

A NOTE ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF REFLEXIVE ALGEBRAS

ZHE DONG

In this short note, we obtain a concrete description of rank-one operators in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$. Based on this characterisation, we give a simple proof of the tensor product formula:

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n$$

if $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ is weakly generated by rank-one operators in itself and \mathcal{L}_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are subspace lattices.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central results in the theory of tensor products of von Neumann algebras is Tomita's commutation formula:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{M}' \otimes_w \mathcal{N}' = (\mathcal{M} \otimes_w \mathcal{N})',$$

where \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are von Neumann algebras. It was observed in [1] that if we let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 denote the projection lattices of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} respectively, then (1) can be rewritten as

$$(2) \quad \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_2 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2).$$

This version of Tomita's theorem makes sense for any pair of reflexive algebras $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_2$. It remains a deep open question whether the tensor product formula (2) is valid for general reflexive algebras, or even general commutative subspace lattice algebras. However, (2) has been proved in a number of special cases ([1, 2, 3, 4]). In particular, it is known that if \mathcal{L}_1 is a commutative subspace lattice that is either completely distributive ([4]) or finite width ([2]), then (2) is valid for \mathcal{L}_1 and any subspace lattice \mathcal{L}_2 . The main purpose of this paper is to study the n -fold tensor product formula of reflexive algebras. The technique employed in this note is simple and different from the other papers about tensor products. We use rank-one operators to investigate tensor products and the technique shows its power in this note.

Let us introduce some notation and terminology. Throughout, \mathcal{H} represents a complex separable Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . A sublattice

Received 22nd November, 2001

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/02 \$A2.00+0.00.

\mathcal{L} of the projection lattice of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a subspace lattice if it contains 0 and 1 and is strongly closed, where we identify projections with their ranges. If the elements of \mathcal{L} pairwise commute, \mathcal{L} is a commutative subspace lattice. A nest is a totally ordered subspace lattice. If \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ denotes the set of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that leave the elements of \mathcal{L} invariant. If \mathcal{L} is a commutative subspace lattice, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is said to be a commutative subspace lattice algebra. If \mathcal{L} is a nest, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is said to be a nest algebra.

If \mathcal{A} is a subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ then $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, the set of projections left invariant by each element of \mathcal{A} , is a subspace lattice. A subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is reflexive if $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{A}$. The reflexive algebras are precisely the algebras of the form $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$, where \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice. If $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$ are subspace lattices, $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ is the subspace lattice in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n)$ generated by $\{L_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_n : L_i \in \mathcal{L}_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. If $\mathcal{S}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$ are subspaces, then $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{S}_n$ denotes the linear span of $\{S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n : S_i \in \mathcal{S}_i\}$; $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_w \dots \otimes_w \mathcal{S}_n$ denotes the weak closure of $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{S}_n$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n)$.

2. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF REFLEXIVE ALGEBRAS

For $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, the operator xy^* is defined by the equation

$$(xy^*)(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice and $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we write L_- for the projection $\vee\{E \in \mathcal{L} : L \not\leq E\}$. The following result of Longstaff [6] is essential.

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice. Then $xy^* \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ if and only if there is an element $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$.*

Let $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$ be subspace lattices. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n = \{I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n : L_j \in \mathcal{L}_j\}$; certainly, it is a subspace lattice.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\mathcal{L}_j \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_j) (1 \leq j \leq n)$ be subspace lattices. Suppose that $N_j \in \mathcal{L}_j$, then*

$$(I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n)_- = I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \dots \otimes I_n$$

and

$$(I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n)_\perp^\perp = I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \dots \otimes I_n$$

in $I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n$.

