced from (2), that if $G(x)$ is of index $k, \neq 0$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(3) \quad G(x) \sim G_1(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $G_1(x)$ is a monotonic function of x , clearly non-decreasing if k is positive, and non-increasing if k is negative.

A function $L(x)$ of index 0 is sometimes called a function of slow growth. It has the property that $L(\lambda x)/L(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\lambda > 0$. The functions $\log x, \log \log x$ are of index 0, and so is any function with a finite non-zero limit as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, if $G(x)$ is of index k , then $G(x)/x^k$ is of index 0, and so

$$G(x) = x^k L(x),$$

where $L(x)$ is of index 0.

We say that a function $G(x)$ is of index k as $x \downarrow 0$ if for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{G(\lambda x)}{G(x)} \rightarrow \lambda^k \quad \text{as } x \downarrow 0.$$

LEMMA 1. *Let $G(w)$ be positive for $w > b$, bounded in any finite positive interval, and of index k when $w \rightarrow \infty$. Let c be greater than 0. If $k > 0$, then B exists such that*

$$\frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} \quad \text{is bounded for } w \geq B, 0 < \lambda \leq c.$$

If $k < 0$, then B exists such that

$$\frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} \text{ is bounded for } w \geq B, \lambda \geq c.$$

PROOF. If $k > 0$, then as stated in (3), $G(w) \sim G_1(w)$, $w \rightarrow \infty$, where $G_1(w)$ is a non-decreasing function of w . We may choose $G_1(w)$ so that it is bounded in any finite positive interval, and so that $G_1(w) > 1$.

If B is sufficiently great, when $w \geq B$,

$$\frac{1}{2}G_1(w) < G(w) < 2G_1(w).$$

When $\lambda w \geq B$, $w \geq B$, $\lambda \leq c$,

$$(4) \quad \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} < \frac{2G_1(\lambda w)}{\frac{1}{2}G_1(w)} \leq \frac{4G_1(cw)}{G_1(w)}.$$

The last fraction is bounded for w in any finite positive interval, and $\rightarrow 4c^k$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$, and so is bounded.

Let $M = \sup \{G(w); 0 \leq w \leq B\}$. When $\lambda w < B$, $w \geq B$, $\lambda \leq c$,

$$\frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} < \frac{M}{\frac{1}{2}G_1(w)} \leq \frac{2M}{G_1(B)}.$$

If $k < 0$, $G(w) \sim G_1(w)$, $w \rightarrow \infty$, where $G_1(w)$ is non-increasing and bounded in any finite positive interval. If $\lambda \geq c$, when w is great, the relations (4) hold, and as before the last fraction is bounded.

LEMMA 2. Let $G(w)$ be positive and bounded for $w > b$, and let h and c be greater than 0. If $G(w)$ is of index $-m$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$, where $m \geq 0$, then A, B exist such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} &< \frac{A}{\lambda^{m+h}} && \text{for } w \geq B, 0 < \lambda \leq c, \\ \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} &< \frac{A}{\lambda^{m-h}} && \text{for } w \geq B, \lambda \geq c. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. $w^{m+h}G(w)$ is of index h , and so by Lemma 1, A, B exist such that

$$\frac{(\lambda w)^{m+h}G(\lambda w)}{w^{m+h}G(w)} < A \quad \text{for } w \geq B, 0 < \lambda \leq c,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} < \frac{A}{\lambda^{m+h}} \quad \text{for } w \geq B, 0 < \lambda \leq c.$$

The other result is obtained similarly.

LEMMA 3. If $G(w)$ is monotonic for $w > a$, and $\int_a^w u^r G(u)du$ is of index k , $w \rightarrow \infty$, where $k > 0$, then $w^r G(w)$ is of index $k - 1$.

PROOF. If $b > 0, c > 0, b \neq c$,

$$\int_{bw}^{cw} u^r G(u)du$$

is of index $k, w \rightarrow \infty$. Take $\mu > 1, \lambda > 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $G(w)$ is positive when $w > a$. We shall give the proof for $G(w)$ non-increasing and $r \geq 0$. The proofs for the other cases are similar.

