



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Local rigidity for hyperbolic groups with Sierpiński carpet boundaries

Sergei Merenkov

Compositio Math. **150** (2014), 1928–1938.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X14007490](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X14007490)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY



Local rigidity for hyperbolic groups with Sierpiński carpet boundaries

Sergei Merenkov

ABSTRACT

Let G and \tilde{G} be Kleinian groups whose limit sets S and \tilde{S} , respectively, are homeomorphic to the standard Sierpiński carpet, and such that every complementary component of each of S and \tilde{S} is a round disc. We assume that the groups G and \tilde{G} act cocompactly on triples on their respective limit sets. The main theorem of the paper states that any quasiregular map (in a suitably defined sense) from an open connected subset of S to \tilde{S} is the restriction of a Möbius transformation that takes S onto \tilde{S} , in particular it has no branching. This theorem applies to the fundamental groups of compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds with non-empty totally geodesic boundaries. One consequence of the main theorem is the following result. Assume that G is a torsion-free hyperbolic group whose boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty G$ is a Sierpiński carpet that embeds quasymmetrically into the standard 2-sphere. Then there exists a group H that contains G as a finite index subgroup and such that any quasymmetric map f between open connected subsets of $\partial_\infty G$ is the restriction of the induced boundary map of an element $h \in H$.

1. Preliminaries

Let $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Riemann sphere. Whenever needed, we identify $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with the ideal boundary of hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 . We also assume that $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is equipped with the chordal metric and call this metric space the *standard 2-sphere*.

1.1 Group actions

Recall that a *Kleinian group* G is any discrete group of isometries of \mathbb{H}^3 . Equivalently, it is a discrete group of Möbius transformations of the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. The *limit set* $\Lambda(G) \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of a Kleinian group G is the set of accumulation points of the orbit G_p of any element p in \mathbb{H}^3 . The limit set $\Lambda(G)$ of any infinite Kleinian group G is a non-empty compact subset of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and the group G acts on $\Lambda(G)$ by homeomorphisms. If the Kleinian group G is non-elementary, i.e. its limit set has more than two points, then $\Lambda(G)$ is a perfect set.

Let Y be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space. An action of a discrete group G on Y by homeomorphisms is said to be *properly discontinuous* if for all compact subsets K and L of Y the set

$$\{g \in G : g(K) \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. Such an action is called *cocompact* if the quotient Y/G is compact.

Received 3 September 2013, accepted in final form 28 February 2014, published online 27 August 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 52C25, 30L10, 30C62 (primary).

Keywords: hyperbolic group, quasiregular, quasymmetric.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1001144.

This journal is © [Foundation Compositio Mathematica](#) 2014.

If X is any compact Hausdorff topological space that has at least three points, we denote the space of distinct triples of X by $\Sigma_3(X)$, namely

$$\Sigma_3(X) = \{(o, p, q) \in X^3 : o \neq p, o \neq q, p \neq q\}.$$

Assume that a group G acts on X by homeomorphisms. Such an action induces a diagonal action of G on $\Sigma_3(X)$. Following [Bow96], we say that a group G is a *uniform convergence group* acting on a perfect, compact, Hausdorff topological space X if the action of G on $\Sigma_3(X)$ is properly discontinuous and cocompact. A cocompact action of a discrete group on the space of distinct triples is referred to as *cocompact on triples*.

1.2 Hyperbolic groups

A finitely generated group G is called *hyperbolic* if there exists a symmetric finite generating set S for G and a positive constant δ such that the geodesic triangles of the Cayley graph of G with respect to S are δ -thin. The latter means that any side of a geodesic triangle is contained in the δ -neighborhood (with respect to the word metric) of the union of the other two sides. See, e.g., [GH90], for background on hyperbolic groups. Also, see [KB02] for a survey on hyperbolic groups. To every hyperbolic group G one can associate a boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty G$, a compact Hausdorff topological space equipped with a natural class of visual metrics. If H is a finite index subgroup of a group G , then G is hyperbolic if and only if H is hyperbolic. Moreover, in this case $\partial_\infty G = \partial_\infty H$, see, e.g., [KB02, § 2].

Every element g of a hyperbolic group G induces a quasisymmetric self-map \hat{g} of the boundary $\partial_\infty G$. This is a special case of [BS00, Theorem 6.5]; see § 1.3 below for the definition of quasisymmetric maps. If G is non-elementary, i.e. not finite or virtually cyclic, the boundary $\partial_\infty G$ is perfect, and the action of G on $\partial_\infty G$ has a finite kernel, called the *ineffective kernel* [GH90, ch. 8, 36.-Corollary].

