

## OPERATORS ON $C_0(L, X)$ WHOSE RANGE DOES NOT CONTAIN $c_0$

JARNO TALPONEN

(Received 27 November 2007)

### Abstract

This paper contains two results: (a) if  $X \neq \{0\}$  is a Banach space and  $(L, \tau)$  is a nonempty locally compact Hausdorff space without isolated points, then each linear operator  $T: C_0(L, X) \rightarrow C_0(L, X)$  whose range does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $c_0$  satisfies the Daugavet equality  $\|\mathbf{I} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ ; (b) if  $\Gamma$  is a nonempty set and  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces such that  $X$  is reflexive and  $Y$  does not contain  $c_0$  isomorphically, then any continuous linear operator  $T: c_0(\Gamma, X) \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46B20; secondary 46B28.

*Keywords and phrases*: Daugavet equation, weakly compact operators, vector-valued function spaces.

### 1. Introduction

In what follows  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are real Banach spaces different from  $\{0\}$ ,  $L$  is a nonempty locally compact Hausdorff space and  $C_0(L, X)$  denotes the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -normed Banach space of  $X$ -valued continuous functions on  $L$  vanishing at infinity. This work is related to the result due to Cembranos [2] that if  $K$  is an infinite compact and  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space, then the Banach space  $C(K, X)$  of  $X$ -valued continuous functions on  $K$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ . See also the related paper [1] where the Dieudonné property of  $C(K, X)$  was studied.

Going in another direction, we study continuous linear operators of the type  $(*) T: C_0(L, X) \rightarrow Y$ , where  $Y$  does not contain  $c_0$  isomorphically. There is a structural disparity between spaces  $C_0(L, X)$  and  $Y$ , since typically the former space contains copies of  $c_0$  in abundance. This difference has a strong impact on the properties of  $T$ . Namely, it turns out that the range of  $T$  in  $Y$  is *small* in some sense.

If  $L$  does not contain isolated points, then an operator  $T: C_0(L, X) \rightarrow C_0(L, X)$  of type  $(*)$  satisfies the Daugavet type equality  $\|\mathbf{I} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$  (see Theorem 2.1). See [5] for a recent discussion on matters related to the Daugavet property.

If  $L$  is discrete,  $X$  is reflexive and  $c_0$  is not contained in  $Y$  isomorphically, then an operator  $T: C_0(L, X) \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact (see Theorem 2.3).

**Preliminaries.** Here  $X$  and  $Y$  denote real Banach spaces. The closed unit ball and the unit sphere of  $X$  are denoted by  $\mathbf{B}_X$  and  $\mathbf{S}_X$ , respectively. An identity mapping is denoted by  $\mathbf{I}$ . An operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact if  $\overline{T(\mathbf{B}_X)}$  is weakly compact. If  $X \neq \{0\}$ , then we say that  $X$  is *nontrivial*. For given sets  $A \subset B$  the mapping  $\chi_A : B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is determined by  $\chi_A(t) = 1$  if and only if  $t \in A$ . We refer to [3, 4, 6] for suitable background information including definitions and basic results.

### 2. Results

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a nontrivial Banach space and  $(L, \tau)$  a nonempty locally compact Hausdorff space without isolated points. Then each linear operator  $T : C_0(L, X) \rightarrow C_0(L, X)$  whose range does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $c_{00}$  satisfies the Daugavet equality*

$$\|\mathbf{I} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

Let us first make some preparations before giving the proof. It is easy to see that the range of  $T$  contains  $c_{00}$  isomorphically if and only if the closure of the range contains  $c_0$ .

The assumption that  $L$  does not contain isolated points cannot be removed. Indeed, if  $L$  is not a singleton,  $t_0 \in L$  is an isolated point and  $X$  contains no isomorphic copy of  $c_0$ , then the linear operator

$$T : C_0(L, X) \rightarrow X; \quad F \mapsto -\chi_{\{t_0\}}(\cdot)F(\cdot)$$

is of type (\*) and satisfies  $\|T\| = \|\mathbf{I} + T\| = 1$ .

Theorem 2.1 holds analogously for  $T : CB(L, X) \rightarrow CB(L, X)$ , essentially with the same proof. Here  $CB(L, X)$  is the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -normed Banach space of  $X$ -valued bounded continuous functions on  $L$ .

For a linear operator  $T : C_0(L, X) \rightarrow Y$  we denote

$$\text{osc}_T(A) = \sup\{\|TF\| : F \in \mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,X)}, L \setminus A \subset F^{-1}(0)\} \quad \text{for } A \subset L.$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $T : C_0(L, X) \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator, where  $Y$  does not contain  $c_0$  isomorphically. Suppose that  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of pair-wise disjoint nonempty open subsets of  $L$ . Then  $\text{osc}_T(V_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** By passing to a subsequence it suffices, without loss of generality, to show that  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{osc}_T(V_n) = 0$ . Indeed, assume to the contrary that there is some  $d > 0$  such that  $\text{osc}_T(V_n) \geq d$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that one can find a sequence

$$(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \left(\frac{1}{d} + 1\right)\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,X)}$$

such that  $F_n$  is supported in  $V_n$  and  $\|T(F_n)\| = 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that for each finite subset  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$  it holds that

$$\sum_{i \in I} F_i \in \left(\frac{1}{d} + 1\right)\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,X)}$$

as  $V_n$  are pair-wise disjoint. Since  $T$  is linear and continuous, we obtain that

$$\sup_{\epsilon, I} \left\| \sum_{i \in I} T(\epsilon_i F_i) \right\| \leq \left( \frac{1}{d} + 1 \right) \|T\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all signs  $\epsilon: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  and finite subsets  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ .

Recall the well-known result due to Bessaga and Pelczynski (see for example [4, page 202]) that in a Banach space  $Y$  a sequence  $(y_n) \subset S_Y$  is equivalent to the standard unit vector basis of  $c_0$  if and only if

$$\sup_{\epsilon, I} \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i y_i \right\| < \infty$$

(supremum taken as above). By placing  $y_i = T(F_i)$  we obtain that the range of  $T$  contains  $c_{00}$  isomorphically, which contradicts the assumptions. Hence,  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{osc}_T(V_n) = 0$ . □

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** Recall that as  $(L, \tau)$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space it is completely regular, that is, for each closed set  $C \subset L$  and  $t \in L \setminus C$  there is a continuous map  $s: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s(C) = \{0\}$  and  $s(t) = 1$ .

Suppose that there are no isolated points in  $(L, \tau)$ . Let  $T: C_0(L, X) \rightarrow C_0(L, X)$  be a linear operator. If the operator norm of  $T$  is 0 or  $\infty$ , then the Daugavet equation holds trivially, so that we may concentrate on the case  $\|T\| = C \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $F \in \mathbf{S}_{C_0(L, X)}$  such that  $G = TF$  satisfies  $\|G\| > C - (1/k)$ . Consider the open subspace  $U = \{t \in L: \|G(t)\| > C - (1/k)\}$  of  $L$ .

We can pick a sequence  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U$  of pair-wise disjoint open subsets as follows. Clearly  $\overline{U}$  is also a locally compact (even compact) Hausdorff space which does not contain isolated points. Hence,  $U$  itself is not a singleton, and we may take two points  $t_0, t_1 \in U$ ,  $t_0 \neq t_1$ . Since  $U$  is a Hausdorff space, there are disjoint open neighbourhoods  $U_0, U_1 \subset U$  of  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ , respectively. By repeating the same reasoning, pick  $t_{10}, t_{11} \in U_1$ ,  $t_{10} \neq t_{11}$  and disjoint open neighbourhoods  $U_{10}, U_{11} \subset U_1$  of  $t_{10}$  and  $t_{11}$ , respectively. Similarly, pick  $t_{110}, t_{111} \in U_{11}$ ,  $t_{110} \neq t_{111}$  and the corresponding disjoint open neighbourhoods  $U_{110}, U_{111} \subset U_{11}$ . Proceeding in this manner yields a sequence of pair-wise disjoint open subsets by letting  $V_n = U_s$ ,  $s \in \{1\}^n \times \{0\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $c_0 \not\subset T(C_0(L, X))$  we obtain, by using Lemma 2.2, that  $\text{osc}_T(V_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{osc}_T(V_n) < (1/k)$ . Let  $u_0 \in V_n$ . By using the complete regularity of  $L$  one can find a continuous map  $s: L \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $s(L \setminus V_n) = \{0\}$  and  $s(u_0) = 1$ . Observe that the mappings  $s(\cdot)F(\cdot)$  and  $(s(\cdot)/\max(1, \|G(\cdot)\|_X))G(\cdot)$  are elements of  $\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L, X)}$ . Hence,

$$\|T(s(\cdot)F(\cdot))\|_{C_0(L, X)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| T \left( \frac{s(\cdot)}{\max(1, \|G(\cdot)\|_X)} G(\cdot) \right) \right\|_{C_0(L, X)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad (2.1)$$

by the definition of  $\text{osc}_T(V_n)$ . Note that

$$\left\| (1 - s(t))F(t) + \frac{s(t)}{\max(1, \|G(t)\|_X)}G(t) \right\|_X \leq (1 - s(t))\|F(t)\|_X + s(t) \leq 1$$

for all  $t \in L$ . Hence,

$$E(\cdot) \doteq (1 - s(\cdot))F(\cdot) + \frac{s(\cdot)}{\max(1, \|G(\cdot)\|_X)}G(\cdot)$$

defines an element of  $\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L, X)}$ . Note that  $E$  is a kind of interpolation of  $F$  and  $G$ .