When $\lambda w > a, w > \mu a$,

$$(5) \quad \frac{\int_{\lambda w}^{\lambda \mu w} u^r G(u)du}{\int_{w/\mu}^w u^r G(u)du} \leq \frac{\lambda \mu (\lambda \mu w)^r G(\lambda w)}{(w/\mu)^r G(w)} = \frac{\lambda^{r+1} \mu^{2r+1} G(\lambda w)}{G(w)}.$$

When $w \rightarrow \infty$, the first expression in (5) $\rightarrow (\lambda \mu)^k$. Hence

$$\liminf_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} \geq \frac{(\lambda \mu)^k}{\lambda^{r+1} \mu^{2r+1}} = \lambda^{k-r-1} \mu^{k-2r-1}.$$

Making $\mu \downarrow 1$, we obtain

$$\liminf_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} \geq \lambda^{k-r-1}.$$

By replacing μ in the above argument by $1/\mu$, we obtain similarly

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} \leq \lambda^{k-r-1}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(\lambda w)}{G(w)} = \lambda^{k-r-1}.$$

Thus G is of index $k - r - 1$ at ∞ , and so $w^r G(w)$ is of index $k - 1$.

3. Relations between H and U

It is easy to show that if the distribution has infinite second moment, and if $H(x)$ is of index k as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then $-2 \leq k \leq 0$.

Write

$$S(m) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\pi}{\Gamma(m) \sin \frac{1}{2}m\pi}, \quad m > 0,$$

$$= 1, \quad m = 0,$$

$$C(m) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\pi}{\Gamma(m) \cos \frac{1}{2}m\pi}, \quad m > 0.$$

$S(m)$ is finite for m not an even positive integer, and, for $0 < m < 2$,²

$$S(m) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x^m} dx.$$

If $2n < m < 2n + 2$, where n is a positive integer,

$$S(m) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \sin x - \sum_1^n (-1)^{r-1} \frac{x^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} \right\} x^{-m} dx.$$

$C(m)$ is finite for m not an odd positive integer, and for $0 < m < 1$,

$$C(m) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{x^m} dx.$$

If $2n - 1 < m < 2n + 1$, where n is a positive integer,

$$C(m) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \cos x - \sum_0^{n-1} (-1)^r \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!} \right\} x^{-m} dx.$$

THEOREM 1. *If $H(x)$ is of index $-m$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$, and $0 < m < 2$, then*

$$1 - U(t) \sim S(m)H(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-U(t)}{t} &= \int_0^\infty H(x) \sin tx \, dx && (t > 0), \\ (6) \quad \frac{1-U(t)}{H(1/t)} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx && (t > 0). \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < x \leq p$, it follows from Lemma 2, that if $h > 0$, when t is sufficiently small,

$$\frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} |\sin x| \leq \frac{A|\sin x|}{x^{m+h}},$$

where A is a finite constant. We can choose h so that $m+h < 2$. The last function is then integrable over the finite interval $(0, p)$. When $t \downarrow 0$, $H(x/t)/H(1/t) \rightarrow x^{-m}$ for $x > 0$. Therefore

$$\int_0^p \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx \rightarrow \int_0^p \frac{\sin x}{x^m} dx.$$

By the Second Mean Value Theorem

$$\int_p^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx = \frac{H(p/t)}{H(1/t)} \int_p^q \sin x \, dx,$$

² See [4] page 260, Ex. 12 for this and for the first result below for $C(m)$. The results for higher values of m are derived from those for lower values by integration by parts.

which has a modulus $\leq 2H(p/t)/H(1/t)$. This $\rightarrow 2/p^m$ when $t \downarrow 0$, and so can be made arbitrarily small by making p sufficiently great and t sufficiently small. It follows that when $t \downarrow 0$, the integral in (6) tends to

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x^m} dx.$$

This proves Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If $H(x)$ is of index 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and*

$$(7) \quad H(x+h) \leq \frac{1}{2}[H(x)+H(x+2h)]$$

when x and h are sufficiently great, then

$$1-U(t) \sim H(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

This is the extension of Theorem 1 to the case $m = 0$. It appears from the counter-example in Section 6 at the end of this paper that some additional condition such as (7) is required for $m = 0$. The condition will be satisfied if $H(x)$ is convex when x is sufficiently great.