An infinite Kleinian group G that contains no parabolic elements is called *convex-cocompact* if it acts cocompactly on the convex hull $\text{Hull}(\Lambda(G))$ in \mathbb{H}^3 of its limit set $\Lambda(G)$. Every convex-cocompact Kleinian group is hyperbolic. Indeed, $\text{Hull}(\Lambda(G))$ is a closed convex subset of \mathbb{H}^3 , and so it is a proper Gromov hyperbolic geodesic metric space when endowed with the induced metric from \mathbb{H}^3 . For a geodesic metric space to be *Gromov hyperbolic* it means that geodesic triangles are δ -thin. The space $\text{Hull}(\Lambda(G))$ is G -invariant, and G acts on it by isometries properly discontinuously and cocompactly. By the Švarc–Milnor lemma, see, e.g., [BH99, Proposition 8.19, ch. I.8, p. 140], the group G is finitely generated and its Cayley graph is quasi-isometric to $\text{Hull}(\Lambda(G))$. This readily implies that G is hyperbolic.

A hyperbolic group G acts as a uniform convergence group on its boundary $\partial_\infty G$ (see [Bow96, Proposition 1.13]). Cocompactness on triples in the context of hyperbolic groups is equivalent to the existence of $\epsilon > 0$ such that if o, p , and q are arbitrary distinct points in $\partial_\infty G$, then there exists $g \in G$ so that

$$d(g(o), g(p)), d(g(o), g(q)), d(g(p), g(q)) \geq \epsilon,$$

where d is a visual metric. Conversely, [Bow98, Theorem 0.1] states that if X is a perfect, metrizable, compact, Hausdorff topological space, and a group G acts on X by homeomorphisms as a uniform convergence group, then G is hyperbolic. Moreover, there is a G -equivariant homeomorphism of X onto $\partial_\infty G$.

If G is a hyperbolic group, any element of infinite order in G acts as a *loxodromic* isometry on the Cayley graph of G . Namely, there are exactly two points g^+ and g^- in $\partial_\infty G$ fixed by g , that

are given by $g^+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n$ and $g^- = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{-n}$. The points g^\pm are called *poles* corresponding to the infinite cyclic group $\langle g \rangle$. The set of poles of loxodromic elements of a hyperbolic group G is dense in $\partial_\infty G$; see, e.g., [KB02, Proposition 4.2].

1.3 Quasiregular and related maps

Recall that a non-constant orientation-preserving continuous map $f : U \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ defined on an open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *quasiregular* if f is in the Sobolev space $W_{loc}^{1,2}$ and there exists a positive constant K such that in local coordinates the formal differential matrix $Df = (\partial f_j / \partial x_i)$ satisfies

$$\|Df(z)\|^2 \leq K \det(Df)(z)$$

for almost every $z \in U$. Here we identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 , and x_1, x_2 and f_1, f_2 denote the coordinates of z and f , respectively. The assumption that $f \in W_{loc}^{1,2}$ means that the first distributional partial derivatives of f are locally in the Lebesgue space L^2 . If f is assumed to be a homeomorphism, it is called a *quasiconformal* map. A result of Reshetnyak states that any quasiregular map $f : U \rightarrow V$ is a branched covering. This means that f is an open map and for each $w \in V$ the preimage is a discrete subset of U . The *critical set* of f , denoted $crit(f)$, is the set of all points in U near which f is not a local homeomorphism. The set $crit(f)$ is necessarily discrete.

We say that a map $f : A \rightarrow B$ from an arbitrary set $A \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ to $B \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is *quasiregular* if it is open (in relative topology) and is the restriction to A of a quasiregular map $F : U \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ defined on an open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that contains A . We adopt the same terminology for quasiconformal maps.

A homeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) is called *quasisymmetric* if there exists a homeomorphism

$$\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

such that for any triple of distinct points o, p , and q , we have

$$\frac{d_Y(f(p), f(o))}{d_Y(f(q), f(o))} \leq \eta \left(\frac{d_X(p, o)}{d_X(q, o)} \right).$$

The homeomorphism η is called a *distortion function* of f , and if we want to emphasize it, we say that f is η -*quasisymmetric*.

Every quasisymmetric map between domains in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is quasiconformal. The Egg-Yolk Principle [Hei01, Theorem 11.14] gives a partial converse. Let $B(p, r)$ stand for a disc in \mathbb{C} centered at p of radius r . If $f : B(p, 2r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is K -quasiconformal, then the restriction $f|_{B(p,r)}$ is η -quasisymmetric onto its image with η that depends only on K .

