

# Centralisation and long-term change in farming regimes: Comparing agricultural practices in Neolithic and Iron Age south-west Germany

By AMY STYRING<sup>1</sup>, MANFRED RÖSCH<sup>2</sup>, ELISABETH STEPHAN<sup>3</sup>, HANS-PETER STIKA<sup>4</sup>, ELSKE FISCHER<sup>2</sup>, MARION SILLMANN<sup>2</sup>  
and AMY BOGAARD<sup>1</sup>

*This study uses two novel archaeobotanical techniques – crop carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis and functional weed ecology – to determine directly how the intensity of agricultural practice changed from the Neolithic to the Early Iron Age in south-west Germany, with the emergence of fortified hilltop settlements (Fürstensitze or chiefly seats) regarded as the first urban centres in central Europe. The crop isotope and functional weed ecological evidence suggest that surplus cereal production in the Early Iron Age was achieved through sustained use of manure combined with expansion in arable cultivation, both developments that are connected with more widespread use of animal traction. The increased scale of cultivation is broadly apparent across rural as well as fortified hilltop centres in the Early Iron Age, and considerable variability in manuring intensity is consistent with agricultural decision-making at a local level rather than centralised control. Additionally, the more intensive manuring of hulled six-row barley, used in beer production, demonstrates that the political importance of drinking and feasting in Early Iron Age society was reflected in crop husbandry practices. In terms of animal husbandry, faunal isotope data reveal a radical decrease in forest cover, potentially reflecting an expansion in the scale of herding accompanying that of arable cultivation. Site-specific patterning points to a range of herding strategies, from specialised herding of cattle at the Heuneburg to generalised patterns of livestock management at rural sites.*

**Keywords:** agriculture, stable carbon isotopes, nitrogen isotopes, weed ecology, functional plant ecology,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ , Iron Age, Neolithic

CENTRALISATION & FARMING PRACTICE,  
SOUTH-WEST GERMANY

## Introduction

Late Iron Age *oppida* have long been considered the ‘earliest towns north of the Alps’ (Collis 1984a), but new findings have challenged this traditional view of early centralisation and urbanisation in central

Europe, revealing that the first urban centres in the region date back to the end of the 7th century BC (Krause 2008; 2010; Brun & Chaume 2013). While the hallmarks of urbanism remain debated and are often difficult to identify archaeologically (Fernández-Götz *et al.* 2014), new investigations suggest that many Early Iron Age *Fürstensitze* or ‘chiefly seats’ of south-west Germany had higher populations than previously thought and occupied central positions of economic as well as political power, impacting on their wider hinterland (Kurz 2010; Fernández-Götz & Krause 2013). While past research has tended to focus on trade links between these fortified settlements and the Mediterranean, such central places are unlikely to have emerged without the necessary social, political, and economic infrastructures to support

<sup>1</sup>School of Archaeology, 36 Beaumont Street, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Email: Amy.Styring@arch.ox.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup>Landesamt für Denkmalpflege im Regierungspräsidium Stuttgart, Fischersteig 9, Hemmenhofen, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Landesamt für Denkmalpflege im Regierungspräsidium, Stromeyersdorfstrasse 3, Konstanz, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Botany, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

them (Collis 1984b). This study focuses on reconstructing how the agricultural basis of society was able to support these new centres of population and production. Such investigations are crucial to understanding the wider context in which such centralisation took place and also in identifying the causes and consequences of this process.

Diversification and increase in (surplus) production are both archaeologically visible strategies that early farmers employed to manage risk and thus ensure sufficient food supply (Marston 2011). *Crop diversification* moderates the overall variance in production should a particular crop fail, and there is archaeobotanical evidence for expanding crop spectra in central Europe – especially from the Late Bronze Age – when broomcorn and foxtail millet, spelt wheat, and perhaps oats and rye supplemented the ‘Neolithic assemblage’ of naked wheat, einkorn, emmer, and barley (Jacomet *et al.* 1998; Rösch 1998; Kreuz & Schäfer 2008).

Determining whether or not *increased production* was achieved, and how – whether through *intensification* (increasing labour and resource inputs per unit area of cultivated land) and/or *extensification* (expansion of cultivated land that tends to result in lower inputs per unit area) – is more difficult (eg, van der Veen & O’Connor 1998; van der Veen 2005). Intensive cultivation requires high labour and resource inputs per unit area of land to ensure high area yields, such that productive capacity is limited by available labour. This mode of production is particularly suited to management at a household level – integration of small-scale crop cultivation and livestock herding appears to have provided a resilient Neolithic subsistence base enabling risk to be managed by small family groups (Bogaard 2015a).

In contrast, extensive cultivation involves significant expansion of arable land, such that reduction of inputs and yields per unit area are offset by a larger absolute scale of production (Halstead 1995). Extensification can occur through implementation of labour-saving techniques such as ploughing, combined with use of specialised plough animals capable of preparing a much larger area for sowing than can be achieved manually by a farming family. Such radical expansion in arable scale requires an additional supply of landless labour at harvest time, a system that is consistent with elite intervention in land ownership and production. Moreover, plough oxen are costly to support and their use is often managed through exchange agreements between owners and users (Halstead 2014, 42 & 303) – an opportunity for an elite to control and restrict the means of production.

However, evidence for ploughing *per se* is not proof of very large-scale agriculture. Animal traction can also serve to increase inputs per unit area by facilitating transport of manure, and the degree of expansion in scale in comparison with manual systems could in fact be modest if, for example, animals were not specialized plough oxen and/or additional labour needed to harvest large areas was not available (Isaakidou 2011).

An example of direct elite involvement in production comes from Linear B tablets from the Late Bronze Age palace of Knossos, on Crete (eg, Chadwick *et al.* 1987; Halstead 1999; 2001). These record grain contributions and list pairs of working oxen, and imply palatial involvement in the production of wheat, probably emmer (Halstead 1999; 2001). This cereal was likely chosen for its stress-tolerance and grown on a larger scale, with fewer inputs per unit area than the broader range of cereals and pulses reflected in the archaeobotanical record (Halstead 2001). Without such textual evidence in central Europe, the extent to which agricultural production in the Early Iron Age was controlled by an elite and how this related to wider centralisation processes remains speculative (Frankenstein & Rowlands 1978; Reynolds 1995; Earle 2002). Emerging archaeobotanical evidence is consistent with a focus on certain cereal crops including hulled barley at Iron Age fortified hilltop centres (Fischer *et al.* 2010), but this raises the question of whether these selected crops were produced on a larger scale – under lower input conditions than other crops – as a direct elite intervention model would suggest.

In this study, we use two novel archaeobotanical approaches – functional weed ecology and crop stable isotope analysis – to determine *directly* how the intensity of agricultural practice changed from the Neolithic to the Early Iron Age in south-west Germany, and also to compare the intensity of agricultural practice at Early Iron Age rural and fortified hilltop sites. We use faunal isotope analysis to provide complementary evidence of landscape use and variation in animal diet and herding practices. Reconstructing the scale of agricultural production and the level at which decisions were made is integral to understanding the basis of the Early Iron Age subsistence and political economy.

#### THE AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPE IN SOUTH-WEST GERMANY FROM THE NEOLITHIC TO THE EARLY IRON AGE

Palynological studies indicate that early farming involved small-scale forest clearance (Kalis *et al.* 2003).

Low levels of woodland perennials in weed assemblages suggest that cultivation was carried out on long-lived plots throughout the Neolithic in south-west Germany (c. 5500–2500 BC; Maier 1999; Brombacher & Jacomet 1997), though there is ongoing discussion about the interpretation of regional pollen data as evidence for shifting cultivation (Rösch 1990; Jacomet 2008; Rösch *et al.* 2014; Jacomet *et al.* 2016). These early agricultural plots were characterised by highly productive and disturbed soils, akin to modern intensively and manually cultivated ‘gardens’ (Bogaard 2004; Bogaard *et al.* 2011), with adequate area yields achieved through high labour inputs (ie weeding, hoeing, manuring). Crop nitrogen isotope ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) values provide direct evidence that manuring formed part of this intensive farming regime at Early Neolithic Vaihingen an der Enz in the Neckar river basin, as well as at Late Neolithic Hornstaad-Hörnle IA and Sipplingen-Osthafen in the Alpine foreland (Fraser *et al.* 2013b; Styring *et al.* 2016a). Within these intensive regimes, both the functional weed ecology and crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values indicate considerable variability in soil fertility, disturbance, and manuring intensity, within and between settlements (Bogaard 2004; Bogaard *et al.* 2011; 2013; Fraser *et al.* 2013b; Styring *et al.* 2016a). The variability in crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values between households at Hornstaad-Hörnle IA supports other archaeological evidence (eg, similar sets of tools per house; Dieckmann *et al.* 2001) for a domestic mode of production, while the association of distinctive weed groupings with neighbourhoods suggests strategic cooperation between related households, or ‘clans’, at Vaihingen an der Enz (Bogaard *et al.* 2011).

Hoe-like digging implements were found in almost every house at Late Neolithic Hornstaad-Hörnle IA (3918–3902 BC; Dieckmann *et al.* 2001), but there is no evidence for yokes or ard-ploughs at this time. The earliest wheels appear in the waterlogged deposits of the Alpine foreland at the end of the 4th millennium BC, and the proliferation of roadways preserved in wetlands around the Alps, including at Sipplingen, attest to the growing importance of cattle traction (eg, Schlichtherle 2006). Evidence for use of cattle for traction (which could be associated with transport or ard-ploughing) is demonstrated by ‘traction pathologies’ at Arbon Bleiche on the southern shore of Lake Constance (3384–3370 BC; Deschler-Erb *et al.* 2006) and by cattle mortality profiles at sites at the northern end of Lake Zurich (c. 3300–2700 BC; Hüster-Plogmann & Schibler 1997). Settlement occupation at

Sipplingen spans this period, but despite weed and pollen data indicating that the landscape became more open (Jacomet 1990; Lechterbeck *et al.* 2014), and initial occurrences of species regarded as arable weeds today (Jacomet 1990; Billamboz *et al.* 2013), there is no corresponding decrease in crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values that would indicate a reduction in the intensity of manuring (Styring *et al.* 2016a). Rather, manuring levels seemed to have been maintained, perhaps due to the growing availability of manure from larger numbers of cattle. From the crop isotope results it has thus been suggested that, although the adoption of draught animals led to a *tendency* towards more extensive farming regimes by expanding the area under cultivation, there was no radical shift to a fundamentally different farming system with lower manure inputs per unit area (cf. Isaakidou 2011). Weed ecological analysis of one suitable sample from Corded Ware levels at Mythenschloss on Lake Zurich (c. 2700–2400 BC) also suggests continuity in intensive cultivation (Bogaard 2004, 168).

A continuation of the 4th millennium trend towards more widespread use of cattle traction during the Bronze Age has been inferred from finds of ard ploughs, plough marks, wheeled carts, and yokes in various areas of Europe, as well as ‘traction pathologies’ and cattle mortality profiles (Hüster-Plogmann & Schibler 1997). Furthermore, geoarchaeological and palynological studies across central Europe suggest that the landscape became increasingly open, with marked deforestation (Kalis *et al.* 2003), fields with short fallow phases, and a clear increase in open pastures (Lechterbeck *et al.* 2014).

