

ON A THEOREM OF A. A. GOL'DBERG

P. C. FENTON

*Department of Mathematics, Otago University, PO Box 56,
Dunedin, New Zealand (pfenton@maths.otago.ac.nz)*

(Received 16 October 2002)

Abstract It is shown that a condition on the order of a meromorphic function in a result of A. A. Gol'dberg cannot be relaxed.

Keywords: meromorphic function; minimum modulus; maximum modulus

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 30D35

1. Introduction

Suppose that f is a meromorphic function in the plane and that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0) + N(r, \infty)}{(\log r)^2} \leq \sigma, \quad (1.1)$$

for some positive number σ , where

$$N(r, a) = \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, a)}{t} dt,$$

$n(t, a)$ being the number of a -points of f in $\{z : |z| \leq t\}$. (We assume that f has neither a zero nor a pole at the origin, which ensures the existence of $N(r, 0)$ and $N(r, \infty)$; as will be apparent, no loss of generality is entailed in doing so.) In response to a conjecture of Barry [1, p. 485], Gol'dberg [2] showed that if in addition f has order 0, then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} \geq C(\sigma), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$C(\sigma) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-(2j-1)/(4\sigma)}}{1 + e^{-(2j-1)/(4\sigma)}} \right)^2 \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$m(r) = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|, \quad M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|,$$

the minimum and maximum moduli of f . The constant $C(\sigma)$ is best possible, as Barry showed [1, p. 484]. Gol'dberg commented [2, p. 434]:

It is likely that [in this theorem] it is possible to replace the requirement that f has order 0 by the weaker restriction that f be a function of genus 0. However, we have not been able to prove this.

The intention here is to show that order 0 cannot be replaced by genus 0 in the hypotheses of the theorem.

2. An example

Given a number ρ , with $0 < \rho < 1$, and a positive number σ , we will construct an entire function $f(z)$ of order ρ for which

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{(\log r)^2} \leq \sigma \quad (2.1)$$

(for brevity $N(r)$ is used here and in what follows instead of $N(r, 0)$), while

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} < C(\sigma). \quad (2.2)$$

Let $m_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$, be an increasing sequence of positive integers which is sparse in the sense that

$$\sum_{l=1}^j e^{\rho m_l / (2\sigma')} = o(m_{j+1}) \quad (j \rightarrow \infty), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\sigma' = \sigma / (1 - \rho^2), \quad (2.4)$$

and let $R_j = e^{m_j / (2\sigma')}$. Let K_j be the largest positive integer such that $R_j e^{K_j / (2\sigma')} \leq R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}$. In view of (2.3) and the definition of R_j ,

$$\sum_{l=1}^j R_l^\rho = o(\log R_{j+1}); \quad (2.5)$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} K_j &= [(1 - \rho)m_{j+1} - m_j] = (1 - \rho + o(1))m_{j+1} \\ &= 2\sigma'(1 - \rho + o(1)) \log R_{j+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integral part. Finally, given a positive integer p , set

$$\alpha = e^{p / (2\sigma)} \quad (2.7)$$

and define $f(z)$ to be the entire function formed from its zeros using the simplest Weierstrassian factors, with the zeros specified as follows: for each positive integer j , $[\alpha R_j^\rho]$

zeros are placed at $-R_j$, and, again for each j , simple zeros are placed at $-R_j e^{k/(2\sigma')}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K_j$. The zeros of f thus occur in blocks corresponding to the intervals $(-R_{j+1}, -R_j]$, with a concentration of zeros at $-R_j$, a regular distribution of simple zeros from $-R_j$ to about $-R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}$, and the remaining part of the interval free of zeros.

The counting function, $n(r)$, of the zeros of f satisfies, for each j ,

$$n(r) = n(R_j) + [2\sigma' \log(r/R_j)], \quad R_j \leq r \leq R_j e^{K_j/(2\sigma')}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$n(r) = n(R_j) + K_j, \quad R_j e^{K_j/(2\sigma')} \leq r < R_{j+1}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$n(R_{j+1}) = n(R_j) + K_j + \alpha R_{j+1}^\rho. \tag{2.10}$$

