

# Temporal evolution of the turbulence interface of a turbulent plane jet

Yuanliang Xie<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup>, Xinxian Zhang<sup>[2](#page-0-1)</sup>, Xue-Lu Xiong<sup>1</sup> and Yi Zhou<sup>1,</sup>[†](#page-0-2)

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>1</sup> School of Energy and Power Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, PR China

<span id="page-0-1"></span>2Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100094, PR China

(Received 5 February 2024; revised 6 November 2024; accepted 17 November 2024)

Direct numerical simulations are performed to explore the evolution behaviour of the turbulent/non-turbulent interface (TNTI) in a temporally evolving turbulent plane jet, using the evolution equation for the TNTI surface area. A novel algorithm is used to calculate the surface area of the TNTI and entrainment flux. It is shown that the surface area remains relatively constant, which leads to the mean entrainment velocity being inversely proportional to the square root of time. On average, the effects of the stretching and curvature/viscous terms on the TNTI area roughly counterbalance each other, while the curvature/inviscid term associated with vortex stretching is virtually zero. More specifically, the stretching term contributes to the production of the surface area, while the curvature/viscous term is associated with a destruction in the surface area. The local effect of the curvature/viscous term exhibits high spatial intermittency with small-scale extreme/intense events, whereas the effect of the large-scale stretching term is more continuous. To shed light on the contribution of curvature/viscous term to the evolution of the surface area, we decompose it into three components. The effect of the curvature/normal diffusion term (the curvature/viscous dissipation term) in the bulging regions (the valley regions) mainly contributes to the production of the area. The continuous decrease of the average mean curvature is associated with the production of the bulging regions and the destruction of the valley regions. Finally, although the entrainment velocity is mainly dominated by the normal diffusion effect, all three components related to the viscous effect are indispensable to the production and destruction of the TNTI area. This numerical study contributes to a better understanding of the evolution of the TNTI area.

Key words: turbulence theory, jets

<span id="page-0-2"></span>† Email address for correspondence: [yizhou@njust.edu.cn](mailto:yizhou@njust.edu.cn)

#### 1. Introduction

In free-shear flows (e.g. jets, wakes and mixing layers), the turbulent regions and the non-turbulent regions are separated by continuous, thin and highly contorted interfacial layers, which is also known as turbulent/non-turbulent interface (TNTI; see da Silva *et al.* [\(2014\)](#page-27-0) and references therein). The physical properties of the TNTI govern the exchange of mass and momentum between the turbulent and non-turbulent regions and, consequently, a thorough understanding of the TNTI is of critical importance to model the growth of free-shear turbulence and the accompanying scalar mixing.

The pioneering work of Corrsin & Kistler  $(1955)$  pointed out that there exists a viscous superlayer at the outer edge of the TNTI and the thickness of the viscous superlayer is comparable to the Kolmogorov length-scale. This assumption made by Corrsin & Kistler [\(1955\)](#page-26-0) was first confirmed by Taveira & da Silva [\(2014\)](#page-28-0). The continuous viscous superlayer is characterised by the overwhelming dominance of pure shear motions without solid-body rotation (Xie *et al.* [2023;](#page-28-1) Yin *et al.* [2023\)](#page-28-2). The characteristic features of the TNTI and the entrainment process through which the non-turbulent fluid points at the vicinity of the TNTI become turbulent have been explored extensively for more than half a century (see, for example, Westerweel *et al.* [2009;](#page-28-3) Holzner & Lüthi [2011;](#page-27-1) Taveira & da Silva [2013;](#page-28-4) da Silva, Lopes & Raman [2015;](#page-28-5) Xu, Long & Wang [2023\)](#page-28-6). It is commonly believed that there are two different mechanisms contribute to the entrainment process: small-scale nibbling and large-scale engulfment and the entrainment process is dominated by the effect of small-scale nibbling (Holzner & Lüthi [2011;](#page-27-1) da Silva *et al.* [2014\)](#page-27-0). Until now, understanding of the turbulent entrainment remains challenging. As a matter of fact, in a quite recent work by van Reeuwijk, Vassilicos & Craske  $(2021)$ , it is suggested that 'understanding of turbulent entrainment (the transport of fluid from regions of relatively low to relatively high levels of turbulence) remains fragmented'. One of the challenges is related to the fact that the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  is thought to be comparable to the Kolmogorov velocity (Holzner & Lüthi [2011\)](#page-27-1), and the TNTI can be strongly contorted with fractal-like geometry covering a wide range of length scales (de Silva *et al.* [2013\)](#page-28-7).

The growth rate of free shear turbulent flows is directly determined by the local entrainment velocity and the corresponding instantaneous contorted surface area. It is worth mentioning that albeit the entrainment process across the TNTI has been studied extensively both experimentally and numerically, only a few studies (Holzner & Lüthi [2011;](#page-27-1) Jahanbakhshi & Madnia [2016\)](#page-27-3) have strictly checked the balance between the integral volume flux, i.e. integrating the local entrainment velocity  $v_n(t)$  over the highly contorted area of the TNTI,  $Q(t) = \int v_n(t) dA(t)$  and the global volume flux  $Q_0(t) =$  $-dV_J(t)/dt$ . The global volume flux can also be given by  $Q_0(t) = u_eA_0$  with  $u_e$  being the mean entrainment velocity and *A*<sup>0</sup> being the projected area of the TNTI (Sreenivasan, Ramshankar & Meneveau [1989\)](#page-28-8). Recently, an alternative but probably less-direct way to model the turbulent entrainment process across the TNTI is proposed by Zhou & Vassilicos [\(2017\)](#page-29-0) and Er, Laval & Vassilicos [\(2023\)](#page-27-4). The Corrsin length  $\eta_I \sim \nu / \langle v_n \rangle$ , which is based on the mean entrainment velocity and the thickness of the TNTI, and the fractal property of the TNTI are involved for an indirect estimation of the surface area (Zhou & Vassilicos [2017;](#page-29-0) Er *et al.* [2023\)](#page-27-4). The Corrsin length and the fractal property are expected to only be accurately observed at high Reynolds numbers, albeit the recent work by Zhou & Vassilicos [\(2017\)](#page-29-0) and Er *et al.* [\(2023\)](#page-27-4) suggested that one could predict the scaling of the mean entrainment velocity based on the characteristics of the Corrsin length and the fractal property even at moderate Reynolds numbers.

Another aspect, perhaps equally significant but less noticed is that for self-similar/selfpreserving turbulent shear flow the entrainment process across the TNTI is closely related

## *Interface of a turbulent plane jet*

to the newly reported non-equilibrium dissipation law (Vassilicos [2015;](#page-28-9) Zhou & Vassilicos [2017\)](#page-29-0). The dissipation assumption, which is also referred to as the zeroth law of turbulence (Benzi & Toschi [2023\)](#page-26-1), is normally required to derive the growth law of free shear flows. Different dissipation assumptions (i.e. equilibrium dissipation law and non-equilibrium dissipation law) can normally lead to distinctly different growth behaviour of turbulent shear flows (Nedić, Vassilicos & Ganapathisubramani  $2013$ ; Dairay, Obligado & Vassilicos [2015;](#page-26-2) Cafiero & Vassilicos [2020\)](#page-26-3). It is worth mentioning that aside from classical self-similar analysis (Townsend [1956,](#page-28-10) [1976\)](#page-28-11), there are other methods to derive the scaling law of shear flows (see, for example, George [1989;](#page-27-6) Sadeghi, Oberlack & Gauding [2018\)](#page-27-7). Furthermore, based on the variation of the TNTI surface area in a self-similar period, the mean entrainment velocity can also be derived from the scaling law, as shown below, which can provide a simple law of entrainment velocity and has not been done in previous studies.

The temporal evolution equation of a non-material infinitesimal element of area δ*A*, derived by Phillips [\(1972\)](#page-27-8), has been widely used to investigate the production and destruction mechanisms of the turbulent flame surface area (Candel & Poinsot [1990;](#page-26-4) Trouvé & Poinsot [1994;](#page-28-12) Echekki & Chen [1999\)](#page-27-9), which is also applicable to the evolution of the TNTI surface area. The growth of the TNTI area strongly depends on the turbulent entrainment process and the surface curvature (Phillips [1972\)](#page-27-8). However, a few studies have directly analysed the evolution of the three-dimensional (3-D) TNTI surface area and combined it with the surface curvature. Recently, Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-27-10) studied the effect of nearby coherent structures on the evolution of the two-dimensional (2-D) TNTI surface area, but the comprehensive understanding of the coupling between the production and destruction of the TNTI surface area, surface curvature and the entrainment process remains elusive. Furthermore, the Reynolds number (the inflow Reynolds number  $Re_0$  =  $uh_0/v = 3700$ ) used in the work of Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-27-10) is relatively low to draw conclusions about the evolution characteristics of the TNTI at high Reynolds numbers. It is well-known that chemical reactions often occur near the TNTI in non-premixed flames (Cleary & Klimenko [2009;](#page-26-5) Gampert *et al.* [2014\)](#page-27-11) and the TNTI governs the mixing rates between different species (da Silva *et al.* [2014\)](#page-27-0). Thus, fully understanding the evolution of the TNTI surface area is related to the modelling of the scalar dissipation rate (or some other related quantity) in numerical simulations of reacting flows and is an important research topic.

In this paper, a temporally evolving turbulent plane jet is numerically investigated by means of direct numerical simulation (DNS). The numerical data of a temporally shear flow allow a quantitative assessment of the turbulent entrainment across the TNTI. The remaining parts of the paper are organised as follows. In  $\S 2$ , we present the DNS details along with the validation of the numerical data. A theoretical analysis of the mean flow scaling law based on the computational results of the TNTI area is presented in § [3.](#page-6-0) In § [4,](#page-15-0) we further explore the physical mechanisms responsible for the production/destruction of the TNTI area. Finally, our main findings are summarised in  $\S 5$ .

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>2. DNS of a temporally evolving turbulent plane jet

The DNS data with a high spatial resolution are the essential prerequisites for an accurate evaluation of the local turbulence characteristics near the TNTI along with the corresponding entrainment process. An open-source high-fidelity parallel solver 'Incompact3d' (Laizet & Lamballais [2009;](#page-27-12) Laizet, Lamballais & Vassilicos [2010;](#page-27-13) Laizet & Li [2011\)](#page-27-14) with spectral-like resolution (Lele [1992\)](#page-27-15) is used for the DNS of the temporally evolving turbulent plane jet with a moderate Reynolds number.

## 2.1. *Numerical details*

Following previous numerical studies (da Silva & Métais [2002;](#page-28-13) Hayashi, Watanabe & Nagata [2021\)](#page-27-16), a hyperbolic-tangent function is employed to describe the vertical distribution of the initial mean streamwise velocity  $U_{in}(Y)$ , i.e.

$$
U_{in}(Y) = \frac{U_J}{2} + \frac{U_J}{2} \tanh\left[\frac{H_J}{4\theta_0} \left(1 - \frac{2|Y|}{H_J}\right)\right],
$$
 (2.1)

where  $U_J = 1$  and  $\theta_0/H_J = 35$  with  $\theta_0$  and  $H_J$  being the initial momentum thickness and the width of the nozzle, respectively. The mean initial velocity in the two other directions is set to zero, i.e.  $V_{in}(Y) = 0$  and  $W_{in}(Y) = 0$ . Throughout the paper, unless otherwise defined, the values of the mean velocity components and the corresponding fluctuating components are presented by uppercase and lowercase letters, respectively. The periodic boundary conditions are adopted in the two quasi-homogeneous directions (i.e. *X* and *Z* directions), whereas the free slip boundary condition is used in the vertical direction.

