www.cambridge.org/wat

# Review

Cite this article: van Thienen P, ter Maat H and Stofberg S (2025). Climate tipping points and their potential impact on drinking water supply planning and management in Europe. Cambridge Prisms: Water, 3, e3, 1–21 <https://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14>

Received: 05 February 2024 Revised: 15 August 2024 Accepted: 13 November 2024

#### Keywords:

climate tipping points; drinking water supply planning; drinking water supply management

Corresponding author: Peter van Thienen; Email: [peter.van.thienen@kwrwater.nl](mailto:peter.van.thienen@kwrwater.nl)

# Climate tipping points and their potential impact on drinking water supply planning and management in Europe

# Peter van Thienen **D**, Herbert ter Maat and Sija Stofberg

Hydroinformatics Team, KWR Water Research Institute, Nieuwegein, Netherlands; Deventer Municipality, CIO Office – GEO Department, KWR Water Research Institute, Nieuwegein, Netherlands and Geohydrology Team, KWR Water Research Institute, Nieuwegein, Netherlands

# Abstract

The current generation of climate models has proven very helpful in understanding and projecting anthropogenic climate change but has also shown to be insufficient for studying the interactions of tipping elements and their impact on overall climate stability. As a consequence, tipping elements are mostly absent from climate projections that are commonly used by the drinking water industry to test the resilience of their systems. There is, however, mounting evidence for the existence and potential (possibly even imminent) activation of some of these tipping elements. The drinking water sector is, by necessity, slow-moving as its infrastructure is meant to operate for many decades and in practice often does so even longer. The time scales of possible changes associated with tipping element activations may, however, be much shorter. We provide a review of the current understanding of climate tipping elements and present a simple model that investigates potential magnitudes and time scales of rapid climate change associated with tipping element activations. We study the potential consequences for drinking water supply systems, focusing on Europe, and argue that given the associated deep uncertainty and farreaching consequences, it is essential to include tipping scenarios in the decision-making processes in the drinking water sector.

# Impact statement

The current generation of climate models has been helpful in understanding human-induced climate change, but they fall short in examining the interactions of climate tipping elements and their impact on overall climate stability. This gap in knowledge means that commonly used climate projections in the drinking water industry used to consider the resilience of their systems do not adequately represent potential tipping element activations. Nevertheless, recent evidence suggests the existence and possible imminent activation of some of these tipping elements. While the drinking water sector operates on long time scales, the potential changes associated with tipping elements can happen much more quickly. This article underlines the importance of taking potential tipping element activations into consideration in the longer-term planning and management of drinking water infrastructure. When one does so, a broader range of plausible climate scenarios comes into view. This demands an even greater system resilience, potentially a broader portfolio of adaptation measures, and greater flexibility in their implementation.

# Introduction

## **Context**

The scenarios for our changing climate are generated by the scientific community and included in IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) reports and their regional and national derivatives. These scenarios provide a very valuable resource for water utilities worldwide that need to prepare themselves. The importance of considering climate change scenarios for water utilities has long been recognized (e.g., Danilenko et al. [2010\)](#page-17-0) and various utilities around the world are doing so (e.g., Howard et al. [2016](#page-18-0); Rickert et al. [2019](#page-19-0)). Still, awareness of climate hazards risks may vary among utilities (e.g., Lyle et al. [2023\)](#page-19-1). Indeed, with long lead times for developing new water sources and very long lifetimes for infrastructure, many water utilities are accustomed to looking a long way ahead. This is crucial for being able to continue providing their essential services in the future. Significant and rapid deviations from these climate scenarios, which by themselves already embody a certain degree of uncertainty, are, however, possible. This is true in particular when climate tipping points are surpassed and associated tipping elements are activated that are not included in and/or emerging from coupled global circulation/ocean and Earth system models. A tipping point can be defined as "a critical threshold at which a tiny

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence ([http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



perturbation can qualitatively alter the state or development of a system"; with tipping elements, we mean the associated large-scale components of the Earth system (Lenton et al. [2008\)](#page-19-2). There is a broad scientific consensus on the causes, processes and general consequences of anthropogenic climate change. There is much less consensus on the importance and potential consequences of tipping elements for Earths' climate. The current generation of state-of-theart models has been reported to be insufficient for studying the interactions of tipping elements and their impact on the overall stability of our climate (Wunderling et al. [2021](#page-20-0); Hewitt et al. [2022;](#page-18-1) McCarthy et al. [2023\)](#page-19-3), even though their activation does sometimes emerge or can be forced (e.g. Drijfhout [2015](#page-18-2); Drijfhout et al. [2015;](#page-18-2) Jackson et al. [2015,](#page-18-3) [2023;](#page-18-4) Bellomo et al. [2023](#page-17-1)). Paleoclimate proxies do, however, provide ample evidence for the repeated incidence of rapid climate change in Earth's past (e.g. Alley et al. [2003\)](#page-17-2) that may be associated with tipping point activations (Brovkin et al. [2021;](#page-17-3) Boers et al. [2022;](#page-17-4) Weldeab et al. [2022](#page-20-1)). Collins et al. [\(2019\)](#page-17-5) write that "it is difficult to assess the probability of occurrence of abrupt climate events [but] they are physically plausible events that could cause large impacts on ecosystems and societies and may be irreversible." We thus need to acknowledge that there remains considerable uncertainty about climate tipping points and whether tipping elements will actually be activated. Nevertheless, the plausibility of such scenarios needs to be acknowledged as well. As such, climate tipping points form a hidden, largely underinvestigated and perhaps underestimated risk for the water sector.

Recognizing the risks of climate tipping points means that the water industry must (1) identify key tipping points that should be monitored, (2) understand what magnitude of change in the water system and the effects on infrastructure and processes associated with the possible activation of these tipping elements can be expected and (3) understand what timescales these would allow water utilities to act and adapt.

Water utilities worldwide use (model-based) climate scenarios to look at potential impacts to their water supplies, assets and infrastructure (EPA [2011;](#page-18-5) Heyn et al. [2015\)](#page-18-6). Drought, flooding and sea-level rise and storm surge risks are taken into account in their long-term water supply and systems planning. Heyn et al. ([2015](#page-18-6)) write that all utilities identified climate change as a future threat to some part of their system and several acknowledged a range of plausible future conditions. Lyle et al. ([2023\)](#page-19-1) note that many case studies focus on mitigating hydrologic changes to water resources but not so much on climate change effects on business functions and operations.