1.4 Statement of results

If X is an arbitrary metric space, in what follows we denote by $B(p, r)$ the open ball in X centered at p of radius $r > 0$. A *Schottky set* S is a compact subset of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ whose complement is a union of at least three open geometric discs whose closures do not intersect. The boundary circles of the complementary discs are called *peripheral circles*. If a Schottky set S has empty interior, as is typical in what follows, it is homeomorphic to the standard Sierpiński carpet.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that G and \tilde{G} are Kleinian groups whose limit sets S and \tilde{S} , respectively, are Schottky sets. We assume that the actions of G on S and \tilde{G} on \tilde{S} are cocompact on triples. If $f : A \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is a quasiregular map defined on an open (in relative topology) connected subset A of S , then f has to be the restriction of a Möbius transformation that takes S onto \tilde{S} . In particular, f is injective.*

The fundamental groups of compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds with non-empty totally geodesic boundaries satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.1.

Let G be a hyperbolic group whose boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty G$ is a Sierpiński carpet. If $\partial_\infty G$ embeds quasimetrically into $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then, according to [Bon11, Corollary 1.2 combined with Proposition 1.4], there exists a quasimetric map

$$\beta : \partial_\infty G \rightarrow S,$$

where S is a Schottky set. The peripheral circles of the Schottky set S would necessarily occur on all locations and scales [Bon11, Proposition 1.4]. This means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $p \in S$ and every $0 < r \leq 2$ there exists a peripheral circle J of S that intersects $B(p, r)$ and such that

$$\frac{r}{C} \leq r_J \leq Cr,$$

where r_J is the radius of J . The constant 2 in the above definition is the diameter of the standard 2-sphere. The property for peripheral circles to occur on all locations and scales is invariant under quasimetric maps and it is stronger than local porosity stated in § 2. Thus, [BKM09, Theorem 1.2] implies that the action of G/K on $\partial_\infty G$, where K is the ineffective kernel, is conjugate by β to an action of a Kleinian group G' on S . The Schottky set S is necessarily the limit set of G' . Hence, the group G/K acts on S cocompactly on triples. We have the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that G is a hyperbolic group whose boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty G$ is a Sierpiński carpet. We assume that $\partial_\infty G$ endowed with a visual metric embeds quasimetrically into the standard 2-sphere. Then there exists a group H that contains G/K as a finite index subgroup and that has the following property. Given any two open connected subsets A and B of $\partial_\infty G$ and a quasimetric map $f : A \rightarrow B$, there exists $h \in H$ such that $\hat{h}|_A = f$.*

The assumption that the visual boundary embeds quasimetrically into the standard 2-sphere is conjecturally true for any hyperbolic group with a Sierpiński carpet boundary [KK00].

The following two corollaries are consequences of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

COROLLARY 1.3. *Assume that G is a hyperbolic group whose boundary $\partial_\infty G$ is a Sierpiński carpet, and let f be an arbitrary rational map. Then $\partial_\infty G$ and the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ of f are not quasimetrically equivalent.*

COROLLARY 1.4. *Suppose that C is a Sierpiński carpet embedded in the standard 2-sphere with the following property. There exist an open subset A in C that is not dense in C , and a quasimetric map $f : \bar{A} \rightarrow C$, where \bar{A} denotes the closure of A . Then C cannot be quasimetrically equivalent to the boundary at infinity of any hyperbolic group G .*

The following is a family of Sierpiński carpets that satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 1.4. Compare this with the discussion immediately preceding Theorem 1.3 in [BM13].

Example. By a self-similar carpet C we mean a Sierpiński carpet obtained in the following way. Start with a square in the plane and consider its tiling by finitely many subsquares. Next, remove the interiors of some of the subsquares in the tiling making sure that the following two conditions are satisfied: the closures of any two subsquares whose interiors are removed do not intersect; the closure of any subsquare whose interior is removed is disjoint from the boundary of the original square. Further, perform the same operations on the subsquares that remain, i.e. consider the

same tiling of each of these subsquares and remove the interiors of the squares of each such tiling so that the combinatorics is the same as in the previous step. Continue the process indefinitely. The standard Sierpiński carpet is an example of a self-similar carpet.

For a quasimetric map f in Corollary 1.4 we can choose the affine rescaling map from any of the non-trivial scaled copies of C onto C .