For an efficient transition to the self-similar/self-preserving state, artificially generated 3-D disturbances are superimposed onto the initial mean velocity field (*Uin*, *Vin*, *Win*) within the vertical range  $-1/2 \le Y/H_J \le 1/2$ . The generation of the initial disturbances is based on the diffusion procedure proposed by Kempf, Klein & Janicka [\(2005\)](#page-27-17). Relatively small-amplitude disturbances (i.e. the root-mean-square (r.m.s.) values of the velocity fluctuations in three directions are only  $0.02U_J$ ) be superposed onto the initial mean velocity field to allow a natural outward growth of the turbulent plane jet.

The computational details and the corresponding geometric parameters are listed in [table 1.](#page-4-0) Here, the initial Reynolds number  $Re_J = U_J H_J/v$ , where v is the kinematic viscosity, is set to 4000. This moderate Reynolds number is chosen to ensure a sufficiently fine spatial resolution and, consequently, accurately capture the instantaneous TNTI along with the nearby turbulent flow dynamics. For instance, the first-order and second-order derivatives of vorticity are required to compute the local turbulent entrainment (Holzner  $\&$ Lüthi  $2011$ ). The lengths  $L_X$ ,  $L_Y$  and  $L_Z$  denote the sizes of the computational domain along the streamwise, vertical and spanwise directions, respectively. Hereafter, *X*, *Y* and *Z* refer to the streamwise, vertical and spanwise directions, respectively. The size of the simulation domain is  $L_X \times L_Y \times L_Z = 8H_J \times 12H_J \times 8H_J$  and a total number of  $N_X \times N_Y \times N_Z =$  $768 \times 1025 \times 768$  grid mesh points are used for the spatial discretisation. With respect to the finite-difference discretisation, a sixth-order central compact scheme with spectral-like resolution (Lele [1992\)](#page-27-15) is employed. A third-order Adams–Bashforth scheme is adopted for time advancement with  $\Delta t/(H_J/U_J) = 0.0015$ . It is worth mentioning that the size of the simulation domain and the corresponding mesh number are comparable to or even better than those used in Hayashi *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-27-16). To avoid the normal boundary conditions affecting the evolution of the turbulent region, we carefully selected the box size along the normal direction, i.e.  $L_y = 12H$ . This box size is larger than the normal length used in previous studies (Watanabe *et al.* [2017;](#page-28-14) Silva, Zecchetto & da Silva [2018;](#page-28-15) Hayashi *et al.* [2021\)](#page-27-16) and is nearly twice that used by Silva et al. [\(2018\)](#page-28-15). Further validation of the choice of the streamwise and spanwise simulation domains is confirmed by performing an additional DNS with a larger simulation domain, i.e.  $L_X \times L_Y \times L_Z = 16H_J \times 12H_J \times 16H_J$  and the corresponding number of grids is  $N_X \times N_Y \times N_Z = 1536 \times 1025 \times 1536 \approx 2.4 \times 1025$  $10<sup>9</sup>$ ). The numeral treatment of the additional DNS is the same as that with a small domain.





<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. Computational and geometric details.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 1. The distributions of the normalised mean flow velocity and r.m.s. velocities. For comparison, results from previous investigations (i.e. Gutmark & Wygnanski [1976;](#page-27-18) Thomas & Prakash [1991;](#page-28-16) da Silva & Métais [2002;](#page-28-13) Stanley *et al.* [2002;](#page-28-17) da Silva & Pereira [2008;](#page-28-18) Watanabe *et al.* [2014](#page-28-19)*b*; Hayashi *et al.* [2021\)](#page-27-16) are included.

#### 2.2. *Simulation validation and spatial resolution*

[Figure 1](#page-4-1) shows the vertical distributions of the normalised one-point statistics (i.e. the mean streamwise velocity *U* and r.m.s. velocities *Urms*, *Vrms* and *Wrms*) at two different time steps ( $T/T_{ref} = 25.5$  and 36.0, where  $T_{ref} = H_J/U_J$ ). The corresponding results from previous numerical (i.e. da Silva & Métais [2002;](#page-28-13) da Silva & Pereira [2008;](#page-28-18) Watanabe *et al.* [2014](#page-28-19)*b*; Hayashi *et al.* [2021\)](#page-27-16) and experimental (i.e. Gutmark & Wygnanski [1976;](#page-27-18) Thomas & Prakash [1991;](#page-28-16) Stanley, Sarkar & Mellado [2002\)](#page-28-17) investigations are included for comparison. It can be seen that our simulation results are in reasonably good accord with those in previous studies. Here,  $U_C$  and  $b_U$  denote the centreline mean velocity and the jet half-width, respectively. Hereafter, the subscript *C* denotes a variable along the centreline and the half-width  $b_U$  is defined as the vertical distance between the jet centreline and the location where  $U(Y)/U_C = 0.5$ .

To capture small-scale flow dynamics across the TNTI and the small-scale local entrainment velocity  $v_n$ , high-order derivatives (e.g. the first-order and second-order



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 2. (*a*) Vertical distributions of the normalised spatial resolution  $(\Delta X \Delta Y \Delta Z)^{1/3}/\eta$  at  $T/T_{ref} = 25.5$ and (*b*) the PDF distribution of the local resolution at the TNTI for three different thresholds  $|\omega|_{th}/|\omega|_{max} =$ <sup>1</sup>.<sup>0</sup> <sup>×</sup> <sup>10</sup>−<sup>5</sup> (red solid line), 1.<sup>6</sup> <sup>×</sup> <sup>10</sup>−<sup>4</sup> (cyan solid line) and 1.<sup>0</sup> <sup>×</sup> <sup>10</sup>−<sup>3</sup> (green solid line) with <sup>|</sup>*ω*|*max* being the maximum vorticity magnitude.

derivatives of the vorticity) need to be accurately solved. In this study, a high-order compact scheme is adopted, and the global Reynolds number is set to be moderately low. The current spatial resolution follows the suggestion by Laizet, Nedic  $\&$  Vassilicos [\(2015\)](#page-27-19) that a spatial resolution less than  $2\eta$  is necessary to have a correct reproduction of the strain-rate and rotation tensors when using the solver Incompact3d. As shown in [figure 2\(](#page-5-0)*a*), the normalised spatial resolution  $(\Delta X \Delta Y \Delta Z)^{1/3}/\eta$  is always below 1.2, with  $\Delta X$ ,  $\Delta Y$  and  $\Delta Z$  being the mesh sizes in the streamwise, vertical and spanwise directions, respectively, and  $\eta$  is the Kolmogorov scale. [Figure 2\(](#page-5-0)*b*) shows that the spatial resolution  $(\Delta X \Delta Y \Delta Z)^{1/3}/\eta$  at the TNTI detected by a wider range of vorticity magnitude  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \leq |\omega|_h/|\omega|_{max} \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-3})$  is mostly smaller than 1.0 and the peaks of the probability distribution function (PDF) profiles are found around  $(\Delta X \Delta Y \Delta Z)^{1/3}/\eta \simeq$ 0.45. Further validation of the current spatial resolution is done by assessing the balance between the integral volume flux and the global volume flux, as will be demonstrated below.

#### 2.3. *Evaluation of the self-similarity property*

[Figure 1\(](#page-4-1)*a*) suggests that the vertical distribution of mean velocity is self-similar/selfpreserving. To further evaluate the self-similar behaviour of the mean flow, the jet shape factor  $F(t)$  (Hickey, Hussain & Wu [2013\)](#page-27-20) is computed (see [figure 3\)](#page-6-1). The jet shape factor *F*(*t*) is the ratio of the displacement thickness  $\delta J = \int U(Y)/U_C dY$  to the momentum thickness  $\theta_J = \int U(Y)^2/U_C^2 dY$ , that is  $F(t) = \frac{\delta_J(t)}{\theta_J(t)}$ . The time evolution of  $\delta_J$  and  $\theta_J$ are also included in [figure 3.](#page-6-1) The jet shape factor *F* remains nearly constant, i.e.  $F \approx 1.37$ after  $T/T_{ref} = 10.0$ .

The self-similar behaviour of the mean velocity and the corresponding constant jet shape factor *F* have been confirmed in the previous discussion. The self-similar/self-preserving state can be further evaluated by the second-order statistics such as r.m.s. velocity (Almagro, García-Villalba & Flores [2017\)](#page-26-6). [Figure 4](#page-7-0) shows the vertical distributions of the normalised r.m.s. velocity components and the Reynolds stress as a function of  $Y/b_U$ . It can be seen that although the profiles are not smooth and exhibit oscillations to some extent, which is probably related to the insufficient data samples for a given time step, a reasonably good profile can still be obtained. A quantitative assessment of self-similarity



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Figure 3. Time evolution of the shape factor  $F(t)$ , the displacement thickness  $\delta_J(t)$  and the momentum thickness  $\theta_J(t)$ . The horizontal line indicates  $F \simeq 1.37$ .

is determined by calculating the area enclosed within the normalised profiles. Take, for instance, the integral function  $S_u$  for the normalised profiles of  $u_{rms}$  is defined by  $S_u = \int u_{rms} dY / u_{rms}^{max} b_U$ . It is evident from figure 5 that the profiles evaluating the self-similar  $\int u_{rms} dY / u_{rms}^{max} b_U$ . It is evident from [figure 5](#page-7-1) that the profiles evaluating the self-similar states become roughly constant for  $T/T_{ref} > 20.0$ . The corresponding turbulent Reynolds number  $Re_{\lambda}$  based on the Taylor microscale  $\lambda$  remains nearly constant at approximately  $Re_{\lambda} = u_{rms} \lambda / \upsilon \simeq 58.8$  for  $T/T_{ref} > 20.0$ .

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>3. Surface area of the TNTI and the scaling law of the mean flow

The entrainment processes of free shear flows are closely related to turbulent flow dynamics near the TNTI. We first explore the identification of the TNTI and temporal evolution of the TNTI surface area, and also establish the relationship of the turbulent entrainment process and the TNTI surface area, which enables us to derive the scaling law of the mean flow.