# Objective and structure

The objective of this article is to assess the possibility of tipping elements or tipping cascades leading to climate trajectories that diverge significantly from those that are used worldwide by the water sector for long-term planning and to discuss their possible implications for drinking water provision. We approach this by:

- 1. introducing and discussing tippling points, their significance for the accuracy of climate predictions and the potential impact on the drinking water sector resilience;
- 2. providing an overview of climate model deficiencies in representing tipping elements and potential consequences;
- 3. presenting implications for the drinking water sector;
- 4. presenting a methodology of identifying the most critical tipping elements and the analysis done in this article, for creating proximate plausible temperature and tipping element

activation trajectories and for investigating the climate effects of an activation of the most critical tipping element for Europe;

- 5. providing the results of these analyses;
- 6. discussing the results, implications, context and limitations and providing conclusions.

Because tipping points and elements are beyond the field of view because upping points and elements are beyond the held of view<br>of most water sector academics and professionals, and because a<br>significant amount of research has been done in this field in<br>recent years, we have chosen to p significant amount of research has been done in this field in recent years, we have chosen to provide a relatively comprehenfollowing steps and allows the reader to better gauge the potential relevance and uncertainties of the material presented in the rest of the article.

# Literature review

# Climate tipping points and elements

Though still incomplete and rife with uncertainties, our understanding of tipping points and elements has significantly grown over the past one-and-a-half decade (Lenton et al. [2023,](#page-19-4) provide the most up-to-date overview). A quantitative review was provided by Armstrong McKay et al. [\(2022](#page-17-6)). Their overview of tipping elements, threshold values, timescales and impacts is shown in [Figure 1.](#page-12-0) The values in the figure are derived from a wide range of studies, including paleoclimate proxies, present-day observations and modeling studies (for details, see the [Supplementary Material](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14) of Armstrong McKay et al. ([2022\)](#page-17-6). Magnitudes of temperature changes are reported both on a global scale (left part of boxes) and a regional scale (where the tipping element is operating; right part of boxes), following Armstrong McKay et al. ([2022\)](#page-17-6).

# Incorporation and/or resolution of tipping elements in earth system models

Subsequent generations of coupled global circulation/ocean and Earth system models culminating in the present-day ones have contributed significantly to our understanding of the responses of the atmosphere, oceans and cryosphere to the new forcings that mankind has been exposing them to. This is truly a remarkable feat. However, the resolution of the current generation of coupled global circulation/ocean and Earth system models may be insufficient to fully resolve relevant processes for tipping point activation. Furthermore, not all relevant processes may be modeled explicitly or parameterized to a sufficient degree to capture tipping points and their behavior. The climate modeling community generally acknowledges these limitations (as discussed above, and see also IPCC [2021b,](#page-18-5) Box 1.1 and Box 12.1) – indeed, their descriptions form the basis of our analysis here. A lot of attention is paid to the communication of uncertainty in, e.g., IPCC reports, and the need for alternative or additional approaches such as event-based storylines (Shepherd et al. [2018](#page-19-5); Sillmann et al. [2021](#page-19-6)). Despite the awareness of uncertainty associated with those climate models, user communities, including those in the water sector, lack understanding of how much the models are uncertain or might be different from the climate evolution.

[Table 1](#page-3-0) provides an overview of the literature analysis of the confidence levels that individual tipping processes are adequately included in or emerging from (1) the current generation of ESM for all known global core tipping points and (2) threshold-free nonlinear feedbacks (regional tipping elements not included). Also





Figure 1. Overview of tipping elements discussed by Armstrong McKay et al. ([2022](#page-17-6)) and estimated threshold values (horizontal axes), timescales (vertical axes) and impact magnitudes (colors, left half of boxes: global, right half of boxes: regional), based on numbers assembled by Armstrong McKay et al. [\(2022\)](#page-17-6). Uniform filling indicates explicitly stated range boundary values; gradient fill indicates uncertainty on the range boundary. For parameter boundary values for which Armstrong McKay et al. provide a range, the mean of this<br>range is shown. For parameters for which a range is shown. For parameters for which an increase per <sup>o</sup>C temperature increase is given, we assume an increase of 2°C. For parameters for which no numerical value is given for the impact, a 0°C change is shown. Note that EAIS is truncated at the right upper bound and that element labels may be located anywhere close to the center of a box (positions (abrupt) loss;  $\mathfrak{m}$  abrupt thaw;  $\blacktriangle$  gradual thaw;  $\varnothing$  northern expansion, greening;  $\triangle$  southern dieback;  $\blacktriangle$  weakening; ø dissociation.

included is the evaluation of the current level of scientific understanding and predictability of models for 10 proposed tipping elements included in the review by Wang et al. ([2023\)](#page-20-2). A general conclusion from this analysis is that there are significant limitations to how the listed elements can be incorporated into the climate models or could emerge from modeling exercises, which warrants their further consideration in addition to current ESM climate

predictions by practitioners that base strategic decisions on climate projections.

## Coupling of tipping elements

The literature reports on coupling between multiple tipping elements. There are multiple mechanisms for this. The most general is <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1. Estimates of the confidence that tipping elements are fully represented in Earth system model results, either by including the physics or a parameterization of the processes involved, or emerging from the simulations, for the global core tipping elements and threshold-free non-linear elements. A substantiation of the author's evaluation is provided in the [Supplementary Material](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14)



<span id="page-3-1"></span><sup>a</sup> Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets jointly considered by Wang et al. ([2023](#page-20-2)).

<span id="page-3-2"></span><sup>b</sup>Generic category Permafrost carbon release in Wang et al. [\(2023\)](#page-20-2).

through the global temperature effect of a tipping element. This, and the resulting potential for tipping point cascades, will be discussed in more detail below. This section discusses more direct couplings between tipping elements that have been identified in the literature.

Hu and Fedorov ([2019](#page-18-7)) showed that warming of the Indian Ocean helps to maintain the AMOC by affecting salinity in the Atlantic (through rainfall) and westerly winds over the subpolar North Atlantic, thus potentially delaying an AMOC slowdown.

Connections between the AMOC, Labrador Sea (LABC) and Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) tipping elements have received much attention in the literature, but consensus on the direction and magnitude is yet to emerge. Menary et al. ([2020](#page-19-7)) demonstrate by numerical modeling that the importance of the Labrador Sea (LABC) in the dynamics of the AMOC has been overestimated so far and that overturning in the eastern subpolar gyre is more prominent than that in the western. Tagklis et al. ([2020\)](#page-20-3) show the opposite, writing that "submesoscale circulations modify and control the Labrador Sea contribution to the global meridional overturning." This indicates that changes in the Labrador Sea convection may spill over into the AMOC. Similarly, Böning et al. ([2023\)](#page-17-7) find suggestions of "a dominant influence on the AMOC by large Labrador Sea buoyancy forcing anomalies on multi-decadal time scales." The latter two studies, which are based on model simulations, link the LABC and AMOC tipping elements. Also, the Greenland Ice Sheet tipping element has a direct influence on the AMOC, since its melting releases freshwater into the North Atlantic that may contribute to the latter's halting (Boers and Rypdal [2021\)](#page-17-8). Contrastingly, He and Clark ([2022](#page-18-8)) find that the freshwater forcing of the AMOC has been halting (Boers and Rypdal 2021). Contrastingly, He and Clark<br>(2022) find that the freshwater forcing of the AMOC has been<br>overestimated and that an ocean–atmosphere climate model with muted AMOC response to this shows "a better agreement between simulated and proxy temperatures of the past 21,000 years."