2. Relative Schottky sets and Schottky maps

A *relative Schottky set* S in a domain $D \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the residual set obtained by removing from D open geometric discs whose closures are contained in D and are pairwise disjoint. More precisely, we assume that there exists an index set I that consists of at least three elements, and such that

$$S = D \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i,$$

where B_i , $i \in I$, are open geometric discs with closures \bar{B}_i , $i \in I$, contained in D , and $\bar{B}_i \cap \bar{B}_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$. If $D = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, we recover Schottky sets.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let S be a Schottky set and p be a point in S that does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of S . Then for every open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that contains p , there exists a Jordan curve C with the following properties. The curve C is contained in S , it does not intersect any of the peripheral circles of S , the Jordan domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ bounded by C contains p and its closure \bar{D} is contained in U . In particular, $S' = S \cap D$ is a relative Schottky set.*

Proof. This is a simple application of Moore's theorem [Moo25]. The elements of the decomposition space are points as well as the closures of all of the complementary discs of the Schottky set S . The decomposition space, i.e. the projection of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ under the map that identifies points that belong to the same complementary component of S , is homeomorphic to the sphere. So we can identify it with the standard 2-sphere.

If \tilde{p} is the point of the decomposition space that corresponds to p , let small $r > 0$ be chosen so that the circle centered at \tilde{p} of radius r does not contain any of the points of the decomposition space that correspond to the complementary components. This is possible because the number of such components is countable. Moreover, since p does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of S , we can choose r so small that the closure of the disc $B(\tilde{p}, r)$ is contained in the projection of U . Now, the Jordan curve C is the preimage of the boundary circle of $B(\tilde{p}, r)$ under the projection. The stated properties of C are immediate. \square

Let S and \tilde{S} be relative Schottky sets and $f : A \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a local homeomorphism defined on an open subset A of S . Then f is called a *Schottky map* if it is *conformal* [Mer13]. This means that for every $p \in A$, the derivative of f defined as

$$f'(p) = \lim_{q \in A, q \rightarrow p} \frac{f(q) - f(p)}{q - p},$$

exists, is non-zero, and continuous. The following lemma, as well as Lemma 4.2 below, are consequences of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that S and \tilde{S} are Schottky sets of measure zero, and let $f : A \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a quasiregular map defined on an open set $A \subseteq S$. Then there exists a discrete (possibly empty) subset B of A such that f restricted to $A \setminus B$ is a Schottky map.*

Proof. By definition, the map f is open in relative topology and is the restriction of a quasiregular map F defined on an open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that contains A . Let B be the intersection $\text{crit}(F) \cap A$. Since $\text{crit}(F)$ is a discrete subset of U , the set B is a discrete subset of A . It is enough to show that f restricted to some neighborhood of every point of $A \setminus B$ is a Schottky map.

Assume first that $p \in A \setminus B$ does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of S . Let $r > 0$ be chosen so small that F is defined and is quasiconformal in the disc $B(p, 2r)$. The egg-yolk principle implies that F is quasiasymmetric in $B(p, r)$. Lemma 2.1 gives a Jordan domain D that contains p , whose closure is contained in $B(p, r)$, and whose boundary ∂D is contained in S and does not intersect any of the peripheral circles of S .

Let $S' = S \cap D$, a relative Schottky set in the Jordan domain D . The set S' has measure zero since S does. The restriction of f to S' is a quasiasymmetric map f_D from S' onto the relative Schottky set $\tilde{S}' = \tilde{S} \cap F(D)$ in the Jordan domain $F(D)$. Now, [Mer12, Theorem 1.2] implies that f_D is a Schottky map.

The case when $p \in A \setminus B$ does belong to a peripheral circle of S can be reduced to the previous case as follows. Since f is open, it sends every peripheral circle of S intersected with A to a peripheral circle of \tilde{S} . Thus, if p belongs to a peripheral circle J of S , we can use the Schwarz reflection principle to extend f across J . We denote the peripheral circle of \tilde{S} that contains $f(p)$ by \tilde{J} . Let us denote the reflection in J by r and the reflection in \tilde{J} by \tilde{r} . The doubles $S_d = S \cup r(S)$ and $\tilde{S} \cup \tilde{r}(\tilde{S})$ of S and \tilde{S} , respectively, are clearly Schottky sets. The extension of f to $A \cup r(A)$, defined on $r(A)$ as $\tilde{r} \circ f \circ r$, is still quasiregular since geometric circles are removable for quasiconformal maps. But p does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of S_d , and so we are in the previous case. \square

A relative Schottky set $S = D \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ is said to be *locally porous at a point* $p \in S$ if there exist a neighborhood U of p , a positive constant r_0 and a constant $C \geq 1$, such that for every $q \in S \cap U$ and each r with $0 < r \leq r_0$, there exists $i \in I$ with $B(q, r) \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$ and

$$r/C \leq r_i \leq Cr,$$

where r_i the radius of B_i . A relative Schottky set S is called *locally porous* if it is locally porous at every point. Local porosity is implied by the property of peripheral circles to occur on all locations and scales.