PROOF. Suppose that (7) is true when $x, h \geq B$. Let C be a real number such that $H(C) \leq \frac{1}{2}H(B)$. (7) is true when $x \geq B, h \geq C$. When $x < B, h \geq C$,

$$2H(x+h) \leq 2H(C) \leq H(B) \leq H(x)+H(x+2h).$$

Thus (7) is true for all $x \geq 0$ and all $h \geq C$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-U(t)}{H(1/t)} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{H(x/t) \sin x}{H(1/t)} dx = \sum_0^\infty \int_{n\pi}^{(n+1)\pi} \\ &= \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin x}{H(1/t)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n H\left\{\frac{x+n\pi}{t}\right\} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$U = u_1 - u_2 + u_3 - \dots$$

where

$$u_n - u_{n+1} \geq u_{n+1} - u_{n+2} \geq 0, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$U \geq u_2 - u_3 + u_4 - \dots = u_1 - U.$$

Therefore

$$U \geq \frac{1}{2}u_1.$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} U &= u_1 - (u_2 - u_3 + u_4 - \dots) \\ &\leq u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\frac{1}{2}u_1 \leq U \leq u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2$.

We have shown that when h is sufficiently great

$$2H(x+h) \leq H(x) + H(x+2h),$$

i.e. $H(x) - H(x+h) \geq H(x+h) - H(x+2h)$.

Therefore, when t is sufficiently small,

$$H\left\{\frac{x+n\pi}{t}\right\} - H\left\{\frac{x+(n+1)\pi}{t}\right\} \geq H\left\{\frac{x+(n+1)\pi}{t}\right\} - H\left\{\frac{x+(n+2)\pi}{t}\right\}.$$

Hence when t is sufficiently small,

$$\frac{1}{2}H(x/t) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n H\left\{\frac{x+n\pi}{t}\right\} \leq H(x/t) - \frac{1}{2}H\left\{\frac{x+\pi}{t}\right\},$$

and therefore

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{2}I_1 \leq \frac{1-U(t)}{H(1/t)} \leq I_1 - \frac{1}{2}I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^\pi \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx, \quad I_2 = \int_0^\pi \frac{H\{(x+\pi)/t\}}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx.$$

Both integrands $\rightarrow \sin x$ when $t \downarrow 0$. The second integrand is dominated by $\sin x$. From Lemma 2 we see that the first integrand is dominated by $A \sin x/x^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence I_1, I_2 both tend to

$$\int_0^\pi \sin x \, dx, = 2.$$

Theorem 2 then follows from (8).

THEOREM 3.³ *If $H(x)$ is of index -2 as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$1-U(t) \sim t^2 \int_0^{1/t} xH(x) \, dx, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

PROOF.

$$\frac{1-U(t)}{H(1/t)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx.$$

Here H is of index -2 , and so for $x > 0$,

$$\frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{x^2} \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

Also, if $c > 0$, and t positive and sufficiently small, we see from Lemma 2 with $h = \frac{1}{2}$, that

³ A stronger result than this can be obtained by putting $n = 0$ in Theorem 6 (iii).

$$\frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \leq \frac{A}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{when } x \geq c.$$

Therefore

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx \rightarrow \int_c^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x^2} \, dx \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

The last integral $\rightarrow \infty$ when $c \downarrow 0$, and therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx \sim \int_0^c \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx, \quad t \downarrow 0,$$

and so

$$\int_0^\infty H(x/t) \sin x \, dx \sim \int_0^c H(x/t) \sin x \, dx, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

Since c may be arbitrarily small, this must be

$$\sim \int_0^c H(x/t)x \, dx \sim \int_0^1 H(x/t)x \, dx, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$1 - U(t) = \int_0^\infty H(x/t) \sin x \, dx \sim \int_0^1 H(x/t)x \, dx \sim t^2 \int_0^{1/t} H(x)x \, dx, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

THEOREM 4. Let $U_1(t)$ be the real part at t of the characteristic function corresponding to the tail function H_1 . If H satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, 2 or 3 then

$$H_1(x) = O\{H(x)\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow 1 - U_1(t) = O\{1 - U(t)\}, \quad t \downarrow 0,$$

$$H_1(x) = o\{H(x)\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow 1 - U_1(t) = o\{1 - U(t)\}, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Consider the relation $H_1(x) = O\{H(x)\}$, and suppose $H_1(x) \leq kH(x)$.

$$1 - U_1(t) = \int_0^\infty H_1(x/t) \sin x \, dx \leq \int_0^\pi H_1(x/t) \sin x \, dx,$$

because $H_1(x)$ is a non-increasing function of x . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - U_1(t) &\leq k \int_0^\pi H(x/t) \sin x \, dx = kH(1/t) \int_0^\pi \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} \sin x \, dx \\ &\sim kH(1/t) \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin x}{x^m} \, dx \sim c(1 - U(t)), \end{aligned} \quad t \downarrow 0,$$

if $m < 2$, where c is a constant. When $m = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - U_1(t) &\leq k \int_0^\pi H(x/t) \sin x dx = kt \int_0^{\pi/t} H(x) \sin tx dx \\
 &\leq kt^2 \int_0^{\pi/t} H(x)x dx \sim kt^2 \int_0^{1/t} H(x)x dx \sim k\{1 - U(t)\}, \quad t \downarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of the theorem, and the second part is proved similarly.