#### 3.1. *Identification and surface area of the TNTI*

[Figure 6](#page-8-0) shows the contours of the magnitude of the vorticity field |*ω*| in a randomly chosen 2-D *X–Y* plane at  $T/T_{ref} = 19.5$  and the isocontour lines corresponding to three different vorticity magnitudes (represented by solid lines) are also included. Here, *ω* stands for the vorticity vector with  $\omega_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial u_k / \partial x_i$ , where the subscripts  $i = 1, 2$  and 3 represent *X*, *Y* and *Z* directions, respectively. Throughout this paper, the bold letters indicate vectors, and the symbol '| |' represents the magnitude of a vector. The symbol *ωmax* denotes the maximum magnitude of vorticity within the turbulent region for a particular time step. Based on the interface orientation relative to the mean streamwise velocity, the TNTI can generally be classified into three different types as conducted by Watanabe *et al.* [\(2014](#page-28-19)*b*): leading edge (oriented towards the streamwise velocity), trailing edge (oriented opposite to the streamwise direction) and cross-streamwise edge (aligned with the mean flow or, equivalently, perpendicular to the cross-stream *Y*–*Z* plane), as shown in [figure 6.](#page-8-0)

As can be seen from [figure 6,](#page-8-0) for a wide range of vorticity magnitudes  $1.0 \times$  $10^{-5} \leq |\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ , the turbulent regions surrounded by TNTI rarely change as it should be. Following the previous study by Zhou & Vassilicos [\(2017\)](#page-29-0), the





Figure 4. Vertical distributions of the normalised (*a*)  $U_{rms}$ , (*b*)  $V_{rms}$  (*c*)  $W_{rms}$  and  $\langle u'v' \rangle$  at different time steps, normalised by the peak values of the respective curves.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Figure 5. Time evolution of the integrals, i.e.  $S_u(t)$ ,  $S_v(t)$ ,  $S_w(t)$  and  $S_{uv}(t)$ .

vorticity norm, which is based on the maximum vorticity magnitude  $|\omega|_{max}(t)$ , is used to identify the TNTI. The dependence of the volume fraction on the threshold value and the derivative of the volume fraction with respect to the threshold are plotted in [figure 7.](#page-8-1) A distinct plateau where  $-dV_T/dlog_{10}(|\omega|_{th}) \simeq 0$  within the range  $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$  ≤  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  can be readily identified. In order to accurately identify the TNTI, it is crucial to carefully choose an appropriate vorticity threshold. Based on the joint probability density distribution of the normalised vorticity magnitude  $|\omega|_{th}/|\omega|_{max}$ 



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 6. The logarithmic contours of the magnitude of vorticity at  $T/T_{ref} = 19.5$ . The three solid lines correspond to  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  (white one),  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  (black one) and  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  (blue one), respectively. Only part of the simulation domain is plotted.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>Figure 7. (*a*) The volume fraction of the turbulent region with  $|\omega| \ge |\omega|_{th}$  as a function of the threshold |*ω*|*th*/|*ω*|*max* and (*b*) the derivative of the volume fraction with respect to the threshold. The vertical dashed line indicates  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  and the shadowed areas correspond to the range  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  <  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t)$  ≤ 1.0 × 10<sup>-3</sup>.

and vertical height  $Y/b_U$  (not shown herein), we also confirm that the presence of numerical noise (Zhang, Watanabe & Nagata [2018\)](#page-29-1) within the range  $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$  <  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ , despite the turbulence volume hardly changes with the threshold  $|\omega|_{th}/\omega_{max}$ . Therefore, any threshold selection within the range  $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \le$  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  can be employed to detect TNTI and similar results can be obtained. Hereafter, the threshold  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  corresponding to the black line in [figure 6](#page-8-0) is adopted for the identification of the TNTI.

The method introduced by Yurtoglu, Carton & Storti [\(2018\)](#page-28-20), which employs a grid-based approach for computing implicitly defined surface integrals, is adopted to compute the surface area *A*(*t*) and the integral volume flux  $Q(t) = \int v_n(t) dA(t)$ , where  $v_n(t)$  denotes the local entrainment velocity closely associated with the development of the plane jet. The method uses the divergence theorem along with the characteristics of surface normal vectors to transform the surface integral into a volume integral, i.e.

$$
Q(t) = \int v_n(t) dA(t) = -\sum_{I,J,K} (v_n)_{I,J,K} \frac{\nabla \chi(|\omega|)_{I,J,K} \cdot \nabla |\omega|_{I,J,K}}{\sqrt{\nabla |\omega|_{I,J,K}} \cdot \nabla |\omega|_{I,J,K}} \Delta X \Delta Y \Delta Z, \quad (3.1)
$$

1001 A39-9



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure 8. Time evolution of the normalised surface area  $A(t)$  of the TNTI. The surface area  $A(t)$  is normalised by the initial surface area 2*LXLZ*. The purple solid squares stand for the corresponding results from the simulation with a larger computational domain size. The two horizontal lines indicate  $A(t)/(2L_XL_Z) \simeq 2.12$ and  $dA(t)/dt \simeq 0$ , respectively.

where

$$
\chi(|\omega|) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\omega| \ge |\omega|_{th}, \\ 0, & |\omega| < |\omega|_{th}, \end{cases}
$$
(3.2)

represents the occupancy function, which is based on the vorticity threshold  $|\omega|_{th}$ . The indices *I*, *J* and *K* correspond to the grid nodes along the three directions in the computational coordinate system. The occupancy function *χ*(|*ω*|) is numerically computed using a fourth-order central finite-difference scheme, ensuring conformity with the connection coefficients of Daubechies wavelets for genus 2. When the integrand function  $v_n$  equals 1, the value of the surface integral is identical to the surface area  $A(t)$ , that is,  $Q(t) = \int dA(t) = A(t)$ . The occupancy function  $\chi(|\omega|)$  exhibits self-adaptation to the highly contorted surface of the TNTI, enabling us to accurately estimate the time evolution of surface area *A*(*t*) and integral volume flux  $Q(t) = \int v_n(t) dA(t)$ .

[Figure 8](#page-9-0) suggests that the time evolution of the normalised surface area  $A(t)/(2L_XL_Z)$ and the corresponding time derivative  $dA(t)/dt$ . The surface area  $A(t)$  of the TNTI closely related to the turbulent entrainment process increases rapidly through wrinkling and deformation for  $T/T_{ref} \leq 20.0$ , which is attributed to the interactions of the multiscale vortex structures (da Silva & dos Reis [2011\)](#page-28-21) during the turbulent transition. It is worth mentioning that the area  $A(t)$  remains roughly constant within the whole self-similarity period, i.e.  $A(t)/(2L_XL_Z) \simeq 2.12$  with  $dA(t)/dt \simeq 0$  after  $T/T_{ref} = 20.0$ . The roughly constant surface area at  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20.0$  is distinctly different from the slow expansion of the area of the passive scalar isosurface (Blakeley, Olson & Riley [2022\)](#page-26-7).

The surface area calculation method proposed by Yurtoglu *et al.* [\(2018\)](#page-28-20) was originally developed for relatively smooth surfaces. Considering the highly distorted nature of the TNTI surface area, we further verified the accuracy of the calculation method using the open-source software ParaView. The verification results indicate that the maximum surface area error is only 0.58 % after  $T/T_{ref} = 20.0$ . Furthermore, the method proposed by Yurtoglu *et al.* [\(2018\)](#page-28-20) has been successfully utilised by Blakeley *et al.* [\(2022\)](#page-26-7) and Huang, Burridge  $\&$  van Reeuwijk [\(2023\)](#page-27-21) for the direct calculation of the surface area and entrainment flux. It is worth mentioning that compared with the algorithm embedded in ParaView, this method significantly reduces the computational workload by eliminating the need to remesh the surface grid.

1001 A39-10

# *Interface of a turbulent plane jet*

It has been argued that the surface area of the TNTI is adjusted continuously by stretching until the integral volume flux  $Q(t) = \int v_n(t) dA(t)$  balances the integral scale entrainment flux (Holzner & Lüthi [2011;](#page-27-1) Van Reeuwijk & Holzner [2014\)](#page-28-22). The current result clearly indicates that this is indeed the case in the developing period with  $T/T_{ref}$ 20.0. However, the surface area remains nearly unchanged after  $T/T_{ref} = 20.0$ . A possible explanation is that the adjustment of the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  near the TNTI may be more closely related to the local mean curvature. The constant surface area for  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20.0$  allows us to establish the scaling law of the mean entrainment velocity, as shall be discussed below.

The turbulent motion near the TNTI is highly inhomogeneous due to the influence of large-scale motion (Zecchetto  $&$  da Silva [2021\)](#page-28-23). One may argue that the roughly constant the surface area  $A(t)$  may be caused by the effect of size of the computational domain, which inhibits the stretching of the surface area and suppresses the development of the turbulent plane jet. Therefore, to investigate the dependence of the area  $A(t)$  on the size of the computational domain, we perform an additional DNS on a larger computational domain in the present study. The purple solid squares in [figure 8](#page-9-0) depict the temporal evolution of the surface area  $A(t)$  after  $T/T_{ref} = 20.0$  from the simulation with a larger domain. It can be seen that the corresponding area *A*(*t*) is virtually the same, which further enhances the credibility of the obtained results. We confirm that there is a notable effect of the normal boundary condition on the evolution of the TNTI surface area  $A(t)$  only after *T*/*T<sub>ref</sub>* = 48. The current study only considers the range of  $0 \leq T/T_{ref} \leq 40$  to mitigate the effect of the normal boundary condition on the evolution of surface area.

#### 3.2. *Turbulent entrainment process of the TNTI*

Following Holzner & Lüthi [\(2011\)](#page-27-1) and Wolf *et al.* [\(2012\)](#page-28-24), the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  can be decomposed into two components with the enstrophy transport equation: contributions from viscous and inviscid effects, namely,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
v_n = -\frac{2\omega_i S_{ij}\omega_j}{|\nabla|\omega|^2|} - \frac{2\upsilon\omega_i \nabla^2 \omega_i}{|\nabla|\omega|^2|} = v_n^P + v_n^{vis},
$$
\n(3.3)

where  $v_n^P$  and  $v_n^{vis}$  are related to the inviscid and viscous effects, respectively. Here,  $S_{ij}$  =  $(\partial u_i/\partial X_i + \partial u_i/\partial X_i)/2$  denotes the flow strain rates tensor.

The PDF distributions of the normalised local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  and the two components  $v_n^P$  and  $v_{n}^{vis}$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$  are plotted in [figure 9\(](#page-11-0)*a*). The distribution of the inviscid component  $v_n^P$  is symmetrical, leading to  $\langle v_n^P \rangle \simeq 0$ . The average value of  $\langle v_n \rangle \simeq$  $\langle v_n^{vis} \rangle$  is negative, corresponding to the propagation of the TNTI towards the non-turbulent region, accompanied by the growth of turbulent volume. It is well known that high-order derivatives of velocity can be highly spatially intermittent and the probability distribution is considerably departure from a normal distribution (Davidson [2004\)](#page-26-8). In other words, extreme/rare events are manifest in statistics of high-order derivatives (Sreenivasan & Antonia [1997\)](#page-28-25), which is the case for the viscous component  $v_n^{vis}$ . [Figure 9\(](#page-11-0)*a*) clearly suggests that the local entrainment process is mainly determined by the small-scale viscous effects, as suggested by Holzner & Lüthi  $(2011)$ . The small-scale viscous effects dominating the entrainment process are also found in a high-Reynolds-number axisymmetric jet (Mistry, Philip & Dawson [2019\)](#page-27-22).

The viscous component  $v_n^{\text{vis}}$  of the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  can be further decomposed into three parts: the local tangential diffusion term  $v_n^T$ , normal diffusion term



<span id="page-11-0"></span>Figure 9. PDF distributions of (*a*) the normalised local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  and the two components  $v_n^P$ and  $v_n^{vis}$  and (*b*) the three components of viscous term,  $v_n^T$ ,  $v_n^N$ , and  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ . The entrainment velocity and its components are normalised by the Kolmogorov velocity  $v_n = (\varepsilon v)^{1/4}$  of the jet centreline.