The following two theorems are proved in [Mer13] and will be used in what follows.

THEOREM 2.3 [Mer13, Corollary 4.2]. *Let S be a locally porous relative Schottky set in $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and suppose that A is an open connected subset of S . Let $f, g : A \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be Schottky maps into a relative Schottky set \tilde{S} in a domain \tilde{D} , and consider the set*

$$E = \{p \in S \cap U : f(p) = g(p)\}.$$

Then $E = A$, provided E has an accumulation point in A .

THEOREM 2.4 [Mer13, Theorem 5.2]. *Let S be a locally porous relative Schottky set in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and $p \in S$ be an arbitrary point. Suppose that $U \subseteq D$ is an open neighborhood of p such that $S \cap U$ is connected, and assume that there exists a Schottky map $f : S \cap U \rightarrow S$ with $f(p) = p$ and $f'(p) \neq 1$. Let \tilde{S} be a relative Schottky set in a domain \tilde{D} , and let $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Schottky maps $h_k : S \cap U \rightarrow \tilde{S}$. We assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an open set \tilde{U}_k so that the map $h_k : S \cap U \rightarrow \tilde{S} \cap \tilde{U}_k$ is a homeomorphism, and the sequence (h_k) converges locally uniformly to a homeomorphism $h : S \cap U \rightarrow \tilde{S} \cap \tilde{U}$, where \tilde{U} is an open set. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_k = h$ in $S \cap U$ for all $k \geq N$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As mentioned in § 1.2, according to [Bow98, Theorem 0.1], the groups G and \tilde{G} are hyperbolic. Moreover, the limit sets S and \tilde{S} can be identified with the boundaries at infinity $\partial_\infty G$ and $\partial_\infty \tilde{G}$, respectively. In particular, [Bon11, Proposition 1.4] gives that the peripheral circles of S and \tilde{S} appear on all locations and scales, and thus S and \tilde{S} have measure zero. Lemma 2.2 therefore gives that f restricted to $A \setminus B$ is a Schottky map for some discrete subset B of A .

We will first show that there exists an open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and a Möbius transformation m that takes S onto \tilde{S} , such that $S \cap U \subseteq A$, and the restrictions of f and m to $S \cap U$ coincide.

Let $p \in A \setminus B$ be an arbitrary point that does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of S . As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, let $r > 0$ be chosen so that f extends to a quasiconformal map in $B(p, 2r)$ and also $S \cap B(p, 2r) \subseteq A$. Lemma 2.1 gives a Jordan domain D such that $p \in D$, the boundary ∂D is contained in S and does not intersect any of the peripheral circles of S , and the closure \bar{D} is contained in $B(p, r)$. Let $S' = S \cap D$, a relative Schottky set in D .

As discussed in § 1.2, the set of poles of loxodromic elements of G is dense in S . Therefore, we may choose a loxodromic element g in G with the pole g^+ in S' . By choosing the radius r above sufficiently small and by possibly passing to an appropriate power of g , we may assume that $g(D) \subseteq D$. Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be three distinct points in S' . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can use cocompactness of the action of \tilde{G} on triples to find $\tilde{g}_n \in \tilde{G}$ such that $\tilde{g}_n \circ f \circ g^n(p_1)$, $\tilde{g}_n \circ f \circ g^n(p_2)$, and $\tilde{g}_n \circ f \circ g^n(p_3)$ are ϵ -separated for some $\epsilon > 0$ independent of n . Note that each map $\tilde{g}_n \circ f \circ g^n$ is a Schottky map defined on S' and that has a K -quasiconformal extension F_n to D , where K does not depend on n . Standard compactness arguments, see, e.g., [AIM09, Theorem 3.1.3, p. 49], imply that the sequence (F_n) subconverges, i.e. some subsequence (F_{n_k}) converges locally uniformly in D to a K -quasiconformal homeomorphism $H : D \rightarrow \tilde{S} \cap \bar{D}$ for some open set \tilde{D} .

Theorem 2.4 now gives that the sequence $(\tilde{g}_{n_k} \circ f \circ g^{n_k})$ stabilizes, i.e. there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\tilde{g}_{n_k} \circ f \circ g^{n_k} = \tilde{g}_{n_{k+1}} \circ f \circ g^{n_{k+1}}$$

on S' for all $k \geq N$. This implies

$$f = \tilde{g} \circ f \circ g^n \tag{1}$$

holds on $S'' = g^m(S') \subseteq S'$ for some $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. (Here $m = n_k$ and $n = n_{k+1} - n_k$.)

