

**Gunnell, D., Fouskakis, D., Rasmussen, F., et al (2003)** Patterns of fetal and childhood growth and the development of schizophrenia and psychosis in young males: a cohort study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, **158**, 291–300.

**Rehnan, A. G., Zwaalen, M., Minder, C., et al (2004)** Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein-3, and cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Lancet*, **363**, 1346–1353.

**Sandhu, M. S., Heald, A. H., Gibson, J. M., et al (2002)** Circulating concentrations of insulin-like growth factor-I and development of glucose intolerance: a prospective observational study. *Lancet*, **359**, 1740–1745.

**Wahlbeck, K., Forsen, T., Osmond, C., et al (2001)** Association of schizophrenia with low maternal body mass index, small size at birth, and thinness during childhood. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **58**, 48–52.

**D. Gunnell** Department of Social Medicine, Canynge Hall, Whiteladies Road, Bristol BS8 2PR, UK. E-mail: DJ.Gunnell@bristol.ac.uk

**J. M. P. Holly** Division of Surgery, University of Bristol, Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol, UK

### Pharmaceutical influence and psychiatrists: an introspection

The Monday afternoon journal club brings us all together from the several community centres in the trust. Today, unexpectedly, the drug rep is not on time and the meeting has begun without lunch. Most of us are restless. It is difficult to listen when you are hungry. I catch myself looking out of the window, but I am also looking at the entrance to the room from the corner of my eye. Where is this drug rep anyway?

Gilbody *et al* (2004) have elaborated very topical concerns about the growing influence of direct-to-consumer advertising of psychotropic medications. In this movement, greater empowerment of consumer choice is used as a catch-phrase and, more importantly, the clinicians who oppose it stand accused of 'guarding professional territory' (Bonaccorso & Sturchio, 2002). But to begin tracing this debate to its ethical roots, we ought to pause and first consider the merits of clinician-targeted advertising.

The editorial rightly states that \$2.5 billion was spent in the year 2000 on direct-to-consumer advertising in the USA. However, this was a small fraction of the massive \$15.7 billion spent on drug promotion as a whole (Rosenthal *et al*, 2002; Wolfe, 2002). Budgets for the same have increased exponentially over the past few years (Wolfe, 2002). With the introduction of the newer, more expensive atypical antipsychotics and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, this is particularly so in psychiatry. Since advertising in professional

journals seems to be fairly static (as demonstrated by brief inspection of the number of drug advertisements in the *Journal* in any given month over the past decade), it can only be assumed that the bulk of this finance caters toward sponsoring conferences, hotel stays, lunches and other 'promotional' activities aimed at the clinician writing the prescriptions.

Such practice seems to be woven into the very fabric of the medical profession in general, and psychiatry in particular. Yet what evidence is there to suggest that this culture of happy symbiosis is beneficial to our patients?

Several studies have been done recently that investigate the possible changes in prescription patterns as a result of aggressive consumer-directed advertising. Surprisingly, on the other hand, there is very scant research indeed to elucidate the association of our prescription patterns and the influence of any concurrent clinician-directed advertising. Of the little evidence available, most suggests a worse scenario (Wang *et al*, 1999). Is there a professional bias that explains this paucity of interest?

Before riding our moral high horse and being outraged at the blurring of boundaries as the pharmaceutical industry makes independent forays into the public domain, should we not consider what boundaries we set big business when it entered our own fold? I imagine it would be difficult for a clinician who writes with a drug company pen, on a drug company pad, which he takes out of his drug company bag, to tell his patient not to invest much faith in drug company advertisements. On how many occasions do clinicians turn down offers by pharmaceutical companies to fund their attendance at conferences, flights abroad, hotel stays, social banquets, gifts? The list is long, and there is no such thing as a free lunch.

Meanwhile, in my own little way, I wait for the drug rep. The doctor presenting the paper rambles on. 'An intention to treat analysis would have been more appropriate to validate this particular therapy,' I think to myself. But at the same time I wonder, 'I hope it's the pretty lady from the risperidone company. She brings those lovely sandwiches from Marks & Spencer'.

### Declaration of interest

I regularly attend the twice-weekly journal clubs and case conferences at my centre,

during which lunch is sponsored by pharmaceutical companies.

**Bonaccorso, S. N. & Sturchio, J. L. (2002)** For and against: direct to consumer advertising is medicalising normal human experience: against. *BMJ*, **324**, 910–911.

**Gilbody, S., Wilson, P. & Watt, I. (2004)** Direct-to-consumer advertising of psychotropics. An emerging and evolving form of pharmaceutical company influence. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **185**, 1–2.