 $v_n^N$  and viscous dissipation term  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$  (Holzner & Lüthi [2011;](#page-27-1) Dopazo *et al.* [2018\)](#page-27-23), namely,

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
v_n^{vis} = -\nu(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) - \frac{\nu(\partial^2 |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 / \partial^2 X_n^2)}{\partial |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 / \partial X_n} + \frac{2\nu \nabla \omega_i : \nabla \omega_i}{|\nabla |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2|} = v_n^T + v_n^N + v_n^\varepsilon,
$$
(3.4)

where  $n = \nabla |\omega| / |\nabla |\omega|$  denotes the unit normal vector and  $\frac{\partial |\omega|^2}{\partial X_n} = \nabla |\omega|^2 \cdot n$ indicates the gradient along the normal direction of the TNTI. The term  $v_n^T$  is also referred to as the curvature term by introducing the local mean curvature *H*, i.e.  $v_n^T = 2vH$ (Van Reeuwijk & Holzner [2014\)](#page-28-22). This implies that the contribution of curvature to the entrainment velocity  $v_n$  is linear, with the slope corresponding to the kinematic viscosity υ of the fluid. Wolf *et al.* [\(2013\)](#page-28-26) demonstrated that the local entrainment velocity depends strongly on the geometry of the TNTI surface, with the detrainment process (where  $v_n > 0$ ) being more likely to manifest in a concave shape. An extended introduction of the local mean curvature *H* along with an in-depth analysis of surface shape shall be presented below. The viscous dissipation term  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$  always takes a positive value according to the above definition, which means the effects of  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$  correspond to the inwards movement of TNTI towards the turbulent region.

The PDF distributions of the three components are given in [figure 9\(](#page-11-0)*b*). Comparing [figures 9\(](#page-11-0)*a*) and [9\(](#page-11-0)*b*) shows that the normal diffusion term  $v_n^N$  plays a dominant role in the outwards growth of the TNTI. The tangential viscous term  $v_n^T$  also contributes to the outwards growth of the TNTI, albeit to a far lesser extent. In contrast, the effects of the viscous dissipation term  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$  always suppress the spreading of the TNTI, as it should be. It can be seen from [figure 9\(](#page-11-0)*b*) that when compared with the velocity caused by tangential viscous term  $v_n^T$  (or, equivalently, the mean curvature *H*), the molecular transport velocity in the normal direction exhibits a much wider range of scales accompanied by intense events. It is worth noting that although only a single threshold at one snapshot  $T/T_{ref}$  = 37.5 is shown in [figure 9,](#page-11-0) we confirm that the reported findings can be applied to other thresholds (e.g.  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and time steps (e.g.  $T/T_{ref} = 22.5$ ). Therefore, our conclusion is expected to be robust, at least for a fully developed temporally evolving turbulent plane jet (not shown herein for economy of space).

The instantaneous entrainment flux  $Q(t)$  can be computed directly by integrating the local entrainment velocity v*<sup>n</sup>* over the surface of the TNTI (Krug *et al.* [2017;](#page-27-24) Zhou &



<span id="page-12-0"></span>Figure 10. Time evolution of (*a*) the integral volume flux  $Q(t)$  and its two components  $Q^P(t)$  and  $Q^{vis}(t)$  and (*b*) the ratio of the integral volume flux to the global volume flux,  $Q(t)/Q_0(t)$  (presented by red solid squares).

Vassilicos [2017\)](#page-29-0), which is given by

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
Q(t) = -\frac{dV_J(t)}{dt} = \int v_n(t) dA(t) = A(t) \langle v_n(t) \rangle,
$$
\n(3.5)

where  $V_J(t)$  denotes the turbulent volume, and the operator  $\langle \rangle$  represents a surface-area weighted average over the top and bottom surfaces of the TNTI. The mean entrainment velocity  $\langle v_n(t) \rangle$  is determined by the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  and the surface area *A*.

Following [\(3.3\)](#page-10-0), we have  $Q(t) = \int v_n^P(t) dA(t) + \int v_n^{vis}(t) dA(t) = Q^P(t) + Q^{vis}(t)$ . Figure  $10(a)$  shows the time evolution of the integral volume flux  $Q(t)$  and its two components  $O^P(t)$  and  $O^{vis}(t)$ . As expected, the integral volume flux is mainly determined by the viscous effects. The temporal evolution of the integral volume flux  $Q(t)$  also indicates a continuous decay of the jet growth rate over time. In [figure 10\(](#page-12-0)*b*), we  $\int v_n(t) dA(t)$  and the global volume flux  $Q_0(t) = -\frac{dV_J(t)}{dt}$ . The ratio  $Q(t)/Q_0(t)$  is plot a quantitative description of the balance between the integral volume flux  $Q(t)$  = approximately 1.0 and for the worst case, the deviation is within 8 %. Note that the entrainment velocity  $v_n$ , as a small-scale variable being comparable to the Kolmogorov velocity, involves the calculation of both the second- and third-order derivatives of the velocity. The good balance between  $Q(t)$  and  $Q_0(t)$  further confirms the accuracy of the computation of small-scale variables.

#### 3.3. *Scaling law of the plane jet flow*

The expansion of the turbulent volume can be approximately estimated by the growth rate of jet half-width  $b_{U}$ , that is,  $V_I(t) = 2kL_XL_Zb_U(t)$ , where *k* denotes a dimensionless constant coefficient. The time independence verification of the dimensionless constant coefficient  $k = (dV_I/dt)/(2L_XL_Z dV_U/dt)$  is demonstrated in [figure 11.](#page-13-0) The coefficient k remains roughly constant with a negligibly weak oscillation, which is in reasonably good agreement with the results of Er *et al.* [\(2023\)](#page-27-4). Moreover, they also verified that the value of coefficient *k* is almost independent of the selected vorticity threshold. This indicates that the turbulent volume can thus be given as

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
V_J(t) \sim L_X L_Z b_U(t). \tag{3.6}
$$

By applying self-similarity analysis to the Reynolds stress and the average turbulent kinetic energy transport equations, combined with the turbulence dissipation scaling law, the



<span id="page-13-0"></span>Figure 11. Time evolution of dimensionless constant coefficient  $k = (dV_J/dt)/(2L_XL_Z d b_U/dt)$ . The horizontal dotted line represents  $k \approx 1.44$ .

following relations are obtained for the jet half-width  $b<sub>U</sub>$  and the mean velocity along the centreline  $U_C$ :

<span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
b_U(t) \sim (H_J U_J)^{1/2} t^{1/2},\tag{3.7}
$$

$$
U_C(t) \sim (H_J U_J)^{1/2} t^{-1/2}.
$$
\n(3.8)

More detailed explanations can be found in the works of Ewing *et al.* [\(2007\)](#page-27-25) and Er *et al.* [\(2023\)](#page-27-4). One interesting finding is that the scaling law  $b_U(t) \sim (H_J U_J)^{1/2} t^{1/2}$  can also be found in compressible temporally evolving plane jets (Nagata, Watanabe & Nagata [2018\)](#page-27-26). Note that we assume the virtual origin to be located at the coordinate origin, i.e. the scaling laws of self-similar/self-preserving free shear turbulent flow.

[Figure 12](#page-14-0) shows the time evolution of the square of the jet half-width  $b_U^2$  along with the product  $b_U U_C$ . [Figure 12\(](#page-14-0)*a*) demonstrates that the square of the jet half-width  $b_U^2$ obtains a well-defined 1 power-law scale for  $T/T_{ref} \ge 20$ . The approximately unchanged  $b_U U_C$  shown in [figure 12\(](#page-14-0)*b*) is consistent with the theoretical predictions mentioned above. This will provide a theoretical basis for establishing the scaling of the mean entrainment velocity in the subsequent analysis.

Using  $(3.5)$  for the surface area of the TNTI with  $(3.6)$ – $(3.8)$ , the following relation is obtained for the surface area of the TNTI:

$$
A(t) \sim \frac{L_X L_Z H_J U_J}{b_U(t) \langle v_n(t) \rangle}.
$$
\n(3.9)

[Figure 8](#page-9-0) suggests the surface area  $A(t)$  remains roughly constant, i.e.  $A(t) = \text{const.}$ This implies that the product of  $b_U(t)$  and  $\langle v_n(t) \rangle$  remains approximately constant, i.e.  $b_U(t)(v_n(t)) = \text{const.}$  (see [figure 13\)](#page-14-1). By combining [\(3.7\)](#page-13-2), the scaling relationship for the mean entrainment velocity in a temporally evolving plane jet can be expressed as

$$
\langle v_n(t) \rangle \sim (H_J U_J)^{1/2} t^{-1/2}.
$$
\n(3.10)

This indicates that the mean entrainment velocity  $\langle v_n \rangle$  is inversely proportional to the square root of time. It is worth mentioning that the above derivation is not affected by the choice of the dissipation scaling law. In other words, both the classical dissipation scaling law and the non-equilibrium dissipation scaling law lead to the same scaling of



Figure 12. Time evolution of (*a*) the square of the jet half-width  $b_U^2$  and (*b*) the product of the centreline velocity  $U_C$  and the half-width  $b_U$ ,  $b_U U_C$ .

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Figure 13. Time evolution of  $b_U \langle v_n \rangle / (H_J U_J)$  for  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20$ .

the mean entrainment velocity (Vassilicos [2015,](#page-28-9) [2023\)](#page-28-27). The constant TNTI surface area and the scaling law for the mean entrainment velocity are also valid for a higher Reynolds number, i.e.  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$  (see the [Appendix\)](#page-23-0).

Quite recently, the same scaling law of the mean entrainment velocity in a temporally evolving plane jet has been derived by Er *et al.* [\(2023\)](#page-27-4). It is worth mentioning that our analysis framework is based on the direct computation of the surface area  $A(t)$ , which is distinctly different from the approach in Zhou & Vassilicos [\(2017\)](#page-29-0) and Er *et al.* [\(2023\)](#page-27-4). Their approach involves the fractal or fractal-like properties of the TNTI and the use of the Corrsin length  $\eta_I \sim \nu/(v_n)$ . In this study, our derivation does not require the two assumptions above.

In summary, the present analysis derives from the results of the temporally developing jet based on mass conservation, i.e.  $b_U(t)U_C(t) = const$  (see [figure 12](#page-14-0)*b*). Considering different flow types, such as temporally evolving flows and spatially evolving flows, is expected to result in distinctly different growth behaviours of turbulent shear flows (Nedic´ *et al.* [2013;](#page-27-5) Cafiero & Vassilicos [2019;](#page-26-9) Er *et al.* [2023\)](#page-27-4). This means that applying similar analytical methods to spatially evolving flows that preserve momentum flux conservation (i.e.  $b_U(x)U_C^2(x) = \text{const.}$ ) requires careful consideration.

