

## ROSENTHAL SETS AND THE RADON-NIKODYM PROPERTY

PATRICK N. DOWLING

(Received 5 April 1991; revised 24 July 1991)

Communicated by P. G. Dodds

### Abstract

Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space,  $G$  a compact abelian metrizable group and  $\Lambda$  a subset of  $\widehat{G}$ , the dual group of  $G$ . If  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and  $L_{\Lambda}^{\infty}(G; X)$  is separable, then  $L_{\Lambda}^{\infty}(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. One consequence of this is that  $C_{\Lambda}(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property whenever  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and the Schur property and  $\Lambda$  is a Rosenthal set. A partial stability property for products of Rosenthal sets is also obtained.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 46 B 22, 43 A 46.

*Keywords and phrases*: Rosenthal sets, Radon-Nikodym property.

### 1. Introduction

For Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . In this set-up, Pettis's last theorem states: if  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  is separable, then  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property [3, p. 165]. Notice that if  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  is separable, then  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  are separable and so  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  have the Radon-Nikodym property.

In this note, we will prove a result which is a variant of Pettis's last theorem. In our case, we will replace  $X$  by a particular quotient of  $L^1$  and  $Y^*$  by an arbitrary Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property. To be more specific, if  $G$  is a compact abelian metrizable group and  $\Lambda$  is a Rosenthal subset of  $\widehat{G}$ , then  $L_{\Lambda}^{\infty}(G)$  is a separable dual space. If we

let  $\Lambda' = \{\gamma \in \widehat{G} : \bar{\gamma} \notin \Lambda\}$ , then  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$  is the dual of  $L^1(G)/L^1_{\Lambda'}(G)$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(G)/L^1_{\Lambda'}(G), X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property whenever  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G), X)$  is separable. In fact, a close analysis of Lemma 4 of [2, p. 62] yields that proving the above result is equivalent to proving that  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property whenever  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  is separable. This is the first result we prove in the next section.

One consequence of these results is that if  $\Lambda$  is a Rosenthal subset of  $\widehat{G}$  and  $X$  is a complex Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property and the Schur property, then  $C_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. It is unknown, at the moment, if the restriction of  $X$  having the Schur property is necessary. Actually, a related question, which is also still unanswered, is the following: if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two compact abelian metrizable groups and  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are two Rosenthal subsets of  $\widehat{G}_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$ , respectively, is  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$  a Rosenthal subset of  $\widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2$ ? However, we will be able to show that if  $\Lambda_1$  is a Rosenthal set and  $\Lambda_2$  is such that  $L^\infty_{\Lambda_2}(G_2)$  has the Schur property, then  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$  is a Rosenthal set. The question of whether the product of two Rosenthal sets is again a Rosenthal set was first raised by F. Lust-Piquard.

### 2. The results

Throughout this section,  $G$  will denote a compact abelian metrizable group,  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $G$  and  $\lambda$  is normalised Haar measure on  $G$ . The dual group of  $G$  is denoted by  $\widehat{G}$ . If  $f$  is a function defined on  $G$  and  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ , we define  $\hat{f}(\gamma)$  by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G \overline{\gamma(g)} f(g) d\lambda(g).$$

For a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\widehat{G}$ , we define

$$C_\Lambda(G) = \{f \in C(G) : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \notin \Lambda\}$$

and

$$L^\infty_\Lambda(G) = \{f \in L^\infty(G) : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \notin \Lambda\}.$$

A subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\widehat{G}$  is called a Rosenthal set if  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G) = C_\Lambda(G)$ . A result of Lust-Piquard [5] says that  $\Lambda$  is a Rosenthal set if and only if  $C_\Lambda(G)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.

For a complex Banach space  $X$ , we define

$$L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X) = \{f \in L^\infty(G, X) : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \notin \Lambda\},$$

where  $L^\infty(G, X)$  is the space of all (equivalence classes of)  $X$ -valued Bochner integrable functions defined on  $G$  that are essentially bounded.  $C_\Lambda(G, X)$  can be defined in a similar manner.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a compact abelian metrizable group, let  $\Lambda$  be a subset of  $\widehat{G}$  and let  $X$  be a complex Banach space. If  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  is separable, then  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.*

**PROOF.** To show that  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property it suffices to show that every bounded linear operator from  $L^1[0, 1]$  into  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  is Bochner representable [2]. So, let  $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  be a bounded linear operator. For a Lebesgue measurable subset  $A$  of  $[0, 1]$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ , define

$$\mu(A \times B) = \int_B T(1_A)(g) d\lambda(g).$$

If we let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra generated by sets of the form  $A \times B$ , where  $A$  is a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $[0, 1]$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ , then it is easily shown that  $\mu$  is a finitely additive  $X$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu(A \times B)\| &\leq \int_B \|T(1_A)(g)\|_X d\lambda(g) \leq \int_B \|T(1_A)\|_{L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)} d\lambda(g) \\ &= \lambda(B) \|T(1_A)\|_{L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)} \\ &\leq \lambda(B) \|T\| \|1_A\|_{L^1[0, 1]} = \lambda(B) \|T\| m(A) \\ &= \|T\| (m \times \lambda)(A \times B), \end{aligned}$$

where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus  $\|\mu(R)\| \leq \|T\| (m \times \lambda)(R)$  for all  $R \in \mathcal{R}$ .

