CORRESPONDENCE.

ON THE METHOD OF DERIVING FROM A TABLE OF PREMIUMS THE RATE OF MORTALITY ON WHICH IT IS BASED.

To the Editor of the Assurance Magazine.

SIR,—I have looked over several prospectuses of Assurance Companies lately, and have been puzzled in some cases to find out the table of mortality according to which the annual premiums have been calculated throughout. Either the pure premiums have been loaded capriciously, or the premium tables have been meddled with by unskilful hands, in some instances; or, possibly, many Offices have their own particular tables of mortality, which are not made known to the world; or perhaps there may be some whose dexterity has enabled them to graduate a table of premiums irrespective of any table of mortality whatever.

I shall therefore assume that a table of pure premiums is given, to find out the table of mortality upon which it is based.

Let p_m and p_{m+1} be the pure premiums for any two consecutive ages; a_m, a_{m+1}, the present values of annuities corresponding to these ages; l_m, l_{m+1}, the numbers living, and which are to be registered in the table sought; $v = \dfrac{1}{1+r}$ and $d = 1 - v$. Then (1), $p_m = \dfrac{1}{1+a_m} - d$; (2), $p_{m+1} = \dfrac{1}{1+a_{m+1}} - d$; also (3), $a_m = \dfrac{l_{m+1}v}{l_m}(1 + a_{m+1})$. By means of these three equations the values a_m and a_{m+1} may be eliminated thus—

$$p_{m+1} - p_m = \frac{1}{1+a_{m+1}} - \frac{1}{1+a_m} \text{ from (3) } \frac{1}{1+a_{m+1}} = \frac{l_{m+1}v}{l_m a_m}$$

$$\therefore \ p_{m+1} = \frac{l_{m+1}v}{l_m a_m} - d, \text{ and } a_m = \frac{v - p_m}{d + p_m} .$$

Hence

$$p_{m+1} + d = \frac{l_{m+1}v}{l_m} \cdot \frac{d + p_m}{v - p_m}, \text{ or } \frac{(p_{m+1} + d)(v - p_m)l_m}{v(d + p_m)} = l_{m+1},$$

in which l_m may be assumed arbitrarily. Suppose $l_m = l_{10}$, then l_{10} being made equal to any convenient number, l_{11}, l_{12}, l_{13}, &c. may be found throughout.

If $l_{10} = 6460$, then $p_{10} = \cdot0116702$; $p_{11} = \cdot0119812$; $d = \cdot0291260$; $v = \cdot9708740 \ \therefore \ l_{11} = \dfrac{(\cdot0411072)(\cdot9592038)(6460)}{(\cdot0407962)(\cdot970874)} = 6431$, as in the Carlisle Table.

GEO. SCOTT.

40, *King William Street, London Bridge,*
    14th April, 1856.

NOTE.—A communication from Mr. William Wylie on this subject will be found at page 391, vol. ii., of this *Journal.*—ED. *A. M.*

R 2