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>4. Evolution mechanism of TNTI surface area and the related turbulent entrainment

#### 4.1. *Time evolution of the surface area of the TNTI*

It still remains to be seen what mechanism is responsible for the approximately constant surface  $A(t)$  for  $T/T_{ref} \ge 20.0$ . The temporal evolution of a non-material infinitesimal element of area  $\delta A$ , derived by Phillips [\(1972\)](#page-27-8), has a great degree of generality and is applicable to the evolution of isosurfaces in many physical fields. In this study, the equation is used to explore the mechanisms responsible for the production and destruction of the TNTI, i.e.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\delta A(t)} \frac{d\delta A(t)}{dt} = (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) S_{ij} + v_n \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} = S_{\omega} + H_{\omega}.
$$
 (4.1)

The stretching term  $S_{\omega} = (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) S_{ij}$  is associated with flow tangential strain rate. Here,  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta, and  $n_i$  being the *i*th component of the unit normal vector *n* of the surface of the TNTI. For incompressible flow, we have  $(\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) S_{ij} + n_i n_j S_{ij} =$  $∂u_i/∂X_i = 0.$ 

The curvature/propagation term  $H_{\omega} = v_n \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  represents the combined influences of the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  and the corresponding surface curvature *H*, which can also be further written as a function of the mean curvature *H*:  $H_{\omega} = v_n \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} = 2v_nH$  $v_n(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)$ . The two principal curvatures  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are the two non-zero eigenvalues of the curvature tensor  $n_{i,j}$ , i.e.

$$
n_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\partial |\omega| / \partial X_n} (\delta_{ik} - n_i n_k) \frac{\partial^2 |\omega|}{\partial X_j \partial X_k},
$$
\n(4.2)

where  $\partial |\omega|/\partial X_n = \nabla |\omega| \cdot \mathbf{n}$  denotes the gradient along the normal direction of the TNTI. Throughout this study, the local mean curvature  $H = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)/2$  is negative for bulges with convex shapes and positive for valleys with concave shapes. The mean curvature *H* equals 0 for a flat surface, resulting in  $H_{\omega} = 0$ .

The time evolution of the average mean curvature  $\langle H \rangle$  of the surface for  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20.0$ and the PDF distribution of *H* for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$  are shown in [figure 14.](#page-16-0) Here, the average mean curvature is calculated by  $\langle H \rangle = (1/2A) \int (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) dA$ . There is a gradual and consistent decrease in the average value of *H*, corresponding to an increase in the characteristic length scale of the TNTI. Although the decrease of the average mean curvature  $\langle H \rangle$  suggests that the turbulent motion causes continuous deformation of the surface, the total surface area of the TNTI remains nearly constant. The PDF profile of *H* is not symmetrical and shows a left-skewed distribution resulting in a positive average value and thus a prevalence of concave shapes. [Figure 15](#page-16-1) clearly indicates that the 3-D contour of the TNTI is strongly convoluted with multiscale bulges/valleys. The large positive values of  $S_\omega$  and  $H_\omega$  (represented by the red colour) are more likely to be found at the leading edges. The local surface area  $\delta A(t)$  of the leading edge is more likely to expand, despite the fact that, as is shown below, the surface area  $\langle A(t) \rangle$  remains relatively constant. This observation was also reported by Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-27-10), somewhat echoing the argument by Watanabe *et al.* [\(2014](#page-28-19)*b*) that the vortex stretching and compression near the TNTI are significantly influenced by the interface orientations. Another less noted but likely equally important observation is that [figure 15](#page-16-1) clearly indicates the spatial distribution of *H<sup>ω</sup>* appears significantly more intermittent to that of *Sω*. One may conclude that the stretching term  $S_{\omega}$  is related to the large-scale turbulence and  $H_{\omega}$  are more likely to be related to the small-scale motions.

[Figure 16](#page-17-0) shows the PDF distributions of the stretching term  $S_{\omega}$ , the curvature/ propagation term  $H_{\omega}$  and the unsteady term  $((1/\delta A(t))d\delta A(t)/dt = H_{\omega} + S_{\omega})$  at  $T/T_{ref} =$ 



<span id="page-16-0"></span>Figure 14. (*a*) Time evolution of the average mean curvature  $\langle H \rangle$  of the surface for  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20.0$  and (*b*) PDF distribution of the mean curvature *H* for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ . Note that the left- and right-hand sides of the vertical dotted line represent convex and concave shapes, respectively.



<span id="page-16-1"></span>Figure 15. Top view of the TNTI (i.e. isosurfaces of the vorticity magnitude with  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t)$ <sup>1</sup>.<sup>6</sup> <sup>×</sup> <sup>10</sup>−4). The colour of the TNTI represent (*a*) the value of the strain term *<sup>S</sup><sup>ω</sup>* and (*b*) the value of the curvature/propagation term  $H_{\omega}$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ .

37.5. The PDF distributions of  $H_{\omega}$  and  $S_{\omega}$  are distinctly different even though their average influences counterbalance each other (i.e.  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle = 0.068$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle = -0.074$ ). The profile of  $H_{\omega}$  exhibits significant fat tails compared to that of  $S_{\omega}$ , implying the existence of intense/extreme events in small-scale turbulence (Sebastien & Thierry [1990\)](#page-27-27). The fat tails of local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  (see [figure 9\)](#page-11-0) imply that the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$ exhibits a large range of values but with a mean negative value which is of the order of the Kolmogorov scale. Taking into account the right-skewed PDF of the mean curvature *H*, the intermittent and extensive events of  $H_{\omega}$  are somehow related to the fact. We further confirm that the correlation efficiency between the local mean curvature *H* and the entrainment velocity  $v_n$  is considerably small. It is thus not surprising that extreme/intense events are more likely to be related to the curvature/propagation term. The above discussions imply the intrinsic properties of  $H_{\omega}$  and  $S_{\omega}$  are distinctly different from each other.

Similar extreme events can also be found in the unsteady term, which is manifest in the fat tails of the distribution of  $H_{\omega} + S_{\omega}$ . This implies that small-scale areas of the TNTI



<span id="page-17-0"></span>Figure 16. PDF distributions of  $H_{\omega}$ ,  $S_{\omega}$  and the unsteady term  $H_{\omega} + S_{\omega}$  at  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ .

can experience intense changes, either through production or destruction effects. The rare events involving intense area changes arise from the non-Gaussian distributions of the entrainment velocity  $v_n$  and the mean curvature  $H$ .

When taking an averaging over the TNTI, [\(4.1\)](#page-15-1) becomes (Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [2020\)](#page-27-10)

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{A(t)}\frac{\mathrm{d}A(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \langle S_{\omega} \rangle + \langle H_{\omega} \rangle,\tag{4.3}
$$

where the operator  $\langle \rangle$  denotes an average over the top and bottom surfaces of the TNTI. It can be seen that the average production/destruction of the TNTI area *A*(*t*) stems from the combined effects of  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$ . Obviously, if the sum of the average strain and average curvature/propagation terms, i.e.  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle + \langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  is positive (negative), the surface area of the TNTI will increase (decrease) with time. One can reasonably expect that for  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20$  the average unsteady term  $dA(t)/(A(t) dt)$  is relatively small (or equal zero), the contributions of the flow tangential strain rate  $S_\omega$  and the effect of the local mean curvature  $H_{\omega}$  balance each other.

The time evolution of the three terms in [\(4.3\)](#page-17-1), i.e.  $dA/(A dt)$ ,  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  for  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20$  are plotted in [figure 17.](#page-18-0) The residual term  $\langle R \rangle$ , which is the difference between the left- and right-hand sides of [\(4.3\)](#page-17-1), that is  $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle = \langle S_{\omega} \rangle + \langle H_{\omega} \rangle - dA/(A dt)$  is also included for comparison. The residual term  $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$  is considerably small, which further implies that the entrainment process across the TNTI and the surface area are captured accurately. As expected, there is an approximately instantaneous balance between  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$ and  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  and both magnitudes appears to continuously decay with time, similar to the observations of Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-27-10) in turbulent flows with or without stable stratification. The positive values of the term  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  indicate that the flow inhomogeneity always contributes to the growth of the surface area  $A(t)$ . In contrast, the negative  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$ term is related to the destruction of the surface area  $A(t)$ . The decrease in the magnitude of the stretching term  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  can find its roots in the fact that the mean velocity profile becomes flat with time evolution. Moreover, one could reasonably argue that the negative value of  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  arises due to the overall concave shapes exhibiting  $\langle H \rangle > 0$  (see [figure 14\)](#page-16-0) and the spreading of the planar jet with  $\langle v_n \rangle < 0$  (see [figure 9](#page-11-0)*a*). Although on average the strain and curvature/propagation terms counterbalance each other, resulting in an approximately constant TNTI area for  $T/T_{ref} \ge 20.0$ , their intrinsic properties are distinctly different.



<span id="page-18-0"></span>Figure 17. Time evolution of the two average terms  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  involved in the growth of surface area for *T*/*T<sub>ref</sub>* ≥ 20. For reference, the residual term  $\langle R \rangle = \langle S_{\omega} \rangle + \langle H_{\omega} \rangle - dA/(Adt)$  is also included.

The local effects of the curvature/propagation term are highly spatially intermittent with small-scale extreme/intense events, whereas the effects of the large-scale stretching term are more continuous.

#### 4.2. *Contributions of the viscous and inviscid effects and curvature effects*

<span id="page-18-2"></span>The large-scale motions are associated with the cumulative structure of the surface area, while the curvature/propagation term  $H_{\omega}$  related to small-scale turbulent motions plays a more significant role in the production/destruction of the surface area, as reported by Catrakis, Aguirre & Ruiz-Plancarte  $(2002)$ . Two of the key quantities effecting the production/destruction of the area  $A(t)$  are the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  and the mean curvature *H* considering the observation  $A(t) = \text{const.}$  The effect of nearby coherent structures on the evolution of the TNTI surface area in a turbulent flow with and without stable stratification was recently studied by Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-27-10). However, in their study, the entrainment velocity was determined using an interface tracking technique, similar to the method employed by Wolf *et al.* [\(2012\)](#page-28-24). The application of the interface tracking technique makes the direct decomposition of the local entrainment velocity impossible, thereby preventing comparisons of viscous and inviscid contributions or exploration of their relationship to the TNTI geometry. It is shown that the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$  somewhat depends on the shape of the TNTI. The viscous effects are predominant for convex surfaces, whereas the inviscid effect accompanied by vortex stretching plays a more critical role for concave surfaces (Wolf *et al.* [2012,](#page-28-24) [2013\)](#page-28-26). One may expect that the surface change depends on the shape of the TNTI. To take the observation  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  < 0 one step further, the contributions of the viscous and inviscid effects and curvature effects are explored.

Using [\(3.3\)](#page-10-0) for the local entrainment velocity  $v_n$ , the curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$ in [\(4.3\)](#page-17-1) can be further expressed as

$$
\langle H_{\omega} \rangle = \langle v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle + \langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle, \tag{4.4}
$$

which enables us to distinguish between the contributions of the viscous and inviscid components to the growth of the surface area. By substituting  $(3.4)$  into  $(4.4)$ , we obtain

$$
\langle H_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \rangle = \langle v_n^P \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle + \langle v_n^T \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle + \langle v_n^N \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle + \langle v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle, \tag{4.5}
$$

<span id="page-18-1"></span>1001 A39-19



<span id="page-19-0"></span>Figure 18. Time evolution of the average stretching term  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  and the two components  $\langle v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  and  $\langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  for  $T/T_{ref} \ge 20.0$ .

where  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  represent the contributions of the curvature/tangential diffusion term, the curvature/normal diffusion term together with the curvature/viscous dissipation term to the surface area of TNTI, respectively. It can be seen that the contributions of  $\langle v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  to the surface area are always negative given by  $\langle v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle =$  $-v(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 = -4vH^2$ , and  $\langle v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  to the surface area of TNTI depend entirely on the geometric characteristics of the interface. With respect to dissipation effects, as  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$ consistently remains negative ( $v_n^{\varepsilon} > 0$ ),  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  is negative (positive) for convex (concave) shapes.

