

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- 1 World Health Organization. Psychiatrists working in mental health sector (per 100,000). WHO, 2023 ([https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-details/GHO/psychiatrists-working-in-mental-health-sector-\(per-100-000\)](https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-details/GHO/psychiatrists-working-in-mental-health-sector-(per-100-000))).
- 2 Statistics Norway. Arbeid og lønn [Work and wages]. Statistics Norway, 2023 (<https://www.ssb.no/arbeid-og-lonn>).
- 3 Statistics Norway. Befolkningens utdanningsnivå [Educational attainment of the population]. Statistics Norway, 2023 (<https://www.ssb.no/utdanning/utdanningsniva/statistikk/befolkningens-utdanningsniva>).
- 4 Statistisk sentralbyrå [Statistics Norway]. Births and Deaths. Statistisk sentralbyrå (<https://www.ssb.no/en/befolkning/fodte-og-dode>).
- 5 Helseidrettoratet [Norwegian Directorate of Health]. Internasjonalt perspektiv på psykisk helse og helsetjenester til mennesker med psykiske lidelser [International perspective on mental health and health services for people with mental disorders]. Helseidrettoratet, 2015 (https://www.helseidrettoratet.no/rapporter/internasjonalt-perspektiv-pa-psykisk-helse-oghelsetjenester-til-mennesker-med-psykiskelidelser/Internasjonalt%20perspektiv%20p%C3%A5%20psykisk%20helse%20og%20helsetjenester%20til%20mennesker%20med%20psykiske%20lidelser.pdf/_/attachment/inline/2784807c-b441-4137-a3a1-61fff9f8836a:75040e04f7107e9ee-c48b8d9fada6ad1866dc7a4/Internasjonalt%20perspektiv%20p%C3%A5%20psykisk%20helse%20og%20helsetjenester%20til%20mennesker%20med%20psykiske%20lidelser.pdf).
- 6 Helseidrettoratet [Norwegian Directorate of Health]. *Tvangsinnleggelse i psykisk helsevern for voksne* [Forced hospitalisation in mental health care for adults]. Helseidrettoratet, 2018 (updated 2023) (<https://www.helseidrettoratet.no/statistikk/kvalitetsindikatorer/psykisk-helse-og-vern-for-voksne/tvangsinnleggelse-ipsykisk-helsevern-for-voksne> [accessed 7 Aug 23]).
- 7 Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. St.prp. nr. 63 (1997-98) Om opptrappingsplan for psykisk helse 1999 - 2006 Endringer i statsbudsjettet for 1998 [Proposition to the Storting No. 63 (1997-98): About the Escalation Plan for Mental Health 1999 - 2006 Changes in the central government budget for 1998]. Ministry of Health and Social Affairs, 1998 (<https://www.regjeringen.no/no/documenter/stprp-nr-63-1997-98/-id201915/>).



Mental health reform in Australia – unfinished business

Sebastian Rosenberg,¹  Luis Salvador-Carulla² and Alan Rosen³

¹Senior Lecturer, Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia. Email sebastian.rosenberg@sydney.edu.au

²Professor, Health Research Institute, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

³Professor, Australian Health Services Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

Keywords. Australia; mental health; policy; planning; human rights.

First received 13 Apr 2023
Final revision 24 May 2023
Accepted 8 Jun 2023

doi:10.1192/bji.2023.19

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Australia was one of the first countries to develop a national mental health strategy. This article reviews the progress of reform, outlining some strengths, weaknesses and prospective challenges.

Australia's National Mental Health Strategy began in 1992. The national mental health reform process could be characterised as diverse and uneven rather than steady or linear.

Healthcare structure

Responsibility for funding and planning health-care in Australia is split between the Federal (national) government and eight states and territories. The Federal government is responsible for primary care (about Au\$4bn for mental health in 2020–2021), historically focusing on the role of general practitioners (and more recently psychologists). These services are funded by our universal health insurer Medicare, with individual practitioners charging a fee for service. The states and territories each have health budgets, principally directed towards the provision of hospital-based in-patient and out-patient care, including in mental health (about Au\$7bn).

Australia deinstitutionalised psychiatric care in the 1990s under the National Mental Health Strategy, although 1500 beds in psychiatric specialist institutions remain, costing Au\$600m annually.¹ Most of the acute in-patient care occurs in 5521 mental health beds located in the psychiatric wards of Australia's general public hospitals. Overall, the rate of mental health beds available per 100 000 population declined from 40.2 in 2011–2012 to 37.1 in 2020–2021. Over the same period, the average length of stay in public hospital mental health acute units reduced from 14.6 days to 13.