Lasting social differentiation emerges at various points during the central European Bronze Age, most clearly evidenced by the Late Bronze Age barrow burials and the development of regional settlement hierarchies (Kristiansen 1998, 111–15; Harding 2000, 71–2). The presence of deep pits and four-post structures in many Late Bronze Age settlements (Harding 2000, 66–7 & 132) has been interpreted as centralised storage in addition to storing of crops at a household level. Arable weed evidence from a range of sites in central Europe suggests a shift towards more extensive agriculture and eroded soils, together with the cultivation of crop types thought to be adapted to less productive conditions (ie hulled barley and spelt wheat; Kroll 1997; Rösch 1998; Jacomet & Brombacher 2009). Only three samples dating to the Bronze Age have been subject to functional weed ecological analysis (Bogaard 2004, 168). These span

the range of agricultural intensity, which again suggests that even when ploughing was widely practiced, there was variation in soil fertility and disturbance (Bogaard 2011).

The Early Iron Age (800–450 BC) in central Europe saw the proliferation of fortified hilltop settlements, often associated with rich burial mounds, in southern Germany, eastern France, Switzerland, Bohemia, and Upper Austria (Kimmig 1969). Not only do these burials provide evidence for the rise of an elite class, the presence of imported Greek and Etruscan luxury items in these burial mounds and associated settlements points to elite control over a trade network extending as far as the Mediterranean (Collis 1984b, 82–94). The location of the richest sites on rivers with access to the main fluvial trade route from Marseilles and evidence for specialist metal, glass, and pottery production point to their role as centres of production and trade (Collis 1984b, 79–82).

However, interpretations largely based upon burial evidence must be treated with caution, and while the fortified hilltop settlements are the most visible forms of Early Iron Age occupation, it is likely that they were in fact an ‘eccentric extreme’ (Collis 1984b, 101) rather than the norm. A recent research project, entitled *Frühe Zentralisierungs- und Urbanisierungsprozesse. Zur Genese und Entwicklung frühkeltischer Fürstensitze und ihres territorialen Umlandes* (‘Early Centralisation and Urbanisation Processes. On the Origin and Development of Early Celtic Princely Sites and their Environs’) has sought to broaden understanding of Early Iron Age society in south-west Germany beyond that of the rich burial mounds and fortified settlements. Findings from past studies were integrated with more recent excavations and a host of archaeozoological, archaeobotanical, palynological, and spatial investigations to provide a better insight into the agricultural basis of these past societies (Krause 2008; 2010).

Pollen evidence indicates progressive deforestation since the Bronze Age, resulting in an Early Iron Age landscape that was largely open, with very little dense forest (Fischer *et al.* 2010). In regions with more fertile soils, high proportions of hare bones suggest that the landscape was dominated by agricultural land, with only small patches of woodland (Schatz & Stephan 2008). High proportions of sheep and goat bones at sites in these areas suggest that smaller ruminants were preferentially pastured here. In contrast, regions with less fertile soils had higher proportions of red deer and wild

boar bones, indicating more densely forested land (Schatz & Stephan 2008). At the Heuneburg, situated in a relatively infertile region, the high proportion of cattle and pig bones suggests that there was a stronger emphasis on a meat and livestock-based economy (Schatz & Stephan 2008). It has therefore been suggested that there was spatial differentiation between regions, those with more fertile soils being used primarily for arable farming, and those with less fertile soils and steep slopes for grazing animals (Fischer *et al.* 2010).

Archaeobotanical investigations found that certain crop species were dominant at the fortified hilltop sites, in contrast to a more even representation of a wider spectrum of crop species at rural settlements. In the fortified hilltop settlements, hulled six-row barley and (to a lesser extent) spelt wheat predominate, and this is attributed to the import of surplus production of these crops from their rural hinterland, probably to support the more concentrated population (Fischer *et al.* 2010). The presence, albeit in lower abundances, of other crop species at the fortified hilltop sites (emmer, einkorn, and pulses) is interpreted to suggest that small-scale subsistence cultivation was still taking place locally, but that the import of large quantities of barley and spelt wheat supplemented this production. These findings resemble those of archaeobotanical studies in England, where pits used for storing cereal grain, concentrated in hillforts, have been most recently interpreted as evidence for large-scale cereal production and consumption (van der Veen & Jones 2006). Moreover, a shift from emmer to spelt wheat in some regions of England in the Late Iron Age is attributed to an expansion in cereal production and cultivation of more marginal soils (van der Veen 1992; van der Veen & O’Connor 1998). Ecological analysis of Iron Age weed flora in north-east England demonstrates variation in the intensity of land management among sites and crop species (van der Veen 1992).

In contrast to the densely populated Mediterranean towns of the time, new excavations at the Heuneburg have revealed that its outer settlement comprised sizeable farmsteads with evidence for small-scale crop and animal husbandry within their enclosures (Fernández-Götz & Krause 2013). This indicates that the disconnect between consumer and agricultural producers in these Early Iron Age centres was not as great as might first be assumed (cf. Gallagher & McIntosh 2015). Settlements contained various storage structures (four post structures interpreted as

granaries or barns and pits) and sometimes systems of posts or ditches interpreted as fences enclosing gardens and/or penning areas (de Hingh 2000; Biel 2015, 50).

This recent work provides valuable insights into the diversification of crop and animal husbandry practices based on the suitability of soils for agriculture and the suspected import of crops from rural to fortified hilltop settlements. While it is plausible that agricultural production increased in order to provide the surplus to support these fortified hilltop sites, the existing evidence cannot determine whether this was achieved through more intensive (increased labour investment per unit of land) or more extensive cultivation methods. We therefore turn to the integration of two novel methods – functional weed ecology and crop isotope analysis – to identify the intensity of agriculture practised in the Early Iron Age, and determine whether this differed between rural and fortified hilltop settlements. Faunal isotope analysis provides complementary evidence of animal diet and herding practices and allows us to estimate an isotopic baseline for unmanaged plants.

#### RECONSTRUCTING PAST FARMING PRACTICE THROUGH THE ‘WEED + ISOTOPE’ APPROACH

Since the biogeographical distribution of weed species has changed through time, direct comparison of species composition between modern and ancient weed flora is difficult. In this study, we distinguish between farming regimes based on the *functional attributes* of the weeds – ie those attributes that allow them to flourish under particular conditions. This not only allows translation of present-day cultivation practices to the past, but also provides a means of distinguishing the effects of different regimes, thus allowing identification of novel combinations of farming practices that no longer exist today (Jones *et al.* 2000; 2010). Studies of weed flora from modern small-scale/high-intensity cereal plots in Asturias, Spain and large-scale/low-intensity (extensive) fields in Haute Provence, France allowed a model of cultivation intensity to be developed, based on five functional attributes that reflect the response of weed species to soil productivity and/or mechanical disturbance (tillage and weeding; Bogaard *et al.* 2016, table 3; Appx S.1). Discriminant analysis is used to extract a linear equation combining these functional attributes with the aim of maximising the separation between intensive and extensive farming regimes (Bogaard

*et al.* 2016). Cultivated plots containing weeds with functional attributes associated with high soil productivity and high disturbance group to the ‘high-intensity’ end of the spectrum, since these indicate high levels of fertilisation (ie manure), tillage, and weeding, which require high labour inputs. Cultivated plots containing weeds with functional attributes associated with low soil productivity and low disturbance group to the ‘low-intensity’ end of the spectrum.

Crop nitrogen isotope ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) values largely reflect the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of the soil in which crops are grown, which itself reflects the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of nitrogen (N) inputs and subsequent effects of N cycling processes (eg, Högberg 1997). Potential causes of high plant  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are: i) recent forest clearance by burning (eg, Szpak 2014); ii) seasonal waterlogging of soils (Finlay & Kendall 2008); and iii) high levels of organic N relative to plant demand (Aguilera *et al.* 2008). All of these processes lead to preferential loss of  $^{14}\text{N}$ , leaving  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched nitrogen in the soil for plants to take up. In agricultural systems, a major influence on crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values is the addition of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched manure. Studies of modern crops have found that manuring can increase cereal  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values by as much as 10‰ across a range of locations and soils, according to the intensity – amount and frequency – of manuring (eg, Fraser *et al.* 2011; Bogaard *et al.* 2016). Manuring intensity (and this could include middening and composting) is therefore likely to override the effects of other variables, such as soil type and soil nitrogen content, on crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values in farming systems (cf. influence of land use in Peukert *et al.* 2012; Thornton *et al.* 2015).

There are clear advantages to combining functional weed ecology and crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values in order to reconstruct agricultural practice and growing conditions. Functional weed ecology can reveal the permanence of arable land, and also provide evidence for the level of soil disturbance (due to tillage and weeding; Bogaard 2004). While weed ecology also provides a general index of soil productivity, crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values provide an opportunity to test whether greater nitrogen availability (associated with soil productivity) does indeed result in higher crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values. Weeds are influenced by the flora in the wider environment, integrating the effects of more general landscape change rather than merely the conditions in a particular plot (Rösch 1998). Crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, in contrast, offer a direct determination of the nitrogen isotope composition of the soil in which they were

grown, which if resulting from manuring, permits comparison of manuring intensity between different crop species regardless of whether they were mixed after harvest. These two approaches are therefore subject to different sources of error and ambiguity, so interpretations that combine evidence from both are more robust and informative than those based on one form of evidence alone (Bogaard 2015b).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

##### *Selecting sites*

In this study we determine the functional weed ecological attributes and crop  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values for crop assemblages from five Early Iron Age sites in south-west Germany (Fig. 1 & Table 1), in order to identify how the intensity of agriculture changed with centralisation. These sites consist of those studied in

the recent *Frühe Zentralisierungs- und Urbanisierungsprozesse* project, whose archaeobotanical assemblages contained a minimum of five discrete contexts with a minimum of five well-preserved grains/seeds in each. The Heuneburg (more precisely samples from the *Vorburg*, or lower town area) was the only fortified hilltop settlement with enough crop remains for isotope analysis. Since change in agricultural intensity can only be detected using a diachronic approach, we also include crop isotope data from four Neolithic sites in the same region (Fig. 1 & Table 1), plus functional weed ecological data for these and other previously collated samples from Neolithic sites in south-west Germany (Bogaard 2004; 2011); there were insufficient archaeobotanical remains dating to the Bronze Age. The Neolithic crop isotope data come from previously published studies by this research group (Bogaard *et al.* 2013; Fraser *et al.* 2013b;




Fig. 1.

Map of south-west Germany showing location of archaeological sites included in this study. See Table 1 for their unabbreviated names

TABLE 1: DETAILS OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES IN THIS STUDY

<i>Site (shortened version in italic)</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type of site</i>	<i>Crop isotope samples (n)</i>	<i>Weed samples (n)</i>	<i>Faunal isotope samples (n)</i>	<i>References</i>
<i>Vaihingen an der Enz</i>	ENeo (LBK) c. 5500–5070 BC	48.93 N, 8.96 E	Bandkeramik village, 40–50 houses	16	70	79	Krause 2000; Bogaard 2011; Fraser <i>et al.</i> 2013b
<i>Hornstaad-Hörnle IA</i>	LNeo 3918–3902	47.69 N, 9.01 E	Lakeshore village	179	12	25	Maier & Vogt 2001; Bogaard 2004;
<i>Sipplingen Osthafen</i>	LNeo c. 4000–2800 BC	47.79 N, 9.10 E	Lakeshore village	47	NA	NA	Styring <i>et al.</i> 2016 a or b Billamboz <i>et al.</i> 2013; Styring <i>et al.</i> 2016a
<i>Stuttgart- Mühlhausen Viesenhäuser Hof</i>	E–MNeo c. 5500–4000 BC	48.84 N, 9.24 E	Bandkeramik village & large cemetery	16	NA	11	Georgi 2014; Rösch 2014; Knipper in press
	EIA Halstatt D/La Tène A		Rural village partly excavated – storage cellars, evidence of metalworking	40	24	23	Stika 2009; Rösch 2014
<i>Freiberg-Beihingen</i>	EIA Halstatt D2/La Tène A	48.93 N, 9.20 E	Rural village – only N edge excavated	16	16	31	Stork 1992; 1993; Stika 2009
<i>Eberdingen-Hochdorf Repts</i>	EIA La Tène A	48.89 N, 9.00 E	Rural but wealthy village, c. 40 pit houses. Rich Halstatt burial mound nearby	104	113	38	Biel 1995; 2015; Stika 2009
<i>Kirchheim-Osterholz Ipf-Zaunäcker</i>	EIA Halstatt D2–D3; La Tène A	48.87 N, 10.38 E	Rectilinear farmstead, high quality artefacts & proximity to hillfort of Ipf suggest special status	18 (from Halstatt D2–D3 pit houses)	19	34 (from La Tène A cistern)	Krause & Fuhrmann 2005; Schatz & Stephan 2005
<i>Heuneburg</i>	Halstatt D1–D3	48.09 N, 9.41 E	Fortified hilltop settlement with evidence for production & trade	21 (from the Vorburg)	24	22 (from the Vorburg) 18 (from ditch on plateau)	Kimmig 1969; Fernández-Götz & Krause 2013

Styring *et al.* 2016a) and new isotope data from Stuttgart-Mühlhausen Viesenhäuser Hof (see Stika 2009 and Rösch 2014 for more information on the archaeobotany of this site).