THEOREM 5. *If $1 - U(t)$ is of index m as $t \downarrow 0$ and $0 \leq m < 2$, then*

$$H(x) \sim \frac{1 - U(1/x)}{S(m)}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

and if $m = 2$, then

$$(9) \quad \int_0^x uH(u)du \sim x^2\{1 - U(1/x)\} \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. For $x \geq 0$ define

$$H_1(x) = \int_0^x uH(u)du, \quad H_2(x) = \int_0^x H_1(u)du.$$

$$H(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - U(t)}{t} \sin xt dt,$$

$$H_1(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^x \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - U(t)}{t} u \sin ut dt du.$$

The integrand is bounded, and

$$\int_0^T \frac{1 - U(t)}{t} u \sin ut dt$$

is bounded in $0 \leq u \leq x$, $T \geq 0$. See (a) below. We may therefore reverse the order of integration, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x \frac{1 - U(t)}{t} u \sin ut du dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \{1 - U(t)\} \frac{\sin xt - xt \cos xt}{t^3} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now have an absolutely convergent integral.

$$H_2(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^x \int_0^\infty \{1 - U(t)\} \frac{\sin ut - ut \cos ut}{t^3} dt du.$$

By Fubini's theorem we may reverse the order of integration, and so

$$H_2(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \{1 - U(t)\} \frac{2(1 - \cos xt) - xt \sin xt}{t^4} dt.$$

Hence

$$\frac{H_2(x)}{x^3\{1-U(1/x)\}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1-U(t/x)}{1-U(1/x)} \frac{2(1-\cos t)-t \sin t}{t^4} dt.$$

$1-U(1/x)$ is of index $-m$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by Lemma 2, with

$$w = x, G(x) = 1-U(1/x), \lambda = 1/t, c = 1$$

when x is sufficiently great,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-U(t/x)}{1-U(1/x)} &< At^{m+h} && \text{when } t \geq 1, \text{ and} \\ &< At^{m-h} && \text{when } t < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $h > 0$. If $0 \leq m < 2$, we can choose h so that $h < 1, m+h < 2$. The integrand will then be dominated by

$$A(t^{m+h}+t^{m-h}) \frac{2(1-\cos t)-t \sin t}{t^4},$$

which is integrable over $(0, \infty)$. When $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1-U(t/x)}{1-U(1/x)} \rightarrow t^m.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{H_2(x)}{x^3\{1-U(1/x)\}} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{2(1-\cos t)-t \sin t}{t^{4-m}} dt, = g(m).$$

Thus

$$(10) \quad H_2(x) \sim g(m)x^3\{1-U(1/x)\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

It can be shown that

$$(11) \quad g(m) = \frac{1}{(3-m)(2-m)S(m)};$$

but we do not need this evaluation to prove the theorem.

The relation (10) shows that $H_2(x)$ is of index $3-m$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by Lemma 3, $H_1(x)$ is of index $2-m$, and $xH(x)$ is of index $1-m$. Therefore $H(x)$ is of index $-m$, and the stated result will follow from Theorem 1 if $0 < m < 2$. We cannot argue in this way when $m = 0$ because of the additional convexity condition in Theorem 2. We may get over the difficulty by using the result obtained by Karamata in [2], that if $G(x)$ is integrable over any finite positive interval, and is of index $n, x \rightarrow \infty$, where $n > -1$, then

$$(12) \quad \int_0^x G(u)du \sim \frac{xG(x)}{n+1}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

When $m = 0$, $H(x)$ is of index 0. Therefore $xH(x)$ is of index 1, and

$$H_1(x) \sim x^2 H(x)/2,$$

$$H_2(x) \sim xH_1(x)/3 \sim x^3 H(x)/6,$$

and therefore it follows from (10) that

$$H(x) \sim 6g(0)\{1-U(1/x)\} = 1-U(1/x).$$

That $6g(0) = 1$ follows from Theorem 2 or from (11).