Equation (1) allows us to extend f to a homeomorphism of S onto \tilde{S} satisfying (1) everywhere on S . Indeed, $\{g^{-nk}(g^m(D))\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms an increasing sequence of domains whose union is $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{g^-\}$. Moreover,

$$g^{nk}(S'') \subseteq g^{n(k-1)}(S'') \subseteq \dots \subseteq S'' \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} g^{-nk}(S'') = S \setminus \{g^-\}.$$

Thus, inductively using (1) we can extend f to $S \setminus \{g^-\}$. Since f is continuous on S'' , this extension, also denoted by f , is a continuous map of $S \setminus \{g^-\}$ into \tilde{S} . By definition, the extended map f satisfies (1) everywhere on $S \setminus \{g^-\}$. Iterating (1), we obtain that the extended map f satisfies

$$f = \tilde{g}^k \circ f \circ g^{nk} \tag{2}$$

on $S \setminus \{g^-\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that f is injective on $S \setminus \{g^-\}$. Otherwise there exist two distinct points q_1 and q_2 in $S \setminus \{g^-\}$ such that $f(q_1) = f(q_2)$. For a large enough k we get

that $g^{nk}(q_1)$ and $g^{nk}(q_2)$ are two distinct points that belong to S' . This is impossible because $\tilde{g}^k \circ f$ is injective on S' . A similar argument also shows that the extension f is open in relative topology. Equation (2) thus gives that \tilde{g} is a loxodromic element of \tilde{G} with $\tilde{g}^- = f(g^+)$. This combined with (2) in turn implies that f is a homeomorphism of $S \setminus \{g^-\}$ onto $\tilde{S} \setminus \{\tilde{g}^+\}$. Extending it by $f(g^-) = \tilde{g}^+$ gives a homeomorphism, also denoted by f , of S onto \tilde{S} that satisfies (1).

By conjugating G and \tilde{G} by appropriate Möbius transformations if necessary, we may assume that $g^+ = \tilde{g}^- = 0$ and $g^- = \tilde{g}^+ = \infty$. Therefore, identifying each one of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{g^-\}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\tilde{g}^+\}$ with the complex plane, we conclude that there exist $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|, |\tilde{\lambda}| > 1$, such that $g(z) = \lambda^{-1}z$ and $\tilde{g}(z) = \tilde{\lambda}z$. Equation (2) can now be written as

$$f(z) = \tilde{\lambda}^k f(\lambda^{-nk}z) \tag{3}$$

for all $z \in S$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that f has a quasiconformal extension in an open set that contains 0. Thus, the egg-yolk principle gives that f is quasisymmetric in a neighborhood V of 0 with respect to the Euclidean metric with some distortion function η . By choosing k in (3) large enough, this equation readily implies that f is quasisymmetric on $S \setminus \{\infty\}$ with respect to the Euclidean metric with the same distortion function η . Indeed, if p_1, p_2 , and p_3 are distinct points in $S \setminus \{\infty\}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^{-nk}p_j$ is in V for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, by (3),

$$\frac{|f(p_1) - f(p_2)|}{|f(p_1) - f(p_3)|} = \frac{|\tilde{\lambda}^k f(\lambda^{-nk}p_1) - \tilde{\lambda}^k f(\lambda^{-nk}p_2)|}{|\tilde{\lambda}^k f(\lambda^{-nk}p_1) - \tilde{\lambda}^k f(\lambda^{-nk}p_3)|} \leq \eta \left(\frac{|p_1 - p_2|}{|p_1 - p_3|} \right).$$

Such a map f has a quasiconformal extension F to the whole complex plane [Mer12, Lemma 9.1]. The property of being quasiconformal is invariant under the stereographic projection. Moreover, since a single point is removable for quasiconformal maps, we conclude that f has a quasiconformal extension to $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, also denoted by F . Every quasiconformal map of the sphere is quasisymmetric [Väi85]. Hence, f is also quasisymmetric with respect to the spherical metric as the restriction of a quasisymmetric map. But S has measure zero, and therefore [BKM09, Theorem 1.2] gives that f is the restriction of a Möbius transformation m that takes S onto \tilde{S} . The extension f to S coincides with the original map f on S'' by (1), and so $f = m$ on $S \cap U$, where $U = g^m(D)$.