#### *Selecting and preparing crop samples for isotope analysis*

Each crop  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value represents a homogenised batch of between four and ten carbonised cereal grains or pulse seeds of the same taxon from the same 'deposit'. Multiple grains per sample are combined in order to average out some of the inherent natural variation in cereal grain  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  within a single field (Fraser *et al.* 2013a; Nitsch *et al.* 2015). By averaging out some of this variation at a sampling level, it is easier to detect differences in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  due to differences in growing conditions, potentially reflecting manuring intensity. Cereal grains and pulses were examined at  $\times 7\text{--}45$  magnification for visible surface contaminants, such as adhering sediment or plant roots; these were removed by gentle scraping. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used to look for the presence of carbonate, nitrate, and/or humic contamination (cf. Vaiglova *et al.* 2014) (see Appx S.2–S.4 for more details). On the basis of these results, it was decided not to pretreat any of the samples. Samples, after being homogenised by crushing, were weighed into tin capsules for stable isotope analysis.

#### *Selecting and preparing faunal bone samples for isotope analysis*

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of wild and domestic faunal bone collagen from the same archaeological sites as the carbonised crop remains were also determined. For the most part these data are new, but the faunal isotope values from Vaihingen (Fraser *et al.* 2013b), Hornstaad-Hörnle IA (Styring *et al.* 2016a), Sipplingen (Steppan & Stephan 2012), and Neolithic Viesenhäuser Hof (Knipper *in press*) have been determined in previous studies. The individual isotope values for fauna from Sipplingen are not presented. Between 0.5 g and 1 g of bone was cleaned of any visible dirt or carbonate crusts using an aluminium oxide air abrasive. Collagen was isolated using a modified 'gelatinisation method' based on the methods of Longin (1971). Bone collagen samples of approximately 1 mg were weighed into tin capsules for stable isotope analysis.

#### *Stable isotope analysis*

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of bone collagen and carbonised crop remains were determined on a SerCon EA-GSL mass spectrometer. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of carbonised crop remains were determined in separate runs due to the low %N in the samples. An internal alanine standard was used to calculate raw isotope ratios. For  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  determinations of carbonised crop remains, two-point normalisation to the VPDB scale was carried out using four replicates each of IAEA-C6 and IAEA-C7, while for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  determinations the standards were caffeine and IAEA-N2. For  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  determinations of bone collagen, two-point normalisation was carried out using four replicates each of caffeine and seal bone collagen. Reported measurement uncertainties are the calculated combined uncertainty of the raw measurement and reference standards, after Kragten (1994). The average measurement uncertainties for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of carbonised crop remains were 0.23‰ and 0.49‰, respectively. The average measurement uncertainties for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of bone collagen were 0.18‰ and 0.23‰, respectively. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of carbonised crop remains were corrected for the effect of charring by subtracting 0.11‰ and 0.31‰, respectively, from the determined  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values (Nitsch *et al.* 2015).

#### *Statistical analyses*

Statistical analyses were carried out using the statistical programming language R. (3.0.2). To compare two groups with normally distributed data, a Welch's two-sample t test was used; to compare two groups with non-normally distributed data, a Mann-Whitney U test was used; and to compare multiple groups with normally distributed data, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used. Levene's test was used to assess whether the variances of two groups are equal. Linear mixed-effects models were used to look for the effect of fixed variables on isotope values, whilst accounting for a random effect of site. For the results of the statistical analyses mentioned in the text, see Table 2.

#### *Estimating a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ baseline for unmanaged plants from herbivore bone collagen $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values*

Since plant  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values can vary spatially due to myriad environmental factors (summarised in Peukert *et al.* 2012), it is necessary to estimate the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of unmanaged



TABLE 2: DETAILS OF THE RESULTS OF STATISTICAL TESTS CARRIED OUT ON THE CROP AND FAUNAL ISOTOPE DATA.  
P VALUES <0.05 ARE SHOWN IN BOLD

<i>Material</i>									
<i>t test / Mann-Whitney U test</i>	<i>Site(s)</i>	<i>Group 1</i>	<i>Group 2</i>	<i>Isotopic data</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>		
1	Wild herbivore bone collagen	Hornstaad-Hörnle IA	Vaihingen	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	4.20	14.39	<0.001		
2				$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	8.38	19.99	<0.001		
3	Herbivore bone collagen	Vaihingen	Wild herbivores	Domestic herbivores	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	3.33	35.61	0.002	
4					$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	2.11	32.97	0.043	
5	Herbivore bone collagen	EIA Viesen-häuser Hof	Wild herbivores	Domestic herbivores	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.63	4.27	0.563	
6					$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	1.08	4.61	0.331	
7	Herbivore bone collagen	Hochdorf	Wild herbivores	Domestic herbivores	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.06	7.17	0.956	
8					$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.11	6.69	0.916	
9	Herbivore bone collagen	Ipf-Zaunäcker	Wild herbivores	Domestic herbivores	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.15	14.1	0.883	
10					$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	100*	0.025		
11	Herbivore bone collagen	Heuneburg	Wild herbivores	Domestic herbivores	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	2.95	3.92	0.043	
12					$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	3.68	14.9	0.002	
13	Domestic herbivore bone collagen	Beihingen	Sheep/goat	Cattle	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	3.08	15.61	0.007	
<i>ANOVA</i>					<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>		
14	Wild herbivore bone collagen	EIA sites		$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	2.07	4, 17	0.130		
15				$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	1.72	4, 17	0.192		
16	Barley grain	EIA sites		$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	6.32	4, 114	<0.001		
17	Barley grain (no storage contexts)	EIA sites		$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	2.12	4, 94	0.085		
<i>Levene's test of equal variances</i>					<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>		
18	Cereal grain	EIA sites	Neo sites	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	17.76	1, 433	<0.001		
19	Domestic herbivore bone collagen	Beihingen	Sheep/goat	Cattle	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.17	1, 19	0.685	
20	Domestic herbivore bone collagen	EIA Viesen-häuser Hof	Sheep/goat	Cattle	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.54	1, 10	0.479	
21	Domestic herbivore bone collagen	Hochdorf	Sheep/goat	Cattle	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.36	1, 18	0.554	
22	Domestic herbivore bone collagen	Ipf-Zaunäcker	Sheep/goat	Cattle**	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	6.35	1, 15	0.024	
23	Domestic herbivore bone collagen	Heuneburg	Sheep/goat	Cattle	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	9.87	1, 24	0.004	
<i>Linear mixed-effects model, with site as a random effect</i>					<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	
24	Cereal grain	All	Barley	Wheats	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.83	0.07	11.55	0.000
25	Wild herbivore bone collagen		Vaihingen	Early Iron Age sites	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	1.68	0.4	4.18	0.014
26	Cereal grain		Early Iron Age sites	Neolithic sites	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	-1.16	0.41	-2.82	0.026
27	Cereal grain	EIA sites	Barley	Wheats	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	-1.35	0.19	-6.97	0.000
28	Cereal grain and pulse seeds	All	Pulses	Cereals	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	3.61	0.27	13.13	0.000

\*Results of a Mann-Whitney U test carried out because  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of domestic herbivores are non-normally distributed

\*\*Excluding an outlier with  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of 8.8‰




Fig. 2.

Carbonised cereal grain and pulse seed  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values from a) Neolithic and b) Early Iron Age sites. High  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values tend to reflect less shaded and/or drier growing conditions

plants at each archaeological site, in order to distinguish the effect of manuring from natural differences in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ . The approach of recent studies (eg, Bogaard *et al.* 2013; Fraser *et al.* 2013b; Styring *et al.* 2016a–b) has been to estimate the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of plants consumed by [wild] herbivores found at the same archaeological site/phase, by subtracting the mean offset between herbivore bone collagen and diet (*c.* 4‰; eg, Steele & Daniel 1978) from the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of preserved herbivore bone collagen. In this study, the range of *estimated* unmanaged (ie, unmanured) cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values is calculated from the wild herbivore diet  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value  $\pm 1$  standard deviation (green shading in Fig. 3).

For the Neolithic, the mean  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of wild herbivore bone collagen (and therefore the unmanaged cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  baseline) is 1.6‰ lower at the lakeshore site of Hornstaad-Hörnle IA than at Vaihingen, situated on loess soils in the Neckar basin (Table 2: 2; Fig. 3). The range of unmanaged plant baseline  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values calculated from the mean wild herbivore bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value from Vaihingen is also used for Viesenhäuser Hof, since the single wild herbivore  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value determined from the Neolithic levels at Viesenhäuser Hof (possible aurochs; Knipper *in press*) does not differ greatly from the mean  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of wild herbivores at Vaihingen. There is no significant difference in the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of

wild herbivores between Early Iron Age sites (Table 2: 15), so their  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are combined to estimate the range of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of wild herbivore diet at all Early Iron Age sites (Fig. 3).

#### *Selecting samples for functional weed ecological analysis*

Samples selected for weed ecological analysis contained a minimum of ten potential weed seeds (ie charred like the crop remains, identified more or less to species level, and excluding woody fruit/nut taxa that may have been collected resources and would in any case be unlikely to set seed as arable weeds). Since crop processing does not appear to bias functional weed ecological inferences of management intensity (Bogaard *et al.* 2005), samples of crop products, by-products, and mixtures of the two are considered together here.

### RESULTS

#### *Crop $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values*

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of charred cereal grains and pulse seeds, categorised into barley, wheats, oat and pulses, are shown in Figure 2 and given in Appendix S.5. For detailed results of the statistical analyses, see Table 2. Higher  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values tend to reflect less shaded and/or drier growing conditions (Farquhar & Richards 1984; Bonafini *et al.* 2013). A linear mixed-effects model shows that the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of barley grains are 0.8‰ lower than wheat grains (Table 2: 24). This difference is in line with modern studies showing that barley grown in the same watering conditions as wheat will tend to have a lower  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  value (eg, Ferrio *et al.* 2005; Wallace *et al.* 2013). This suggests that barley and wheat were generally grown in similar watering conditions at all of the sites. The relatively low  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of cereals growing at Hornstaad-Hörnle IA and Sipplingen on the shores of Lake Constance suggest that these crops were grown in shadier conditions, and potentially on wetter soils, than other sites. A heavily wooded environment has been reconstructed from pollen analysis (Maier 1999), and therefore it is likely that some of these cereal fields were indeed shaded.

