

ON SOME NON-HYPERFINITE FACTORS OF TYPE III

BY
WAI-MEE CHING⁽¹⁾

Introduction. In 1967, Powers [7] proved that there exists a one-parameter family of pairwise non-isomorphic hyperfinite factors of type III. Powers' result on hyperfinite factors has been extended by Araki and Woods [1]. Connes [4], and Williams [11], with different proofs, showed that there exists a continuous family of mutually non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type III. Actually, this result was also established by Ching [3] and Sakai [8] independently in 1970, using a method derived from the classification of factors of type II₁. While the construction of groups in [3] and [8] are highly complicated, the computations in [11] are quite lengthy. On the other hand, Connes' elaborate and deep analysis [4] of factors of type III involves several sophisticated techniques in operator algebras developed recently. In particular, his new algebraic invariants S and T are based on the Tomita-Takesaki theory of modular operators of von Neumann algebras [10]. In this note, we shall give an elementary proof of the existence of a continuous family of mutually non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type III. In fact, we shall prove a special case of the main result in Williams [11] by restricting the finite factor in the tensor product to a group algebra. We follow the approach of Schwartz [9], but shall not use infinite tensor product of factors of type I.

In the following, 1 denotes the function constantly equal to 1 , e the identity element in a group, N the set of natural numbers, δ_k the function on a set G with $\delta_k(k)=1$, and $\delta_k(g)=0$ for $g \neq k$, χ_S the characteristic function of a set S , B' the commutant of a set B of operators on a Hilbert space. All summations \sum in this paper are over certain finite sets of indexes, all functions are complex-valued unless otherwise specified, and isomorphism means $*$ -isomorphism.

Construction of R_λ^α , $0 < \lambda < 1$. Let $X_0 = \{0, 1\}$. Let μ_0 be the measure on X_0 with $\mu_0(0)=p$, $\mu_0(1)=q$, $p+q=1$, $0 < p < q$, and $\lambda=p/q$. Let μ be the completion of the product measure $\mu' = \prod_{i \in N} \mu_i$ on the Cartesian product $X = \prod_{i \in N} X_i$, where $X_i = X_0$, $\mu_i = \mu_0$ for $i \in N$. Let Δ be the subset of X consisting of all functions on N which take the value 1 only finitely many times. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, $h(\alpha) = \max\{i \in N \mid \alpha(i)=1\}$ is called the *height* of α . For example, $\delta_n \in \Delta$, and $h(\delta_n)=n$, $n \in N$. Define for $i \in N$,

$$(x+y)(i) = x(i)+y(i)(\text{mod } 2), \quad \text{for } x, y \in X.$$

Received by the editors October 23, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L10.

Key words and phrases. Factors of type III, non-hyperfinite, spacial isomorphism.

⁽¹⁾ This research was supported by the National Science Foundation grant GN-28517.

Δ is then an abelian group where each element is its own inverse. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, we define the following: a measurable transformation $\alpha: x \mapsto x + \alpha$ on X , a measure $\mu_\alpha(E) = \mu(E + \alpha)$ for all μ -measurable subsets E of X . Let $d\mu_\alpha(x)/d\mu$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ_α with respect to μ , and $r_\alpha = (d\mu_\alpha(x)/d\mu)^{1/2}$. We have

$$(1) \quad r_\beta(x + \alpha)r_\alpha(x) = r_{\alpha\beta}(x).$$

Let $H_\lambda = L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \ell^2(\Delta)$. Since H_λ is generated by all $F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha$, $F(x) \in L^2(X, \mu)$, $\alpha \in \Delta$, for each $f(x) \in M(X)$, the algebra of all bounded μ -measurable functions on X , and $\beta \in \Delta$, the following uniquely define 4 bounded linear operators on H_λ :

$$\begin{aligned} L_f(F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha) &= f(x)F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha, & U_\beta(F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha) &= r_\beta(x)F(x + \beta) \otimes \delta_{\alpha+\beta}, \\ M_f(F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha) &= f(x + \alpha)F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha, & V_\beta(F(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha) &= F(x) \otimes \delta_{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