The two components of the average curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$ , i.e. the curvature/inviscid term  $\langle v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  and the curvature/viscous term  $\langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  as well as the time evolution of the stretching term  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  are shown in [figure 18.](#page-19-0) The average term  $\langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  plays a dominant role in the destruction of the surface area of TNTI, i.e.  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle \simeq \langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$ . In contrast, the average term  $\langle v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  remains virtually zero, implying  $\langle v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  has a negligibly small effect on the evolution of the surface area. Previous studies (da Silva *et al.* [2014;](#page-27-0) Watanabe *et al.* [2014](#page-28-19)*b*) have already shown that the viscous diffusion effect near the TNTI dominates the enstrophy transport, and inviscid processes associated with vortex stretching and compression can be neglected.

[Figure 19\(](#page-20-0)*a*) shows the PDF distributions of the two components of  $H_{\omega}$ ,  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ and  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ . The PDF distribution of  $v_n^p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  is approximately symmetrical with the peak value being around zero. The profile of  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$  is similar to that of term  $H_{\omega}$ , further confirming that the contribution of inviscid effects to the surface change can be somewhat neglected.

To further investigate the viscous processes on the surface change, we decompose the curvature/viscous term  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  into three components, i.e. the curvature/tangential diffusion term  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , the curvature/normal diffusion term  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and the curvature/viscous dissipation term  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot n$ . The PDF distributions of the three components of  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  are shown in [figure 19\(](#page-20-0)*b*). The always negative values of  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  are expected considering its definition. The PDF distributions of  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot n$  and  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot n$  exhibit significant differences. In terms of the destruction of surface area,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  have a higher probability for the large negative values compared with  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ . The average values of  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  are  $-0.45, -0.94$  and 1.32, respectively. One could also reasonably



<span id="page-20-0"></span>Figure 19. PDF distributions of (a)  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and (b)  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^s \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ .

draw the conclusion that on average  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  lead to the destruction of surface area, whereas  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  contributes to the growth of surface area. Meanwhile, the PDF profiles of all three components consistent with  $H_{\omega}$  demonstrate fat tails, implying the existence of intense/extreme events at the TNTI.

In light of the above discussion, the geometric properties of the surface are intimately related to the local production/destruction of the surface area. We further calculate the conditional statistics (in relation to the local mean curvature *H*) involved in the production/destruction of the surface. Here, for any variable  $f$ , the statistics conditional  $\langle f | H \rangle$  are weighted based on the local mean curvature *H*, namely,  $\langle f | H \rangle =$  $\int P(f | H)f df$ , where  $P(f | H)$  represents the local probability distribution of the conditional variable *H*. The conditional average statistics on the local shapes are presented below.

[Figure 20](#page-21-0) shows the conditional averages of  $\langle S_{\omega} | H \rangle$ ,  $\langle H_{\omega} | H \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} + S_{\omega} | H \rangle$ . It is evident that in terms of conditional averages, the curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  is dominant, albeit  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  and  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  counterbalance each other. The stretching effects  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$ produce the surface area for both bulge surfaces with  $H < 0$  and valley surfaces with  $H > 0$ , albeit with a relatively smaller contribution. In contrast, the curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  is intricately related to the local mean curvature. [Figure 20](#page-21-0) further indicates that the curved local surfaces with large mean curvatures are usually associated with a strong production/destruction of surface area.

The curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  increases the surface area in bulging regions while destroying it in the valleys, ensuring that the total surface area of the TNTI remains roughly unchanged. More specifically, the outwards expansion of the TNTI with  $v_n < 0$  leads to an increase of the surface area within bulging regions ( $H_{\omega} = 2v_nH > 0$ ), whereas it destroys the surface area within valley regions  $(H_{\omega} = 2v_n H < 0)$ . We can also reasonably argue that the continuous decrease of the average mean curvature (see figure  $14a$ ) is caused by the destruction of the valley region and the production of the bulging region.

The conditional averages of the two components of the curvature/propagation term *Hω*,  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , are plotted in [figure 21\(](#page-21-1)*a*). The conditional average of  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ exhibits a discernible negative correlation with the local mean curvature *H*. [Figure 21\(](#page-21-1)*b*) suggests that the negative correlation between  $\langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  and *H* is caused by the influence of  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ . A pronounced positive correlation between  $\langle v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  and *H* is observed.



<span id="page-21-0"></span>Figure 20. Conditional averages of (*a*) the local strain effects  $\langle S_{\omega} | H \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} + S_{\omega} | H \rangle$ ; (*b*) the local curvature/propagation effects  $\langle H_{\omega} | H \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} + S_{\omega} | H \rangle$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ . Note also that the enlarged inset plot clearly shows the dependence of the local strain effects  $\langle S_{\omega} | H \rangle$  on the mean curvature *H*.



<span id="page-21-1"></span>Figure 21. Conditional averages of (a)  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and (b)  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^s \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  for  $T/T_{ref} = 37.5$ .

[Figure 21\(](#page-21-1)*b*) also shows that the growth of surface area in bulging regions is attributed to the relatively large positive values of  $\langle v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$ , which counteract the destruction of surface area caused by terms  $\langle v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  and  $\langle v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$ . In the [Appendix,](#page-23-0) it is further demonstrated that the results are also valid at a higher Reynolds number, i.e.  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$ .

Concerning the outwards movement of the TNTI, the contribution of the tangential diffusion term  $v_n^T$  is considerably smaller than the normal diffusion term  $v_n^N$ (see [figure 9](#page-11-0)*b*). However, the conditional average of all three components of the curvature/viscous term  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , are comparable to each other  $(\langle v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle = -0.45$ ,  $\langle v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle = -0.94$  and  $\langle v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle = 1.32$ , which results in a relative small sum  $\langle v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle$  $n_i = -0.07$ . Therefore, all three components (i.e.  $v_n^T$ ,  $v_n^N$  and  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$ ) of  $v_n^{\varepsilon}$  are indispensable for the constant surface area at  $T/T_{ref} \geq 20.0$ .

## <span id="page-22-0"></span>5. Conclusion

Owing to the complex multiscale nature of the entrainment mechanism, the characteristics concerning the TNTI area growth remain somewhat unclear. The main objective of this paper was to develop an accurate understanding of the evolution of interface surface and the corresponding entrainment process in a temporally evolving turbulent plane jet by using high-fidelity and high-resolution DNS data. The method proposed by Yurtoglu *et al.* [\(2018\)](#page-28-20) has been applied to compute the surface area and the corresponding local  $\int v_n(t) dA(t)$  and the global volume flux  $Q_0(t) = -\frac{dV_J(t)}{dt}$ , we confirm the accuracy of entrainment velocity. By evaluating the balance between the integral volume flux  $Q(t)$  = the assessment of the entrainment process.

It is revealed that the surface area in the temporal evolution of the TNTI remains approximately constant, which constitutes the establishment of the scaling law for the mean entrainment velocity, i.e.  $\langle v_n(t) \rangle \sim (H_J U_J)^{1/2} t^{-1/2}$ . This derivation approach is distinctly different from the analytical framework presented in Er *et al.* [\(2023\)](#page-27-4) and Zhou & Vassilicos [\(2017\)](#page-29-0). Note that the present analysis results from mass conservation in the temporally developing jet, i.e.  $b_U(t)U_C(t) = \text{const.}$  The constant TNTI surface area allows us to directly deduce the scaling of the mean entrainment velocity. However, experiments with higher Reynolds numbers are required to lend further credence to the validity of the scaling law. Therefore, strong conclusions are difficult to draw, especially in a first study.

The underlying mechanisms responsible for the constant surface area have been explored by using the surface area evolution equation, which has been used to explore the growth of the turbulent flames surface area (Candel & Poinsot [1990;](#page-26-4) Trouvé & Poinsot [1994;](#page-28-12) Echekki & Chen [1999\)](#page-27-9). The values of both the stretching term *S<sup>ω</sup>* and curvature/propagation term *H<sup>ω</sup>* can be either positive, corresponding to local area production, or negative, corresponding to local area destruction. On average, however, the stretching term contributes to the increase of the surface area, while the curvature/propagation term is associated with a decrease in the surface area. The stretching and curvature/propagation terms approximately counterbalance each other, which is similar to the study of Neamtu-Halic *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-27-10). Compared with the stretching effects, the curvature/propagation effects exhibit considerable spatial intermittency associated with small-scale mechanisms. One interesting finding is that the PDF distribution of the curvature/propagation term is much broader than the stretching term. This implies that extreme or intense events of area production and destruction are more likely to be related to the effect of the curvature/propagation term. The non-Gaussian distribution of the curvature/propagation term can find its roots in the highly intermittent entrainment velocity v*n*.

The broad PDF distribution of  $H_{\omega}$  is manifest in the wider range of entrainment velocity  $v_n^{vis}$  and the right-skewed local mean curvature *H*, albeit the average velocity is of the order of the Kolmogorov velocity. The curvature effects on the production and destruction of the surface area, which have rarely been explored in previous studies, were further investigated. On average the growth of the curvature/propagation term  $H_{\omega}$ , is mainly caused by the curvature/viscous term  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , while the curvature/inviscid term  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ associated with vortex stretching is virtually zero. The local production and destruction of the area caused by the curvature/viscous effects strongly depends on the local mean curvature. The stretching effects produce the surface for both bulge and valley surfaces. In contrast, the curvature/viscous term intricately relates to the local mean curvature, contributing to the production of surface area in bulging regions and its destruction in the valleys.

We have further investigated the effects of the three components of the curvature/viscous term on the surface area change. On average, the average curvature/normal diffusion term  $\langle v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} | H \rangle$  and the average curvature/viscous dissipation term  $\langle v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} | H \rangle$  produce and destroy the surface area within bulging regions, respectively, and *vice versa* within the valley regions. The local surfaces with the large mean curvatures are usually associated with a greater production and destruction of the area. The gradual decrease of the average mean curvature  $\langle H \rangle$  is caused by the destruction of the valley regions and the production of the bulging regions. All three components  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ of the curvature/viscous term are critical to the evolution of the surface area, albeit the entrainment velocity  $v_n$  is mainly determined by the normal diffusion term  $v_n^N$ .

It has been shown that several aspects of small-scale motions within the TNTI of various kinds of shear flows are universal (Zecchetto & da Silva [2021\)](#page-28-23). Whether our current findings can be applied to other types of free-shear flows (e.g. mixing layer, wake and shear-free flow) is a quite pertinent question, which should be pursued in the future. In numerical simulations of reacting flows, understanding the temporal evolution of scalar isosurfaces is also crucial for modelling the scalar dissipation rate, such as the mixing fraction (Watanabe *et al.* [2014](#page-28-28)*a*). The growth/spread rates of free shear flows are directly related to the local entrainment velocity and the TNTI surface. Hickey *et al.* [\(2013\)](#page-27-20) demonstrated that turbulent planar wakes exhibit multiple self-similar states with varying spread rates. The investigation of the characteristics of the TNTI and the corresponding entrainment process for multiple self-similar states remains another important subject for future research.