The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of charred cereal grains and pulse seeds from Neolithic and Early Iron Age sites in south-west Germany, categorised into barley, wheats, oat, and pulses, are shown in Figure 3 (and given in Appx S.5). Higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values indicate higher inputs of organic matter/manure and the green shading represents

the estimated range of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of (unmanaged) cereals growing without additional organic matter/manure inputs (see section: *Estimating a  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  baseline for unmanaged plants from herbivore bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values* for more details). At all sites, dating to both the Neolithic and Early Iron Age, the vast majority (>99%) of determined cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are higher than the maximum estimated unmanaged cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ . For detailed results of the statistical analyses, see Table 2.

A mixed effects model shows that Early Iron Age cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are on average 1.2‰ higher than those from the Neolithic (Table 2: 26). There is also a large range in cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values (1.9–9.6‰) at all Iron Age sites, and the variance in cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values is greater than in the Neolithic (Table 2: 18). For the Early Iron Age, a mixed effects model shows that barley  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are on average 1.3‰ higher than wheats (Table 2: 27). There is a statistically significant difference in barley  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values between Early Iron Age sites (Table 2: 16) with Tukey *post hoc* tests revealing that the significant difference is between barley from the Heuneburg and barley from the rural sites of Beihingen and Viesenhäuser Hof ( $p < 0.004$ ). This difference is due to the particularly high  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of barley samples from storage contexts at Beihingen and Viesenhäuser Hof (Fig. 3). When these samples are removed from the analysis, there is no significant difference between barley  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values at any of the sites (Table 2: 17). A mixed effects model shows that the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of pulses are lower than cereals (Table 2: 28), but there is one pea sample from a storage context in Hochdorf that has a  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of over 8‰.

#### *Faunal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values*

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of faunal bone collagen, categorised into wild herbivores, domestic herbivores, wild boar, and domestic pigs, are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively (and given in Appx S.6). Higher  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values reflect consumption of plants growing in less shaded and/or drier growing conditions (Farquhar & Richards 1984; Bonafini *et al.* 2013). Higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values reflect consumption of plants with higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values (ie due to manuring) or consumption of meat (in the case of omnivores). A mixed effects model shows that wild herbivore  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values at Vaihingen are on average 1.7‰ lower than at Early Iron Age sites (Table 2: 25). Hornstaad-Hörnle IA was not considered in this comparison because it is located in a different environmental setting from the Early Iron Age sites and the wild herbivores have




Fig. 3.

Carbonised cereal grain and pulse seed  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values from a) Neolithic and b) Early Iron Age sites. Higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values indicate higher inputs of organic matter/manure and the green shaded zone denotes the possible  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of unmanaged (ie unmanured) cereals grains, estimated from wild herbivore bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values

significantly lower  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values than at Vaihingen (Table 2: 1). The single wild herbivore  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  value from Viesenhäuser Hof was not considered. There is no difference between domestic herbivore and wild herbivore  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values at any of the Early Iron Age sites (Table 2: 5, 7, 9) apart from the Heuneburg (Table 2: 11), where the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of wild herbivore bone collagen are significantly lower than those of domestic herbivores. Wild herbivore bone collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are also lower than those of domestic herbivores at Early Neolithic Vaihingen (Table 2: 3).

Domestic herbivores from Early Neolithic Vaihingen have significantly higher bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values than those of wild herbivores (Table 2: 4). There is no significant difference between wild and domestic herbivore  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values at Early Iron Age Viesenhäuser Hof (Table 2: 6) and Hochdorf (Table 2: 8), but domestic herbivores have significantly higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values than wild herbivores at Ipf-Zaunäcker (Table 2: 10) and the Heuneburg (Table 2: 12). There is also a difference in the variance of sheep/goat and cattle  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values at Ipf-Zaunäcker (excluding cattle outlier with  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of 8.8‰; Table 2: 22) and the Heuneburg




Fig. 4.

Faunal bone collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values from a) Neolithic and b) Early Iron Age sites. Higher  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values reflect consumption of plants growing in less shaded and/or drier growing conditions

(Table 2: 23), with sheep/goats exhibiting a larger variance in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values than cattle. There is no significant difference in the variance of sheep/goat and cattle  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values at Beihingen (Table 2: 19), Viesenhäuser Hof (Table 2: 20), or Hochdorf (Table 2: 21). The mean  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of sheep/goat bone collagen is 1.1‰ higher than that of cattle at Beihingen (Table 2: 13), but there is no difference in sheep/goat and cattle  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values at the other Early Iron Age sites.

Appendix S.7 shows the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of faunal bone collagen alongside those of crops and shaded ellipses representing the expected distribution (mean  $\pm$  1 and 2 standard deviations) of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

values of herbivores consuming various dietary combinations of wild plants, cereal rachis (chaff), and cereal grain. While a diet comprising entirely of cereal rachis/grain is not physiologically possible, the fact that domestic cattle at Vaihingen plot closer to the ellipse corresponding to 100% consumption of cereal rachis than wild herbivores suggests that a contribution of rachis to the cattle diet could account for the significant difference in the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of wild and domestic herbivores at this site (Table 2: 4). Similarly, the variation in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of domestic herbivores at the Iron Age sites could be accounted for by varying proportions of wild plants and cereal chaff in their diets.




Fig. 5.

Faunal bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values from a) Neolithic and b) Early Iron Age sites. Higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values reflect consumption of plants with higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values (ie due to manuring) or consumption of meat (in the case of wild boar and pig)

### Summary of results

To summarise, the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of cereal grain samples dating to the Early Iron Age are higher than those dating to the Neolithic but are also more variable. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of faunal bone collagen are also higher in the Early Iron Age compared to Neolithic Vaihingen, and there is no difference in the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of wild and domestic herbivores, except for at the Heuneburg. At Early Iron Age Viesenhäuser Hof and Hochdorf, both situated on very fertile soils, there is no difference in the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of wild and domestic herbivore bone collagen, or the variance in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of sheep/goat and

cattle bone collagen. This contrasts with the difference in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of wild and domestic herbivore bone collagen and the variance in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of sheep/goat and cattle bone collagen at Early Iron Age Ipf-Zaunäcker and Heuneburg, which are situated on less fertile soils.

### Functional weed ecological attributes

Figure 6a shows the relationship of surveyed present-day fields managed with low-intensity cultivation in Provence, France and high-intensity cultivation in Asturias, Spain to the discriminant function extracted




Fig. 6. The relationship of samples from a) present-day traditionally managed plots in Provence, France (open circles,  $n=56$ ) and Asturias, Spain (filled circles,  $n=65$ ); b) Early Iron Age Beihingen (crosses,  $n=16$ ) and Viesenhäuser Hof (grey circles,  $n=24$ ); c) Early Iron Age Hochdorf ( $n=113$ ); d) Early Iron Age Ipf-Zaunäcker (vertical crosses,  $n=19$ ) and Heuneburg (open triangles,  $n=24$ ); and e) Neolithic sites in south-west Germany ( $n=141$ ) to the discriminant function extracted to distinguish between intensive and extensive agricultural regimes on the basis of semi-quantitative (presence/absence) weed attribute scores. Larger symbols indicate centroids for high-intensity (black) and low-intensity (white) regimes

to distinguish between these regimes on the basis of semi-quantitative (presence/absence) weed attribute scores, using five functional attributes relating to soil fertility and disturbance (Appx S.1) as discriminating variables (cf. Bogaard *et al.* 2016). The discriminant function was used to classify archaeobotanical samples from Neolithic sites in south-west Germany (Fig. 6e); the Early Iron Age 'rural' sites of Beihingen and Viesenhäuser Hof (Fig. 6b); Hochdorf (Fig. 6c); and Ipf-Zaunäcker and the Heuneburg (Fig. 6d). There is a general trend towards *decreased* agricultural intensity (lower soil fertility and less disturbance) between the Neolithic and the Early Iron Age (mean discriminant score = 0.66 and -0.40, respectively). There is no clear difference in the discriminant scores among Early Iron Age sites, but there is a small number of samples (mostly cereals) from Hochdorf that fall at the highly fertile and disturbed end of the spectrum – more intensive than any of the Neolithic samples.

The contrast between the Provence and Asturias agrosystems is dominated by a difference in soil fertility, with disturbance as a secondary factor (Bogaard *et al.* 2016). This is reflected by the fact that canopy size dimensions, which are correlated positively with fertility and negatively with disturbance (Bogaard *et al.* 2016, table 3), are strongly correlated with the discriminant function (Appx S.1), while duration of the flowering period (a measure of disturbance tolerance) is only weakly correlated with the function. When the discriminant analysis is performed using canopy diameter and height *only* as discriminating variables, the two modern agrosystems are less clearly separated (Appx S.8). On this discriminant function the contrast between the Neolithic and Iron Age samples is much reduced (Appx S.8) compared with the analysis based on the full suite of functional attributes (Fig. 6), and in fact their mean discriminant scores are virtually the same (mean discriminant score = 0.52 and 0.51, respectively). The implication is that the contrast between the Neolithic and Iron Age is *more evenly* balanced between soil fertility and disturbance as causal variables than that between the agrosystems in Provence and Asturias. In other words, Iron Age fields tended to be less disturbed *and* less fertile than Neolithic fields.

## DISCUSSION

### *Crop cultivation*

It is unlikely that the high cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values from Neolithic and Early Iron Age sites are caused by

recent forest clearance and burning, since the archaeobotanical weed assemblages are consistent with continuous cultivation (Maier 1999; Bogaard 2004; Stika 2009; Fischer *et al.* 2010); for ongoing debate over the interpretation of especially off-site Alpine foreland data, see Rösch (1990), Jacomet (2008), and Jacomet *et al.* (2016). We have determined the nitrogen isotope values of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grains grown on plots recently cleared of forest in south-west Germany (harvested in July 2011; see Ehrmann *et al.* 2014) and these show that, although wheat grown on plots cleared by burning has higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values than wheat grown on plots cleared without (-1.1‰ and -4.9‰, respectively), the wheat grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are still much lower than those determined for the archaeological cereal grains from the sites in this study. The particularly low  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of plants growing in recently cleared woodland are likely due to acidic soils (pH 4–6 for the experimental plots), which inhibit ammonia loss (Nadelhoffer & Fry 1994), and low rates of nitrogen cycling in forest soils due to lack of disturbance (Mariotti *et al.* 1980; Hobson 1999). It is also unfeasible that denitrification caused by waterlogging is the cause of the high  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, since plants indicative of wet growing conditions are not prevalent among the crop weeds (Maier 1999; Stika 2009; Fischer *et al.* 2010) and soil surveys in the vicinity of all of the sites have revealed well-drained soils that would have been suitable for agriculture (Maier 1999; Fischer *et al.* 2010; Baum 2014). It is therefore most likely that the relatively high cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values are due to addition of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched manure/organic matter.

The high  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of cereal grains from the Early Iron Age compared to those from the Neolithic suggest that high levels of manuring, or other organic matter inputs (eg, middening/composting), were maintained or increased during the Iron Age compared to the Neolithic. Since it is possible that  $^{15}\text{N}$  could build up in the soil if manure is added over thousands of years, it is difficult to say for certain whether higher cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values were caused by *higher* levels of manuring in the Early Iron Age compared to the Neolithic. However, the level of manuring clearly did not decrease significantly. More widespread use of animal traction in the Early Iron Age, as attested by mortality profiles (Schatz & Stephan 2008), would have facilitated the distribution of this heavy resource over a wider area (cf. Isaakidou 2011). Pulse  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values much higher than the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of



atmospheric-N (0‰) indicate that they were also grown in intensively manured soil (>70t manure/ha; Treasure *et al.* 2016), perhaps in small plots together with heavily manured garden crops.