If we let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $[0, 1]$ , then the product  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G)$ , is generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ . Also,  $m \times \lambda$  is a non-negative finite countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G)$ . For  $E, F \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G)$ , define  $d(E, F) = (m \times \lambda)(E \Delta F)$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G), d)$  is a pseudo-metric space and  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G), d)$ . For  $E, F \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mu(E) - \mu(F) = \mu(E \setminus F) - \mu(F \setminus E)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu(E) - \mu(F)\| &\leq \|\mu(E \setminus F)\| + \|\mu(F \setminus E)\| \\ &\leq \|T\| (m \times \lambda)(E \setminus F) + \|T\| (m \times \lambda)(F \setminus E) \\ &= \|T\| (m \times \lambda)(E \Delta F) \\ &= \|T\| d(E, F). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\mu: (\mathcal{R}, d) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Lipschitz function and since  $(\mathcal{R}, d)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G), d)$  there is a Lipschitz extension of  $\mu$ ,

$\bar{\mu}: (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G), d) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|)$ , such that  $\bar{\mu}(R) = \mu(R)$  for all  $R \in \mathcal{R}$ . Thus,  $\bar{\mu}$  is an  $X$ -valued countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G)$  and  $\|\bar{\mu}(S)\| \leq \|T\|(m \times \lambda)(S)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G)$ . Hence,  $\bar{\mu}$  is an  $X$ -valued measure of bounded average range and since  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property there exists  $F \in L^\infty([0, 1] \times G, X)$  such that

$$\bar{\mu}(S) = \int_S F(t, g)d(m \times \lambda)(t, g)$$

for all  $S \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(G)$ .

In particular, for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B T(1_A)(g)d\lambda(g) &= \mu(A \times B) = \bar{\mu}(A \times B) = \int_{A \times B} F(t, g)d(m \times \lambda)(t, g) \\ &= \int_B \int_A F(t, g)dm(t)d\lambda(g). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $x^* \in X^*$ , define

$$T_{x^*}: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow L^\infty_\Lambda(G) \quad \text{by } (T_{x^*}.f)(g) = x^*((Tf)(g))$$

for  $g \in G$  and  $f \in L^1[0, 1]$ .  $T_{x^*}$  is a bounded linear operator and  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property (this is so because  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$  is a dual space which is separable since it is a subspace of the separable space  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$ ). Therefore, there is  $F_{x^*} \in L^\infty([0, 1], L^\infty_\Lambda(G))$  such that

$$T_{x^*}.(f) = \int_{[0, 1]} f(t)F_{x^*}(t)dm(t)$$

for all  $f \in L^1[0, 1]$ . In particular, if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g \in G$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(T(1_A)(g)) &= (T_{x^*}.(1_A))(g) = \left[ \int_{[0, 1]} 1_A(t)F_{x^*}(t)dm(t) \right] (g) \\ &= \int_A (F_{x^*}(t))(g)dm(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  and  $x^* \in X^*$

$$\int_B x^*(T(1_A)(g))d\lambda(g) = \int_B \int_A (F_{x^*}(t))(g)dm(t)d\lambda(g).$$

Consequently, for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  and  $x^* \in X^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \int_A x^*(F(t, g))dm(t)d\lambda(g) &= x^* \left[ \int_B \int_A F(t, g)dm(t)d\lambda(g) \right] \\ &= x^*(\mu(A \times B)) \\ &= x^* \left[ \int_B T(1_A)(g)d\lambda(g) \right] = \int_B x^*(T(1_A)(g))d\lambda(g) \\ &= \int_B \int_A (F_{x^*}(t))(g)dm(t)d\lambda(g). \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F_{x^*} \in L^\infty([0, 1], L^\infty_\Lambda(G))$ , so by [4, p. 198], Theorem 17, there exists  $H_{x^*}: [0, 1] \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is  $m \times \lambda$ -measurable and such that  $F_{x^*}(t) = H_{x^*}(t, \cdot)$  for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . From this we get that for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$

$$\int_B \int_A x^*(F(t, g)) dm(t) d\lambda(g) = \int_B \int_A H_{x^*}(t, g) dm(t) d\lambda(g).$$