Responsibility for secondary mental health-care, especially in relation to community-based clinical and psychosocial mental health services, is unclear. In the 1980s and 1990s, Australia could reasonably be described as leading the world in the establishment of multidisciplinary community mental health teams but many of these services have been depleted.²

Epidemiology

Over 2 in 5 (44%, or 8.6 million) Australians aged 16–85 experience a mental disorder at some time in their life, with 1 in 5 (21%, or 4.2 million) having experienced a mental disorder in the previous 12 months.³ Prevalence rates seem stable,

although recent data indicate increasing levels of mental illness among Australia's youth,⁴ a trend common to many countries.⁵

Mental and substance use disorders contribute 13% to Australia's total burden of disease, the fourth highest contributor. They are the second highest contributor to non-fatal burden (24%), behind musculoskeletal conditions.⁶ Mental health's share of total health spending has not changed: 7.3% in 1992–1993 and 2020–2021.¹ The considerable gap between the level of funding and mental health's share of the burden of disease is one factor inhibiting the nationwide development of Australia's mental health services.

Recent investment in Medicare-subsidised psychology services has seen growth in the number of Australians receiving mental health treatment, from 35% to 46%,⁷ although the outcomes of this treatment are unclear.⁸

In 2021, 3144 Australians died by suicide,⁹ an age-standardised suicide death rate of 12.0 per 100 000 people. Although this rate fluctuates, the 20-year trend is upwards, for both men and women.

Workforce

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare reports that in 2020 (during the COVID-19 pandemic), there were 84.4 mental health nurses, 78.4 psychologists and 11.6 psychiatrists working in full-time clinical roles per 100 000 population, an increase from 75.8, 61.8, and 10.1 in each category respectively since 2013. Australia's investment in 'lived experience' workers is recent and limited. Nationally, 328.8 full-time paid peer support workers and 103.4 paid carer workers were employed in specialist mental health services. Overall, services and workforce are concentrated in urban areas, with increasing gaps evident elsewhere.¹⁰

Legislation and jurisdictional differences

Each state and territory has its own mental health legislation, largely derived from 'model' legislation but with some differences, leading to variations in the regulation of involuntary treatment, among other matters.¹¹

Each jurisdiction also has separate systems of financing, accountability and data collection, although with national data monitoring, comparable to other federal countries and countries with regional governance and financing, such as Belgium, Italy or Spain. Jurisdictional variation in Australia translates into notable regional differences in care type, availability, equity and access.

Planning and development

Australia's National Mental Health Strategy was developed partly in response to issues emerging from a national inquiry by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission, which found egregious examples of poor treatment and care in mental health services. Repeated examples of this kind of statutory inquiry have

become a defining feature of mental healthcare in Australia.¹²

The 1993–1998 National Mental Health Plan began a series of five such plans, with the most recent concluding in 2017. No evaluation of the national plans has occurred since 2008. In 2022, rather than agree a new national five-year plan, the Federal government signed individual mental health and suicide prevention plans with each of the states and territories (available at: <https://federalfinancialrelations.gov.au/agreements/mental-health-suicide-prevention-agreement>).

The National Disability Insurance Scheme

Australia's mental health system was further fragmented with the 2013 establishment of the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS), a new public insurance scheme designed to meet the needs of Australians with permanent and enduring disabilities, including psychosocial disability.¹³

Around 60 000 Australians with severe and complex psychosocial disability are currently enrolled in the NDIS, out of an estimated 64 000 total eligible. Just under Au\$1bn was spent in 2022–2023, a 35% increase from the same time in the previous year. This equates to per capita NDIS spending of nearly \$67 000, about 20 times more than is spent on services for people with a mental illness who do not qualify for the NDIS.¹⁴ This inequity is one of the challenges facing Australia as it grapples with creating a fair and navigable mental health system for everybody.

Progress

Australia's 1992 National Mental Health Policy set out an ambitious reform agenda focusing on deinstitutionalisation, community mental health, better accountability and the delivery of human rights for people with a mental illness and carers.

Unfortunately, as revealed by repeated statutory and other inquiries, positive rhetoric and benevolent policy intent has often failed to translate into practical improvements in the experiences of mental healthcare felt by patients. Problems with implementation reflect Australia's limited success in developing useful national processes for accountability in mental healthcare. Much data is collected by government service providers, but this has not resulted in effective processes of systemic quality improvement, using validated measures of patient outcomes.¹⁵ There is little evidence that either the prevalence or severity of mental illness in Australia has diminished over the past 30 years, indeed there is evidence that psychological distress has increased.¹⁶

Patients' rights were a central feature of the first National Mental Health Plan in 1993, but it was only in 2022 that national patient and carer mental health organisations were funded nationally.

Although Australian mental health research enjoys a strong international reputation, the National Mental Health Commission has estimated that national investment in mental

health research is approximately half what could be expected based on the prevalence and burden of mental ill health among Australians, as compared with other diseases and health problems.

Where to from here?

Australia's next phase of mental health reform will require action at several levels, spanning not just workforce growth, but also role delineation, service design, outcome measurement, accountability and systemic quality improvement. This work must reflect the regional diversity of Australia. We can draw on new skills and tools in mental health planning and policy development, including the National Mental Health Service Planning Framework¹⁷ and regional Atlases of Mental Health, revealing regional patterns of mental healthcare for specific age groups (adults, children and adolescents, and older adults), specific services (such as addiction) and jurisdictions.¹⁰

More sophisticated bottom-up modelling techniques are emerging,¹⁸ in response to recommendations made by recent inquiries,¹⁵ on the premise they would permit greater control by local service planners and policymakers, allowing them to consider future risks, shifts and opportunities as well as historical trends. Supporting successful regional implementation will continue to be the key challenge.