The large range in Early Iron Age cereal  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values indicates wide variation in manuring levels between cultivation plots, which could reflect an extended gradient in manuring intensity due to the greater distance between settlements and animal penning areas within a larger agricultural hinterland. This variation also suggests small-scale decision-making regarding manure use. Taken alone, the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values suggest that crop cultivation was equally, if not more, labour- and resource-intensive as during the Neolithic. This does not correspond with an agricultural system where the predominant crops of barley and spelt wheat were grown on a large scale under low input conditions for remobilisation to fortified hilltop sites.

Despite the higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of cereals, which seem to indicate increased manuring, the functional weed ecology shows that net soil fertility decreased in the Early Iron Age, and that soil disturbance levels clearly decreased as well. The lack of evidence for increasing soil fertility could indicate that nutrients were not being replenished sufficiently to replace those being removed during successive harvests, perhaps exacerbated by harvesting crops closer to the ground, thereby reducing the plant matter returned to the soil (cf. Willerding 1986, 319; Rösch 1998). Alternatively, it could reflect the expansion of cultivation onto less fertile soils around the Early Iron Age settlements – at least less fertile than the prime loess and luvisols on which the Neolithic sites in this study were situated – and/or erosion of soils due to more widespread use of the plough (cf. Fischer *et al.* 2010). Ard ploughing, if accompanied by little to no manual cultivation, would have led to less intensive turnover of the soil (Isaakidou 2011; Halstead 2014, 44) and thus lower soil disturbance. An expansion in fallow land for animal grazing could also have contributed to the general trend towards lower disturbance in the Early Iron Age. The weed evidence could thus accommodate the *Feld-Graswirtschaft* (rotation of annual crops with grass ley pasture) system proposed by Stika (2009), who identified high proportions of weed species characteristic of a grassland ecosystem in the weed assemblage at Hochdorf. Grazing of animals on fallow land would also have contributed low-level manuring, although no modern studies have yet determined the effect on crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values as a result of this practice.

The wide range of functional weed ecology discriminant scores at Early Iron Age sites, particularly at Hochdorf, indicates large variation in soil fertility and disturbance between different plots and mirrors the high variability in manuring intensity. The samples from Hochdorf (mainly associated with cereal grains) with particularly high discriminant scores could originate from intensively worked garden plots, evidence for which comes from postholes that may have marked plot boundaries (Biel 2015, 50). Taken together, the isotope and weed ecological evidence suggest that surplus cereal production in the Early Iron Age was achieved through sustained use of manure on larger (potentially more marginal) cultivated areas, enabled by more widespread use of the ard plough and animal traction, but that there was considerable variation in labour and manure inputs between plots. There is no evidence for large-scale, low-input cultivation of selected crops or a clear distinction in cultivation practices between rural and fortified hilltop sites that could be indicative of elite intervention in production.

In the Neolithic, there were signs that different crops received differing quantities of manure, potentially according to social or economic status. For example, at Late Neolithic Sipplingen, naked six-row barley had consistently lower  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values than naked wheat throughout 1000 years of [intermittent] occupation, and when emmer replaced naked wheat as the most ubiquitous cereal, its  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values increased, indicating a switch of investment according to economic importance (Styring *et al.* 2016a). This trend is perpetuated in the Early Iron Age: hulled six-row barley was the most ubiquitous crop and it has significantly higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values than the wheats. Manuring is currently our best explanation for differential enrichment of crop  $^{15}\text{N}$ , though other factors (eg, soil type, topography) could have amplified (or reduced) husbandry differences. Moreover, since we know that crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values reflect long-term land use history (manuring must be sustained for years before crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values increase; Fraser *et al.* 2011), this means that barley was grown on plots that were cultivated under different conditions for many years. While preferential manuring of hulled barley at all of the Early Iron Age sites (despite variability in manuring practice in general) could be because it responds particularly well to manuring (Viklund 1998, 139), extrapolation of crop ecology from modern varieties is problematic. It therefore seems plausible that the

tendency towards higher barley  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values reflects its economic importance as a crop in the Early Iron Age. More broadly then, the distinctive growing conditions of barley could be linked to the wider cultural importance of beer (eg, Dietler 1990; Sherratt 1997): germinating barley grains have been found in what are identified as malting ditches (*Feuerschlütze*) for beer brewing at the settlement of Hochdorf (Stika 2009). Though barley undoubtedly had other uses in addition to beer brewing, its association with such a prestigious activity may well have shaped the way it was cultivated.

If large quantities of hulled barley and spelt wheat were indeed cultivated in rural settlements and then exported to fortified hilltop sites like the Heuneburg (Fischer *et al.* 2010), the only indication of differential access to crops comes from the high  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of hulled barley grains found in stored caches in the rural settlements of Beihingen and Viesenhäuser Hof. Though these particular sites are too far from the Heuneburg to have been the source of its imported crops, the stored caches at Beihingen and Viesenhäuser Hof suggest that particularly intensively manured crops were retained in rural settlements. The isotope values of cereals and pulses from storage deposits also allow understanding of how crops from different plots were distributed and used within settlements. In the Neolithic, the small variation in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of multiple wheat grain samples from storage contexts at Viesenhäuser Hof and Hornstaad-Hörnle IA (0.2‰ and 0.5‰, respectively) suggests that grains grown under similar growing conditions, and potentially from the same plot, were stored together. This is consistent with a household level of production and storage, whereby individual households made their own decisions about the amount of manure to add and retained their own crops for consumption. The small variation in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of multiple hulled barley grain samples from storage contexts at the Early Iron Age rural sites of Beihingen and Viesenhäuser Hof (0.5‰ and 0.4‰, respectively) suggests that a similar method of production was practised here.

In contrast, there is much larger variation in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of multiple hulled barley samples from one of the two ‘malting ditches’ at Hochdorf (0.9‰), which suggests that barley for malting was collected from a wider range of growing conditions. This is unsurprising given the huge quantities of barley grains – thousands of kilograms – that would have been required (Stika 2009). Such variation implies that individual households,

cultivating their own plots and making their own decisions about manuring practices, were contributing a portion of their harvest to beer production. The importance of drinking in Early Iron Age society (Dietler 1990; 2006; Stika 1996) plausibly reflects the value of social relationships in acquiring political status. This perspective is similar to that developed for Early Iron Age hillforts in southern England, where storage pits and associated grain-rich fills suggest mobilisation of surplus for consumption and feasting at fortified hilltop sites and demonstrate the importance of these events in attaining and enhancing political power (van der Veen & Jones 2006).

#### *Landscape use*

The expansion of fallow land for animal grazing – suggested by the grassland habitat of many weed species (Stika 2009), and consistent with the trend in functional weed ecological attributes towards decreased soil disturbance – likely reflects an ‘opening-up’ of the Early Iron Age landscape for larger scale crop and animal husbandry. This is also supported by an increase in herbivore  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values compared to the Neolithic. Higher herbivore bone collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values indicate that the plants eaten by herbivores were growing in less shaded conditions, caused by a decrease in the prevalence of closed canopy woodlands (Drucker *et al.* 2008; Bonafini *et al.* 2013). In addition, the lack of a significant difference between the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of wild and domestic herbivores, at all Early Iron Age sites apart from the Heuneburg, indicates that red and roe deer were feeding in similarly open landscapes to cattle, sheep and goats. The increase of pasture and meadowland has been suggested on the basis of pollen evidence at a number of locations in south-west Germany (Fischer *et al.* 2010), but the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of herbivores found at the archaeological sites provide a *direct* measure of the openness of the landscape around these settlements in the Early Iron Age.

#### *Animal husbandry*

Domestic livestock evidently played an integral role in the agricultural economy of the Early Iron Age, providing manure used in crop cultivation, traction for its transportation and for ploughing arable fields. The increase in grassland pastures in the Iron Age indicates an increased demand for grazing land, probably due to

greater numbers of livestock. Higher numbers of domestic livestock presumably supplied the large quantities of manure suggested by the high cereal grain  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values from the Early Iron Age. Slightly higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of domestic compared to wild herbivore bone collagen at Ipf-Zaunäcker and the Heuneburg could result from a small contribution of manured plants/crops/crop residues to the domestic herbivore diet. This could be due to grazing of herbivores on fallow land, resulting in low-level manuring and subsequent increase of herbivore bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values due to ingestion and assimilation of manured vegetation, and/or grazing on young cereal plants (to prevent lodging) or on cereal stubble after the harvest (Appx S.7 shows that cereal rachis could indeed have made a contribution to the herbivore diet). The larger variation in sheep and goat  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values compared to cattle  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values at these sites could reflect household- or kinship-level control of small animal herds, so that different herds had access to fields with differing land use histories. The contrasting consistency of cattle  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values could indicate large-scale herding of cattle by specialised herders who allowed cattle access to more-or-less the same range of vegetation. Both Ipf-Zaunäcker and Heuneburg have relatively infertile soils in their vicinity, which has led to the hypothesis that they were centres for livestock rather than cereal production (Fischer *et al.* 2010). Perhaps in these settlements, the lower density of arable fields allowed more local pasturing of the smaller ruminants. At the Heuneburg, the significant difference in the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of wild and domestic herbivores also indicates that there were still some wooded areas where wild herbivores could browse. Strontium isotope values of cattle, sheep/goat, and pig teeth from Ipf-Zaunäcker and the Heuneburg also indicate that livestock were pastured locally, but that cattle and pigs were occasionally imported from further afield in the Black Forest (Stephan 2009).

The high quality soils in the immediate vicinity of Viesenhäuser Hof, Hochdorf, and Beihingen suggest that most of the surrounding area was permanently cultivated with barley, wheat and legumes (Fischer *et al.* 2010). The lack of difference in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values between wild and domestic herbivores at Viesenhäuser Hof and Hochdorf suggests that livestock herds at these sites did not have systematic access to plants with higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, via consumption of manured fodder or grazing on manured arable/fallow fields. Also at these sites, there is no significant difference in the variance of sheep

and goat and cattle bone collagen  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, suggesting that sheep, goats, and cattle were all herded in similar environments. To some extent this supports the strontium isotope results of cattle, sheep/goat, and pig teeth from Hochdorf, whose high variability indicates that they were all pastured in a range of similar areas of Keuper sandstone, which occurs in small areas close to the settlement and in larger areas 15–30 km away (Stephan 2009; Stephan *et al.* 2012). Although there is no clear evidence of stalls within the settlements, it is plausible that the animals would have been penned overnight, allowing collection of their manure for spreading on the fields.

#### CONCLUSION

This is the first time that crop and faunal isotope values and functional weed ecological attributes have been combined in a regional study of past agricultural practice. While crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values reveal differences in manuring practice between plots and crop species, and weed functional attributes reflect site-level trends in soil fertility and disturbance, this study has shown that *together* they can provide a more nuanced insight into past farming practice, belying assumptions that higher crop  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values necessarily reflect higher soil fertility. While this is the case for the intensive ‘garden’ cultivation practiced in the Neolithic, by the Early Iron Age it seems that high manuring levels were required merely to *maintain* soil fertility rather than to enhance it, perhaps due to new harvesting methods that cut crops closer to the ground and as the result of thousands of years of continuous cultivation. Moreover, there is no evidence that the proliferation of animal traction and the ard plough through the later Neolithic caused a revolution in agricultural practice (cf. Styring *et al.* 2016a), as evolutionary models of agricultural development envisage (eg, Boserup 1965). Instead, it seems that more widespread use of the ard plough eased the human labour costs of ‘intensive’ agriculture and made it viable on a larger scale – a form of extensification but without a dramatic decrease in labour inputs per unit area (cf. Isaakidou 2011). The Early Iron Age crops generally align more closely with low-intensity cultivation than those of the Neolithic in south-west Germany, which in conjunction with the pollen evidence for more open anthropogenic landscapes suggests modest extensification. On the other hand, there is no evidence for large-scale and low-input cultivation of selected crops that might

be consistent with elite intervention in production. Rather, the isotope results provide insight into the variability in crop and animal husbandry practices – from differences in manuring between the barley intended for local consumption and that for redistribution at rural sites to differences in herding practice between sites with fertile and less fertile soils. This demonstrates the diverse strategies that people employed to meet production needs, in response to local conditions and preferences. Despite this variation, there was a consistent decision to allocate more manure to barley, the core ingredient of beer brewing, underlining the pervasive importance of beer in Early Iron Age society. These findings also support the idea of the central role of feasting and drinking in negotiating political power in the Early Iron Age (cf. Dietler 1990; van der Veen & Jones 2006). Our ecological perspective on farming and herding practice thus extends discussion of elite consumption practices and their role in centralisation in Early Iron Age central Europe.