Observe that

$$\|G - T(E)\| \leq \frac{2}{k}$$

according to (2.1), and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|E + G\|_{C_0(L, X)} &\geq \|(E + G)u_0\|_X = \left\| \frac{s(u_0)}{\|G(u_0)\|_X}G(u_0) + G(u_0) \right\|_X \\ &= 1 + \|G(u_0)\|_X > 1 + C - \frac{1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathbf{I} + T\| \geq \|E + T(E)\| \geq \|E + G\| - \|G - T(E)\| > 1 + C - \frac{3}{k}$$

and by letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain that  $\|\mathbf{I} + T\| \geq 1 + C = 1 + \|T\|$ . By the triangle inequality  $\|\mathbf{I} + T\| \leq 1 + \|T\|$  and we have the claim.  $\square$

Let us recall a few classical results due to James which are applied here frequently: a closed convex subset  $C \subset X$  is weakly compact if and only if each  $f \in X^*$  attains its supremum over  $C$ , and  $X$  is reflexive if and only if  $\mathbf{B}_X$  is weakly compact (see, for example, [4, Chapter 3]).

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a nonempty set and  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces such that  $X$  is reflexive and  $Y$  does not contain  $c_0$  isomorphically. Then any continuous linear operator  $T : c_0(\Gamma, X) \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact.*

The above result holds similarly for  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, X)$  in place of  $c_0(\Gamma, X)$ , essentially with the same proof. Note that the operators  $\mathbf{I} : c_0(\mathbb{N}, X) \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{N}, X)$  and  $T : c_0(\mathbb{N}, Y) \rightarrow Y; (y_n) \mapsto (y_1, 0, 0, \dots)$  are not weakly compact for any nontrivial  $X$  and nonreflexive  $Y$  according to the James characterization of reflexivity. Hence, neither of the assumptions about the reflexivity or the noncontainment of  $c_0$  can be removed.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3.** Let  $T : c_0(\Gamma, X) \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous linear operator such that  $Y$  does not contain  $c_0$ . One may write  $c_0(\Gamma, X) = C_0(\Gamma, X)$  isometrically where  $\Gamma$  on the right-hand side is interpreted as a discrete topological space.

We claim that the sum

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{osc}_T(\{\gamma\})$$

is defined and finite. Indeed, otherwise one can extract pair-wise disjoint (open) subsets  $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \text{osc}_T(\{\gamma\}) \geq 1$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, since  $c_0 \not\subset Y$ , Lemma 2.2 yields that this case does not occur. Thus there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$  such that  $\text{osc}_T(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

In order to verify the statement of the theorem we must show that  $\overline{T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, X)})}$  is weakly compact. In doing this we apply the James characterization of weakly compact sets. Fix  $f \in Y^*$ . It suffices to show that  $f$  attains its supremum over  $\overline{T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, X)})}$ . Observe that  $f \circ T$  defines an element of  $C_0(\Gamma, X)^*$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define a contractive linear projection

$$P_k : c_0(\Gamma, X) \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma, X) \quad \text{by } P_k f(\cdot) = \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}}(\cdot) f(\cdot).$$

Put  $g_k = f \circ T \circ P_k$  and  $Z_k = P_k(c_0(\Gamma, X))$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $g_k$  restricted to  $Z_k$  satisfies  $g_k|_{Z_k} \in Z_k^*$ , where  $Z_k^* = \ell^1(\{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}, X^*)$  isometrically for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly  $g_{k+l}|_{Z_k} = g_k|_{Z_k}$  for  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, there is a sequence  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^*$  such that

$$g_k \left( \sum_{n=1}^k \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) y_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^k x_n^*(y_n) \tag{2.2}$$

for  $\sum_{n=1}^k \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}} y_n \in Z_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Observe that since  $X$  is reflexive, according to the James characterization of reflexivity there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{S}_X$  such that  $x_n^*(x_n) = \|x_n^*\|$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^k x_n^*(x_n) = \|g_k\| \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.3}$$

Now, since  $\text{osc}_T(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T - T \circ P_k\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g_k - f \circ T\| = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

By putting these observations together and using the continuity of  $T$  we obtain that the sequence

$$\left( T \left( \sum_{n=1}^k \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) x_n \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$$

is Cauchy. On the other hand,

$$\|f \circ T\| \geq f \circ T \left( \sum_{n=1}^k \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) x_n \right) \geq \|g_k\| - \|g_k - f \circ T\| \rightarrow \|f \circ T\| \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

by using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). We conclude that

$$y \doteq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T \left( \sum_{n=1}^k \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) x_n \right) \in \overline{T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, X)})}$$

satisfies

$$f(y) = \|f \circ T\| = \sup_{z \in T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, X)})} f(z),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

### References

- [1] F. Bombal and P. Cembranos, ‘The Dieudonné property of  $C(K, E)$ ’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), 649–656.
- [2] P. Cembranos, ‘ $C(K, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ ’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **91** (1984), 556–558.
- [3] J. Diestel and J. Uhl Jr, *Vector Measures*, Mathematical Surveys, 15 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977).
- [4] P. Habala, P. Hajek and V. Zizler, *Introduction to Banach Spaces I–II* (MatfyzPress, Prague, 1996).
- [5] D. Werner, ‘Recent progress on the Daugavet property’, *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* **46** (2001), 77–97.
- [6] S. Willard, *General Topology* (Dover, New York, 2004).

JARNO TALPONEN, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,  
University of Helsinki, Box 68, Gustaf Hällströminkatu 2b,  
FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland  
e-mail: [talponen@cc.helsinki.fi](mailto:talponen@cc.helsinki.fi)