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was carried out under the Collaborative Research Project of the Institute of Fluid Science, Tohoku University.

Funding. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos 12472223 and 52306249), the Six Talent Peaks Project in Jiangsu Province (grant number 2019-SZCY-005) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant number 30924010923).

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

#### [Au](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6010-5352)thor ORCIDs.

- **Xinxian Zhang [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6010-5352;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6010-5352)**
- Xue-Lu Xiong [https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9476-6012;](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9476-6012)
- Yi Zhou [https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9823-3766.](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9823-3766)

# Appendix. The Reynolds number dependence of the time evolution of TNTI surface area

<span id="page-23-0"></span>Considering that the scaling for the mean entrainment velocity derived based on a constant TNTI surface area is discussed at a relatively low Reynolds number  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 4000$  in the main body of the paper, there are doubts considering the validity of maintaining a constant surface area in a high-Reynolds-number configuration. This appendix further examines the dependence of the time evolution of the TNTI surface area on the Reynolds number by performing an additional DNS with a higher Reynolds number.

For the DNS simulation of the TNTI of higher-Reynolds-number jets, in addition to the huge computational costs, additional difficulty requires special attention. With increasing Reynolds number, the TNTI surface becomes more distorted, accompanied by a larger surface area (Zhang, Watanabe & Nagata  $2023$ ) and stronger unphysical oscillations (Er *et al.* [2023\)](#page-27-4). In particular, these numerical oscillations at the outer edge of TNTI become significant under the strong shear effect as the threshold value goes to zero.



<span id="page-24-0"></span>Table 2. Computational and geometric details for the high-Reynolds-number case.



<span id="page-24-1"></span>Figure 22. The logarithmic contours of the magnitude of vorticity at  $T/T_{ref} = 40.0$ : (*a*)  $Re_H = 4000$ ; (*b*)  $Re_H = 8000$ . The outer edge of the TNTI is delineated in solid white lines.

The unphysical strong local oscillations on the TNTI will introduce significant problems in calculating the surface area and affect the time evolution of the surface area. To suppress the unphysical oscillations near the interface and avoid the contamination of the detection, a modified compact finite difference scheme with additional numerical dissipation is used to solve the viscous term (Lamballais, Fortuné & Laizet [2011\)](#page-27-28). Nevertheless, the maximum inlet Reynolds number we can currently simulate is  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$ , which is comparable to the recent work of Silva *et al.* [\(2018\)](#page-28-15) in a high-Reynolds-number case. It is worth mentioning that the unphysical oscillations of the outer edge of the TNTI at high Reynolds numbers were not rigorously examined in their study. The computational details and the geometric parameters are listed in [table 2.](#page-24-0) The turbulent Reynolds number  $Re_{\lambda}$  for the high-Reynolds-number case based on the Taylor microscale  $\lambda$  is approximately 80.4 for  $T/T_{ref} = 40.0$ , and the corresponding spatial resolution of the jet centreline is  $(\Delta X \Delta Y \Delta Z)^{1/3}/n_C = 0.88.$ 

[Figure 22](#page-24-1) shows a colour contour of the vorticity magnitude |*ω*| and the outer edge of the TNTI on a  $X-Y$  plane. Consistent with the moderate Reynolds number  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 4000$ , the vorticity threshold  $|\omega|_{th}(t)/|\omega|_{max}(t) = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  is adopted for the identification of the TNTI. The geometry of the outer edge of the TNTI is significantly different for  $Re_H = 4000$  and 8000. For the high-Reynolds-number case, the outer edge of the TNTI is more contorted and the characteristic length scale of the turbulent region is smaller. Furthermore, finer vorticity structures can be found in [figure 22\(](#page-24-1)*b*), as expected.

The time evolution of the normalised surface area  $A(t)/(2L_XL_Z)$  at a high Reynolds number is plotted in figure  $23(a)$ . It can be seen that the surface area of the TNTI also remains roughly constant within the self-similarity period, i.e.  $A(t)/(2L_XL_Z) \approx 2.66$ .



<span id="page-25-0"></span>Figure 23. (*a*) Time evolution of the normalised surface area *A*(*t*) of the TNTI for a high Reynolds number  $Re_H = 8000$ . The horizontal dashed line indicates  $A(t)/(2L_XL_Z) = 2.66$ . (*b*) Time evolution of the spatial average of the stretching term  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  (red) and the curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$  (blue) is involved in the growth of surface area for  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$ .



<span id="page-25-1"></span>Figure 24. Conditional averages of (*a*) the local strain effects  $\langle S_{\omega} | H \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} + S_{\omega} | H \rangle$  and (*b*) the local curvature/propagation effects  $\langle H_{\omega} | H \rangle$  and  $\langle H_{\omega} + S_{\omega} | H \rangle$  at  $T/T_{ref} = 37.6$  for a high Reynolds number  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$ .

As shown in [figure 23\(](#page-25-0)*b*), the stretching term  $\langle S_{\omega} \rangle$  roughly balances the curvature/propagation term  $\langle H_{\omega} \rangle$ , which further confirms that the surface area of the TNTI remains nearly unchanged in a high-Reynolds-number case, i.e.  $dA(t)/dt \approx 0$ . This finding implies that the characteristic of constant surface area is also applicable to the current high-Reynolds-number case  $Re<sub>H</sub>$  = 8000. Therefore, the scaling of the mean entrainment velocity derived from the analytical framework of the constant surface area may be considered to be robust, at least for the two simulation cases. However, drawing strong conclusions is difficult, especially in a first study. Experiments with higher Reynolds numbers are required to further validate the scaling law.

In light of the discussion in  $\S 4.2$ , the surface curvature of the TNTI is intimately related to the local production/destruction mechanisms of the surface area. The Reynolds number dependence should also be examined to understand the relationship between the growth of surface area and surface curvature. [Figure 24](#page-25-1) shows the conditional averages of the



<span id="page-26-11"></span>Figure 25. Conditional averages of (a)  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and (b)  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $v_n^s \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$  at  $T/T_{ref} = 37.6$  for a high Reynolds number  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$ .

stretching term  $S_\omega$  and the curvature/propagation term  $H_\omega$  for a higher-Reynolds-number case with  $Re<sub>H</sub> = 8000$ . The surface evolution also exhibits a similar dependence on the shape of the TNTI as in the case of  $Re_H = 4000$ . The conditional averages of all five components (e.g.  $v_n^P \nabla \cdot n$ ,  $v_n^{vis} \nabla \cdot n$ ,  $v_n^T \nabla \cdot n$ ,  $v_n^N \nabla \cdot n$  and  $v_n^s \nabla \cdot n$ ) of the curvature/propagation term  $H_{\omega}$  are plotted in [figure 25.](#page-26-11) One interesting finding is that, compared with the lower-Reynolds-number case, the magnitudes of the conditional average statistics are smaller in a higher-Reynolds-numbers case. Considering that the high-Reynolds-number case is accompanied by a smaller mean entrainment velocity v*<sup>n</sup>* (Pope [2000\)](#page-27-29), this observation is, perhaps, not so surprising.

In summary, in this study, the characteristics related to the time evolution of the TNTI surface area have been demonstrated to be somewhat similar, at least for the two simulation cases ( $Re<sub>H</sub> = 4000$  and 8000) considered.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-26-6"></span>ALMAGRO, A., GARCÍA-VILLALBA, M. & FLORES, O. 2017 A numerical study of a variable-density low-speed turbulent mixing layer. *J. Fluid Mech.* 830, 569–601.
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>BENZI, R. & TOSCHI, F. 2023 Lectures on turbulence. *Phys. Rep.* 1021, 1–106.
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>BLAKELEY, B.C., OLSON, B.J. & RILEY, J.J. 2022 Self-similarity of scalar isosurface area density in a temporal mixing layer. *J. Fluid Mech.* 951, A44.
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>CAFIERO, G. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2019 Non-equilibrium turbulence scalings and self-similarity in turbulent planar jets. *Proc. R. Soc.* A 475 (2225), 20190038.

<span id="page-26-3"></span>CAFIERO, G. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2020 Nonequilibrium scaling of the turbulent-nonturbulent interface speed in planar jets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125 (17), 174501.