*Acknowledgements:* The work reported here was funded by the European Research Council (AGRICURB project, grant no. 312785, PI: Bogaard). AB and AS designed the research, AS and AB drafted the paper, MR, MS, EF and HS contributed botanical material, data, and discussion of the results, and ES contributed faunal material, data, and further discussion. The functional attribute data used in this study draws upon a database compiled by John Hodgson and part-funded by NERC grant NER/A/S/2001/01047 (PI: Michael Charles). We would also like to thank Rebecca Fraser for isotope analysis of the faunal bone collagen from Hornstaad-Hörnle IA (NERC standard grant NE/E003761/1, PI: Bogaard), Otto Ehrmann for providing bread wheat grains from the Forchtenberg experiment for nitrogen isotope analysis, and Corina Knipper for access to the faunal isotope data from Neolithic Stuttgart-Mühlhausen Viesenhäuser Hof. We are grateful to Caroline Montrieux and Edward Wilman for processing archaeobotanical samples and faunal bone collagen for isotope analysis.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/ppr.2017.3>

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aguilera, M., Araus, J.L., Voltas, J., Rodríguez-Ariza, M., Molina, F., Rovira, N., Buxó, R. & Ferrio, J. 2008. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes and quality traits of fossil cereal grains provide clues on sustainability at the beginnings of Mediterranean agriculture. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 22, 1653–63
- Baum, T.G. 2014. Models of wetland settlement and associated land use in South-West Germany during the fourth millennium B.C. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 23, 67–80
- Biel, J. 1995. Die Siedlung der Späthallstatt-/Frühlatènezeit von Hochdorf/Enz, Kreis Ludwigsburg. *Archäologische Informationen aus Baden-Württemberg* 28, 30–7
- Biel, J. 2015. *Hochdorf IX, Die eisenzeitliche Siedlung in der Flur Reys und andere vorgeschichtliche Fundstellen von Eberdingen-Hochdorf (Kreis Ludwigsburg)*. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Baden-Württemberg Band 111. Darmstadt: Konrad Theiss.
- Billamboz, A., Baum, T., Kaiser, M., Maier, U., Matuschik, I., Müller, A., Stephan, E., Steppan, K., Schlichtherle, H. & Vogt, R. 2013. *Abschlussbericht zu "Das Sipplinger Dreieck als Modell jung- und endneolithischer Siedlungs- und Wirtschaftsdynamik am Bodensee"* (PL 95/49-1/SCHL 398/2-2) Unpublished report.
- Bogaard, A. 2004. *Neolithic Farming in Central Europe*. London: Routledge
- Bogaard, A. 2011. *Plant Use and Crop Husbandry in an Early Neolithic Village: Vaihingen an der Enz, Baden-Württemberg*. Bonn: Habelt-Verlag
- Bogaard, A. 2015a. Communities. In G. Barker & C. Goucher (eds), *A World with Agriculture, 12,000 BCE–500 BCE*, 124–60. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Bogaard, A. 2015b. Lessons from modeling Neolithic farming practice: Methods of elimination. In R. Chapman & A. Wylie (eds), *Material Evidence: Learning from archaeological practice*, 243–54. London: Routledge
- Bogaard, A., Krause, R. & Strien, H.-C. 2011. Towards a social geography of cultivation and plant use in an early farming community: Vaihingen an der Enz, south-west Germany. *Antiquity* 85, 395–416
- Bogaard, A., Jones, G. & Charles, M. 2005. The impact of crop processing on the reconstruction of crop sowing time and cultivation intensity from archaeobotanical weed evidence. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 14, 505–9
- Bogaard, A., Fraser, R., Heaton, T.H.E., Wallace, M., Vaiglova, P., Charles, M., Jones, G., Evershed, R.P., Styring, A.K., Andersen, N.H., Arbogast, R.-M., Bartosiewicz, L., Gardeisen, A., Kanstrup, M., Maier, U., Marinova, E., Ninov, L., Schäfer, M. & Stephan, E. 2013. Crop manuring and intensive land management by Europe's first farmers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110, 12589–94
- Bogaard, A., Hodgson, J., Nitsch, E., Jones, G., Styring, A., Diffey, C., Pouncett, J., Herbig, C., Charles, M., Ertuğ, F., Tugay, O., Filipovic, D. & Fraser, R. 2016. Combining functional weed ecology and crop stable isotope ratios to identify cultivation intensity: A comparison of cereal production regimes in Haute Provence, France and Asturias, Spain. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 25, 57–73
- Bonafini, M., Pellegrini, M., Ditchfield, P. & Pollard, M. 2013. Investigation of the 'canopy effect' in the isotope ecology of temperate woodlands. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40, 3926–35

- Boserup, E. 1965. *The Conditions of Agricultural Growth*. New York: Aldine
- Brombacher, C. & Jacomet, S. 1997. Ackerbau, Sammelwirtschaft und Umwelt: Ergebnisse archäobotanischer Untersuchungen. In J. Schibler, H. Hüster-Plogmann, S. Jacomet, C. Brombacher, E. Gross-Klee & A. Rast-Eicher (eds), *Ökonomie und Ökologie neolithischer und bronzezeitlicher Ufersiedlungen am Zürichsee*, 220–91. Zürich: Direktion der öffentlichen Bauten des Kantons Zürich
- Brun, P. & Chaume, B. 2013. Une éphémère tentative d'urbanisation en Europe centre-occidentale durant les Vie et Ve siècles av. J.C.? *Bulletin de la Société Préhistorique Française* 110, 319–49
- Chadwick, J., Godart, L., Killen, J.T., Olivier, J.P., Sacconi, A. & Sakellarakis, I.A. 1987. *Corpus of Mycenaean Inscriptions from Knossos*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Collis, J. 1984a. *Oppida: Earliest towns north of the Alps*. Sheffield: University of Sheffield
- Collis, J. 1984b. *The European Iron Age*. London: Routledge
- de Hingh, A.E. 2000. *Food Production and Food Procurement in the Bronze Age and Early Iron Age (2000–500 BC)*. PhD Thesis. Leiden: University of Leiden
- Deschler-Erb, S., Leuzinger, U. & Marti-Grädel, É. 2006. La traction animale au 34e siècle à Arbon/Bleiche (Thurgovie, Suisse). In P. Pétriquin, R.-M. Arbogast, A.-M. Pétriquin, S. van Willigen & M. Bailly (eds), *Premiers Chariots, Premiers Araires: La Diffusion de la Traction Animale en Europe Pendant les IVe et IIIe Millénaires Avant Notre Ère*, 157–64. Paris: CNRS Editions
- Dieckmann, B., Maier, U. & Vogt, R. 2001. Hornstaad: zur inneren Dynamik einer jungneolithischen Dorfanlage am westlichen Bodensee. Neue Ergebnisse der Archäologie, Botanik und Bodenkunde. In A. Lippert, M. Schulz, S. Shennan & M. Teschler-Nicola (eds), *Mensch und Umwelt während des Neolithikums und der Frühbronzezeit in Mitteleuropa*, 29–51. Rahden: Marie Leidorf
- Dietler, M. 1990. Driven by drink: The role of drinking in the political economy and the case of Early Iron Age France. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 9, 352–406
- Dietler, M. 2006. Alcohol: Anthropological/archaeological perspectives. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 35, 229–49
- Drucker, D.G., Bridault, A., Hobson, K.A., Szuma, E. & Bocherens, H. 2008. Can carbon-13 in large herbivores reflect the canopy effect in temperate and boreal ecosystems? Evidence from modern and ancient ungulates. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 266, 69–82
- Earle, T. 2002. *Bronze Age Economics: The beginnings of political economies*. Boulder: Westview Press
- Ehrmann, O., Biester, H., Bogenrieder, A. & Rösch, M. 2014. Fifteen years of the Forchtenberg experiment – results and implications for the understanding of Neolithic land use. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 23, 5–18
- Farquhar, G. & Richards, R. 1984. Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. *Functional Plant Biology* 11, 539–52
- Fernández-Götz, M. & Krause, D. 2013. Rethinking Early Iron Age urbanisation in Central Europe: The Heuneburg site and its archaeological environment. *Antiquity* 87, 473–87
- Fernández-Götz, M., Wendling, H. & Winger, K. 2014. Introduction: New perspectives on Iron Age urbanism. In M. Fernández-Götz, H. Wendling & K. Winger (eds), *Paths to Complexity – Centralisation and Urbanisation in Iron Age Europe*, 2–14. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Ferrio, J., Araus, J., Buxó, R., Voltas, J. & Bort, J. 2005. Water management practices and climate in ancient agriculture: Inferences from the stable isotope composition of archaeobotanical remains. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 14, 510–17
- Finlay, J.C. & Kendall, C. 2008. Stable isotope tracing of temporal and spatial variability in organic matter sources to freshwater ecosystems. In R. Michener & K. Lajtha (eds), *Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science*, 283–333. Oxford: Blackwell
- Fischer, E., Rösch, M., Sillmann, M., Ehrmann, O., Liese-Kleiber, H., Voigt, R., Stobbe, A., Kalis, A.J., Stephan, E., Schatz, K. & Posluschny, A. 2010. Landnutzung im Umkreis der Zentralorte Hohenasperg, Heuneburg und Ipf: Archäobotanische und archäozoologische Untersuchungen und Modellberechnungen zum Ertragspotential von Ackerbau und Viehhaltung. In Krause (ed.) 2010, 195–266
- Frankenstein, S. & Rowlands, M.J. 1978. The internal structure and regional context of Early Iron Age society in south-western Germany. *Bulletin of the Institute of Archaeology* 16, 73–112
- Fraser, R.A., Bogaard, A., Heaton, T., Charles, M., Jones, G., Christensen, B.T., Halstead, P., Merbach, I., Poulton, P.R., Sparkes, D. & Styring, A.K. 2011. Manuring and stable nitrogen isotope ratios in cereals and pulses: Towards a new archaeobotanical approach to the inference of land use and dietary practices. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38, 2790–804
- Fraser, R.A., Bogaard, A., Charles, M., Styring, A.K., Wallace, M., Jones, G., Ditchfield, P. & Heaton, T.H.E. 2013a. Assessing natural variation and the effects of charring, burial and pre-treatment on the stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values of archaeobotanical cereals and pulses. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40, 4754–66
- Fraser, R.A., Bogaard, A., Schäfer, M., Arbogast, R. & Heaton, T.H.E. 2013b. Integrating botanical, faunal and human stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values to reconstruct land use and palaeodiet at LBK Vaihingen an der Enz, Baden-Württemberg. *World Archaeology* 45, 492–517
- Gallagher, D.E. & McIntosh, R.J. 2015. Agriculture and urbanism. In G. Barker & C. Goucher (eds), *A World with Agriculture, 12,000 BCE–500 BCE*, 186–210. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Georgi, M. 2014. Die mittelnolithischen Siedlungsreste vom Viesenhäuser Hof, Stuttgart Mühlhausen – Auswertung der Befunde und Keramik der Grabung 1991–1993. *Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg* 34, 7–142

