

# AJIL Unbound

VOL. 109

July 2015-May 2016

## CONTENTS

PAGE

### **Agora: Reflections on *Zivotofsky v. Kerry***

<u>Introduction to Agora: Reflections on <i>Zivotofsky v. Kerry</i></u> <i>Curtis A. Bradley &amp; Carlos M. Vázquez</i>	1
<u>Historical Gloss, the Recognition Power, and Judicial Review</u> <i>Curtis A. Bradley</i>	2
<u><i>Zivotofsky II</i>'s Two Visions for Foreign Relations Law</u> <i>Harlan Grant Cohen</i>	10
<u><i>Zivotofsky v. Kerry</i> and the Balance of Power</u> <i>Jean Galbraith</i>	16
<u>Normalizing Foreign Relations Law after <i>Zivotofsky II</i></u> <i>Peter J. Spiro</i>	22
<u>Introduction to Agora, Part II: Reflections on <i>Zivotofsky v. Kerry</i></u> <i>Curtis A. Bradley &amp; Carlos M. Vázquez</i>	44
<u><i>Zivotofsky</i>: The Difference Between Inherent and Exclusive Presidential Power</u> <i>Julian Davis Mortenson</i>	45
<u>Presidential Signing Statements and Dialogic Constitutionalism</u> <i>Catherine Powell</i>	51
<u><i>Zivotofsky</i> and the Politics of Passports</u> <i>John Torpey</i>	57
<u>Speaking With One Voice on the Recognition of States</u> <i>Campbell McLachlan</i>	61

### **Symposium on Stavros Gadinis**

<u>Introduction to Symposium on Stavros Gadinis, "Three Pathways to Global Standards: Private, Regulator, and Ministry Networks"</u> <i>Tom Ginsburg</i>	28
<u>Enter the Fox—Lumping and Splitting in the Study of Transnational Networks: A Response to Stavros Gadinis</u> <i>Robert B. Ahdieh</i>	29
<u>Standards, Networks, and the Political Economy of International Lawmaking: A Response to Stavros Gadinis</u> <i>Paul B. Stephan</i>	34
<u>Starting and Stopping Points: A Response to Stavros Gadinis</u> <i>Fleur Johns</i>	39

<b>Symposium: Rethinking State Jurisdiction in the Internet Era</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium: Rethinking State Jurisdiction in the Internet Era</u>	<i>Tom Ginsburg</i>	67
<u>A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction: Beyond the Harvard Draft: Comment on “A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction”</u>	<i>Dan Jerker B. Svantesson</i>	69
<u>A Private (International) Law Perspective: Comment on “A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction”</u>	<i>Horatia Muir Watt</i>	75
<u>An Urgent Suggestion to Pour Old Wine Into New Bottles: Comment on “A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction”</u>	<i>Cedric Ryngaert</i>	81
<u>Comment on Svantesson, A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction</u>	<i>Tom Ginsburg</i>	86
<b>Symposium on the Constitutionalization of International Law in Latin America</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium on the Constitutionalization of International Law in Latin America</u>	<i>Alexandra Hunees</i>	89
<u>Conventionality Control: The New Doctrine of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights</u>	<i>Eduardo Ferrer Mac-Gregor</i>	93
<u>An Alternative Approach to the Conventionality Control Doctrine</u>	<i>Ariel E. Dulitzky</i>	100
<u><i>Ius Constitutionale Commune en América Latina: Observations on Transformative Constitutionalism</i></u>	<i>Armin von Bogdandy</i>	109
<u>Democracy and Rights in <i>Gelman v. Uruguay</i></u>	<i>Roberto Gargarella</i>	115
<b>Symposium: The Pope's Encyclical and Climate Change Policy</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium: The Pope’s Encyclical and Climate Change Policy</u>	<i>Tom Ginsburg</i>	120
<u>Theology and Politics in <i>Laudato Si’</i></u>	<i>Dale Jamieson</i>	122
<u>Should We Care What The Pope Says About Climate Change?</u>	<i>Daniel Bodansky</i>	127
<u>Dominion and Stewardship</u>	<i>Dinah Shelton</i>	132
<u><i>Laudato Si’</i>, Pope Francis’ Call to Ecological Conversion, Responding to the Cry of the Earth and the Poor—</u>	<i>Ileana M. Porras</i>	136
<u>Towards an Integral Ecology</u>	<i>Lavanya Rajamani</i>	142
<u>The Papal Encyclical &amp; the Role of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities in the International Climate Change Negotiations</u>	<i>Lincoln L. Davies</i>	147
<u>Energy, Consumption, and the Amoralism of Energy Law</u>		
<b>Symposium on the Immunity of State Officials</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium: Immunity of State Officials from Foreign</u>		