Other connections that are described in the literature are those between AMOC and MMHD (marine methane hydrates) and between the Amazon Rainforest (AMAZ) and the West Antarctic ice sheet (WAIS). Weldeab et al. ([2022](#page-20-1)) find evidence for largescale methane hydrate dissociation in the Atlantic at low latitudes between AMOC and MMHD (marine inethane hydrates) and<br>between the Amazon Rainforest (AMAZ) and the West Antarctic<br>ice sheet (WAIS). Weldeab et al. (2022) find evidence for large-<br>scale methane hydrate dissociation in the At 125,000 years BP), which they ascribe to the warming of intermediate waters through a reduced influx of cold water from the north by weakening of the AMOC. This provides a link between the AMOC and MMHD (marine methane hydrates) elements. Interactions between tipping elements tend to destabilize them and may shift critical threshold values toward lower values by acting as cascade triggers (Wunderling et al. [2021](#page-20-0); Wang et al. [2023](#page-20-2)). Liu et al. ([2023\)](#page-19-8) study the connections between tipping elements in the Earth system. They find that "the [Amazon Rainforest Area (ARA)] exhibits strong correlations with regions such as the Tibetan Plateau (TP) and WAIS. Models show that the identified teleconnection propagation path between the ARA and the TP is robust under climate change." These authors also remark that snow cover extent on the Tibetan Plateau has been losing stability since 2008, and show that "various climate extremes between the ARA and the TP are synchronized under climate change."

## Evidence for activation of tipping elements in Earth's past

Several authors describe evidence for the activation of tipping elements in the late Pleistocene and Holocene. Brovkin et al. ([2021\)](#page-17-3) provide a review of abrupt changes and tipping points in Earth's recent history (the past 30,000 years) and show how their impacts cascaded through the Earth system. They write that several "abrupt climate changes were associated with substantial changes in the AMOC"related to cryosphere-ocean interactions. Other abrupt changes are related to ice sheet melt, sea level rise, ocean warming and shifts in monsoonal rainfall belts. They show how these changes influenced human civilizations by their strong impacts on ecosystems.

Boers et al. [\(2022](#page-17-4)) review the proxy evidence for past abrupt climate transitions. They describe a well-documented seesaw pattern generated by the interplay of sea ice, atmospheric dynamics and the AMOC. In particular, the so-called Dansgaard-Oeschger events exhibiting 16°C warming in Greenland over mere years are good examples that, although we are not currently in a glacial period, may be quite relevant examples because similar mechanisms may be triggered by anthropogenic heating (Boers et al. [2022](#page-17-4)). Other examples that they describe include so-called Bond events, such as the desertification of the previously green Sahara around 5.9 kyr before present. They also identify some candidates for future abrupt transitions in response to ongoing anthropogenic forcing: the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, the AMOC and the tropical monsoon system.

As described in the previous section, Weldeab et al. ([2022\)](#page-20-1) find (126,000–125,000 years BP). evidence for large-scale methane hydrate dissociation in the Atlantic at low latitudes during the penultimate interglacial warming

Evidence has also been presented for delays in tipping element activation. Kim et al. [\(2022](#page-18-9)) note that a lag has been observed in the response of the AMOC to the meltwater pulse 1A (MWP-1A,  $~14,000$  years ago) of about 1,000 years. They explain this by the so-called slow passage effect, i.e. a tipping point overshoot due to a time-varying forcing and demonstrate by a numerical model that this also makes the transition less abrupt. However, they also note that a different type of tipping, so-called rate-induced tipping, which is advanced rather than delayed, may also be possible (Ashwin et al. [2012](#page-17-9)). This type of behavior is described by Lohman and Ditlevsen [\(2021](#page-19-9)).

# Observations indicative of possible imminent activation of tipping elements

Several authors investigate and interpret observations that relate to the AMOC. Smeed et al. [\(2018\)](#page-19-10) report that "the AMOC has been in a state of reduced overturning since mid-2008" with a decreased magnitude that is similar to what had been predicted by climate models. Numerical simulations by Peng et al. [\(2022](#page-19-11)), which force a general circulation model with CMIP6 ensemble mean changes in wind, sea surface temperature and salinity reproduce this slowdown, while observing an acceleration for most of the oceans' surface flows.

Weijer et al. [\(2019\)](#page-20-4) reviewed all relevant work that had been done up to that point on the stability of the AMOC to conclude that it cannot be ruled out that "the AMOC in our current climate is in, or close to, a regime of multiple equilibria." They also note that there remains considerable uncertainty as to the AMOC's position in relation to possible thresholds.

Early-warning signals for tipping of a system to a different state can be found in an increase in variance and autocorrelation of relevant signals. Ditlevsen and Ditlevsen ([2023](#page-17-10)) have analyzed these for the AMOC and investigated their statistical significance, concluding that a complete collapse of the AMOC is likely to take place halfway through the 21st century (95% confidence interval 2025– 2095). However, Lohman et al. [\(2023](#page-19-12)) show using a primitiveequation global ocean model that the transition from a fully operating to a collapsed state of the AMOC may involve switching between multiple stable circulations states through intermediate tipping points (ITP). This makes its prediction more difficult.

Van Westen et al. ([2024\)](#page-20-5) warn that the purely statistical approach that is followed by Ditlevsen and Ditlevsen ([2023\)](#page-17-10) to predict an AMOC shutdown hinges on several of assumptions and that a more physics-based indicator would be more meaningful. They propose to use the minimum of the AMOC-induced freshwater transport at 35°S in the Atlantic (FovS) and note that this parameter is currently dropping, suggesting that "the AMOC is on route towards tipping."