When $m = 2$, we consider H_3 , defined by

$$H_3(x) = \int_0^x H_2(u)du.$$

We can show that

$$H_3(x) \sim kx^4\{1-U(1/x)\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where k is a constant. Thus H_3 is of index 2 at ∞ . Hence, by Lemma 3, H_2 is of index 1, and H_1 of index 0 at ∞ . Using Karamata's result (12), we obtain

$$H_2(x) \sim xH_1(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

$$H_3(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}xH_2(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}x^2H_1(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

and so

$$H_1(x) \sim 2kx^2\{1-U(1/x)\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 3 shows that $2k = 1$, and so (9) is proved.

$$(a) \quad \int_0^T \frac{1-U(t)}{t} \sin ut dt = \int_0^T \left\{ \int_0^\infty H(y) \sin yt \sin ut dy \right\} dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^T H(y) \sin yt \sin ut dt \right\} dy,$$

because $|\int_0^Y H(y) \sin yt \sin ut dy| \leq 4u$ for $Y > 0$. See (b). Thus

$$\int_0^T \frac{1-U(t)}{t} \sin ut dt = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^T \frac{1}{2}H(y) \{\cos (y-u)t - \cos (y+u)t\} dt \right\} dy$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}H(y) \left\{ \frac{\sin (y-u)T}{y-u} - \frac{\sin (y+u)T}{y+u} \right\} dy,$$

which has a modulus $\leq 2A$. See (c). Thus

$$\left| \int_0^T \frac{1-U(t)}{t} u \sin ut dt \right| \leq 2Ax \text{ for } 0 \leq u \leq x, \quad T > 0.$$

$$(b) \int_0^Y H(y) \sin yt \sin ut dy = \sin ut H(0) \int_0^\xi \sin yt dt + \sin ut H(Y) \int_\xi^Y \sin yt dt,$$

where $0 \leq \xi \leq Y$. The modulus of this is

$$\leq |\sin ut|(2/t + 2/t) \leq 4u.$$

$$(c) \int_a^b \frac{\sin k(y+c)}{y+c} dy$$

is bounded for all real a, b, c, k , because it is equal to

$$\int_{k(a+c)}^{k(b+c)} \frac{\sin v}{v} dv.$$

This is bounded because

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin v}{v} dv$$

exists as a finite (semi-convergent) integral. Thus

$$\left| \int_a^b \frac{\sin k(y+c)}{y+c} du \right| \leq A.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^Y H(y) \frac{\sin k(y+c)}{y+c} dy \\ = H(0) \int_0^\xi \frac{\sin k(y+c)}{y+c} dy + H(Y) \int_\xi^Y \frac{\sin k(y+c)}{y+c} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \xi \leq Y$, and so has a modulus $\leq H(0)A + H(Y)A \leq 2A$.

4. Relations between H and U for distributions of finite variance

If n is a positive integer,

$$\mu_{2n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} dF(x) = - \int_0^{\infty} x^{2n} dH(x) = \int_0^{\infty} 2nx^{2n-1} H(x) dx.$$

THEOREM 6. *Let n be a non-negative integer. Suppose that μ_{2n} is finite. Put*

$$U(t) = \sum_0^n (-1)^r \frac{\mu_{2r} t^{2r}}{(2r)!} - U_{2n}(t).$$

Let H be of index $-m$ at ∞ .

(i) *If $2n < m < 2n+2$, then*

$$U_{2n}(t) \sim S(m)H(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

(ii) If $m = 2n$, where $n > 0$,

$$U_{2n}(t) - (-1)^n t^{2n} \int_{1/t}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} H(x) dx \sim (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma'(2n)}{\Gamma(2n)^2} H(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

This implies the weaker statement,

$$U_{2n}(t) \sim (-1)^n t^{2n} \int_{1/t}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} H(x) dx, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

(iii) If $m = 2n + 2$

$$U_{2n}(t) - (-1)^n t^{2n+2} \int_0^{1/t} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} H(x) dx \sim (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma'(2n+2)}{\Gamma(2n+2)^2} H(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0,$$

which implies

$$U_{2n}(t) \sim (-1)^n t^{2n+2} \int_0^{1/t} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} H(x) dx, \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2n}(t) &= 1 - U(t) - \sum_1^n (-1)^{r-1} \frac{\mu_{2r} t^{2r}}{(2r)!} \\ &= t \int_0^{\infty} H(x) \left(\sin tx - \sum_1^n (-1)^{r-1} \frac{x^{2r-1} t^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} H(x/t) G_n(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_n(x) = \sin x - \sum_1^n (-1)^{r-1} \frac{x^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!}.$$