PROOF: We first show that $I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n \leq I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_j \otimes \dots \otimes I_n$ if and only if $N_j \leq L_j$. For the forward implication choose unit vectors $x_i \in \mathcal{H}_i (i \neq j)$. For any $x_j \in \mathcal{H}_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left\langle (I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (L_j - N_j) \otimes \dots \otimes I_n)(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n), x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (L_j - N_j)x_j \otimes \dots \otimes x_n, x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \rangle \\ &= \langle (L_j - N_j)x_j, x_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So $N_j \leq L_j$. The converse implication is also natural. Thus $I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \not\leq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$ if and only if $N_j \not\leq L_j$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)_- \\ &= \vee \{ I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n : I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \not\leq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \} \\ &= \vee \{ I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n : N_j \not\leq L_j \} \\ &= I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\vee \{ L_j : N_j \not\leq L_j \}) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \\ &= I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n, \end{aligned}$$

(The proof of the third equality is routine). Since

$$(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n) = 0,$$

we have

$$I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \leq (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp.$$

If $(I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp \neq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$, we can choose a non-zero vector $z \in (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp \ominus (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)z \\ &= (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)z + (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)z \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. So

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp &= (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp \\ &= I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{j-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3. Let $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$ be subspace lattices. Then a rank-one operator $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ if and only if there exist $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ such that $x \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n$ and $y \in N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp$.

PROOF: Set $\mathcal{F}_i = I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$. Thus

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{F}_n,$$

and

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = (\text{Alg } \mathcal{F}_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\text{Alg } \mathcal{F}_n).$$

Now suppose that $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$. Since $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n \supseteq \mathcal{F}_i$, $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq \text{Alg } \mathcal{F}_i$. Thus $xy^* \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{F}_i$ and, by the definition of \mathcal{F}_i and Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, there is an element $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ such that $x \in I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$ and $y \in (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)^\perp = I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{i-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$. This is valid for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, whence

$$x \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \quad \text{and} \quad y \in N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp.$$

For the converse, if $x \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n$ and $y \in N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp$ then, in particular, $x \in I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$ and $y \in I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{i-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$. Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 imply that $xy^* \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{F}_i$, for each i . Hence

$$xy^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Alg } \mathcal{F}_i = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n).$$

□

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)(i = 1, \dots, n)$ be subspace lattices. If $L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ and $L \not\leq L_-$, then*

$$L = \vee\{N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n : N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \leq L\}.$$

PROOF: Suppose that $0 \neq x \in L$. Since $L \not\leq L_-$, $L_- \neq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$. For any $0 \neq y \in L_-^\perp$, Lemma 1 shows that the rank-one operator $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$. By Lemma 3, there exist $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i(i = 1, \dots, n)$ such that $x \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n$ and $y \in N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp$. If $N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \not\leq L$, it follows from the definition of $(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)_-$ that $L \leq (N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)_-$. By virtue of Lemma 2, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq (N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)_- \\ &\leq (I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n)_- \\ &= I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{i-} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L^\perp \geq I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{i-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n, \text{ for each } i.$$

So $L^\perp \geq N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp$ and we have shown that $y \in L^\perp$. Thus for any $y \in L^\perp$, we show that $y \in L^\perp$. This implies that $L^\perp \leq L^\perp$ and $L \leq L_-$. This contradicts our hypothesis. Hence $N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \leq L$ and for any $x \in L$,

$$x \in \vee\{N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n : N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \leq L\}.$$

Thus

$$L \leq \vee\{N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n : N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n \leq L\}.$$

The converse inequality is obvious and this completes the proof. □

LEMMA 5. *Let $x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i(i = 1, \dots, n)$. Then*

$$(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)(y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n)^* = (x_1 y_1^*) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_n y_n^*).$$

PROOF: For any $z_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, it follows from the definition that

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)(y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n)^*](z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n) &= \langle z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n, y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n \rangle (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \\ &= \langle z_1, y_1 \rangle \cdots \langle z_n, y_n \rangle (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \\ &= (\langle z_1, y_1 \rangle x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\langle z_n, y_n \rangle x_n) \\ &= [(x_1 y_1^*) z_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [(x_n y_n^*) z_n] \\ &= [(x_1 y_1^*) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_n y_n^*)](z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since the linear span of simple tensors is everywhere dense in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$, so

$$(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)(y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n)^* = (x_1 y_1^*) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_n y_n^*). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$ are subspace lattices and $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ is weakly generated by rank-one operators in itself. Then*