Other tipping elements for which observational evidence points to a possible imminent activation and the Greenland Ice Sheet and the Amazon Rainforest. Boers and Rypdal [\(2021](#page-17-8)) investigated longterm melt rate and ice sheet height reconstructions from the central-western GrIS in combination with model simulations to quantify the stability of this part of the GrIS. "[They] reveal significant early-warning signals (EWS) indicating that the centralwestern GrIS is close to a critical transition … and suggest substanwestern GrIS is close to a critical transition ... and suggest substantial further GrIS mass loss in the near future and call for urgent, observation-constrained stability assessments of other parts of the GrIS. Boulton et observation-constrained stability assessments of other parts of the GrIS. Boulton et al. ([2022\)](#page-17-11) studied the loss of vegetation optical resilience indicators, they determine that the Amazon rainforest has been losing resilience since the early 2000s. They note that this is consistent with an approach to a critical transition. This is supported by a more extensive global analysis by Smith et al. [\(2022](#page-20-6)).

# Modeling results indicative of possible imminent activation of tipping elements

Additional lines of evidence for the possibility of imminent tipping element activation come from numerical modeling studies. Deutloff et al. ([2023\)](#page-17-12) "investigate the probabilities of triggering climate tipping points under various shared socioeconomic pathways (SSPs), and how they are altered by including the additional carbon emissions that could arise from tipping points within the Earth's carbon cycle." They apply a probabilistic approach in a Monte Carlo framework, together with a zero-dimensional (0D) reduced complexity climate model FaIR (Leach et al. [2021](#page-19-13)) and a conceptual carbon tipping element model. They find that even under the low emissions SSP1–1.9 scenario, no less than five tipping elements are more likely than not to be activated by 2031. Similarly, Rao et al. ([2023\)](#page-19-9) observe that "under high emission trajectories we may approach an abrupt ecological tipping point in southern boreal Eurasian forests substantially sooner than ESM estimates that do not consider plant thermal tolerance traits."

Jackson et al. [\(2023](#page-18-4)) have outlined an experimental protocol to investigate mechanisms of AMOC weakening and recovery across multiple climate models, providing some of the most recent numerical results that we have identified. They find that hosing (i.e. adding freshwater to the North Atlantic in numerical simulations) results in a weakening of the AMOC, which recovers in about half of the

models when the hosing is stopped, but stays weakened in the other half. Mecking and Drijfhout ([2023](#page-19-14)) show that projected reductions in heat transport by ocean circulation are significantly larger in current generation CMIP6 models than in the previous generation CMIP5 models, citing a factor 2.5 difference for the Atlantic Ocean inal. Mecking and Drijmout (2025) show<br>in heat transport by ocean circulation<br>current generation CMIP6 models than<br>CMIP5 models, citing a factor 2.5 differe<br>in a weaker forcing scenario (SSP1–2.6).

Lohman and Ditlevsen [\(2021](#page-19-9)) warn that transitions to a new state in a bifurcation tipping point can even occur at smallamplitude forcing if the rate of change is fast enough. They show, using global ocean model simulations, that an AMOC collapse can be triggered in this way. Wunderling et al. [\(2023](#page-20-7)) show that also temporary overshoots of mean global surface temperature targets significantly increase the risk of activating tipping elements.

# Tipping cascades

The activation of a tipping element that has a positive effect on the mean global surface temperature may cause the activation of a second tipping element if this has a threshold temperature that is being crossed by the temperature effect of the first one, leading to a tipping cascade. Klose et al. [\(2021\)](#page-18-8) review the literature and climate tipping point cascades and conclude that the use of terminology varies among different publications. In this publication, we adhere to their definitions. They distinguish three kinds of cascades: (1) two-phase cascades, which are characterized by an intermediate stable state in which the first tipping element has been activated but the second is not, requiring a further increase of the control parameter for activation; (2) domino cascades, in which the tipping of the first element directly results in the tipping of the second element without further external forcing and (3) joint cascades, in which the two tipping elements are activated simultaneously. They study the dynamics of these cascades using and expanding an approach that was described by Dekker et al. ([2018\)](#page-17-13). Tipping cascades are often considered to lead to a runaway effects. However, this is not necessarily the case. Gaucherel and Moron ([2017\)](#page-18-10) used graph grammar models to study the interaction between nine tipping elements in Earth's climate. They concluded that rather than causing a destabilization or runaway effect, the interactions between multiple tipping points seem to result in a stabilization of the system instead.

Using Monte Carlo simulations in a conceptual network approach, Wunderling et al. [\(2021](#page-20-0)) show that the polar ice sheets on Greenland and West Antarctica are often the initiators of tipping cascades, whereas the AMOC acts as a mediator that transmits cascades, connecting Earth's two hemispheres and having two-way interactions with the other tipping elements.

In their review of tipping elements and mechanisms, on the other hand, Wang et al. ([2023\)](#page-20-2) surmise that our current scientific understanding of tipping cascades and their model predictability are low. Nevertheless, they conclude that the effects of tipping element activations are likely to be secondary to human-induced global warming. Furthermore, "[it] thus seems unlikely that this additional warming is sufficient to self-perpetuate global-scale climate change independent of the human emissions pathway" over the coming centuries.

A mutual interaction and cascade have been described for the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) and the AMOC: ice loss of the GrIS works toward destabilization of the AMOC through its freshwater influx, but AMOC weakening results in regional cooling which stabilizes the GrIS. Klose et al. ([2024](#page-18-11)) find that two types of cascades are possible: (1) an overshoot tipping cascade in which both elements tip and (2) a rate-induced tipping cascade where the AMOC tips due to fast ice-loss of the GrIS despite not having passed its tipping point. They conclude that there is an upper bound to safe rates of environmental change for preventing tipping cascades.

# Consequences of tipping element activations for drinking water

## Overview of potential effects

In addition to global warming by greenhouse gas accumulation, climate tipping elements may introduce or aggravate multiple climate hazards. In turn, these climate hazards may affect multiple aspects of drinking water provision. An overview of these effects, on a global and a regional scale, and possible mitigating measures is provided in [Table 2](#page-6-0). Selected aspects are discussed in more detail for Europe, which is the focus area of this study, in the following paragraphs. [Table 2](#page-6-0) also clearly illustrates the interrelatedness between climate related and other environmental challenges, in particular those related to water pollution. Mitigation measures that address multiple of these issues at the same time are, if available, therefore preferred (Stofberg et al. [2023](#page-20-8)).

#### Availability and quality of raw water

Reduced (seasonal) precipitation has been predicted for parts of Europe and has already been observed (EEA [2021\)](#page-18-12). In combination with increased potential evapotranspiration that results from increased temperature, the precipitation surplus may decrease overall or seasonally.

Depending on the temporal patterns, in combination with landscape characteristics (response time), groundwater levels may be affected (Mens et al. [2020](#page-19-15); Riedel and Weber [2020;](#page-19-16) Van Huijgevoort et al. [2020\)](#page-20-9). Even though lower groundwater levels would generally not lead to depletion of aquifers, they would make the surroundings of groundwater abstraction wells more vulnerable to the effects of such abstractions.

