J. Pfleiderer and G. Leuprecht Institute of Astronomy University of Innsbruck Austria

ABSTRACT: The reduction method and the results of a photoelectric surface photometry of the Zodiacal Light at a wavelength of 555 nm are presented. A comparison with published results is also given.

The data were obtained on Rockdale Mountain, South Africa, during 1961 and 1962 (for details see Pfleiderer and Mayer 1971). The instrument was a refractor (D = 125 mm, f = 750 mm) with a RCA 1P21 photomultiplier and a GG11 Schott filter (2 mm). The effective wavelength was about 555 nm, the field of view 1.1 square degrees. The data were recorded on strip charts and later on digitized with the semi-automatic digitizer of the Innsbruck computer centre. During the digitization, bright stars down to about  $7^{\rm m}$  were removed individually from the data.

Contributions to the observed brightnesses come from the Zodiacal Light (ZL), the Milky Way (MW), the airglow (AG), and from light scattered in the lower atmosphere (SL). We have assumed the AG and the SL both to depend on the zenith distance and time but to be independent of azimuth. They can be rather effectively removed by subtracting a background constant from each observed almucanteral scan. During the iteration process described below, the constants were adjusted to give a smooth function of zenith distance and time and also to give consistent results for repeated observations of the same sky areas.

This procedure leaves open, however, one constant for each of the four contributions to the observed brightness. For example, the minimum brightness, which we have observed and set arbitrarily to zero during the reduction, can be assumed to consist mainly of the minimum MW (far outside of the galactic plane), plus the minimum of the ZL, plus the minimum AG, plus the minimum SL (= Rayleigh scattering). These individual contributions cannot be found from our measurements alone but only from outside information.

After subtraction of the background, the remaining brightness -corrected for extinction- consists of MW plus ZL. These contributions can be separated by the relative motion of MW and ZL during the 7 months of observations.

17

R. H. Giese and P. Lamy (eds.), Properties and Interactions of Interplanetary Dust, 17-20. © 1985 by D. Reidel Publishing Company.

We used an iterational process: The ZL (or the MW) was found by subtracting the MW (or ZL) from the observed brightness (minus background) whereever the MW (or ZL) was estimated to be fainter than  $S_0$ . The result was used for the estimate of ZL (or MW) in the next iteration. Convergence of the iteration proved to be quite good. We choose  $S_0 = 100 \, \text{S10V}$ . We found however that the choice is rather uncritical.

The last step of the reduction is the choice of the constants mentioned above. Our best estimate is as follows: The minimum observed brightness was about 95 S10V (zenith distance 30°). According to Landold-Börnstein, at least 30% of this should be AG (28 S10V). The average extraterrestrial brightness (MW + ZL) is about 100 S10V, the average extinction is about twice the zenith extinction, or 25%. About half the scattered light reaches the ground, or roughly 13 S10V. The zenith region being darker than the average, we estimate a SL contribution of about 9 S10V. Finally, Roach and Megill quoted about 28 S10V for the faintest parts of the MW, of which about 24 S10V reach the ground. The minimum ZL is therefore about 95 - 28 - 9 - 24 = 34 S10V observed or 39 S10V extraterrestrial.

The resulting map, averaged over  $5\times5$  degrees, is given in table 1 and compared to other maps in table 2. The minimum at (180,50) is at lower latitudes than that found by LD, and also than the relative minimum at  $|\varepsilon|=180^{\circ}$  found by Classen (1976) in B. A relative minimum occurs at (100-130, 45-65). It was not found by FHLT, and its presence is only marginally supported by the map of LD. Its position coincides, however, approximately with that of the absolute minimum found by Classen in B. It seems that the brightness distribution of the ZL in the darkest regions is still an unsettled question.

While our pole brightness and the brightness change along the ecliptic are in reasonable agreement with FHLT and LD, our intensities in the ecliptic plane are definitely lower. This cannot be solely due to a wrong choice of our zero point. However, part of the discrepancy can be removed by the assumption that our estimate of minimum AG and minimum MW were too high. This would mean that our pole brightness becomes higher than found by anybody else except Wolstencroft and Rose (1967).

This work was partly supported by the Austrian Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung, project no. 3843. The cooperation of E. Mravlag during the first reduction stages is gratefully acknowledged.