**Rosenthal, M. B., Berndt, E. R., Donohue, J. M., et al (2002)** Promotion of prescription drugs to consumers. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **346**, 498–505.

**Wang, T., Ausiello J. C. & Stafford, R. S. (1999)** Trends in antihypertensive drug advertising, 1985–1996. *Circulation*, **99**, 2055–2057.

**Wolfe, S. M. (2002)** Direct to consumer advertising – education or promotion? *New England Journal of Medicine*, **346**, 524–526.

**M. Husain** Leeds Mental Health NHS Trust; correspondence to 391 Easterly Road, Oakwood, Leeds LS8 2TR, UK. E-mail: muzhusain@hotmail.com

### Defining delusion

The clear definition of primary delusions helpfully provided by Owen *et al* (2004) includes both that one comes to believe new things (change in meaning) and arrives at such beliefs in a new way (transformation of experience). This requirement for a transformation of experience seems to me to require an abnormal mental mechanism that is permitted in a rationalist account of delusions. The distinction between primary and secondary delusions is one that eludes many authors (e.g. Hales *et al*, 1999: pp.432–434) in addition to myself and Professors van Os and Delespaul. We would differ I suppose in that while they view all delusions as secondary, I think those that do not share the mechanism of primary delusions are not really delusions at all.

Wernicke's work on aphasia suggested that mental functions were localised, but this is quite a separate issue from whether their mechanism is modular. This is evident in Wernicke's description of mental pathology as a 'loosening up of the firm network of association' (Jaspers, 1963: p. 536). Such an empiricist account of mental pathology is surely incompatible with modularity as proposed by the rationalist philosopher Fodor.

**Hales, R., Yudofsky, S. C., Talbott, J. (eds) (1999)** *Textbook of Psychiatry*. Washington, DC: Psychiatric Press.

**Jaspers, K. (1963)** *General Psychopathology* (7th edn) (trans. J. Hoening & M.W. Hamilton). Manchester: Manchester University Press.

**Owen, G., Harland, R., Antonova, E., et al (2004)** Jaspers' concept of primary delusion (letter). *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **185**, 77–78.

**H. Jones** Lordship Lane Locality Mental Health Team, 20–22 Lordship Lane, East Dulwich, London SE22 8HN, UK

### Twenty ways to scratch your bum?

First, can I applaud the *Journal* for publishing Dr Bourne's (2004) rude and rousing

letter, but also express a concern and hope that the Editor does actually read and take note of the letters sent 'to him'. I have recently had reason to re-read Bleuler, Jaspers and Jung on the origins, phenomenology and understanding of schizophrenia, and been warmed and deeply impressed by the intimacy, humanity and complex insightfulness they offer. Their writings are richly populated by the people they studied, not a few of whom seem rather like my patients too. Their work stands in striking contrast to the current literature, not

least because there is not a single table of numbers in their collected works.

We appear to have lost something of great value, and I am less sure of the value of the dispassionate, anonymous, scientific material that has replaced it. Time to redress the balance?

**Bourne, H. (2004)** A new name for the *Journal*? (letter). *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **184**, 455.

**G. Roberts** Wonford House Hospital, Dryden Road, Exeter EX2 5AF, UK

## One hundred years ago

### Clinical note on a case of obstinate constipation due to collection of plum-stones in the rectum. By J. Ogilvie Veitch, MB, CMEdin, Second Assistant Medical Officer, the Asylum, Worcester

A FEMALE patient, M. W—, in this asylum, suffering from dementia, and who had previously been cleanly in her habits, was noticed by the nurse to soil her clothes daily, and that, although this occurred, she never had a proper movement of the bowels. She was sleepless and restless at night, but

complained of no pain, and took her food in a satisfactory manner. This state of affairs had been progressing for about ten days, when it was brought under the notice of her medical attendant. Various purgatives were administered by the mouth, and these proving ineffectual, and on purgative enemata being tried and also proving abortive, a rectal examination was made, when it was discovered that the lower bowel was filled with plum-stones, which were caked with the faeces into a hard mass. These were digitally removed, and numbered about fifty. After this treatment the

patient's bowels acted normally. The special feature of this case seems to be the facility with which these stones, considering their size and sharp edges, passed through the whole length of the intestine, without giving rise to any serious symptoms.

### REFERENCE

*Journal of Mental Science*, 1904, 307.

Researched by Henry Rollin, Emeritus Consultant Psychiatrist, Horton Hospital, Epsom, Surrey.