Note that $(-1)^n G_n(x)$ is positive when x is positive.⁴ Also

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n G_n(x) &\sim \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}, & x \rightarrow \infty \\ (-1)^n G_n(x) &\sim \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}, & x \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{U_{2n}(t)}{H(1/t)} = \int_0^c \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) dx + \int_c^{\infty} \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) dx,$$

where $c > 0$.

When $0 < x \leq c$, $h > 0$, and t sufficiently small,

$$\left| \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) \right| \leq \frac{A |G_n(x)|}{x^{m+h}}$$

⁴ See [5] pages 80, 81.

by Lemma 2. If $m < 2n + 2$, we can choose h so that $m + h < 2n + 2$. $AG_n(x)/x^{m+h}$ is then integrable over $[0, c]$. When $t \downarrow 0$, $H(x/t)/H(1/t) \rightarrow x^{-m}$, and therefore

$$\int_0^c \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) dx \rightarrow \int_0^c \frac{G_n(x)}{x^m} dx.$$

Similarly we can show that if $m > 2n$,

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) dx \rightarrow \int_c^\infty \frac{G(x)}{x^m} dx \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

Hence, when $2n < m < 2n + 2$,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{U_{2n}(t)}{H(1/t)} = \int_0^c \frac{G_n(x)}{x^m} dx + \int_c^\infty \frac{G_n(x)}{x^m} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{G_n(x)}{x^m} dx = S(m).$$

This proves (i).

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{U_{2n}(t) - (-1)^n t^{2n+2} \int_0^{1/t} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} H(x) dx}{H(1/t)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) dx - (-1)^n \int_0^1 \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_{n+1}(x) dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{H(x/t)}{H(1/t)} G_n(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

If $m = 2n + 2$, when $t \downarrow 0$, the limit of this is

$$(13) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{G_{n+1}(x)}{x^{2n+2}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{G_n(x)}{x^{2n+2}} dx.$$

The sum of the two integrals in (13) is the limit as $h \downarrow 0$ of

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{G_{n+1}(x)}{x^{2n+2+h}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{G_n(x)}{x^{2n+2+h}} dx, \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{G_{n+1}(x)}{x^{2n+2+h}} dx + (-1)^n \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)! x^{2n+2+h}} dx \\ &= S(2n+2+h) + \frac{(-1)^n}{h(2n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

The limit of this, as $h \downarrow 0$, is easily shown to be

$$\frac{(-1)^n \Gamma'(2n+2)}{\Gamma(2n+2)^2},$$

and so (iii) is proved.

We prove (ii) simply by replacing n in (iii) by $n - 1$.

5. Relations between K and V

If K is of index $-m$ at ∞ , where $m \geq 0$, $K(\lambda x)/K(x) \rightarrow \lambda^{-m}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for $\lambda > 0$. Hence $K(x)$ must be either always positive or always negative when x is great. We shall give the proofs always for the case $K(x) > 0$ when x is great.

THEOREM 7. Put

$$K(x) = K_1(x) - K_2(x),$$

where

$$K_1(x) = 1 - F(x), \quad K_2(x) = F(-x).$$

Suppose that K is of index $-m$ at ∞ , and that, when x is great, either $K(x)$ is monotonic, or $K_2(x) < \lambda K_1(x)$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$.

(i) If $0 < m < 1$, then

$$V(t) \sim C(m)K(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

(ii) If $m = 0$, then

$$\int_0^t \frac{V(u)}{u} du \sim \frac{1}{2}\pi K(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

(ii) If $m = 1$, then

$$V(t) - t \int_0^{1/t} K(x) dx \sim -\gamma K(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0,$$

where γ is Euler's constant.

The proof of (i) when $K(x)$ is ultimately monotonic is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. When we assume that $K_2(x) < \lambda K_1(x)$ when x is great, a slight modification is necessary. In the course of the proof we need to show that the integral

$$\int_p^\infty \frac{K(x/t)}{K(1/t)} \cos x dx$$

can be made arbitrarily small by making p sufficiently great and t sufficiently small.