Table 1: Surface brightness of the Zodiacal Light in S10V

ε'	B  0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	<b>7</b> 5	80
0															58	54	64
5													125	83	53	54	63
10													108	75	59	58	63
15												130	101	68	62	57	63
20										147	128	97	84	64	60	58	62
25										139	111	88	77	66	62	62	62
30									159	115	88	82	77	73	61	64	62
35								155	134	117	81	81	81	67	63	62	62
40							168	147	128	96	86	83	76	67	61	59	63
45						170	163	130	102	93	85	75	71	69	59	59	64
50	425	400	293	257	203	181	146	115	101	91	82	77	69	65	62	66	62
55	392	355	287	246	199	162	138	99	99	91	79	78	69	64	60	64	62
60	325	300	256	213	187	152	111	98	93	79	76	76	69	64	58	66	65
65	272	239	211	184	160	150	143	99	86	78	77	78	67	60	61	62	63
- 70	231	211	174	146	127	124	115	102	84	71	68	66	60	57	59	61	64
75	199	193	160	140	109	100	96	94	84	73	65	58	59	60	55	57	65
80	171	167	143	113	95	89	84	81	80	76	60	58	61	62	57	61	62
85	158	150	132	109	89	79	76	74	68	65	63	67	63	62	59	63	63
90	148	138	119	102	87	74	68	66	63	64	63	58	56	57	58	66	62
95	137	129	115	97	86	76	67	61	60	58	55	53	54	55	56	61	63
100	129	121	106	94	82	74	64	62	60	53	53	50	53	55	53	56	64
105	119	110	101	91	79	72	66	63	61	54	50	45	51	52	53	61	64
110	110	101	93	85	75	67	64	63	63	57	54	57	53	50	57	60	62
115	99	96	86	79	71	69	65	65	63	54	54	61	57	50	53	59	65
120	89	88	79	74	67	67	63	60	59	51	52	57	56	61	55	60	64
125	89	85	78	71	66	63	60	60	58	53	52	55	55	57	58	59	67
130 135	89 87	85	77	73 73	66 64	61	60	60	57	56	58	52	54	55 54	55	59 60	63 63
140	87 84	81 78	75 74	73 71	63	59 61	58 59	60 58	61 56	55 58	63 62	55 59	52 57	54 52	55 50	57	62
140	83	78 79	74 76	71	66	63	59 59			58 57		63	57	52 53	50 48	56	63
150	81	80	76 76	70	62	62	58	59 62	56 58	56	61 62	64	56		40 50	56	61
155			70 77	69	64	59	57	62					54	49 53	49	56	63
160	79 82	80 81	77	72	64	61	59	61	61 62	57 59	59 56	57 54	52	53 51	50	57	64
165	84	81	80	75	66	64	63	64	62	60	51	50	52 47	54	52	57	64
170	91	85	79	75 76	70	67	63	62	57	54	46	50	47	53	53	61	62
175	102	93	82	78	71	66	59	58	55	50	43	49	48 48	48	33 49	61	62
180	115	93	84	78	71	65	57	50 52	33 49	44	43 39	49	40 44	40 48	49	57	59
1 00	112	77	04	/0	/ 1	63	3/	コム	49	44	29	43	44	40	47	١ د	フラ

The surface brightness at an ecliptic latitude of 85 to 90 degree remains 63 S10V during all helioecliptic longitudes.  $\epsilon'$  ecliptic longitude with respect to the sun  $(\lambda-\lambda_{\odot})$ .  $\beta$  ecliptic latitude.

Table 2: Comparison with other maps.

Authors	FHLT	LD	P	R	PL
Measured from	balloon	ground	ground		ground
Wavelength [nm] or spectral region	500	502	542.5	v	555
Surface Brightness $B( \varepsilon' ,  \beta )$ in S10V:					
Ecliptic pole	60	63	-	78	63
B(60,0) B(100,0) B(140,0) B(180,0) B(180,0)-B(140,0) B(100,0)-B(140,0) B(100,0)-B(180,0)	186 130 166 36 56 20	394 175 139 180 41 36	392 211 - - - -	500 220 164 205 41 56 15	325 129 84 115 31 45 14
Minimum $( \beta =0^{\circ})$ $ \varepsilon' $ of this minimum	130 150°	139 1420	<u>-</u>	164 1400	79 1550

FHLT Frey, Hofmann, Lemke, Thum, Astron. Astrophys. 36, 447 (1974)

## REFERENCES

Classen, C.: PhD-Thesis Bonn (1976)

Landolt-Börnstein: Astronomy and Astrophysics, Voigt H.H., Ed., Springer Verlag (1965)

Pfleiderer, J., Mayer, U.: <u>Astron. J. 76</u>, 691 (1971) Roach, F.E., Megill, L.R.: <u>Astrophys. J. 133</u>, 228 (1961) Wolstencroft, R.D., Rose, L.J.: <u>Astrophys. J. 147</u>, 271 (1967)

LD Levasseur-Regourd, Dumont, Astron. Astrophys. 84, 277 (1980)

P Peterson, Astrophys. J. 133, 668 (1961)

R Roach, Astron. J. 77, 887 (1972)

PL Pfleiderer, Leuprecht (present paper)