- Halstead, P. 1995. Plough and power: The economic and social significance of cultivation with the ox-drawn ard in the Mediterranean. *Bulletin on Sumerian Agriculture* 8, 11–22
- Halstead, P. 1999. Surplus and share-croppers: The grain production strategies of Mycenaean palaces. In P. Betancourt, V. Karageorghis, R. Laffineur & W.-D. Niemeier (eds), *Meletemata. Studies in Aegean Archaeology Presented to Malcolm H. Wiener as He Enters His 65th Year*, 319–26. Liège: Université de Liège
- Halstead, P. 2001. Mycenaean wheat, flax and sheep: Palatial intervention in farming and its implications for rural society. In S. Voutsaki & J.T. Killen (eds), *Economy and Politics in the Mycenaean Palace States*, 38–50. Cambridge: Cambridge Philological Society
- Halstead, P. 2014. *Two Oxen Ahead: Pre-mechanized farming in the Mediterranean*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell
- Harding, A.F. 2000. *European Societies in the Bronze Age*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Hobson, K.A. 1999. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios of songbird feathers grown in two terrestrial biomes: Implications for evaluating trophic relationships and breeding origins. *Condor* 101, 799–805
- Högberg, P. 1997. Tansley Review No. 95.  $^{15}\text{N}$  natural abundance in soil-plant systems. *New Phytologist* 137, 179–203
- Hüster-Plogmann, H. & Schibler, J. 1997. Archäozoologie. In J. Schibler, H. Hüster-Plogmann, S. Jacomet, C. Brombacher, E. Gross-Klee & A. Rast-Eicher (eds), *Ökonomie und Ökologie neolithischer und bronzezeitlicher Ufersiedlungen am Zürichsee*, 40–121. Zürich: Direktion der öffentlichen Bauten des Kantons Zürich
- Isaakidou, V. 2011. Farming regimes in Neolithic Europe: Gardening with cows and other models. In A. Hadjikoumis, E. Robinson & S. Viner-Daniels (eds), *The Dynamics of Neolithisation in Europe: Studies in honour of Andrew Sherratt*, 90–112. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Jacomet, S. 1990. Veränderungen von Wirtschaft und Umwelt während des Spätneolithikums im westlichen Bodenseegebiet. Ergebnisse samenanalytischer Untersuchungen an einem Profilblock aus der Horgener Stratigraphie von Sipplingen-Osthafen (Tauchsondierung Ruoff 1980). In *Siedlungsarchäologie im Alpenvorland II*, 295–324. Stuttgart: Konrad Theiss
- Jacomet, S. 2008. Subsistenz und Landnutzung während des 3. Jahrtausends v. Chr. aufgrund von archäobotanischen Daten aus dem südwestlichen Mitteleuropa. In W. Dörfler & J. Müller (eds), *Umwelt, Wirtschaft, Siedlungen im Dritten Vorchristlichen Jahrtausend Mitteleuropas und Südkandinaviens*, 355–77. Neumünster: Wachholz
- Jacomet, S. & Brombacher, C. 2009. Geschichte der Flora in der Regio Basiliensis seit 7500 Jahren: Ergebnisse von Untersuchungen pflanzlicher Makroreste aus archäologischen Ausgrabungen. *Mitteilungen der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft beider Basel* 11, 27–106
- Jacomet, S., Rachoud-Schneider, A.-M. & Zoller, H. 1998. Vegetationsentwicklung, Vegetationsveränderung durch menschlichen Einfluss, Ackerbau und Sammelwirtschaft. In *Die Schweiz vom Paläolithikum bis zum frühen Mittelalter, III. Bronzezeit*, 141–70. Basel: Schweizerische Gesellschaft für Ur- und Frühgeschichte
- Jacomet, S., Antolin, F., Akeret, O., Bogaard, A., Baum, T., Brombacher, C., Bleicher, N., Ebersbach, R., Heitz-Weniger, A., Hüster-Plogmann, H., Gross, E., Kühn, M., Rentzel, P., Schibler, J., Steiner, B. & Wick, L. 2016. On-site data question on-going research on shifting cultivation in the Late Neolithic (ca. 4300–2400 cal. BC). Landscape management as an alternative paradigm. *The Holocene* 26(11), 1–16
- Jones, G., Bogaard, A., Charles, M. & Hodgson, J.G. 2000. Distinguishing the effects of agricultural practices relating to fertility and disturbance: A functional ecological approach in archaeobotany. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 27, 1073–84
- Jones, G., Charles, M., Bogaard, A. & Hodgson, J. 2010. Crops and weeds: The role of weed functional ecology in the identification of crop husbandry methods. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 37, 70–7
- Kalis, A.J., Merkt, J. & Wunderlich, J. 2003. Environmental changes during the Holocene climatic optimum in central Europe – human impact and natural causes. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 33–79
- Kimmig, W. 1969. Zum Problem späthallstädtischer Adelssitze. In K.-H. Otto & J. Herrmann (eds), *Siedlung, Burg und Stadt. Studien zu ihren Anfängen. Festschrift für Paul Grimm*, 95–113. Berlin: Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin
- Knipper, C. in press. Kohlenstoff- und Stickstoff-Isotopenanalysen an bandkeramischen Bestattungen vom “Viesenhäuser Hof” bei Stuttgart-Mühlhausen: Implikationen zur Ernährungsrekonstruktion, Geschlechtsspezifik und Siedlungsdynamik. In C. Meyer, P. Held, C. Knipper & N. Nicklisch (eds), *Der Zahn der Zeit. Mensch und Kultur im Spiegel Interdisziplinärer Forschung. Festschrift für Kurt W. Alt*, Halle: Landesamt für Denkmalpflege und Archäologie Sachsen-Anhalt
- Kragten, J. 1994. Tutorial review. Calculating standard deviations and confidence intervals with a universally applicable spreadsheet technique. *Analyst* 119, 2161–5
- Krause, R. 2000. Die bandkeramische Siedlung bei Vaihingen. *Bericht der Römisch-Germanischen Kommission* 79, 7–32
- Krause, R. & Fuhrmann, K. 2005. Archäologische Sondagen und Prospektionen auf dem Ipff bei Bopfingen, Ostalbkreis. *Archäologische Ausgrabungen in Baden-Württemberg*, 97–102
- Krause, D. (ed.) 2008. *Frühe Zentralisierungs- und Urbanisierungsprozesse. Zur Genese und Entwicklung frühkeltischer Fürstensitze und ihres territorialen Umlandes*. Stuttgart: Konrad Theiss
- Krause, D. (ed.) 2010. “Fürstensitze” und Zentralorte der frühen Kelten: Abschlusskolloquium des DFG-Schwerpunktprogramms 1171 in Stuttgart, 12.–15. Oktober 2009. Teil I. Stuttgart: Konrad Theiss Verlag
- Kreuz, A. & Schäfer, E. 2008. Archaeobotanical consideration of the development of Pre-Roman Iron Age crop growing in the region of Hesse, Germany, and the question of agricultural production and consumption

- at hillfort sites and open settlements. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 17, 159–79
- Kristiansen, K. 1998. *Europe before History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Kroll, H. 1997. Zur eisenzeitlichen Wintergetreide-Unkrautflora von Mitteleuropa. Mit Analysenbeispielen archäologischer pflanzlicher Großreste aus Feudvar in der Vojvodina, aus Greding in Bayern und aus Dudelage in Luxemburg. *Prähistorische Zeitschrift* 72, 106–14
- Kurz, S. 2010. Zur Genese und Entwicklung der Heuneburg in der späten Hallstattzeit. In Krausse (ed.) 2010, 239–56
- Lechterbeck, J., Edinborough, K., Kerig, T., Fyfe, R., Roberts, N. & Shennan, S. 2014. Is Neolithic land use correlated with demography? An evaluation of pollen-derived land cover and radiocarbon-inferred demographic change from Central Europe. *The Holocene* 24, 1297–307
- Longin, R. 1971. New method of collagen extraction for radiocarbon dating. *Nature* 230, 241–2
- Maier, U. 1999. Agricultural activities and land use in a Neolithic village around 3900 B.C.: Hornstaad-Hörnle IA, Lake Constance, Germany. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 8, 87–94
- Maier, U. & Vogt, R. 2001. *Siedlungsarchäologie im Alpenvorland VI*. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Baden-Württemberg 74. Stuttgart: Konrad Theiss
- Mariotti, A., Pierre, D., Vedy, J.C., Bruckert, S. & Guillemot, J. 1980. The abundance of natural nitrogen-15 in the organic matter of soils along an altitudinal gradient (Chablais, Haute Savoie, France). *CATENA* 7, 293–300
- Marston, J.M. 2011. Archaeological markers of agricultural risk management. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 30, 190–205
- Nadelhoffer, K.J. & Fry, B. 1994. Nitrogen isotope studies in forest ecosystems. In *Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science*, 22–44. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific
- Nitsch, E.K., Charles, M. & Bogaard, A. 2015. Calculating a statistically robust  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  offset for charred cereal and pulse seeds. *Science and Technology of Archaeological Research* 1, 1–8
- Peukert, S., Bol, R., Roberts, W., Macleod, C.J.A., Murray, P.J., Dixon, E.R. & Brazier, R.E. 2012. Understanding spatial variability of soil properties: A key step in establishing field- to farm-scale agro-ecosystem experiments: Understanding spatial variability of soil properties. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 26, 2413–21
- Reynolds, P.J. 1995. Rural life and farming. In M.J. Aldhouse-Green (ed.), *The Celtic World*, 176–212. London: Routledge
- Rösch, M. 1990. Vegetationsgeschichtliche Untersuchungen im Durchengried. In *Siedlungsarchäologie Im Alpenvorland II*, 9–56. Stuttgart: Konrad Theiss
- Rösch, M. 1998. The history of crops and crop weeds in south-western Germany from the Neolithic period to modern times, as shown by archaeobotanical evidence. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 7, 109–25
- Rösch, M. 2014. Pflanzenreste vom Viesenhäuser Hof, Stadtteil Mühlhausen, Stuttgart. *Fundberichte aus Baden-Württemberg* 34, 143–76
- Rösch, M., Kleinmann, A., Lechterbeck, J. & Wick, L. 2014. Botanical off-site and on-site data as indicators of different land use systems: A discussion with examples from Southwest Germany. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 23, 121–33
- Schatz, K. & Stephan, E. 2005. Die Tierknochenfunde aus den Rechteckhöfen im Gewann „Zaunäcker“ bei Osterholz, Gde. Kirchheim am Ries. Available online: <http://w210.ub.uni-tuebingen.de/dbt/volltexte/2005/1911/>
- Schatz, K. & Stephan, E. 2008. Archäozoologie frühkeltischer Faunenfunde. Studien zur Wirtschaftsgeschichte im Umfeld frühkeltischer Fürstensitze. In Krausse (ed.) 2008, 349–66
- Schlichtherle, H. 2006. Chemins, roues et chariots: Innovations de la fin du Néolithique dans le sud-ouest de l'Allemagne. In P. Pétriquin, R.-M. Arbogast, A.-M. Pétriquin, S. van Willigen & M. Bailly (eds), *Premiers chariots, premiers araires: La diffusion de la traction animale en Europe pendant les IVe et IIIe millénaires avant notre ère*, 165–78. Paris: CNRS Editions
- Sherratt, A.G. 1997. Cups that cheered: The introduction of alcohol to prehistoric Europe. In A.G. Sherratt (ed.), *Economy and Society in Prehistoric Europe: Changing perspectives*, 376–402. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press
- Steele, K.W. & Daniel, R.M. 1978. Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes by animals: A further complication to the use of variations in the natural abundance of  $^{15}\text{N}$  for tracer studies. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 90, 7–9
- Stephan, E. 2009. Rekonstruktion eisenzeitlicher Weidewirtschaft anhand archäozoologischer und isotopenchemischer Untersuchungen. In N. Benecke (ed.), *Beiträge zur Archäozoologie und Prähistorischen Anthropologie*, 65–79. Langenweißbach: Gesellschaft für Archäozoologie und Prähistorischen Anthropologie e.V.
- Stephan, E., Knipper, C., Schatz, K., Price, T.D. & Hegner, E. 2012. Strontium isotopes in faunal remains: Evidence of the strategies for land use at the Iron Age site Eberdingen-Hochdorf (Baden-Württemberg, Germany). In E. Kaiser, J. Burger & W. Schier (eds), *Population Dynamics in Prehistory and Early History*, 265–86. Berlin: De Gruyter
- Steppan, K. & Stephan, E. 2012. Archäozoologische und isotopenchemische Aspekte der jungsteinzeitlichen wirtschafts- und umweltdynamik im Sipplinger Dreieck, Bodenseekreis. In F. Schlütter, S. Greiff & M. Prange (eds), *Archäometrie und Denkmalpflege 2012*, 89–91. Metalla (Bochum) 5. Bochum: Deutsches Bergbau-Museum Bochum
- Stika, H.-P. 1996. Traces of a possible Celtic brewery in Eberdingen-Hochdorf, Kreis Ludwigsburg, southwest Germany. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 5, 81–8
- Stika, H.-P. 2009. Landwirtschaft der späten Hallstatt- und frühen Latènezeit im mittleren Neckarland – Ergebnisse