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n.$$

PROOF: Each of the operators which generate $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n$ leaves invariant each of the projections which generate $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$; therefore

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) \supseteq \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n.$$

It remains to show that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n$. Since $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ is weakly generated by rank-one operators in itself, it suffices to show that each rank-one operator in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ belongs to $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n$. Now for any $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ and $x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)[(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)(y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n)^*](N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp) \\ &= (N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)[(x_1 y_1^*) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_n y_n^*)](N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp) \\ &= N_1(x_1 y_1^*)N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n(x_n y_n^*)N_{n-}^\perp \\ &\in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n. \end{aligned}$$

For any rank-one operator $zw^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$, it follows from Lemma 3 that there exist $N_i \in \mathcal{L}_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ such that $z \in N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n$ and $w \in N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp$. Since $z, w \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$, there exist sequences $\{z_m\}$ and $\{w_m\}$ such that

$$z_m \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} z \quad \text{and} \quad w_m \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} w,$$

where z_m, w_m are finite linear combinations of simple tensors. It is routine to show that

$$(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)(z_m w_m^*)(N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} (N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)(zw^*)(N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp) = zw^*.$$

The preceding paragraph shows that

$$(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n)(z_m w_m^*)(N_{1-}^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{n-}^\perp) \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n,$$

so $zw^* \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n$. This completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 7. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$ be subspace lattices. If $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{n-1})$ is weakly generated by rank-one operators in itself, then*

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}_n.$$

PROOF: It follows from [4, Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 2.1] that

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{n-1}) \otimes_w \text{Alg} \mathcal{L}_n.$$

By virtue of Theorem 6, we obtain that

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = \text{Alg} \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg} \mathcal{L}_n. \quad \square$$

The following corollary is one of the main results in [3].

COROLLARY 8. ([3, Theorem 17].) *Let $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be completely distributive commutative subspace lattices. Then*

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) = \text{Alg} \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg} \mathcal{L}_n.$$

PROOF: It follows from [3, Theorem 10] that $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ is a completely distributive commutative subspace lattice. Thus, by virtue of [5, Theorem 3], $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ is weakly generated by the rank-one operators in itself. So the corollary follows from Theorem 6. \square

COROLLARY 9. ([1, Theorem 2.6].) *Let \mathcal{N}_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be nests. Then*

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{N}_n) = \text{Alg} \mathcal{N}_1 \otimes_w \cdots \otimes_w \text{Alg} \mathcal{N}_n.$$

If \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice, let $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})$ denote the linear span of rank-one operators in $\text{Alg} \mathcal{L}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})}$ the norm closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})$. If $\mathcal{S}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are subspaces, $\mathcal{S}_1 \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_n$ denotes the norm closure of $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{S}_n$.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let $\mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be subspace lattices. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1) \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_n).$$

PROOF: The result is essentially implied in the proof of Theorem 6. \square

Note that in Proposition 10 we do not need the hypothesis that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$ is weakly generated by rank-one operators in itself.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser and D. Larson, 'Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbation', *J. Funct. Anal.* **55** (1984), 176–199.
- [2] A. Hopenwasser and J. Kraus, 'Tensor products of reflexive algebras II', *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** **28** (1983), 359–362.
- [3] A. Hopenwasser, C. Laurie and R. Moore, 'Reflexive algebras with completely distributive subspace lattices', *J. Operator Theory* **11** (1984), 91–108.
- [4] J. Kraus, 'Tensor products of reflexive algebras', *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** **28** (1983), 350–358.

- [5] C. Laurie and W.E. Longstaff, 'A note on rank-one operators in reflexive algebras', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 293–297.
- [6] W.E. Longstaff, 'Strongly reflexive lattices', *J. London Math.Soc.* **2** **11** (1975), 491–498.

Institute of Mathematics
Fudan University
Shanghai 200433
People's Republic of China
e-mail: dzhe8@mail.china.com