

At the same time Ovid offers examples of how his poetry could be turned to imperial panegyric (4.2) or, threateningly, to invective (4.9). Ovid's treatment of Augustus in the poems of Book 4 and the exilic collection as a whole is treated in the introduction and is a major preoccupation of the commentary. G. is inclined to detect implicit polemic in Ovid's self-identification as Augustus' victim (4.1.54, 4.3.69), in his protreptic praise of Augustus' clemency (e.g. the 'blackmail encomium' of 4.8.38, *mitius immensus quo nihil orbis habet*, cf. 4.4.53), which contrasts with the repeated emphasis on the *principis ira* (e.g. 4.10.98). G. notes the unusual lack of requests for pardon or mercy in Book 4 (only 4.4.51–4). The final section of the introduction is devoted to a lengthy survey of the manuscript tradition and of earlier editions and commentaries beginning from the fifteenth century (29–42). Throughout the introduction and commentary there are extensive references to the discussions of secondary sources, cited in the impressively comprehensive and up-to-date bibliography, which makes the work extremely useful.

The text G. provides is his own and he carefully explains in the commentary his textual choices in relation to the other major editions (I counted forty divergences from Owen's (1915) OCT and eighty-four from Hall's (1995) Teubner); no new conjectures are offered. Although G. provides an abbreviated list of codices taken from Hall, he does not accept any of Hall's own conjectures, nor his division of 4.4 into two elegies. The book concludes with an extensive bibliography and two helpful appendices (1. Important words and subjects (including Latin words), 2. metre, rhetoric, style).

The text of each individual poem is followed by a summary, introduction and line-by-line commentary. Due attention is given to discussion of textual variants/cruces, language, word-order, rhetorical features and prosody (less space is given to syntax or grammatical explanation). G. offers copious discussion of Ovid's intertexts, as well as generous citation of literary parallels, especially from Ovid's own poetry. G. is excellent on poetic register and metapoetic implications. The heart of the commentary is interpretive, with constant reference to the relevant scholarly discussions and bibliography. G. is particularly attentive to the well-known ways in which the exile poems exhibit continuity with Ovid's earlier erotic poetry (linguistic and thematic), while reconfiguring previously positive figures and themes in negative ways, signalling the reversal of the poet's situation ('elemento "palinodico"' (93)), e.g. at 4.1.55 the topos of uncountable grains of sand signifies the multitude of Ovid's ills, while in *Ars Amatoria* 1.253–4 the figure had represented the uncountable occasions for meeting women. The commentary does an excellent job of contextualising each poem within the book, connecting its content with the exile poetry as a whole and guiding the reader through the major scholarly issues, while providing the relevant secondary sources.

University of Virginia  
ksm8m@virginia.edu

K. SARA MYERS

doi:10.1017/S0075435824000078

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies.

KIRK FREUDENBURG (ED.), *HORACE SATIRES BOOK II*. (Cambridge Greek and Latin Classics). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021. Pp. 364. ISBN 9780521444941 (hbk); 9780521449472 (pbk). £82.00/£25.99.

In Horace's hands, 'satire is a genre where silences must be maintained, and when silences speak loudly. One must listen for them', writes Kirk Freudenburg (3) in the introduction to his commentary on *Satires II*, which complements Emily Gowers' commentary on *Satires I* in the 'Green and Yellow' series (2012). One might well wonder how to communicate these significant silences while also providing, as F. goes on to do, a generous and well-curated commentary for students and scholars alike. F. does so by offering an abundance of syntactical support as well as a sensitive array of interpretive possibilities, without letting his readers rest comfortably in any single explanation. The Introduction charts personal and political differences between the 'self-assured' Horace of *Satires I* and *this* Horace, a satirist under siege, even as his stature has risen. The construction of speakers in this book is different, too: F. presents us with *Satires II*

as overheard conversations that often leave both Horace and his audience on the margins. While providing a strong sense of where the book is positioned in the arc of the poet's output, F. counterbalances that framework with anti-teleological accounts of his life and work, thwarting easy appeals to biography or facile gestures to historical context throughout.