A subset S in X of the form $\{x \in X \mid x(i_k) = a_{i_k}, (a_{i_k} = 0 \text{ or } 1) k = 1, \dots, n\}$ is called a *cylinder set*, and $h(S) = \max\{i_k \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$ is called the *height* of S . For example, $C_n^i = \{x \in X \mid x(n) = i\}$, $i = 0, 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are cylinder sets, and we write χ_n^i for $\chi_{C_n^i}$. Let $S(X)$ be the algebra of all linear combinations of characteristic functions of cylinder sets. For $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{S_i}$ in $S(X)$, $h(f) = \max\{0, h(S_i) \mid c_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is called the *height* of f . We note that if S is a cylinder set and $n > h(S)$, then $\mu(S \cap C_n^1) = q\mu(S)$. Hence, for $f(x) \in S(X)$ and $n > h(f)$,

$$\int_{C_n^1} |f(x)|^2 d\mu = q \|f\|_2^2, \quad \text{where } \|\cdot\|_2 \text{ is the } L^2\text{-norm.}$$

From the observation that

$$r_{\delta_n}(x) = \lambda^{i-1/2} \quad \text{for } x \in C_n^i, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

it is also not difficult to see that for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $r_\alpha(x)$ assumes only finitely many values on a cylinder set, all of the form $\lambda^{\pm m/2}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the cylinder sets in X generate the σ -algebra of all μ -measurable subsets of X , $S(X)$ is dense in $M(X)$ in the strong operator topology. Operators of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n L_{f_i} U_{\beta_i} \left(\text{resp. } \sum_{i=1}^n M_{f_i} V_{\beta_i} \right),$$

where $f_i \in S(X)$, $\beta_i \in \Delta$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ form a self-adjoint algebra R_λ^0 (resp. R_λ^c) of operators on H_λ . Operators in R_λ^0 commute with operators in R_λ^c . Let R_λ be the strong closure of R_λ^0 . By von Neumann [6], R_λ is a factor of type III, and the strong closure of R_λ^c is R'_λ . R_λ is isomorphic to the factor constructed in Powers [7] as pointed out in §4[7].

Let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ be a countable discrete group. Let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}(G)'$) be the von Neumann algebra associated with the left (resp. right) regular representation of G on $\ell^2(G)$. We denote L_{g_0} (resp. R_{g_0}) the left (resp. right) translation by g_0^{-1} (resp. g_0) on $\ell^2(G)$. Let $R_\lambda^G = R_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{A}(G)$ be the tensor product of R_λ and $\mathcal{A}(G)$ on $H_\lambda^G = H_\lambda \otimes \ell^2(G)$. R_λ^G is purely infinite by Lemma 3 [2], where u is the identity

representation, and $R_\lambda^{G'} = R'_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{A}(G)'$. A vector in H_λ^G of the form $\xi = \sum_{\alpha, g} f_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_g$, where all $f_{\alpha g}(x) \in S(X)$ is called *flat*, and $h(\xi)$, the *height* of ξ , is defined to be the largest of the heights of $f_{\alpha g}$'s and α 's in the summation. Apparently, flat vectors are dense in H_λ^G .

For G and G_1 two countable discrete groups, and $\lambda, \lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$, we have the following:

THEOREM. R_λ^G is not isomorphic to $R_{\lambda_1}^{G_1}$ if $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$.

Proof. Let

$$J_n = L_{\chi_n^1} U_{\delta_n} \otimes L_e, \quad K_n = M_{\chi_n^0} V_{\delta_n} \otimes R_e, \quad n \in N.$$

Then $J_n \in R_\lambda^G, K_n \in R_{\lambda_1}^{G'}$, and

$$(2) \quad \|J_n\| = \|K_n\| = 1, \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

We claim that for each $\xi \in H_\lambda^G$,

$$(3) \quad \|K_n \xi\| \rightarrow q^{1/2} \|\xi\|,$$

$$(4) \quad \|(J_n - \lambda^{1/2} K_n) \xi\| \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(5) \quad \|(\lambda^{1/2} J_n^* - K_n^*) \xi\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Because of (2), we only need to show (3)–(5) for an arbitrary flat vector $\xi = \sum_{\alpha, g} f_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_g$. We first observe that for $f \in S(X), \alpha \in \Delta, n > \max\{h(f), h(\alpha)\}$, we have

$$f(x + \delta_n) = f(x), \quad \chi_n^i(x + \alpha) = \chi_n^i(x), \quad i = 0, 1,$$

