

consultant psychiatrists serving Waterford over seven years), the problem is minimal. If that is so, it is important to appreciate the fuller picture:

- very few adults, after making 'recovered memory' accusations, are referred to a psychiatrist by their therapist. Psychiatrists, as a group, are therefore a poor litmus for false memories;
- from our initial analysis, only 13% of 'recovered memory' accusations arise while the patient is actually undergoing psychiatric treatment (as opposed to other forms of 'therapy');
- accusations which are not retracted may nevertheless be false accusations. However sincerely believed at the time, retracting the allegation, when it is realised that it is false, is a difficult, guilt-laden process for the accuser;
- there have been no "massive repression/recovered memory" accusations against parents which have subsequently been verified by independent sources. With the vast number of claimed cases around the world this lack of evidence might well render them liable to the same scepticism with which allegations of multi-generational satanic ritual abuse are now being met.⁴

Unfortunately this article does little to advance our understanding of why adults 'recover' false memories of childhood abuse.

Until the mental health professions realise the dangers involved in validating patients' uncorroborated, long-delayed abuse 'memories' and stop using coercive, directive therapy and interviewing techniques, all based on pseudo-science, to uncover what *they* presume to be buried sexual trauma – as Freud did 100 years ago⁶ – wrongful accusations will continue to divert our attention from the genuine cases which do deserve our understanding, respect and sympathy.

Roger Scotford,
*Director BFMS,
Bradford on Avon,
Wiltshire BA15 1NA,
England.*

References

1. Byrne P, Sheppard N. Allegations of child sexual abuse: delayed reporting and false memory. *Ir J Psychol Med* 1995; 12: 103-6.
2. Pope, Hudson. Can memories of childhood sexual abuse be repressed? *Psychological Medicine* (Cambridge University Press) 1995; 25: 121-6.
3. Bass and Davies. *The Courage to Heal* (and workbook). New York: Harper & Row, 1988.
4. American Medical Association. Report of the Council on Scientific Affairs, CSA Report 5-A-94; 1994.
5. LaFontaine JS, Prof. The extent and nature of organised and ritual abuse, HMSO 1994
6. Webster R. Why Freud was wrong, sin, science and psychoanalysis, Harper Collins, September 1995

Allegations of child sexual abuse: delayed reporting and false memory

Authors' reply:

Sir – In reply to the general criticisms of the US and British False Memory Foundations, we would wish to remind readers of both letters and our paper¹ that we are aware of the limitations of the case series (*see Subjects and methods*) and that psychiatrists may be reviewing a biased sample. Our central point is that in the variety of experiences of delayed reporting of child sexual abuse and/or allegations subsequently withdrawn, the new 'syndrome' of false memory represents only a proportion of those patients, and that many

other possibilities, some with psychiatric diagnoses, exist (*see Discussion*). In her observation that psychiatrists have learned of the false memory syndrome (FMS) societies through the lay press, Freyd makes our point for us: the FMS debate has taken place largely in this arena, and we argue for discussion and standardisation within the mental health professions (*see Conclusion*).

Our monograph does not advocate or deny the validity of false memory – it seeks to clarify this difficult clinical setting. Nor did we speculate on the theoretical basis of repression; our cases, having accepted the above limitations, presented a wide range of reasons (not causes) other than FMS, and raised the possibility of true FMS in only two cases (*cases C and K*).

With regard to some of the specific points raised by Scotford, we agree with the four points and appreciate that neither FMS society is condemning the totality of psychotherapeutic practice. His letter does, however, juxtapose the "consultant psychiatrist in a leading teaching hospital" with the "correspondence course-trained hypnotherapist in the back room".

Certainly no psychiatrist can defend the so-called recovered memory therapy, and we share the concerns about one best-selling self-help book.²

Peter Byrne,
*Registrar in Psychiatry,
St John of God Hospital,
Stillorgan,
Co Dublin.*
Noel Sheppard,
*Consultant Psychiatrist,
St Otteran's Hospital,
Waterford,
Ireland.*

References

1. Byrne P, Sheppard N. Allegations of child sexual abuse: delayed reporting and false memory. *Ir J Psychol Med* 1995; 12: 103-6.
2. Bass and Davies. *The courage to heal*. New York: Harper & Row, 1988.



Dissociative psychosis: an atypical presentation and response to cognitive analytic therapy

Sir – Having trained and worked in psychiatry on both sides of the Atlantic, I am puzzled by the apparent North American-European discrepancy in diagnostic and therapeutic practice with respect to dissociative disorder. I am pleased to see that the *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine* is attempting to bridge the gap by publishing Drs Graham and Thavasothy's article.¹

However, I am mystified by their statement that "there is very little literature on the treatment of such disorders". There have been many advances in the field since the work of Freud and Janet referred to by the authors. There is now a wealth of literature on the treatment of dissociative disorders.^{2,4} The International Society for the Study of Dissociation has held conferences and workshops for over 10 years and publishes a journal, *Dissociation*, devoted entirely to the subject.

The case subject of Dr Graham and Dr Thavasothy's article would not be considered greatly unusual or atypical on this side of the Atlantic. I commend the authors for pursuing