Depending on the type of river (rain- or glacier-fed) and the precipitation and evaporation changes within drainage basins, river discharge patterns are affected (Lobanova [2018\)](#page-19-17). Sperna Weiland et al. ([2021](#page-19-18)) combine CORDEX regionally downscaled climate models with three different hydrological models and apply these to seven European river basins. In line with earlier predictions and these observations, they project likely river discharge decreases in southern Europa, with increases being more likely in northern Europe. For rivers such as the Rhine and Danube, an increase of winter discharge and a decrease of summer discharge is predicted (Buitink et al. [2021;](#page-17-14) Probst and Mauser [2023\)](#page-19-19).

The reduced availability of surface water may affect water quality through the increase in the concentration of contaminants as well as salinization in coastal regions (Sjerps et al. [2017](#page-19-20); van Vliet et al. [2011](#page-20-7); van Vliet and Zwolsman [2008](#page-20-10); Zwolsman and van Bokhoven [2007;](#page-20-11) Zwolsman and Becker [2012;](#page-20-12) Sheahan et al. [2013;](#page-19-21) Viaroli et al. [2013](#page-20-13)). Surface water abstraction locations may be affected by biological effects as well, such as overgrowth by (bluegreen) algae or dreissenid mussels (Fernald et al. [2007\)](#page-18-13).

However, also groundwater quality may be affected. Uhl et al. ([2022](#page-20-14)) describe how reduced precipitation may cause streams to change from gaining (i.e., being partially fed by groundwater) to losing (i.e., partially feeding groundwater). This would provide a mechanism to introduce surface water contaminants into the groundwater on a large scale. Groundwater quality may also be affected more directly (Nistor [2020\)](#page-19-22), for example, by changes in

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 2. Overview of climate hazards, potentially responsible mechanisms, direct effects and possible mitigating actions for water abstraction, treatment and distribution, in comparison to present-day conditions



<span id="page-6-24"></span><span id="page-6-23"></span><span id="page-6-22"></span><span id="page-6-21"></span><span id="page-6-20"></span><span id="page-6-19"></span><span id="page-6-18"></span><span id="page-6-17"></span><span id="page-6-16"></span><span id="page-6-15"></span><span id="page-6-14"></span><span id="page-6-13"></span><span id="page-6-12"></span><span id="page-6-11"></span><span id="page-6-10"></span><span id="page-6-9"></span><span id="page-6-8"></span><span id="page-6-7"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span><span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span><sup>a</sup>Van Westen et al. [\(2024](#page-20-5)).<br><sup>b</sup>Sgubin et al. (2017). <sup>b</sup>Sgubin et al. ([2017\)](#page-19-23).  $c$ Bellomo et al [\(2023](#page-17-1)). dOrihuelo-Pinto et al. ([2022](#page-19-13)).  $e^{\text{e}}$ Myhre et al. [\(2019\)](#page-19-24).  $f$ Lyle et al. ([2023](#page-19-1)).  $W$ ols and Van Thienen ([2016\)](#page-20-15).  $h$ Uhl et al. [\(2022\)](#page-20-14). <sup>i</sup>Sjerps et al. [\(2017\)](#page-19-20). <sup>J</sup>Armstrong McKay et al. [\(2022\)](#page-17-6).  $k$ IPCC [\(2022\)](#page-18-14).<br><sup>l</sup>Mens et al. (2020). <sup>m</sup>Agudelo Vera et al. ([2020](#page-19-15)). <sup>n</sup>Valdivia-Garcia et al. [\(2019](#page-20-16)). <sup>o</sup>Gobler ([2020\)](#page-18-15). PKraemer et al. [\(2023\)](#page-18-16). <sup>q</sup>Vahala [\(2016\)](#page-20-17).  $García-Ruiz$  ([2023](#page-18-17)). <sup>s</sup>Barrette and Lindenschmidt [\(2023\)](#page-17-16).  $t$ Casselgren et al. [\(2015\)](#page-17-17). "Daly and Barrette ([2023](#page-17-18)).<br>"Bruaset and Sægrov (2018).  $W$ Semadeni-Davies et al. [\(2008](#page-19-1)).<br><sup>x</sup>Ramos et al. (2022). <sup>x</sup>Ramos et al. [\(2022\)](#page-19-12).<br><sup>y</sup>Stofberg et al. ([2023](#page-20-8)).<br><sup>z</sup> Jones et al. (2020).  $z^2$ Jones et al. [\(2020](#page-18-18)).<br><sup>aa</sup>Paul et al. [\(2022](#page-19-25)).

land use, aboveground activities (e.g. pesticide use, Delcour et al. [2015\)](#page-17-20), soil biological activity (Jansson and Hofmockel [2020](#page-18-19)) and salinization in coastal regions (Oude Essink et al. [2010](#page-19-26)).

Furthermore, sudden events like extreme precipitation events, and wildfires, may lead to contamination of both groundwater wells and surface water basins as well (Hohner et al. [2019\)](#page-18-20). In case of scenarios that exhibit (regional) drying and cooliong, the cooling may mitigate the effects of drought slightly, as evapotranspiration would probably decrease as a result of lower temperatures. Furthermore, lower temperatures may lead to an increase in snow and ice formation, which may act as seasonal storage of fresh water (e.g. in glaciers that feed rivers). However, drought could still lead to problems for drinking water abstraction and infrastructure, similar to the scenarios that exhibit warming and drying. The decreasing temperatures could potentially lead to new problems as well, such as the formation of (frazil) ice in regions where intake structures and are not adapted to such phenomena.

#### Treatment of water

In general, an increase of temperature results in more efficient (biological and physico-chemical) water treatment processes, with some exceptions (e.g. faster fouling of membranes requiring more frequent flushing). However, extreme rainfall events may require changes in plant operation to ensure the required water quality (Verlicchi et al. [2024\)](#page-20-6). Climate warming may also lead to an increase in the formation of trihalomethanes as disinfection byproducts, as was shown and discussed for Scotland by Valdivia-Garcia et al. [\(2019](#page-20-16)).