and $\chi_n^0(x + \delta_n) = \chi_n^1(x), \chi_n^1(x + \delta_n) = \chi_n^0(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence, for $n > h(\xi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_n \xi\|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha, g} \|M_{\chi_n^0} V_{\delta_n} f_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, g} \|\chi_n^0(x + \alpha + \delta_n) f_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_{\alpha + \delta_n}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, g} |\chi_n^1(x) f_{\alpha g}(x)|^2 = q \|\xi\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(J_n - \lambda^{1/2} K_n) \xi\|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha, g} \|(L_{\chi_n^1} U_{\delta_n} - \lambda^{1/2} M_{\chi_n^0} V_{\delta_n}) f_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, g} \|\chi_n^1(x) r_{\delta_n}(x) f_{\alpha g}(x + \delta_n) - \lambda^{1/2} \chi_n^0(x + \alpha + \delta_n) f_{\alpha g}(x)\|_2^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda^{1/2} J_n^* - K_n^*) \xi\|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha, g} \|(\lambda^{1/2} U_{\delta_n} L_{\chi_n^1} - V_{\delta_n} M_{\chi_n^0}) f_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, g} \|\lambda^{1/2} r_{\delta_n}(x) \chi_n^1(x + \delta_n) f_{\alpha g}(x + \delta_n) - \chi_n^0(x + \alpha) f_{\alpha g}(x)\|_2^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This verifies (3)–(5).

Now, suppose on the contrary that there is an isomorphism θ from R_λ^G onto $R_{\lambda_1}^{G_1}$. It is easy to see that $\xi_0 = 1 \otimes \delta_e \otimes \delta_e$ is a cyclic and separating vector for both R_λ^G and $R_{\lambda_1}^{G_1}$ in H_λ^G and $H_{\lambda_1}^{G_1}$ respectively. By Theorem 3 on p. 222 of Dixmier [5], R_λ^G and $R_{\lambda_1}^{G_1}$ are then spacially isomorphic, i.e., there exists a unitary operator W from H_λ^G to $H_{\lambda_1}^{G_1}$ such that

$$(6) \quad \theta(T) = WTW^* \quad \text{for all } T \in R_\lambda^G.$$

Clearly, (6) also defines an isomorphism from $R_\lambda^{G'}$ onto $R_{\lambda_1}^{G'_1}$. From (3)–(6), we have for an arbitrary $((\lambda/2)^{1/2} > \epsilon) > 0$, an $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$(7) \quad \|\theta(K_{n_0})\xi_0\| > \frac{1}{2}q^{1/2},$$

$$(8) \quad \|\theta(J_{n_0} - \lambda^{1/2}K_{n_0})\xi_0\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}q^{1/2}.$$

$$(9) \quad \|\theta(\lambda^{1/2}J_{n_0}^* - K_{n_0}^*)\xi_0\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}q^{1/2}.$$

Since $R_{\lambda_1}^0$ (resp. $R_{\lambda_1}^c$) is strongly dense in R_{λ_1} (resp. R'_{λ_1}) and operators of the form $\sum_g c_g L_g$ (resp. $\sum_g c_g R_g$) are strongly dense in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}(G)'$), by the Kaplansky density theorem (Theorem 3, p. 43 [5]), the hermitian parts of $R_{\lambda_1}^0$ and $R_{\lambda_1}^c$ are dense in the hermitian parts of R_{λ_1} and R'_{λ_1} respectively. Hence, after multiplying $2q^{-1/2}$ on (7)–(9), we can find two operators $P = \sum_{\alpha, g} L_{f_{\alpha g}} U_\alpha \otimes L_g$ and $Q = \sum_{\alpha, g} M_{\varphi_{\alpha g}} V_\alpha \otimes R_g$, where $f_{\alpha g}, \varphi_{\alpha g} \in S(X)$, such that

$$(10) \quad \|Q\xi_0\| > 1,$$

$$(11) \quad \|(P - \lambda^{1/2}Q)\xi_0\| < \epsilon,$$

$$(12) \quad \|(\lambda^{1/2}P^* - Q^*)\xi_0\| < \epsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the summations in P and Q are over the same finite subset $E \times F$ of $\Delta \times G$, and furthermore, $g \in F$ implies $g^{-1} \in F$. (11) and (12) are respectively the following:

$$(13) \quad \left\| \sum_{\alpha, g} (f_{\alpha g}(x)r_\alpha(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_g - \lambda^{1/2}\varphi_{\alpha g}(x+\alpha) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}) \right\| \\ = \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \|f_{\alpha g}(x)r_\alpha(x) - \lambda^{1/2}\varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon,$$

$$(14) \quad \left\| \sum_{\alpha, g} (\lambda^{1/2}r_\alpha(x)f_{\alpha g}(x+\alpha) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}} - \varphi_{\alpha g}(x) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_g) \right\| \\ = \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \|\lambda^{1/2}r_\alpha(x)f_{\alpha g}(x+\alpha) - \varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ = \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \|\lambda^{1/2}f_{\alpha g}(x) - r_\alpha(x)\varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon.$$