It follows from $K_2(x) < \lambda K_1(x)$ that

$$K_1(x) + K_2(x) < \frac{(1 + \lambda)K(x)}{1 - \lambda}.$$

Using the Second Mean Value Theorem, we obtain

$$\int_p^\infty \frac{K(x/t)}{K(1/t)} \cos x dx = \frac{K_1(p/t)}{K(1/t)} \int_p^{a_1} \cos x dx - \frac{K_2(p/t)}{K(1/t)} \int_p^{a_2} \cos x dx.$$

The modulus of the right hand side is not greater than

$$\frac{2K_1(p/t) + 2K_2(p/t)}{K(1/t)},$$

which is less than

$$\frac{2(1+\lambda)K(p/t)}{(1-\lambda)K(1/t)}$$

when p/t is great. Since $K(p/t)/K(1/t) \rightarrow 1/p$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, the required result follows.

We now consider the proof of (ii).

$$\frac{V(u)}{u} = \int_0^\infty K(x) \cos ux \, dx.$$

If $t_0 > 0$, the integral is uniformly convergent with respect to $u > t_0$.

Therefore, if $t > t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{V(u)}{u} \, du &= \int_0^\infty K(x) \frac{\sin tx}{x} \, dx - \int_0^\infty K(x) \frac{\sin t_0x}{x} \, dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty K(x/t) \frac{\sin x}{x} \, dx - \int_0^\infty K(x/t_0) \frac{\sin x}{x} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral on the right is uniformly convergent with respect to $t_0 > 0$, and therefore $\rightarrow 0$ when $t_0 \downarrow 0$. Hence

$$\int_0^t \frac{V(u)}{u} \, du = \int_0^\infty K(x/t) \frac{\sin x}{x} \, dx,$$

and

$$(14) \quad \frac{\int_0^t \frac{V(u)}{u} \, du}{K(1/t)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{K(x/t)}{K(1/t)} \frac{\sin x}{x} \, dx.$$

If $m = 0$, when $t \downarrow 0$, $K(x/t)/K(1/t) \rightarrow 1$. Using the property that when x is great, either $K(x)$ is monotonic or $K_2(x) < \lambda K_1(x)$, we can as in the proof of (i), and Theorem 1, show that when $t \downarrow 0$, the right hand side of (14) tends to

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} \, dx = \frac{1}{2}\pi.$$

This proves (ii).

$$V(t) = \int_0^\infty K(x/t) \cos x \, dx.$$

$$\frac{V(t) - t \int_0^{1/t} K(x) dx}{K(1/t)} = \frac{V(t) - \int_0^1 K(x/t) dx}{K(1/t)}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{K(x/t)}{K(1/t)} (\cos x - 1) dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{K(x/t)}{K(1/t)} \cos x dx,$$

which tends to

$$(15) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\cos x - 1}{x} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{\cos x}{x} dx,$$

when $t \downarrow 0$, if $m = 1$, so that $K(x/t)/K(1/t) \rightarrow 1/x$.

The sum of the two integrals in (15) is the limit, when $\theta \rightarrow 0$, of

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\cos x - 1}{x^{1+\theta}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{\cos x}{x^{1+\theta}} dx$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x - 1}{x^{1+\theta}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{1+\theta}} = C(1+\theta) + 1/\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{\frac{1}{2}\pi}{\Gamma(1+\theta) \sin \frac{1}{2}\pi\theta}.$$

This limit of this, when $\theta \rightarrow 0$, is easily shown to be

$$\Gamma'(1), = -\gamma.$$

This proves (iii).

We shall write

$$(16) \quad \mu_{2n-1}^* = \int_0^\infty (2n-1)x^{2n-2}K(x)dx,$$

when this integral exists. In all the cases we shall discuss, $K(x)$ is asymptotically monotonic, and therefore, if the integral is finite, $x^{2n-2}K(x)$ must $\rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. When this is so, integration by parts shows that

$$(17) \quad \mu_{2n-1}^* = - \int_0^\infty x^{2n-1} dK(x) = - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T x^{2n-1} dK(x)$$

$$= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^T x^{2n-1} dF(x).$$

It is possible for the integral in (16) to be finite and the limit in (17) not to exist; but not in the cases we are investigating.

It follows from Lemma 2 that if K is of index $-m$ at ∞ , μ_{2n-1}^* is finite if $m > 2n-1$, and infinite if $m < 2n-1$.