Therefore  $x^*(F(t, g)) = H_{x^*}(t, g)$  for  $m \times \lambda$ -almost all  $(t, g) \in [0, 1] \times G$  (where the exceptional set of measure zero may vary with  $x^*$ ). In particular, for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x^*(F(t, g)) = H_{x^*}(t, g)$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ . This yields that for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x^*(F(t, g)) = F_{x^*}(t)(g)$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ . Therefore,  $x^*(F(t, \cdot))$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where again we note that the exceptional set of measure zero may vary with  $x^*$ . However, since  $X$  is separable, there is a countable norming set  $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in the unit ball of  $X^*$ . From this we have that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n^*(F(t, \cdot))$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By Corollary 4 of [2, p. 42] we have that  $F(t, \cdot)$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Define  $K(t) = \begin{cases} F(t, \cdot) & \text{if } F(t, \cdot) \text{ is } \lambda\text{-measurable,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $K(t): G \rightarrow X$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable and for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x^*(K(t)(g)) = x^*(F(t, g)) = (F_{x^*}(t))(g)$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ .

$$\|F_{x_n^*}\|_{L^\infty([0, 1], L^\infty_\Lambda(G))} = \|T_{x_n^*}\| \leq \|x_n^*\| \|T\| \leq \|T\|.$$

Therefore, for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|F_{x_n^*}(t)\|_{L^\infty_\Lambda(G)} \leq \|T\|$ . Consequently, for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|F_{x_n^*}(t)(g)| \leq \|T\|$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ . From this we get that for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|x_n^*(K(t)(g))| \leq \|T\|$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ . Since  $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a norming set we have that for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\|K(t)(g)\|_X \leq \|T\|$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ . Thus, for  $m$ -almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\|K(t)\|_{L^\infty(G, X)} \leq \|T\|$ .

Now define  $K_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow L^\infty(G, X)$  by

$$K_1(t) = \begin{cases} K(t) & \text{if } \|K(t)\|_{L^\infty(G, X)} \leq \|T\|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma \notin \Lambda$  and  $x^* \in X^*$

$$x^*[\widehat{K_1(t)}(\gamma)] = \int_G x^*(K_1(t)(g)) \overline{\gamma(g)} d\lambda(g).$$

If  $\|K(t)\|_{L^\infty(G, X)} \leq \|T\|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x^*[\widehat{K_1(t)}(\gamma)] &= \int_G x^*(K(t)(g)) \overline{\gamma(g)} d\lambda(g) = \int_G (F_{x^*}(t))(g) \overline{\gamma(g)} d\lambda(g) \\ &= F_{x^*}(t)(\gamma) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $F_{x^*}(t) \in L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$ . If  $\|K(t)\|_{L^\infty(G, X)} > \|T\|$ , then  $x^*(\widehat{K_1}(t)(\gamma)) = 0$ . Hence  $K_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$ .

At this stage, we need to show  $K_1$  is  $m$ -measurable. Since  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  is separable, it suffices to show that  $K_1$  is scalarly measurable on a total set of linear functionals on  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  [1]. Note that for  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , the map  $x^* \otimes \gamma: L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $(x^* \otimes \gamma)(f) = x^*(\widehat{f(\cdot)})(\gamma)$  is a bounded linear functional. The total set we will use is the set  $\{x_n^* \otimes \gamma : n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \Lambda\}$  where  $\{x_n^*\}$  is a norming set in  $X^*$ . Note that this set is countable since  $\Lambda$  is countable.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ ,

$$(x_n^* \otimes \gamma)(K_1(t)) = \int_G x_n^*(K_1(t)(g))\overline{\gamma(g)}d\lambda(g) = \int_G x_n^*(F(t, g))\overline{\gamma(g)}d\lambda(g).$$

However, since  $x_n^*(F(t, g))$  is a measurable function of  $t$ , so is the above integral and hence  $(x_n^* \otimes \gamma)(K_1(t))$  is a measurable function of  $t$ . Therefore,  $K_1$  is an  $m$ -measurable function.

To complete the proof we must show that for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $T(1_A) = \int_A K_1(t)dm(t)$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$\int_B T(1_A)(g)d\lambda(g) = \int_B \int_A F(s, t)dm(t)d\lambda(g) = \int_B \int_A K_1(t)(g)dm(t)d\lambda(g).$$

Thus, for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $g \in G$ ,

$$T(1_A)(g) = \int_A K_1(t)(g)dm(t)$$

and so as elements of  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$ ,  $T(1_A) = \int_A K_1(t)dm(t)$ . Hence  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.

**REMARK.** The converse of the theorem is also true: if  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and  $X$  is separable, then  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  is separable. The proof can essentially be found in [5].