<u>Criminal Jurisdiction: Comments on the International Law Commission's Draft Articles</u>	<i>Ingrid B. Wuerth</i>	153
<u>Foreign Official Immunity in the International Law Commission: The Meanings of "Official Capacity"</u>	<i>William S. Dodge</i>	156
<u>Horizontal Enforcement and the ILC's Proposed Draft Articles on the Immunity of State Officials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction</u>	<i>Chimène I. Keitner</i>	161
<u>An "International Crime" Exception to the Immunity of State Officials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction: Not Currently, Not Likely</u>	<i>Roger O'Keefe</i>	167
<b>Symposium on Alan O. Sykes</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium on Alan O. Sykes, "Economic 'Necessity in International Law'"</u>	<i>Gregory Shaffer</i>	173
<u>Advancing Doctrine through Devil's Advocacy: A Response to Alan O. Sykes</u>	<i>Alberto Alvarez-Jimenez</i>	175
<u>On the Necessity of Necessity Measures: A Response to Alan O. Sykes</u>	<i>Anne van Aaken</i>	181
<b>Symposium on the International Legal Obligation to Criminalize Marital Rape</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium on the International Legal Obligation to Criminalize Marital Rape</u>	<i>Catherine Powell &amp; Carlos M. Vázquez</i>	187
<u>Criminalizing Sexual Violence against Women in Intimate Relationships: State Obligations under Human Rights Law</u>	<i>Melanie Randall &amp; Vasanthi Venkatesh</i>	189
<u>Marital Rape, Consent, and Human Rights: Comment on "Criminalizing Sexual Violence against Women in Intimate Relationships"</u>	<i>Robin West</i>	197
<u>Considering the Role of the State: Comment on: "Criminalizing Sexual Violence against Women in Intimate Relationships"</u>	<i>Julie Goldscheid</i>	202
<u>Introduction to Continuation of Symposium on the International Legal Obligation to Criminalize Marital Rape</u>	<i>Catherine Powell &amp; Carlos M. Vázquez</i>	319
<u>"If You Buy a Cup, Why Would You Not Use It?" Marital Rape: The Acceptable Face of Gender Based Violence</u>	<i>Fareeda Banda</i>	321
<u>Marital Rape: The Long Arch of Sexual Violence Against Women and Girls</u>	<i>Michelle Goodwin</i>	326
<u>Does International Law Really Require the Criminalization of Marital Rape?</u>	<i>Barbara Stark</i>	332
<u>Zero-Tolerance Comes to International Law</u>	<i>Aya Gruber</i>	326
<u>Why Sexual Assault in Intimate Relationships Must Be Criminalized as Required by International Human Rights Law: A Response to the Symposium Comments</u>	<i>Melanie Randall &amp; Vasanthi Venkatesh</i>	332
<b>Symposium on International Indigenous Rights, Financial Decisions, and Local Policy</b>		

<u>Introduction to Symposium on International Indigenous Rights, Financial Decisions, and Local Policy</u>	<i>Dwight Newman</i>	207
<u>Western Australia’s Remote Indigenous Communities: A Case against Closures and a Call for New Governance</u>	<i>Asta Hill</i>	209
<u>Indigenous Title and Its Contextual Economic Implications: Lessons for International Law from Canada’s <i>Tsilhqot’in</i> Decision</u>	<i>Dwight Newman</i>	215
<u>Indigenous Peoples, International Law, and Extractive Industry</u>	<i>Ibironke T. Odumosu-Ayanu</i>	220
<b>Symposium on Koh &amp; Buchwald</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium on Koh &amp; Buchwald, “The Crime of Aggression: The United States Perspective”</u>	<i>Dapo Akande</i>	226
<u>The International Criminal Court, Aggression, and Other Matters: A Response to Koh and Buchwald</u>	<i>Nicholas Rostow</i>	230
<u>Politics, the Rule of Law, and the Role of the Crime of Aggression, A Response to Koh and Buchwald</u>	<i>Tom Dannenbaum</i>	235
<u><i>Alea iacta est</i>: The Kampala Amendment on the Crime of Aggression post-2017: A Response to Koh and Buchwald</u>	<i>Andreas Zimmermann</i>	240
<u>Aggression, Affected States, and a Right to Participate: A Response to Koh and Buchwald</u>	<i>Sarah Williams</i>	246
<b>Symposium on TWAIL Perspectives on ICL, IHL, and Intervention</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium</u>	<i>James Thuo Gathii and Henry J. Richardson, III</i>	252
<u>Third World Approaches to International Criminal Law</u>	<i>Asad G. Kiyani</i>	255
<u>Self-Referring to the International Criminal Court: A Continuation of War by Other Means</u>	<i>Parvathi Menon</i>	260
<u>TWAIL and the “Unwilling or Unable” Doctrine, Continuities, and Ruptures</u>	<i>Ntina Tzouvala</i>	266
<u>Islamic Contributions to International Humanitarian Law: Recalibrating TWAIL Approaches for Existing Contributions and Legacies</u>	<i>Corri Zoli</i>	271
<b>Symposium on Joost Pauwelyn</b>		
<u>Introduction to Symposium on Joost Pauwelyn, “The Rule of Law without the Rule of Lawyers? Why Investment Arbitrators are from Mars, Trade Adjudicators are from Venus”</u>	<i>Donald McRae</i>	277
<u>Panelists, Arbitrators, Judges: A Response to Joost Pauwelyn</u>	<i>Giorgio Sacerdoti</i>	283
<u>Judging from Venus: A Response to Joost Pauwelyn</u>	<i>Gabrielle Marceau, Catherine Quinn, and Juan Pablo Moya Hoyos</i>	288
<u>Apparent Dichotomies, Covert Similarities: A Response to Joost Pauwelyn</u>	<i>Catherine A. Rogers</i>	294
<u>The Rule of Law or the Perception of the Beholder? Why Investment</u>		

<u>Arbitrators are under Fire and Trade Adjudicators are not: A Response to Joost Pauwelyn</u>	<i>Freya Baetens</i>	302
<u>Venus, Mars, and Brussels: Legitimacy and Dispute Settlement Culture in Investment Law and WTO Law: A Response to Joost Pauwelyn</u>	<i>Robert Howse</i>	309
<u>The Relative Success of WTO Dispute Settlement and What Planet Would the EU Investment Court System be on? A Rejoinder to AJIL Unbound Comments</u>	<i>Joost Pauwelyn</i>	316