The desired conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is now a consequence of Theorem 2.3. Indeed, as mentioned above, by Lemma 2.2, the map $f : A \setminus B \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is a Schottky map. Clearly, $m : A \setminus B \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is also a Schottky map. Since B is a discrete subset of A , the set $A \setminus B$ is still open and connected. From the above we know that the set

$$E = \{p \in A : f(p) = m(p)\}$$

contains an open set. Thus, Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$E \setminus B = A \setminus B.$$

The continuity of f and m gives that $E = A$. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We start by proving the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose that G is a group of Möbius transformations that acts on a Schottky set S cocompactly on triples. Then there exists a group H of Möbius transformations that contains G as a finite index subgroup, and such that if h is a Möbius transformation that leaves S invariant, then $h \in H$.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that there exists an infinite sequence $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Möbius transformations that leave S invariant and such that Gh_1, Gh_2, \dots , are distinct right cosets. Let o, p , and q be three distinct points in S . Since G is cocompact on triples, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist an element $g_n \in G$ such that $g_n \circ h_n(o), g_n \circ h_n(p)$, and $g_n \circ h_n(q)$ are ϵ -separated for some $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, there exists a subsequence $(g_{n_k} \circ h_{n_k})$ that converges to a Möbius transformation f . Since the group of all Möbius transformations that preserve a Schottky set is discrete (such Möbius maps have to map peripheral circles to peripheral circles), then

$$g_{n_k} \circ h_{n_k} = g_{n_{k+1}} \circ h_{n_{k+1}}$$

for all k large enough. This is a contradiction since Gh_{n_k} and $Gh_{n_{k+1}}$ are distinct. □

Next, we need the following lemma, also a consequence of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that S and \tilde{S} are Schottky sets and $f : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ is a quasimetric map between open subsets $A \subseteq S$ and $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{S}$. Then f is quasiconformal, i.e. there exists a quasiconformal map F defined on an open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that contains A and such that $F|_A = f$.*

Proof. Assume that $p \in A$ is an arbitrary point that does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of S . Since A is open in S , there is an open set $V \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $A = S \cap V$. Applying Lemma 2.1, we get a Jordan domain D with the following properties. It contains p , its closure is contained in V , and its boundary ∂D is contained in S and does not intersect any of the peripheral circles of S .

Let $S' = S \cap D$, a relative Schottky set in D . The map f takes it to the relative Schottky set $\tilde{S} \cap \tilde{D}$, where \tilde{D} is the Jordan domain bounded by $f(\partial D)$ and that contains $f(p)$. Thus, [Mer12, Lemma 9.1] implies that f has a quasiconformal extension to D . We recall that this extension is obtained by using the Ahlfors–Beurling extension into every complementary component of S' in D .

Assume now that $p \in A$ is a point on a peripheral circle C of S , and let \tilde{C} denote the peripheral circle of \tilde{S} that contains $f(p)$. Let r and \tilde{r} denote reflections in C and \tilde{C} , respectively, as in Lemma 2.2. As in that lemma, we can extend f to a quasimetric map on $A \cup r(A)$ using the formula $\tilde{r} \circ f \circ r$ on $r(A)$. Since p does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of the Schottky set $S_d = S \cup r(S)$, the above argument allows us to extend f to a quasiconformal map in a neighborhood of p .

We conclude that it is possible to extend f to a quasiconformal map in a neighborhood of every point $p \in A$. Moreover, if a peripheral circle C of S belongs to any two such neighborhoods, we can always make sure that the two extensions of f inside this peripheral circle are the same. Indeed, the Ahlfors–Beurling extension inside C can always be normalized by three points that only depend on C and not a neighborhood. Therefore, all of the extensions agree on the intersections, and thus they produce a quasiconformal extension of f on an open set $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that contains A . □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As discussed in § 1.4, we may assume that $S = \partial_\infty G$ is a Schottky set, and G/K is a Kleinian group that acts on its limit set S cocompactly on triples. Lemma 4.1 then implies that there is a group H of Möbius transformations that contains G/K as a finite index subgroup, and such that if h is a Möbius transformation that leaves S invariant, then $h \in H$.

According to Lemma 4.2, every quasimetric map $f : A \rightarrow B$ between two open subsets A and B of S is quasiconformal, and hence quasiregular. We can therefore apply Theorem 1.1 to conclude that f is the restriction to A of a Möbius transformation h that preserves S . Hence, $h \in H$ and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. □

5. Proof of Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4

Proof of Corollary 1.3. We assume for contradiction that $\partial_\infty G$ (endowed with a visual metric) and $\mathcal{J}(f)$ are quasimetrically equivalent, i.e. there exists a quasimetric map $\alpha : \mathcal{J}(f) \rightarrow \partial_\infty G$. This implies, in particular, that $\partial_\infty G$ can be quasimetrically embedded into the standard 2-sphere, and $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a Sierpiński carpet. Also, the degree $\deg(f)$ of f must be at least 2.