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact abelian metrizable group,  $\Lambda$  a subset of  $\widehat{G}$  and  $X$  a complex Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property. If  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G), X)$  is separable, then it has the Radon-Nikodym property ( $\Lambda' = \{\gamma : \bar{\gamma} \notin \Lambda\}$ ).*

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property,  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G), X)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, X)$  [2, p. 63]. Now apply the Theorem.

**REMARK.** A close analysis of the proof of Theorem 1 allows one to generalise Corollary 1 to obtain the following:

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space such that  $L^1(\mu)$  is separable. Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $L^1(\mu)$ . If  $X$  is a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property and if  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu)/Y, X)$  is separable, then  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu)/Y, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be a compact abelian metrizable group, let  $\Lambda$  be a subset of  $\widehat{G}$  and let  $X$  be a complex Banach space. If  $\Lambda$  is a Rosenthal set and  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and the Schur property, then  $C_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.*

**PROOF.** It suffices to show that every separable subspace of  $C_\Lambda(G, X)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. Notice that each separable subspace of  $C_\Lambda(G, X)$  is a subspace of  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$  for some separable subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ . We will be finished if we can show that  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property for every separable subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ .

Let  $Y$  be a separable subspace of  $X$ . We will show that  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, Y)$ . By Theorem 5 of [2, p. 63],  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G), Y)$ . However, since  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$  is a separable dual,  $L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G)$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell^1$ . Hence every bounded linear operator from  $L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G)$  to  $Y$  is compact because  $Y$  has the Schur property.  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$  has the approximation property so the space of all compact operators from  $L^1(G)/L^1_\Lambda(G)$  to  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G) \otimes Y$ . But  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G) \otimes Y = C_\Lambda(G) \otimes Y$ , which in turn is isomorphic to  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$ . Thus we have shown that  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, Y)$ .  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$  is separable since  $Y$  is separable and so  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G, Y)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property by the Theorem. Hence  $C_\Lambda(G, Y)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and so the proof is complete.

**REMARK.** In [6], Lust-Piquard shows that if  $G$  is a compact abelian metrizable group and  $\Lambda$  is a subset of  $\widehat{G}$  is such that  $L^\infty_\Lambda(G)$  has the Schur property, then  $\Lambda$  is a Rosenthal set.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two compact abelian metrizable groups and let  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  be subsets of  $\widehat{G}_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$ , respectively. If  $\Lambda_1$  is a Rosenthal set and  $L^\infty_{\Lambda_2}(G_2)$  has the Schur property, then  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$  is a Rosenthal subset of  $\widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2$ .*

**PROOF.** To show that  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$  is a Rosenthal set, it suffices to show that  $C_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}(G_1 \times G_2)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property [5].  $C_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}(G_1 \times G_2)$  is isomorphic to  $C_{\Lambda_1}(G_1, C_{\Lambda_2}(G_2))$ . Since  $L^\infty_{\Lambda_2}(G_2)$  has the Schur property,

$\Lambda_2$  is a Rosenthal set, by the preceding Remark, so  $C_{\Lambda_2}(G_2)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. An application of Corollary 2 completes the proof.

**REMARK.** Corollary 3 was proved independently by F. Lust-Piquard in [8, Chapter 4, Theorem 5].

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for many insightful comments and suggestions and for providing him a copy of [8].

### References

- [1] A. V. Bukhvalov, 'Radon-Nikodym property in Banach spaces of measurable vector-valued functions', *Mat. Zametki* **26** (1979), 875–884. English translation: *Math. Notes* **26** (1979), 939–944.
- [2] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys Monographs, 15 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977).
- [3] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., 'Progress in vector measures–1977–1983', in: *Measure Theory and its Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1033 (Springer, Berlin, 1983), pp. 144–192.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part I* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1957).
- [5] F. Lust-Piquard, 'Ensembles de Rosenthal et ensembles de Riesz', *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **282** (1976), 833–835.
- [6] F. Lust-Piquard, 'L'espace des fonctions presque-periodiques dont le spectre est contenu dans un ensemble compact dénombrable à la propriété de Schur', *Colloq. Math.* **41** (1979), 273–284.
- [7] F. Lust-Piquard, 'Propriétés géométriques des sous-espaces invariants par translation de  $L^1(G)$  et  $C(G)$ ', *Séminaire sur la géométrie des espaces de Banach, Ecole Polytechnique* (1977–78), Exposé 26.
- [8] F. Lust-Piquard, 'Propriétés harmoniques et géométriques des sous-espaces invariants par translation de  $L^\infty(G)$ ', (Ph.D. Thesis, Université Paris-Sud, 1978).

Miami University  
Oxford, Ohio 45056  
U.S.A.