- <span id="page-26-4"></span>CANDEL, S.M. & POINSOT, T.J. 1990 Flame stretch and the balance equation for the flame area. *Combust. Sci. Tech.* 70 (1-3), 1–15.
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>CATRAKIS, H.J., AGUIRRE, R.C. & RUIZ-PLANCARTE, J. 2002 Area–volume properties of fluid interfaces in turbulence: scale-local self-similarity and cumulative scale dependence. *J. Fluid Mech.* 462, 245–254.
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>CLEARY, M.J. & KLIMENKO, A.Y. 2009 A generalised multiple mapping conditioning approach for turbulent combustion. *Flow Turbul. Combust.* 82, 477–491.
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>CORRSIN, S. & KISTLER, A.L. 1955 Free-stream boundaries of turbulent flows. *NACA Tech. Rep.* 1224, 1033–1064.
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>DAIRAY, T., OBLIGADO, M. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2015 Non-equilibrium scaling laws in axisymmetric turbulent wakes. *J. Fluid Mech.* 781, 166–195.
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>DAVIDSON, P.A. 2004 *Turbulence, An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers*. Oxford University Press.
- <span id="page-27-23"></span>DOPAZO, C., MARTIN, J., CIFUENTES, L. & HIERRO, J. 2018 Strain, rotation and curvature of non-material propagating iso-scalar surfaces in homogeneous turbulence. *Flow Turbul. Combust.* 101 (1–6), 1–32.
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>ECHEKKI, T. & CHEN, J.H. 1999 Analysis of the contribution of curvature to premixed flame propagation. *Combust. Flame* 118 (1-2), 308–311.
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>ER, S., LAVAL, J.-P. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2023 Length scales and the turbulent/non-turbulent interface of a temporally developing turbulent jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 970, A33.
- <span id="page-27-25"></span>EWING, D., FROHNAPFEL, B., GEORGE, W.K., PEDERSEN, J.M. & WESTERWEEL, J. 2007 Two-point similarity in the round jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 577, 309–330.
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>GAMPERT, M., KLEINHEINZ, K., PETERS, N. & PITSCH, H. 2014 Experimental and numerical study of the scalar turbulent/non-turbulent interface layer in a jet flow. *Flow Turbul. Combust.* 92, 429–449.
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>GEORGE, W.K. 1989 The self-preservation of turbulent flows and its relation to initial conditions and coherent structures. *Adv. Turbul.* 3973, 39–72.
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>GUTMARK, E. & WYGNANSKI, I. 1976 The planar turbulent jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 73 (3), 465–495.
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>HAYASHI, M., WATANABE, T. & NAGATA, K. 2021 Characteristics of small-scale shear layers in a temporally evolving turbulent planar jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 920, A38.
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>HICKEY, J.P., HUSSAIN, F. & WU, X. 2013 Role of coherent structures in multiple self-similar states of turbulent planar wakes. *J. Fluid Mech.* 731, 312–363.
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>HOLZNER, M. & LÜTHI, B. 2011 Laminar superlayer at the turbulence boundary. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106 (13), 134503.
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>HUANG, J., BURRIDGE, H.C. & VAN REEUWIJK, M. 2023 Local entrainment across a TNTI and a TTI in a turbulent forced fountain. *J. Fluid Mech.* 977, A13.
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>JAHANBAKHSHI, R. & MADNIA, C.K. 2016 Entrainment in a compressible turbulent shear layer. *J. Fluid Mech.* 797, 564–603.
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>KEMPF, A., KLEIN, M. & JANICKA, J. 2005 Efficient generation of initial- and inflow-conditions for transient turbulent flows in arbitrary geometries. *Flow Turbul. Combust.* 74 (1), 67–84.
- <span id="page-27-24"></span>KRUG, D., HOLZNER, M., MARUSIC, I. & VAN REEUWIJK, M. 2017 Fractal scaling of the turbulence interface in gravity currents. *J. Fluid Mech.* 820, R3.
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>LAIZET, S. & LAMBALLAIS, E. 2009 High-order compact schemes for incompressible flows: a simple and efficient method with the quasi-spectral accuracy. *J. Comput. Phys.* 228 (16), 5989–6015.
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>LAIZET, S., LAMBALLAIS, E. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2010 A numerical strategy to combine high-order schemes, complex geometry and parallel computing for high resolution DNS of fractal generated turbulence. *Comput. Fluids* 39 (3), 471–484.
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>LAIZET, S. & LI, N. 2011 Incompact3d, a powerful tool to tackle turbulence problems with up to  $O(10^5)$ computational cores. *Intl J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* 67 (11), 1735–1757.
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>LAIZET, S., NEDIĆ, J. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2015 Influence of the spatial resolution on fine-scale features in DNS of turbulence generated by a single square grid. *Intl J. Comput. Fluid Dyn.* 29 (3-5), 286–302.
- <span id="page-27-28"></span>LAMBALLAIS, E., FORTUNÉ, V. & LAIZET, S. 2011 Straightforward high-order numerical dissipation via the viscous term for direct and large eddy simulation. *J. Comput. Phys.* 230 (9), 3270–3275.
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>LELE, S.K. 1992 Compact finite difference schemes with spectral-like resolution. *J. Comput. Phys.* 103 (1), 16–42.
- <span id="page-27-22"></span>MISTRY, D., PHILIP, J. & DAWSON, J.R. 2019 Kinematics of local entrainment and detrainment in a turbulent jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 871, 896–924.
- <span id="page-27-26"></span>NAGATA, R., WATANABE, T. & NAGATA, K. 2018 Turbulent/non-turbulent interfaces in temporally evolving compressible planar jets. *Phys. Fluids* 30 (10), 105109.
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>NEAMTU-HALIC, M.M., KRUG, D., MOLLICONE, J.P., VAN REEUWIJK, M., HALLER, G. & HOLZNER, M. 2020 Connecting the time evolution of the turbulence interface to coherent structures. *J. Fluid Mech.* 898, A3.
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>NEDIĆ, J., VASSILICOS, J.C. & GANAPATHISUBRAMANI, B. 2013 Axisymmetric turbulent wakes with new nonequilibrium similarity scalings. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111 (14), 144503.
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>PHILLIPS, O.M. 1972 The entrainment interface. *J. Fluid Mech.* 51 (1), 97–118.
- <span id="page-27-29"></span>POPE, S.B. 2000 *Turbulent Flows*. Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>VAN REEUWIJK, M., VASSILICOS, J.C. & CRASKE, J. 2021 Unified description of turbulent entrainment. *J. Fluid Mech.* 908, A12.
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>SADEGHI, H., OBERLACK, M. & GAUDING, M. 2018 On new scaling laws in a temporally evolving turbulent plane jet using lie symmetry analysis and direct numerical simulation. *J. Fluid Mech.* 854, 233–260.
- <span id="page-27-27"></span>SEBASTIEN, M.C. & THIERRY, J.P. 1990 Flame stretch and the balance equation for the flame area. *Combust. Sci. Tech.* 70 (1–3), 1–15.
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>DA SILVA, C.B., HUNT, J.C.R., EAMES, I. & WESTERWEEL, J. 2014 Interfacial layers between regions of different turbulence intensity. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 46, 567–590.
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>DA SILVA, C.B., LOPES, D.C. & RAMAN, V. 2015 The effect of subgrid-scale models on the entrainment of a passive scalar in a turbulent planar jet. *J. Turbul.* 16 (4), 342–366.
- <span id="page-28-13"></span>DA SILVA, C.B. & MÉTAIS, O. 2002 On the influence of coherent structures upon interscale interactions in turbulent plane jets. *J. Fluid Mech.* 473, 103–145.
- <span id="page-28-18"></span>DA SILVA, C.B. & PEREIRA, J.C. 2008 Invariants of the velocity-gradient, rate-of-strain, and rate-of-rotation tensors across the turbulent/nonturbulent interface in jets. *Phys. Fluids* 20 (5), 055101.
- <span id="page-28-21"></span>DA SILVA, C.B. & DOS REIS, R.J.N. 2011 The role of coherent vortices near the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in a planar jet. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A *Math. Phys. Sci.* 369 (1937), 738–753.
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>DE SILVA, C.M., PHILIP, J., CHAUHAN, K., MENEVEAU, C. & MARUSIC, I. 2013 Multiscale geometry and scaling of the turbulent-nonturbulent interface in high Reynolds number boundary layers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111 (4), 044501.
- <span id="page-28-15"></span>SILVA, T.S., ZECCHETTO, M. & DA SILVA, C.B. 2018 The scaling of the turbulent/non-turbulent interface at high Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 843, 156–179.
- <span id="page-28-25"></span>SREENIVASAN, K.R. & ANTONIA, R.A. 1997 The phenomenology of small-scale turbulence. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 29 (1), 435–472.
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>SREENIVASAN, K.R., RAMSHANKAR, R. & MENEVEAU, C.H. 1989 Mixing, entrainment and fractal dimensions of surfaces in turbulent flows. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A *Math. Phys. Sci.* 421 (1860), 79–108.
- <span id="page-28-17"></span>STANLEY, S.A., SARKAR, S. & MELLADO, J.P. 2002 A study of the flow-field evolution and mixing in a planar turbulent jet using direct numerical simulation. *J. Fluid Mech.* 450, 377–407.
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>TAVEIRA, R.R. & DA SILVA, C.B. 2013 Kinetic energy budgets near the turbulent/nonturbulent interface in jets. *Phys. Fluids* 25 (1), 015114.
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>TAVEIRA, R.R. & DA SILVA, C.B. 2014 Characteristics of the viscous superlayer in shear free turbulence and in planar turbulent jets. *Phys. Fluids* 26 (2), 021702.
- <span id="page-28-16"></span>THOMAS, F. & PRAKASH, K. 1991 An experimental investigation of the natural transition of an untuned planar jet. *Phys. Fluids* 3 (1), 90–105.
- <span id="page-28-10"></span>TOWNSEND, A.A. 1956 *The Structure of Turbulent Shear Flow*, 1st edn. Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>TOWNSEND, A.A. 1976 *The Structure of Turbulent Shear Flow*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-28-12"></span>TROUVÉ, A. & POINSOT, T. 1994 The evolution equation for the flame surface density in turbulent premixed combustion. *J. Fluid Mech.* 278, 1–31.
- <span id="page-28-22"></span>VAN REEUWIJK, M. & HOLZNER, M. 2014 The turbulence boundary of a temporal jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 739, 254–275.
- <span id="page-28-9"></span>VASSILICOS, J.C. 2015 Dissipation in turbulent flows. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 47, 95–114.
- <span id="page-28-27"></span>VASSILICOS, J.C. 2023 Beyond scale-by-scale equilibrium. *Atmosphere* 14 (4), 736.
- <span id="page-28-28"></span>WATANABE, T., SAKAI, Y., NAGATA, K., ITO, Y. & HAYASE, T. 2014*a* Reactive scalar field near the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in a planar jet with a second-order chemical reaction. *Phys. Fluids* 26 (10), 105111.
- <span id="page-28-19"></span>WATANABE, T., SAKAI, Y., NAGATA, K., ITO, Y. & HAYASE, T. 2014*b* Vortex stretching and compression near the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in a planar jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 758, 754–785.
- <span id="page-28-14"></span>WATANABE, T., DA SILVA, C.B., NAGATA, K. & SAKAI, Y. 2017 Geometrical aspects of turbulent/non-turbulent interfaces with and without mean shear. *Phys. Fluids* 29 (8), 085105.
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>WESTERWEEL, J., FUKUSHIMA, C., PEDERSEN, J.M. & HUNT, J.C.R. 2009 Momentum and scalar transport at the turbulent/non-turbulent interface of a jet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 631, 199–230.
- <span id="page-28-26"></span>WOLF, M., HOLZNER, M., LÜTHI, B., KRUG, D., KINZELBACH, W. & TSINOBER, A. 2013 Effects of mean shear on the local turbulent entrainment process. *J. Fluid Mech.* 731, 95–116.
- <span id="page-28-24"></span>WOLF, M., LÜTHI, B., HOLZNER, M., KRUG, D., KINZELBACH, W. & TSINOBER, A. 2012 Investigations on the local entrainment velocity in a turbulent jet. *Phys. Fluids* 24 (10), 105110.
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>XIE, Y.L., YIN, W.J., ZHANG, X.X. & ZHOU, Y. 2023 Visualization of the rotational and irrotational motions in a temporally evolving turbulent plane jet. *J. Vis.* 26, 1025–1036.
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>XU, C.Y., LONG, Y.G. & WANG, J.J. 2023 Entrainment mechanism of turbulent synthetic jet flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* 958, A31.
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>YIN, W.J., XIE, Y.L., ZHANG, X.X. & ZHOU, Y. 2023 On the structure of the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in a fully developed spatially evolving axisymmetric wake. *Theor. Appl. Mech. Lett.* 13 (2), 100404.
- <span id="page-28-20"></span>YURTOGLU, M., CARTON, M. & STORTI, D. 2018 Treat all integrals as volume integrals: a unified, parallel, grid-based method for evaluation of volume, surface, and path integrals on implicitly defined domains. *J. Inf. Sci. Engng* 18 (2), 021013.
- <span id="page-28-23"></span>ZECCHETTO, M. & DA SILVA, C.B. 2021 Universality of small-scale motions within the turbulent/nonturbulent interface layer. *J. Fluid Mech.* 916, A9.
- <span id="page-29-1"></span>ZHANG, X.X., WATANABE, T. & NAGATA, K. 2018 Turbulent/nonturbulent interfaces in high-resolution direct numerical simulation of temporally evolving compressible turbulent boundary layers. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 3 (9), 094605.
- <span id="page-29-2"></span>ZHANG, X.X., WATANABE, T. & NAGATA, K. 2023 Reynolds number dependence of the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in temporally developing turbulent boundary layers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 964, A8.
- <span id="page-29-0"></span>ZHOU, Y. & VASSILICOS, J.C. 2017 Related self-similar statistics of the turbulent/non-turbulent interface and the turbulence dissipation. *J. Fluid Mech.* 821, 440–457.