

## COMMUTATIVITY OF $(2 \times 2)$ SELFADJOINT MATRICES

W.J. RICKER

An elementary proof is given of the fact that an  $n$ -tuple  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  of self-adjoint matrices in a 2-dimensional Hilbert space consists of mutually commuting matrices  $A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , if and only if  $\gamma(A)$  is non-empty. Here  $\gamma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is the joint spectrum of  $A$  (in the sense of McIntosh and Pryde) consisting of those points  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for which the matrix  $\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - \beta_j)^2$  is not invertible.

Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of bounded linear operators in a Banach space. A notion of joint spectrum  $\gamma(A)$  was introduced in [2, 3], namely

$$(1) \quad \gamma(A) = \left\{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n; 0 \in \sigma \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - \beta_j I)^2 \right) \right\},$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator and  $\sigma(B)$  denotes the usual spectrum of an operator  $B$ . For *pairwise commuting* operators  $A_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , it turns out (for  $n \geq 2$ ) that  $\gamma(A)$  is always a non-empty, compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , [2, 6]. In some recent work of Pryde [4, 5] the spectral set  $\gamma(A)$  has proved to be useful in the consideration of certain classes of *non-commuting*  $n$ -tuples  $A$ . In [1] a detailed study is made of the sets  $\gamma(A)$  for non-commuting, selfadjoint operators  $A_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , in Hilbert spaces. An application of the general results developed there (especially the notion of the maximal joint abelian subspace of  $A$ ) is the following commutativity criterion; see [1, Proposition 7].

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of selfadjoint operators in a 2-dimensional Hilbert space. Then  $\gamma(A) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if the operators  $A_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , pairwise commute.*

The aim of this short note is to give an elementary proof of this result independent of the more abstract techniques developed in [1]. The proof proceeds in two simple stages. Firstly, the result is established for two operators by a direct calculation based only on some very *elementary* properties of the sets  $\gamma(A)$ . The second step consists of reducing the case of  $n$  operators to that of a pair of operators.

---

Received 3 November 1992

The support of an Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship is gratefully acknowledged.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/93 \$A2.00+0.00.

**STEP 1.** Let  $A = (A_1, A_2)$  be a pair of selfadjoint operators in a 2-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $A_1A_2 \neq A_2A_1$ . Then  $\gamma(A) = \emptyset$ .

**PROOF:** It is a direct consequence of the definition of joint spectral set given in (1) that

- (i)  $\gamma(tA) = t\gamma(A)$  for any real number  $t > 0$ , and
- (ii)  $\gamma(UAU^{-1}) = \gamma(A)$  whenever  $U: H \rightarrow H$  is a linear isomorphism.

In fact, properties (i) and (ii) are valid for any  $n$ -tuple of bounded linear operators  $A$  in an arbitrary Banach space.

So, in (ii) choose for  $U$  an orthogonal transformation such that the matrix of  $UA_1U^{-1}$ , with respect to the basis of  $H$  consisting of the orthonormal eigenvectors of  $A_1$ , is diagonal, say  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the matrix of  $UA_2U^{-1}$  with respect to this basis is of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & w \\ \bar{w} & b_2 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $A_1A_2 \neq A_2A_1$  we have  $a_1 \neq a_2$  (with  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and  $w \neq 0$ . Choosing  $t = |w|^{-1}$  in (i) allows us to reduce the proof to a consideration of the special case when  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $a_1 \neq a_2$  real numbers and  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & w \\ \bar{w} & b_2 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $w$  a unimodular complex number. But then, for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , a direct calculation (using  $|w| = 1$ ) shows that  $\det[(A_1 - \beta_1 I)^2 + (A_2 - \beta_2 I)^2]$  is equal to

$$(\beta_1 - a_1)^2 [(\beta_1 - a_2)^2 + (\beta_2 - b_2)^2] + (\beta_2 - b_1)^2 (\beta_1 - a_2)^2 + [1 - (\beta_2 - b_1)(\beta_2 - b_2)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^2 (\beta_1 - a_j)^2.$$

It is easy to check that this expression cannot equal zero, and hence it must be strictly positive. Since  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$  was arbitrary it follows that  $\gamma(A) = \emptyset$ . □

**STEP 2.** Suppose there exist indices  $j < k$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A_jA_k \neq A_kA_j$ . Then  $\gamma(A) = \emptyset$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary. Since  $\gamma((A_j, A_k)) = \emptyset$  (by Step 1) the operator  $T = (A_j - \beta_j I)^2 + (A_k - \beta_k I)^2$  is invertible. Of course,  $T$  is also selfadjoint and positive (in the usual sense, that is,  $\langle Th, h \rangle \geq 0$  for each  $h \in H$ ). Moreover,

$$S = \sum_{r \neq j, k} (A_r - \beta_r I)^2$$

is also positive and selfadjoint. But, whenever  $V$  is any invertible, positive, selfadjoint operator in any Hilbert space  $H$  (in which case  $\sigma(V) \subseteq (0, \infty)$ ) and  $W$  is any positive, selfadjoint operator in  $H$ , then  $V + W$  is necessarily invertible. Accordingly,

$\sum_{r=1}^n (A_r - \beta_r I)^2 = S + T$  is invertible. Since  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  was arbitrary we conclude that  $\gamma(A) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION: If the operators  $A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , pairwise commute, then we have already noted that  $\gamma(A) \neq \emptyset$ ; see [2, 6]. Conversely, if the operators  $A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , do not pairwise commute, then Step 2 shows that  $\gamma(A) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

REMARK. The above Proposition is particular to 2-dimensional Hilbert spaces. For, let  $B_1, B_2$  be selfadjoint matrices in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $B_1 B_2 \neq B_2 B_1$ . Let  $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , with both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  non-zero, and define selfadjoint operators in  $\mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}$  by  $A_j = B_j \oplus u_j I$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $A_1 A_2 \neq A_2 A_1$ . However, since the non-zero vector  $h = (0, 0, 1)$  satisfies  $A_j h = u_j h$  for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , it follows that  $u \in \gamma(A)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Greiner and W.J. Ricker, 'Joint spectral sets and commutativity of systems of  $(2 \times 2)$  selfadjoint matrices', *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* (to appear).
- [2] A. McIntosh and A.J. Pryde, 'A functional calculus for several commuting operators', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **36** (1987), 421–439.
- [3] A. McIntosh and A.J. Pryde, 'The solution of systems of operator equations using Clifford algebras', *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.* **9** (1985), 212–222.
- [4] A.J. Pryde, 'A non-commutative joint spectral theory', *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.* **20** (1988), 153–161.
- [5] A.J. Pryde, 'Inequalities for exponentials in Banach algebras', *Studia Math.* **100** (1991), 87–94.
- [6] W.J. Ricker and A.R. Schep, 'The non-emptiness of joint spectral subsets of Euclidean  $n$ -space', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **47** (1989), 300–306.

School of Mathematics  
The University of NSW  
PO Box 1  
Kensington NSW 2033  
Australia