As in § 1.4, there exist a Schottky set S and a quasimetric map $\beta : \partial_\infty G \rightarrow S$. Since $\beta \circ \alpha$ is a quasimetric map and S is a Schottky set, the peripheral circles of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ are uniform quasicircles. Thus, [Bon11, Proposition 5.1] gives that the map $\beta \circ \alpha$ has a quasiconformal extension to the whole Riemann sphere. We denote this extension by ϕ . The conjugate map $g = \phi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a quasiregular map that preserves S .

If K is the ineffective kernel of G , the action of the group G/K on S is by Möbius transformations and is cocompact on triples. Thus, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to arrive at a contradiction. Indeed, according to this theorem the map g must be the restriction of a Möbius transformation. Because f and g are conjugate by a homeomorphism, f is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{J}(f)$. This is impossible: the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is backward invariant under f and every point p of $\mathcal{J}(f)$, outside a finite set, has $\deg(f) \geq 2$ preimages in $\mathcal{J}(f)$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Assume for contradiction that there exist a carpet C satisfying the assumptions of the corollary and a hyperbolic group G such that C and $\partial_\infty G$ are quasimetrically equivalent. Thus, $\partial_\infty G$ embeds quasimetrically into the standard 2-sphere and let $\alpha : C \rightarrow \partial_\infty G$ be a quasimetric map. Furthermore, let $f : \bar{A} \rightarrow C$ be a quasimetric map guaranteed by the assumptions, where A is an open subset of C that is not dense in C .

The conjugate map $g = \alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is a quasimetric map of $\alpha(\bar{A})$ onto $\partial_\infty G$. But $\alpha(A)$, and thus $g(\alpha(A))$, are open subsets of $\partial_\infty G$. Since the restriction of g to $\alpha(A)$ is still a quasimetry, Theorem 1.2 gives that there exists a quasimetry \hat{h} of $\partial_\infty G$ such that $\hat{h}|_{\alpha(A)} = g|_{\alpha(A)}$. This leads to a contradiction as follows. Since g and \hat{h} are continuous on $\alpha(\bar{A})$, we get $\hat{h}|_{\alpha(\bar{A})} = g|_{\alpha(\bar{A})}$. However,

$$g(\alpha(\bar{A})) = \alpha(f(\bar{A})) = \alpha(C) = \partial_\infty G,$$

but $\hat{h}(\alpha(\bar{A})) \neq \partial_\infty G$, because $\alpha(\bar{A}) \neq \partial_\infty G$. This last assertion follows from the fact that α is a homeomorphism and the assumption that A is not dense in C . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Mario Bonk and Ilya Kapovich for many useful conversations. He also thanks the anonymous referee for numerous useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

AIM09 K. Astala, T. Iwaniec and G. M. Martin, *Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009).
 Bon11 M. Bonk, *Uniformization of Sierpiński carpets in the plane*, Invent. Math. **186** (2011), 559–665.
 BKM09 M. Bonk, B. Kleiner and S. Merenkov, *Rigidity of Schottky sets*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), 409–443.
 BM13 M. Bonk and S. Merenkov, *Quasimetric rigidity of square Sierpiński carpets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **177** (2013), 591–643.

- BS00 M. Bonk and O. Schramm, *Embeddings of Gromov hyperbolic spaces*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **10** (2000), 266–306.
- Bow98 B. H. Bowditch, *A topological characterisation of hyperbolic groups*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1998), 643–667.
- Bow96 B. H. Bowditch, *Convergence groups and configuration spaces*, in *Geometric group theory down under* (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999), 23–54.
- BH99 M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature* (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
- GH90 E. Ghys and P. de la Harpe (eds), *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 83 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990).
- Hei01 J. Heinonen, *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces* (Springer, New York, 2001).
- KB02 I. Kapovich and N. Benakli, *Boundaries of hyperbolic groups*, in *Combinatorial and geometric group theory*, *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 296 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002), 39–93.
- KK00 M. Kapovich and B. Kleiner, *Hyperbolic groups with low-dimensional boundary*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **33** (2000), 647–669.
- Mer12 S. Merenkov, *Planar relative Schottky sets and quasimetric maps*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **104** (2012), 455–485.
- Mer13 S. Merenkov, *Local rigidity of Schottky maps*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
[arXiv:1305.4683](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.4683).
- Moo25 R. L. Moore, *Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (1925), 416–428.
- Väi85 J. Väisälä, *Quasi-Möbius maps*, *J. Anal. Math.* **44** (1984/85), 218–234.

Sergei Merenkov merenkov@illinois.edu, smerenkov@ccny.cuny.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

and

Department of Mathematics, The City College of New York, New York, NY 10031, USA