Let us first check that f has order ρ . From (2.10), $n(R_{j+1}) \geq \alpha R_{j+1}^\rho$, so, from Jensen's Theorem, f has order at least ρ . On the other hand, from (2.8) and (2.9), for $R_j \leq r < R_{j+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} n(r) &= \sum_{l=1}^j \alpha R_l^\rho + O(\log r) \\ &= \alpha R_j^\rho + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \alpha R_l^\rho + O(\log r) \\ &= \alpha R_j^\rho + O(\log r), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

in view of (2.5), and so f has order at most ρ . Also, from (2.11) and (2.5), $n(R_j) = o(\log R_{j+1})$, and therefore, using (2.8), (2.9) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} N(R_{j+1}) &= \left\{ \int_0^{R_j} + \int_{R_j}^{R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}} + \int_{R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}}^{R_{j+1}} \right\} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \\ &\leq n(R_j) \log R_j + \int_{R_j}^{R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}} (n(R_j) + 2\sigma' \log(t/R_j)) \frac{dt}{t} + \rho(n(R_j) + K_j) \log R_{j+1} \\ &= (1 - \rho)n(R_j) \log R_{j+1} + \sigma'(\log(R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}/R_j))^2 + \rho(n(R_j) + K_j) \log R_{j+1} \\ &= \sigma'(1 - \rho)^2(\log R_{j+1})^2 + 2\sigma'\rho(1 - \rho + o(1))(\log R_{j+1})^2 + o(\log R_{j+1})^2 \\ &= \sigma'(1 - \rho^2 + o(1))(\log R_{j+1})^2 = (\sigma + o(1))(\log R_{j+1})^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

from (2.4), so that (2.1) is satisfied.

It remains to verify (2.2), and to do so we consider three subcases for r in any of the intervals $[R_j, R_{j+1})$:

- (i) $R_j \leq r \leq \beta R_j$;
- (ii) $\beta^{-1} R_{j+1}^{1-\rho} \leq r < R_{j+1}$;
- (iii) $\beta R_j \leq r \leq \beta^{-1} R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}$.

Here

$$\beta = e^{p/(2\sigma')}, \quad (2.13)$$

p being the positive integer introduced earlier (cf. (2.7)).

(i) $R_j \leq r \leq \beta R_j$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} &\leq \left| \frac{1 - r/R_j}{1 + r/R_j} \right|^{\alpha R_j^p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \right)^{\alpha R_j^p} = o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) $\beta^{-1} R_{j+1}^{1-\rho} \leq r < R_{j+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} &\leq \left(1 - \frac{r}{R_{j+1}} \right)^{\alpha R_{j+1}^p} \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\beta^{-1}}{R_{j+1}^\rho} \right)^{\alpha R_{j+1}^p} \\ &= (1 + o(1))e^{-\alpha\beta^{-1}} \\ &= (1 + o(1))e^{-e^{p(\sigma' - \sigma)/(2\sigma\sigma')}} \end{aligned}, \quad (2.15)$$

from (2.7) and (2.13).

(iii) $\beta R_j \leq r \leq \beta^{-1} R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}$ (i.e. $e^{(m_j+p)/(2\sigma')} \leq r \leq e^{((1-\rho)m_{j+1}-p)/(2\sigma')}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{K_j} \left| \frac{1 - (r/R_j)e^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + (r/R_j)e^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right| \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{K_j} \left| \frac{1 - re^{-(k+m_j)/(2\sigma')}}{1 + re^{-(k+m_j)/(2\sigma')}} \right| \\ &= \Pi_1^{-1} \Pi_2^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - re^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + re^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\Pi_1 = \prod_{k=m_j+K_j+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - re^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + re^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right|, \quad \Pi_2 = \prod_{k=1}^{m_j} \left| \frac{1 - re^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + re^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right|.$$

Since $r \leq e^{((1-\rho)m_{j+1}-p)/(2\sigma')}$ and, from (2.6), $m_j + K_j + 1 \geq (1 - \rho)m_{j+1}$,

$$\Pi_1 \geq \prod_{k=p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + e^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right) = \pi(p),$$

say, where $\pi(p) \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, but using $r \geq e^{(m_j+p)/(2\sigma')}$,

$$II_2 \geq \pi(p),$$

and we conclude that

$$\frac{m(r)}{M(r)} \leq \pi(p)^{-2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - re^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + re^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right|.$$

Barry [1, p. 484] has shown that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - re^{-k/(2\sigma')}}{1 + re^{-k/(2\sigma')}} \right| = C(\sigma'),$$

and therefore, for all large j , for $\beta R_j \leq r \leq \beta^{-1} R_{j+1}^{1-\rho}$,

$$\frac{m(r)}{M(r)} \leq (1 + o(1))\pi(p)^{-2}C(\sigma').$$

Combining the results from (i), (ii) and (iii), we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} \leq \max\{e^{-e^{p(\sigma' - \sigma)/(2\sigma\sigma')}} , \pi(p)^{-2}C(\sigma')\}.$$

Since p may be arbitrarily large,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{M(r)} \leq C(\sigma'),$$

which establishes (2.2), $C(\sigma')$ being less than $C(\sigma)$ since $\sigma' > \sigma$.

References

1. P. D. BARRY, The minimum modulus of small integral and subharmonic functions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **12** (1962), 445–495.
2. A. A. GOL'DBERG, Minimum modulus of a meromorphic function of slow growth, *Math. Notes* **25** (1979), 432–437 (English translation of *Mat. Zametki* **25** (1979), 835–844).