Vahala ([2016\)](#page-20-17) gives an overview of the characteristics of drinking water production and distribution in cold climates. His findings can be summarized as follows. Lower temperatures cause the optimum pH for the coagulation to increase and the rate of the chemical reactions to decrease. This may result in longer mixing times needed and the use of alternative coagulants, which may be less effective. Also, several aspects of flocculation are affected by low temperatures (Fitzpatrick et al. [2004;](#page-18-21) Xiao et al. [2009](#page-20-18)), resulting in smaller, more irregular and less compact flocs. This can be counteracted by selecting an appropriate coagulant (preferably ironbased) and increasing the mixing intensity. The clarification process is mostly affected by the temperature dependence of water viscosity, which results in lower sedimentation rates. Larger basins and/or lamellae may be needed, and plant and process design may need modification. The higher viscosity at lower temperatures also results in a decrease in the filtration rate of sand filters, but also a reduced backwash water quantity requirement because a lower flow rate is needed to fluidize the bed, and lower temperatures result in lower rates of activity for biological treatment steps. Adsorption generally increases with decreasing temperature, improving removal. Finally, for membrane filtration, the decrease of permeability with temperature results in better NOM removal, and a specific design may be needed for the lowest temperatures.

#### **Distribution**

Increased temperatures may negatively affect drinking water as it is distributed, as a result of physical, chemical and biological processes. Drinking water distribution pipes may heat up as a result of increased soil temperature, especially in urban environments. Deeper pipe burial, increased soil moisture and general measures against urban heat island effects (such as tree cover, shade, etc.) may mitigate these effects (Agudelo-Vera et al. [2020](#page-17-15)).

To prevent freezing in the cold season, pipes are usually installed at greater depths at higher latitudes. For example, whereas a depth of 1 m is the common practice in the Netherlands, Finland installs its drinking water pipes at 1.8 m depth in the south and at 2.6 m in the north of the country. Alternatively/additionally, insulation and/or heating may be applied (Vahala [2016](#page-20-17)).

Failure rates of drinking water pipes increase markedly for lower surface temperatures (Bruaset and Sægrov [2018](#page-17-19)), in particular for PVC and gray cast iron pipes, the latter by as much as a factor 4 (Wols and Van Thienen [2016](#page-20-15)). Warming, on the other hand, leads to an increase in the failure rate of AC pipes (Wols and Van Thienen [2016\)](#page-20-15).

#### Zooming in on the most relevant tipping points for Europe

### **Overview**

In the following sections, we assess which tipping points appear to be most relevant for Europe's drinking water industry. We then continue to provide an illustration of plausible magnitudes of change and associated timescales and provide equivalent climate locations as a information basis for utilitity adaptation measures.

## Materials and methods

## Assessment of relevance and selection of individual tipping elements

The relevance of individual tipping elements to society at large and the water industry, in particular, may be judged by the magnitude and time scales of their effects on our climate and hydrosphere. Tipping elements with major climate effects may require more or more severe adaptation measures from water utilities than those with minor effects, and their rate of onset dictates the time a water utility may have to prepare or adapt. Therefore, the relevance, formulated appropriately, suggests which tipping elements to consider in future scenarios and for which tipping elements early warning signs may be sought and monitored.

In particular, we consider the relevance of an individual tipping element to be determined by (1) the magnitude of its effect, (2) its potential proximity to activation (lower estimate for its threshold), (3) its activation time and (4) its transition timescale. For the regional evaluation, the focus is on the question of whether the tipping element under consideration may be expected to have a regional effect in Europe. We use the parameter values for all tipping elements as compiled by Armstrong McKay et al ([2022,](#page-17-6) see [Figure 1](#page-12-0) of the current article), with some modifications, see below. For the first two parameters, we score each tipping element by the absolute magnitude of its effect relative to the largest absolute magnitude among the effects of all tipping elements, reflecting the tenet that a major climate effect results in a greater need for utilities to adapt:

$$
S_{1a,bi} = abs(\Delta T_i) / \max_{j} (abs(\Delta T_j))
$$
 (1)

$$
S_{2i} = T_i / \max_j(T_j)
$$
 (2)

For the third and the fourth, we take 1 minus the relative activation/transition time, reflecting the tenet that a shorter activation time leaves utilities less time to adapt:

$$
S_{3,4i} = 1 - abs(t_i) / \max_{j} (t_j)
$$
 (3)

These parameters are combined in global and regional scores,  $R_G$  and  $R_R$ , respectively, that can be considered equivalent to a risk score, multiplying the likelihood of imminent exposure (closeness to the lower threshold, activation and transition timescales) with its effect (magnitude of change). By applying a multiplication rather than an alternative operator (sum, mean, etc.), we ensure that the score is only high when an activation may be imminent, fast, and has significant consequences. In cases where not all three apply, there is likely less need or urgency for measures by water utilities. This is very similar to the commonly used approach of defining risk as the product of likelihood, effect and sometimes exposure.

Hence, the global score is calculated by calculating the product of the four parameters  $S_{1a}$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ .

$$
R_G = S_{1a} \cdot S_2 \cdot S_3 \cdot S_4 \tag{4}
$$

The regional score is the product of the four parameters  $S_{1b}$ ,  $S_{2}$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  with regionality factor  $b_R$  (either 1 for including the regional effect or 0 for not) added to the global score:

$$
R_R = R_G + S_{1b} \cdot S_2 \cdot S_3 \cdot S_4 \cdot b_R \tag{5}
$$

#### Monte-Carlo simulations

We estimate global and regional mean surface temperature change trajectories that include the effects of tipping element activations. We consider the global mean surface temperature change to be the control parameter for all of them, with the intention of illustrating the uncertainty that is introduced in climate projections when considering tipping points. We also use this approach for studying potential magnitudes and timescales of changes relevant to the water industry.

In a Monte-Carlo approach, we assess the temperature changes that are expected for the different tipping elements as compiled by Armstrong McKay et al. ([2022\)](#page-17-6) including their uncertainties and apply these to the mean global mean temperature curves for IPCC's In a Monte-Carlo approach, we assess the temperature changes<br>that are expected for the different tipping elements as compiled by<br>Armstrong McKay et al. (2022) including their uncertainties and<br>apply these to the mean globa [2021;](#page-18-23) linear extrapolation beyond 2100), including these projections' uncertainty ranges as well. These temperature effects may contribute to (additional) tipping elements being activated, potentially leading to tipping cascades. The actual tipping mechanisms beyond the activation at a certain threshold level, as described above, are implicit in this approach and not considered explicitly. In other words, when two tipping elements have the same threshold value, they will be activated simultaneously in this approach (joint cascade), otherwise the temperature effect of a first tipping element may push the global mean surface temperature beyond the threshold of the second (domino cascade). Furthermore, an additional background temperature increase may be necessary to activate a second tipping element after a first has been activated (two-phase cascade).

In addition to considering the global mean surface temperature effect, regional temperature anomalies caused by tipping element activation are modeled in the same way.