- von pflanzlichen Großrestuntersuchungen. In K. Schatz & H.-P. Stika (eds), *Hochdorf VII*, 125–293. Stuttgart: Konrad Theiss
- Stork, I. 1992. Totenhäuser und Kreisgräben – ein neues fränkisches Gräberfeld in Beihingen, Stadt Freiberg am Neckar, Kreis Ludwigsburg. *Archäologische Ausgrabungen in Baden-Württemberg*, 243–46
- Stork, I. 1993. Zur Fortsetzung der Untersuchung des fränkischen Gräberfelds in Beihingen, Stadt Freiberg am Neckar, Kreis Ludwigsburg. *Archäologische Ausgrabungen in Baden-Württemberg*, 223–26
- Styring, A.K., Maier, U., Stephan, E., Schlichtherle, H. & Bogaard, A. 2016a. Cultivation of choice: New insights into farming practices at Neolithic lakeshore sites. *Antiquity* 349, 95–110
- Styring, A.K., Ater, M., Hmimsa, Y., Fraser, R., Miller, H., Neef, R., Pearson, J.A. & Bogaard, A. 2016b. Disentangling the effect of farming practice from aridity on crop stable isotope values: A present-day model from Morocco and its application to early farming sites in the eastern Mediterranean. *Anthropocene Review* 3, 2–22
- Szpak, P. 2014. Complexities of nitrogen isotope biogeochemistry in plant-soil systems: Implications for the study of ancient agricultural and animal management practices. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5, 288
- Thornton, B., Martin, G., Procee, M., Miller, D.R., Coull, M., Yao, H., Chapman, S.J., Hudson, G. & Midwood, A.J. 2015. Distributions of carbon and nitrogen isotopes in Scotland's topsoil: A national-scale study. *European Journal of Soil Science* 66, 1002–11
- Treasure, E.R., Church, M.J. & Gröcke, D.R. 2016. The influence of manuring on stable isotopes ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) in Celtic bean (*Vicia faba* L.): Archaeobotanical and palaeodietary implications. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 8, 555–62
- Vaiglova, P., Snoeck, C., Nitsch, E., Bogaard, A. & Lee-Thorp, J.A. 2014. Investigating the most appropriate laboratory pre-treatment method on archaeological charred grain/seed remains for stable isotope analysis ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ). *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 28, 2497–510
- van der Veen, M. 1992. *Crop Husbandry Regimes. An archaeobotanical study of farming in northern England: 1000 BC–AD 500*. Sheffield: Sheffield Archaeological Monographs
- van der Veen, M. 2005. Gardens and fields: The intensity and scale of food production. *World Archaeology* 37, 157–63
- van der Veen, M. & Jones, G. 2006. A re-analysis of agricultural production and consumption: Implications for understanding the British Iron Age. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 15, 217–28
- van der Veen, M. & O'Connor, T. 1998. The expansion of agricultural production in later Iron Age and Roman Britain. In J. Bayley (ed.), *Science in Archaeology: An agenda for the future*, 127–43. London: English Heritage
- Viklund, K. 1998. *Cereals, Weeds and Crop Processing in Iron Age Sweden: Methodological and interpretative aspects of archaeobotanical evidence*. Umeå: University of Umeå
- Wallace, M., Jones, G., Charles, M., Fraser, R., Halstead, P., Heaton, T.H.E. & Bogaard, A. 2013. Stable carbon isotope analysis as a direct means of inferring crop water status and water management practices. *World Archaeology* 45, 388–409
- Willerding, U. 1986. *Zur Geschichte der Unkräuter Mitteleuropas*. Göttinger Schriften zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte. Neumünster: Wachholz

## RÉSUMÉ

*Centralisation et changements à long terme dans les régimes agricoles: Comparaison des pratiques agricoles dans l'Allemagne du sud-ouest au néolithique et à l'âge du fer de Amy Styring, Manfred Rösch, Elisabeth Stephan, Hans-Peter Stika, Elske Fischer, Marion Sillmann & Amy Bogaard*

Cette étude utilise deux techniques archéobotaniques originales, l'analyse d'isotopes de carbone et de nitrogène des cultures et l'écologie fonctionnelle des plantes adventices (messicoles), pour déterminer directement les changements dans l'intensité des pratiques agricoles à partir du néolithique jusqu'au premier âge du fer en Allemagne du sud-ouest, avec l'émergence de camps de sommets de colline fortifiés (*Fürstensitze* ou résidences princières) considérés comme les premiers centres urbains en Europe centrale. Les isotopes de récoltes et les témoignages de l'écologie fonctionnelle des plantes adventices indiquent que les surplus dans la production de céréales au début de l'âge du fer étaient obtenus grâce à l'utilisation soutenue de fumier associée à une expansion des cultures arables, deux développements liés à une utilisation de la traction animale plus étendue. L'échelle accrue des cultures est grosso modo apparente partout, aussi bien dans les centres ruraux que dans les camps fortifiés de sommets de colline au début de l'âge du fer, et la considérable variabilité dans l'intensité du fumage est consistante avec une prise de décision au niveau local plutôt que par un contrôle centralisé. De plus, le fumage plus intensif de l'orge mondé à 6 rangs, utilisé pour la fabrication de bière, démontre que l'importance politique de boire et de festoyer dans la société du début de l'âge du fer se reflétait dans les pratiques de cultures céréalières. En terme d'élevage les données d'isotope faunique révèlent une diminution radicale de la couverture forestière, ce qui



reflète peut-être une expansion dans l'échelle des troupeaux accompagnant celle de la culture de céréales. Des configurations spécifiques au site indiquent une gamme de stratégies de mise en troupeaux, allant du troupeau de bétail spécialisé à Heuneburg à des configurations généralisées de gestion du cheptel dans les sites ruraux.

### ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

*Praxis im neolithischen und eisenzeitlichen Südwestdeutschland*, von Amy Styring, Manfred Rösch, Elisabeth Stephan, Hans-Peter Stika, Elske Fischer, Marion Sillmann und Amy Bogaard

Diese Untersuchung wendet zwei neuartige archäobotanische Techniken an – Analysen von Kohlenstoff- und Stickstoffisotopen in Getreide und funktionelle Unkraut-Ökologie – um unmittelbar zu bestimmen, wie sich die Intensität landwirtschaftlicher Praxis veränderte vom Neolithikum zur frühen Eisenzeit in Südwestdeutschland mit der Entstehung befestigter Höhensiedlungen („Fürstensitze“), die als erste urbane Zentren in Mitteleuropa verstanden werden. Die Daten von Getreide-Isotopen und funktioneller Unkraut-Ökologie lassen erkennen, dass eine Überschussproduktion von Getreide in der frühen Eisenzeit durch die nachhaltige Nutzung von Dünger in Kombination mit der Ausweitung der landwirtschaftlich nutzbaren Flächen erreicht wurde; beides sind Entwicklungen, die mit der ausgedehnten Nutzung der Zugkraft von Tieren in Verbindung stehen. Der intensivere Grad der Landnutzung zeigt sich weitgehend sowohl in ländlichen als auch befestigten Höhensiedlungen der frühen Eisenzeit, und das beträchtliche Maß an Variabilität in der Intensität der Düngung spricht eher für landwirtschaftliche Entscheidungsfindung auf lokaler Ebene als durch zentralisierte Kontrolle. Darüber hinaus demonstriert die intensivere Düngung von bespelzter Sechszeliger Gerste, die für die Herstellung von Bier verwendet wurde, dass sich die politische Bedeutung von Trinken und Feasting in der früheisenzeitlichen Gesellschaft in den Anbaupraktiken von Getreide widerspiegelte. Im Zusammenhang mit der Viehhaltung zeigen Isotopenuntersuchungen an Tierknochen eine deutliche Abnahme der Waldflächen, was möglicherweise eine Ausweitung der Weidewirtschaft, zusätzlich zur Intensivierung der Landwirtschaft, widerspiegelt. Unterschiede von Fundort zu Fundort deuten an, dass es eine Reihe von Strategien der Viehhaltung gab, von der spezialisierten Zucht von Rindern an der Heuneburg zu allgemeinen Systemen der Viehwirtschaft auf ländlichen Siedlungen.

### RESUMEN

*Centralización y cambios a largo plazo en regímenes agrarios: comparando prácticas agrícolas entre el Neolítico y la Edad del Hierro en el suroeste de Alemania*, por Amy Styring, Manfred Rösch, Elisabeth Stephan, Hans-Peter Stika, Elske Fischer, Marion Sillmann y Amy Bogaard

Este estudio emplea dos novedosas técnicas arqueobotánicas – análisis isotópico del carbono y nitrógeno de cultivos y la ecología funcional de las malas hierbas – para determinar directamente en qué medida cambia la intensidad de las prácticas agrícolas desde el Neolítico a los inicios de la Edad del Hierro en el sureste de Alemania, con la aparición de los asentamientos fortificados en altura (*Fürstensitze* o centros de jefaturas) considerados como los primeros centros urbanos de centroeuropa. El análisis isotópico de cultivos y la ecología funcional de las malas hierbas sugiere que el excedente de la producción cerealística en los inicios de la Edad del Hierro se alcanzó gracias al uso prolongado de los abonos, combinado con una expansión de la superficie cultivable, ambos vinculados con un mayor uso de la tracción animal. El incremento en la escala de los cultivos es evidente tanto en las zonas rurales como en los asentamientos fortificados en altura durante los inicios de la Edad del Hierro y la variabilidad en la intensidad de los abonos está más relacionada con un ámbito de decisión local en los entornos agrícolas que con una gestión centralizada. Adicionalmente, el abono intensivo de la cebada desnuda de espiga en seis hileras, empleada en la producción de cerveza, demuestra que la importancia política de la bebida y comensalidad en la sociedad de los inicios de la Edad del Hierro tuvo un claro reflejo en las prácticas agrícolas. En términos de ganadería, los isótopos realizados sobre los restos faunísticos revelan una reducción radical de la cubierta forestal, reflejando el potencial aumento de la cabaña ganadera en paralelo al de la superficie cultivable. Los patrones específicos de los asentamientos reflejan una gradación en la gestión de la cabaña ganadera, desde un pastoreo especializado en el Heuneburg a patrones más generales en los ámbitos rurales.