Frances Muecke's Aris & Phillips commentary on *Satires II* (1993), which had previously served as the standard English teaching text, was published early on in the major flourishing of scholarship on Roman satire, thus predating many advances that would be made in subsequent decades, not to mention Paolo Fedeli's commentary, published in the following year in Italian. F. is liberal in his inclusion of both Muecke and Fedeli (and many others). But along with this intellectual generosity, F. positions this volume as a reflection of nearly three decades of deep thought about the problems of narration and authority that these poems pose for their audience. Throughout, F. offers a condensed, accessible version of his critical approach familiar from his scholarship on the Roman satirists, from Lucilius to Juvenal. Several salient references to Persius light the way forward for readers who want to pursue the trail. This commentary signals the maturity of the field, providing both a durable resource for new readers and a firm basis for new inquiries.

Like Gowers, F. has substantially adhered to the text of Klingner's Teubner (1959), but F. has also made use of the paragraphing in Shackleton-Bailey's later Teubner (1991), presenting a collection of *sermones* that is more obviously dialogic through format. F. sensibly rejects the vast majority of Shackleton-Bailey's conjectures, but the conjecture for the vexed II, 6.29 has nudged F. towards his own emendation: F.'s *quare me improbus urges* resolves difficulties of both speaker and metre. That his explanation addresses an unusually 'harsh elision' found in the MSS reflects a preoccupation with sound — and F. is particularly attuned to the sound of satire. Readers are encouraged to listen, as it were, to the satires throughout, by paying attention to metrical features, aurally significant poetic figures, as well as puns and *double entendre* — as is often the case in F.'s scholarship, which is characterised by a fine sense for the absurd. In II, 4, for example, F. suggests we read the onomastic puzzle *Catius* playfully as 'Mr. Clever Sauce' and the figure as a stand-in for both Cato and Maecenas (174–6). But F. also asks us to attend to sound at the level of structure — the 'new narratological reality' (49) — by tracking the voices and hearsay of others, such as Ofellus (II, 2), Damasippus (II, 3) and Tiresias (II, 5).

Especially outstanding is F.'s commentary on II, 8, that discomfiting ending to Horace's satirical project which Daniel Hooley has called its 'darker edge'. F. toggles deftly among references to symposia, comedy, epic, witchcraft and politics, allowing the reader to sense the diversity of allusion: Moments of interpretive tension are discussed so that the poem's jagged edges are illuminated, but not explained away. In the prefatory essay, a sharp summary of the 'overheard conversation' is followed by several pages of interpretive approaches (with a sprinkling of Persius). The political decoding of the poem as reflective of some specific entanglement with Octavian (a product of sixteenth-century philology) is given its historical due, but sensibly rejected. A full set of expectations around Maecenas built up through references from the larger Horatian corpus draws attention to his conspicuous near-omission; this oblique view of the man is thus rendered significant rather than disappointing. In Maecenas' place, the 'shadow' character Balatro emerges as a touchstone — even if a flawed one — for navigating the mock symposium's relentlessly ironising humour. The feast's too-many courses of fish, wine and eel are given structural, intertextual and aural significance. Finally, F. treats readers to a diagram of Nasidienus' dining-room that provides students of Horace with a tool long deemed necessary to students of Plato. At the end, F. uses Horace's parting reference to Canidia to remind us that Nasidienus' hospitality is unsettlingly close to witchcraft, gesturing to the larger Horatian corpus via the *Epodes*. The final note on the aural and orthographical resonance between Canidia, the witch who would shortly reappear in the *Epodes*, and Cleopatra (via Oliensis and Sharland) gives a sense of just how much is at stake, just as the book ends. While the reader has been given a supportive guide, the result is that she is left to struggle, appropriately, with an unsatisfying ending in a classically overstuffed genre.

University of Pennsylvania  
brassel@sas.upenn.edu

KATE MENG BRASSEL

doi:10.1017/S0075435824000418