The modeling process generates an ensemble of global and regional mean temperature trajectories through the following steps:

- Initialization:
	- Sample threshold temperature, timescale, maximum temperature impacts and activation times from the values shown in [Figure 1,](#page-12-0) using a triangular distribution with the minimum and maximum values as bounds and the estimated value as the peak.
- Sample SSP trajectory scaling factor from the temperature standard errors in 2100, using truncated normal distributions on both sides of the mean value.
- Start from the present-day mean global temperature.
- Projection, year-by-year:
	- Project global and regional mean temperatures for each subsequent year based on the IPCC's temperature projection curves for the suite of SSPs, using year-to-year changes and adding a small amount of symmetrically distributed random noise, with a standard deviation of 0.01°C. This is smaller than an observational value of ~0.17°C for a 5-year time-window reported by Kajtar et al, ([2019\)](#page-18-17), but selected to prevent an unphysical Brownian random walk away from the SSP temperature trajectory.
	- Identify the activation of tipping elements based on the parameter values (global mean temperature and timing parameters) for each tipping element for this ensemble member.
	- Apply temperature effect linearly over the appropriate time scale starting after the activation time to global mean temperature.
	- Apply regional temperature effects linearly to separate regional temperature deltas instead of the global temperature effects.

Ensembles containing 10,000 members are generated in this way for each scenario (combination of SSP and tipping element set). Two postprocessing are applied to the ensemble:

- generation of probability density maps for temperature projections;
- extraction of all contiguous temperature increases and decreases from the ensembles and their association with tipping element activations in order to plot plausible scenarios for extraction of all contiguous temp<br>decreases from the ensembles and their<br>element activations in order to plot<br>temperature change – time scale pairs.

## Discussion of simplifications and assumptions

As described above, our modeling approach is simple and naïve, using the following approximations:

- The approach ignores the complexities of tipping point (cascade) dynamics. These dynamics have been studied in more detail by Gaucherel and Moron ([2017\)](#page-18-10), Dekker et al. [\(2018](#page-17-13)) and Wunderling et al. [\(2020](#page-20-19), [2023](#page-20-7)). They are particularly interesting for identifying early warning signals for imminent or earlystage tipping activation. However, that is not the focus of the present article.
- Our modeling approach assumes a fixed temperature effect for a tipping point activation, which linearizes the non-linear temperature effect of the GHG emission that is associated with the tipping element in question.
- We assume a one-way interaction between tipping elements, with the global mean surface temperature as an intermediary (control and effect) parameter, which is a simplification of true relations. This means that once activated, the tipping process in our model is eventually completed regardless of how this control parameter evolves after the initiation, during the activation process and the tipping transition itself. This caveat could become relevant only in cases where the control parameter changes direction during the tipping process.

The simulations presented in the article should be considered potentially useful for understanding risks associated with rapid climate change due to tipping element activation. They also illustrate and indicate of possible magnitudes and timescales of changes but are not considered predictions (following the reasoning of Wunderling et al. [2023](#page-20-7)).

#### Assessment of AMOC weakening effect magnitudes in Europe

We evaluate simulation results for the experiments with introduced additional freshwater (hosing) by Bellomo et al. ([2023\)](#page-17-1), who used the EC-Earth3 earth system model, and by Jackson et al. [\(2023](#page-18-4)), who used the HadGEM3 earth system model. Both models include the same ocean model (NEMO3.6) but a different atmosphere model (IFS 36r4 for EC-Earth3 in Bellomo et al. [2023,](#page-17-1) and UMGA7 for the HadGEM3 of Jackson et al. [2023](#page-18-4)). In these simulations, the magnitude of the AMOC was reduced by artificially introducing a significant freshwater flux into the North Atlantic Ocean under stable climate conditions, by 57% (Bellomo et al. EC- Earth3) and 49% (Jackson et al. HadGEM3). The simulated climate conditions over Europe under reduced AMOC magnitude conditions are compared to those of control runs in which no freshwater forcing was applied and the AMOC magnitude was not decreased.

#### Equivalent climate location

An approach to better understanding what climate-specific challenges can be encountered by a water utility is to study current best practices under equivalent (or analog) present-day climate conditions (e.g., FitzPatrick and Dunn [2019](#page-18-3)). This approach builds on the insight from psychology that human decision making is often not based on analytical but rather on an intuitive, experiential, affective basis (Van der Linden et al. [2015\)](#page-20-20). To do this and illustrate future conditions for drinking water abstraction, treatment and distribution, we determine location-specific equivalent climates. That is to say, for a specific location, we consider its future climate (SSP projections and those projections plus AMOC collapse effect) conditions for drinking water abstraction, treatment and distribu-<br>tion, we determine location-specific equivalent climates. That is to<br>say, for a specific location, we consider its future climate (SSP<br>projections and thos matches those conditions.

We consider mean monthly temperatures and precipitation sums as the primary driving parameters for water availability and drinking water processes. For both parameters, the  $L^2$  norm of the difference vector is determined on a  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid over Europe, based on ERA5 reanalyzed weather data (Hersbach et al. [2023](#page-18-11)). Both norm values are normalized to the maximum occurring over the grid, and the summed value is used as the measure for climate similarity (value to be minimized).

For the SSP scenarios, we use monthly mean values for all CMIP6 ensemble members for the SSP1–2.6, SSP2–4.5 and SSP5–8.5 scenarios using the Climate Explorer from the KNMI (KNMI [2023\)](#page-18-24). For the AMOC collapse scenario, we consider the difference between the AMOC collapse and control runs from the simulations of Jackson et al. ([2023\)](#page-18-4) and Bellomo et al. [\(2023\)](#page-17-1). When applying the AMOC shutdown effect, we are assuming that the difference between hosing and control runs from the GCMs that we have used can be considered additive to the SSP projections (without hosing). Note that the hosing experiments and control runs were performed without thermal forcing (i.e., in a fixed, nonwarming climate, Bellomo et al. [2023,](#page-17-1) Jackson et al. [2023\)](#page-18-4). It is, however, conceivable that combinations of particular SSP scenarios with an AMOC shutdown would result in a different dynamic situation which would invalidate our assumption of linearity. Indeed, simulations by Orbe et al. ([2023](#page-19-20)) suggest that an AMOC collapse may "result in profound changes in the northern hemisphere circulation."

#### Results

#### Relevance of tipping elements

We apply the approach to determining tipping elements' relevance **Results**<br>Relevance of tipping elements<br>We apply the approach to determining tipping elements' relevance<br>as described above (expressions (1)–(4)) to the tipping element parameter values as compiled by Armstrong McKay et al. [\(2022\)](#page-17-6) and depicted in [Figure 1.](#page-12-0) The parameter value and resulting scores are shown in [Table 3.](#page-10-0) This leads to the conclusion that the Labrador Sea and AMOC are the most relevant tipping points to consider by a significant margin. The AMOC ranks first when looking at a regional scale of Europe. In view of the existing uncertainty concerning the magnitudes of temperature effects of tipping element activations, it can be easily verified that when the magnitudes for LABC and AMOC collapse are halved, these remain the highest scoring tipping elements on a regional (Europe) scale (0.492 and 0.635, respectively), and they remain in the top 3 on a global scale (0.268 and 0.212, respectively).