THEOREM 8. *Suppose that μ_{2n-1}^* exists and is finite, where n is a positive integer. Put*

$$V(t) = \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} \frac{\mu_{2r-1}^* t^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} + V_{2n-1}(t).$$

Let K be of index $-m$ at ∞

(i) If $2n < m < 2n+1$, then

$$V_{2n-1}(t) \sim C(m)K(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

(ii) If $m = 2n-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2n-1}(t) - (-1)^n t^{2n-1} \int_{1/t}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n-2}}{(2n-2)!} K(x) dx \\ \sim (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma'(2n-1)}{\Gamma(2n-1)^2} K(1/t), \quad t \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $m = 2n+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2n-1}(t) - (-1)^n t^{2n+1} \int_0^{1/t} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} K(x) dx \\ \sim (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma'(2n+1)}{\Gamma(2n+1)^2} K(1/t) \quad t \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs are similar to those of Theorem 6. Here

$$V_{2n-1}(t) = \int_0^{\infty} K(x/t) J_n(x) dx,$$

where

$$J_n(x) = \cos x - \sum_0^{n-1} (-1)^r \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!}.$$

6. Counter-example

In this section we give an example where H is of index 0 at ∞ but the conclusion of Theorem 2 is not true, i.e. $1-U(t)$ is not asymptotically equal to $H(1/t)$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

Let $\{T_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of integers > 1 such that T_n/T_{n-1} is integral. For

$$2T_r \leq x \leq 2T_{r+1}$$

define

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\log(2mT_r)} - \frac{1}{\log((2m+2)T_r)}$$

if

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (2m+1)T_r, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, T_{r+1}/T_r - 1, \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

For

$$0 \leq x \leq 2T_1$$

define

$$f(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{\log(2T_1)},$$

if

$$\begin{aligned} x &= T_1, \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \sum_{y>x} f(y).$$

Clearly H is a tail-sum function. We easily obtain

$$H(2mT_r) = \frac{1}{\log(2mT_r)}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, T_{r+1}/T_r.$$

If

$$2mT_r \leq x \leq 2(m+1)T_r,$$

where m is a positive integer, then

$$\frac{1}{2}x \leq 2mT_r \leq x \leq 2(m+1)T_r \leq 2x,$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{\log(\frac{1}{2}x)} \geq H(2mT_r) \geq H(x) \geq H(2(m+1)T_r) \geq \frac{1}{\log(2x)}.$$

Thus for all $x > 2T_1$,

$$\frac{1}{\log(\frac{1}{2}x)} \geq H(x) \geq \frac{1}{\log(2x)}.$$

It follows that $H(x) \sim 1/\log x, x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus H is of index 0 at ∞

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - U(\pi/T_r) &= - \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos(\pi x/T_r)) dH(x) \\ &\geq - \int_{2T_r}^{2T_{r+1}} (1 - \cos(\pi x/T_r)) dH(x) = - \int_{2T_r}^{2T_{r+1}} 2dH(x). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is true because at a point of increase of H in the interval $[2T_r, 2T_{r+1}]$, $\cos(\pi x/T_r) = -1$. Hence

$$(18) \quad 1 - U(\pi/T_r) \geq 2[H(2T_r) - H(2T_{r+1})].$$

Now $H(T_r) \sim 1/\log T_r$, and so if we choose the sequence $\{T_n\}$ so that $\log T_{r+1}/\log T_r \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, the right side of (18) will be asymptotically equal to

$$2H(2T_r) \sim 2H(T_r/\pi), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $1 - U(\pi/T_r)$ will not be asymptotically equal to $H(T_r/\pi)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and so $1 - U(t)$ will not be asymptotically equal to $H(1/t)$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

I have to thank Professor B. C. Rennie for devising this counter example.

References

- [1] E. J. G. Pitman, 'Some theorems on characteristic functions of probability distributions'. *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.* (1960) 2, 393–402
- [2] M. J. Karamata, 'Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions'. *Mathematica* (Cluj), V. iv, (1930) 38–53.
- [3] M. J. Karamata, 'Sur un mode de croissance régulière. Théorèmes fondamentaux'. *Bull. de la soc. math. de France.* 61 (1933) 55–62.
- [4] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, 4th ed. 1927).
- [5] C. V. Durell and A. Robson, *Advanced Trigonometry* (G. Bell and Sons, 1930).

The Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Md.
and
The University of Melbourne