Australia's fragmented approach to mental healthcare has led to a confusing and problematic system, subject to repeated inquiry. Significant shortfalls in both mental health service access and quality are evident. Consequent risks to patients and carers remain. The new Federal government, elected in May 2022, has flagged its intention to pursue mental health reform, working in partnership with other governments and with mental health stakeholders (both clinical and psychosocial). The opportunity for positive change is clear.

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Author contributions

S.R. prepared the manuscript, L.S.-C. and A.R. helped structure the paper and provided comments and input.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- 1 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Expenditure on Mental Health-Related Services*. AIHW, 2023 (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/mental-health/topic-areas/expenditure> [cited April 2023]).

- 2 Rosen A, Mezzina R, Feldman JM. International trends in community mental health services. In *Textbook of Community Psychiatry: American Association for Community Psychiatry* (2nd edn) (eds WE Sowers, HL McQuiston, JM Ranz, JM Feldman, PS Runnels): 863–89. Springer International Publishing, 2022.
- 3 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Prevalence and Impact of Mental Illness*. AIHW, 2023 (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/mental-health/overview/mental-illness> [cited April 2023]).
- 4 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Children and Youth: Mental Illness*. AIHW, 2021 (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/children-youth/mental-illness> [cited April 2023]).
- 5 Silva SA, Silva SU, Ronca DB, Gonçalves VS, Dutra ES, Carvalho KM. Common mental disorders prevalence in adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *PLoS One* 2020; 15(4): e0232007.
- 6 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Mental Health: Prevalence and Impact*. AIHW, 2022 (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/mental-health-services/mental-health> [cited April 2023]).
- 7 Whiteford HA, Buckingham WJ, Harris MG, Burgess PM, Pirkis JE, Barendregt JJ, et al Estimating treatment rates for mental disorders in Australia. *Aust Health Rev* 2013; 38: 80–5.
- 8 Pirkis J, Currier D, Harris M, Mihalopoulou C, Arya V, Banfield M, et al et al. *Evaluation of Better Access: Main Report*. Australian Government, 2022 (<https://www.health.gov.au/resources/collections/evaluation-of-the-better-access-initiative-final-report> [cited April 2023]).
- 9 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Suicide & Self-harm Monitoring Data*. AIHW (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/suicide-self-harmmonitoring/data/suicide-self-harm-monitoring-data>).
- 10 Salinas-Perez JA, Gutierrez-Colosia MR, Garcia-Alonso CR, Furst MA, Tabatabaei-Jafari H, Kalseth J, et al Patterns of mental healthcare provision in rural areas: a demonstration study in Australia and Europe. *Front Psychiatry* 2023; 14: 993197.
- 11 Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatry. *Mental Health Acts Comparative Tables*. RANZCP, 2017 (<https://www.ranzcp.org/getmedia/cd06761b-93ab-4163-9ad1-9960da2e7e64/mental-health-acts-comparative-tables-all.pdf>).
- 12 Francis CJ, Johnson A, Wilson RL. The personal cost of repetitive mental health inquiries that fail to result in change. *Collegian* 2022; 29: 728–37.
- 13 Smith-Merry J, Hancock N, Gilroy J, Llewellyn G, Yen I. *Mind the Gap: The National Disability Insurance Scheme and Psychosocial Disability. Final Report: Stakeholder Identified Gaps and Solutions*. University of Sydney, 2018.
- 14 National Disability Insurance Scheme. *Explore Data*. NDIS (<https://data.ndis.gov.au/explore-data>).
- 15 Productivity Commission. *Mental Health: Productivity Commission Inquiry Report, Volume 1 (Report no. 95)*. Australian Government Productivity Commission, 2020 (<https://www.pc.gov.au/inquiries/completed/mental-health/report/mental-health-volume1.pdf>).
- 16 Enticott J, Dawadi S, Shawyer F, Inder B, Fossey E, Teede H, et al Mental health in Australia: psychological distress reported in six consecutive cross-sectional national surveys from 2001 to 2018. *Front Psychiatry* 2022; 13: 476.
- 17 Wright E, Leitch E, Fjeldsoe K, Diminic S, Gossip K, Hudson P, et al Using the National Mental Health Service Planning Framework to support an integrated approach to regional mental health planning in Queensland, Australia. *Aust J Prim Health* [Epub ahead of print] 3 Mar 2021. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1071/PY20150>.
- 18 Whiteford H, Bagheri N, Diminic S, Enticott J, Gao CX, Hamilton M, et al Mental health systems modelling for evidence-informed service reform in Australia. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* [Epub ahead of print] 14 May 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00048674231172113>.