The last equality follows from

$$\int_X f(x+\alpha) d\mu(x) = \int_X f(x) \frac{d\mu_\alpha}{d\mu}(x) d\mu(x),$$

and (1). By Minkowski inequality and (13), (14), we have

$$(15) \quad \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \int_X |r_\alpha(x) - \lambda^{1/2}|^2 \cdot |f_{\alpha g}(x) + \varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} < 2\epsilon,$$

and

$$(16) \quad \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \int_X |r_\alpha(x) + \lambda^{1/2}|^2 \cdot |\varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha) - f_{\alpha g}(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} < 2\epsilon.$$

Since $r_\alpha(x) > 0$, (16) implies that

$$A = \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \|\varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha) - f_{\alpha g}(x)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} < 2\epsilon\lambda^{-1/2} < 1.$$

Hence,

$$(17) \quad \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \|f_{\alpha g}(x) + \varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq 2 \left(\sum_{\alpha, g} \|\varphi_{\alpha g^{-1}}(x+\alpha)\|_2^2 \right) - A = 2 \|Q\xi_0\| - A > 1.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the finite collection of all cylinder sets whose characteristic functions are in the linear expansions of $f_{\alpha g}$'s and $\varphi_{\alpha g}$'s. (15) and (17) together yield that

$$\min_{\substack{x \in S \in \mathcal{F} \\ \alpha \in E}} |r_\alpha(x) - \lambda^{1/2}| < 2\epsilon.$$

But $r_\alpha(x)$ is always an integral power of $\lambda_1^{1/2}$ for $x \in S \in \mathcal{F}$, $\alpha \in E$. Since $0 < \lambda$, $\lambda_1 < 1$, and ϵ can be arbitrarily small, we have $\lambda = \lambda_1^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By symmetry, $\lambda_1 = \lambda^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\lambda = \lambda_1$. This shows that R_λ^G is not isomorphic to $R_{\lambda_1}^{G_2}$ if $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$.

COROLLARY. *There exists a one-parameter family of non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type III.*

Proof. Let $G = G_1 = \Phi_2$, the free group on two generators. R_λ^G and $R_{\lambda_1}^G$ are factors of type III (Lemma 2 [2] with u the identity representation). By the same argument as that in Lemma 9 [2], we see that R_λ^G and $R_{\lambda_1}^G$ are non-hyperfinite, for otherwise Φ_2 would admit a translation invariant measure of total mass 1. Hence, $\{R_\lambda^G \mid 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is the required family of factors of type III.

REFERENCES

1. H. Araki and E. J. Woods, *A classification of factors*, Publ. R.I.M.S. Kyoto Univ. Ser. A, **3** (1968), 51-130.
2. W. Ching, *Non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors*, Canad. J. Math. **21** (1969), 1293-1308.

3. W. Ching, *A continuum of non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **23** (1970), 921–937.
4. A. Connes, *Une classification des facteurs de type III*, *Ann. sci. École Normale Sup.* 4^e sér. (2), **6** (1973), 133–252.
5. J. Dixmier, *Les Algèbres d'Opérateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien*, 2^e Edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
6. J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators III*, *Ann. Math.* (2) **41** (1940), 94–161.
7. R. Powers, *Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings*, *Ann. Math.* **86** (1967), 138–171.
8. S. Sakai, *An uncountable family of non-hyperfinite type III factors*, *Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1970, 65–70.
9. J. T. Schwartz, *Recent progress in the structure theory of factors*, *Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1970, 37–53.
10. M. Takesaki, *Tomita's Theory of Modular Hilbert Algebras and its Applications*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. **128**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
11. J. J. Williams, *Non-isomorphic tensor product of von Neumann algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **26** (1974), 492–512.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
WHITE HALL,
CORNELL UNIVERSITY,
ITHACA, N.Y. 14853
U.S.A.