# Projected climate conditions for Europe for AMOC weakening or shutdown

Global climate models (Earth system models, global circulation models coupled to ocean models) provide a useful tool to predict the effects of a tipping element activation even if it does not emerge self-consistently (i.e. directly resulting from the model equations and state) from these models. These models have been used by many authors to evaluate and quantify these effects through forcing or imposing the tipping element activation. This section provides an overview of the results of such modeling efforts from the literature. In all cases, the temperature and precipitation effects are discussed and reported as compared to a reference model with the same background climate forcing (generally RCP/SSP) but without the tipping element activation in question.

Jackson et al. ([2015](#page-18-3)) studied the effects of an AMOC slowdown or shutdown on the regional climate in Europe using a global or snutaown on the regional climate in Europe using a global<br>circulation model. Their main findings include a cooling for the<br>North Atlantic region and the Northern Hemisphere in general.<br>Large parts of Europe would experi North Atlantic region and the Northern Hemisphere in general. Large parts of Europe would experience a mean temperature drop Atlantic storm track, a decrease in summer precipitation in northern Europe (and an increase in southern Europe). Winter precipitation may locally increase, associated with stronger winter storms. Jackson et al. ([2015](#page-18-3)) expect combined effects to result in weaker peak river flows and vegetation productivity. A contemporaneous and methodologically comparable study by Drijfhout [\(2015](#page-18-2)) finds tation may locally increase, associated with stronger winter storms.<br>Jackson et al. (2015) expect combined effects to result in weaker<br>peak river flows and vegetation productivity. A contemporaneous<br>and methodologically co Jackson et al. (2015) expect combined elects to result in weaker<br>peak river flows and vegetation productivity. A contemporaneous<br>and methodologically comparable study by Drijfhout (2015) finds<br>temperature drops of similar 5 degrees in Scotland and northern Scandinavia.

An AMOC collapse was incited to emerge from a global circulation model by correcting for AMOC stability model bias by Liu et al. [\(2017\)](#page-19-27). Their model shows an AMOC collapse 300 years after abruptly doubling atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations and suggests a mean annual temperature drop of more than 2.4°C for the north of Ireland, Scotland and the northwest of Scandinavia and more moderate drops in the Baltic, North Sea and Atlantic coastal regions moderate drops in the Battic, North Sea and Atlantic coastal regions<br>of Europe. Interestingly, and contrary to the results of Jackson et al.<br>(2015), they find that mean annual precipitation remains more or<br>less the same in ([2015](#page-18-3)), they find that mean annual precipitation remains more or less the same in the northern half of Europe and decreases by

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 3. Scoring and ranking of global core tipping elements and threshold-free non-linear elements



<span id="page-10-2"></span><sup>a</sup>Assuming 0 for unknown values.

<span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span><sup>b</sup>Assuming a 2 degrees global mean surface temperature rise.

c Unquantified minimal effect, set to 0.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>dEstimated max. Regional effect in northwestern Europe, based on Sgubin et al. ([2017\)](#page-19-23).<br><sup>e</sup>≤10, set to 5

<span id="page-10-7"></span><span id="page-10-6"></span> $\mathrm{e}$  <10, set to 5. f <0.5, set to 0.25.

<span id="page-10-8"></span>Estimated value ~  $2^{\circ}$ C, likely lower bound unknown, assuming 1.5<sup>o</sup>C.<br>hSet to 80 years as an assumed time window for reaching the temper

<span id="page-10-5"></span>Set to 80 years as an assumed time window for reaching the temperature increase as assumed under<sup>[b](#page-10-4)</sup>.

In these cases, however, the model resolution may be insufficient to draw conclusions on the geographical scale below the full continent and we need to be mindful of interpolation/smoothing effects. More recent modeling efforts show effects of similar magnitudes. Liu et al. (2020) find a mean annual temperature drop of 0.5–1°C for most of mainland Eu effects. More recent modeling efforts show effects of similar mag-enects. More recent modeling eiloris show ellects of similar magnitudes. Liu et al. ([2020](#page-19-28)) find a mean annual temperature drop of 0.5–1°C for most of mainland Europe and 1–1.5°C for the north-western coastal regions, along western coastal regions, along with a reduction in mean precipita-Isles and Normandy, which shows a larger drop). Bellomo et al.  $(2021)$  $(2021)$  look at  $4 \times CO<sub>2</sub>$  models. From their results, mean temperawestern coastal regions, along with a reduction in mean precipita-<br>tion of 0.05–0.15 mm/day (except for western Norway, the British<br>Isles and Normandy, which shows a larger drop). Bellomo et al.<br>(2021) look at 4 × CO<sub>2</sub> mo Europe for a strong AMOC in comparison to a weak AMOC decline can be interpreted. The associated change in mean precipitation is between 0 and 1.13 mm per day for most of Europe, with a stronger decrease of up to -2.26 mm per day for northern Scotland and western Scandinavia. be interpreted. The associated change in mean precipitation is<br>ween 0 and 1.13 mm per day for most of Europe, with a stronger<br>rease of up to  $-2.26$  mm per day for northern Scotland and<br>stern Scandinavia.<br>Sgubin et al. (20

temperature drop in Northwestern Europe as a consequence of SPG collapse (relative to control runs) for RCP2.6, 4.5 and 8.5 scenarios and a 2.5–1.5 degree mean surface<br>temperature drop in Northwestern Europe as a consequence of<br>SPG collapse (relative to control runs) for RCP2.6, 4.5 and 8.5<br>scenarios and a 2.5–8 degree drop for the British Isle

and coastal Northwestern Europe for an AMOC collapse (RCP2.6, RCP4.5). For the RCP8.5 scenario, the cooling effect of the AMOC shutdown is secondary to the general global warming effect. In all cases, the effect on precipitation is less than 300 mm/yr., except for northern Scotland in the RCP4.5 scenario.

More recent relevant simulations have been published by Orihuela-Pinto et al. [\(2022\)](#page-19-13). Their results show a mean surface temperature decrease of 10 or more degrees Celsius along the Scandinavian west coast and Scotland (relative to the control run without AMOC collapse), reducing to approximately  $-3$  to  $-6^{\circ}$ C in the rest of Northwestern Europe. The associated mean precipitation decrease is between 0 and 1 mm per day for most of Europe, except for Western Scandinavia and the Northwest of the British Isles, where it is predicted to be more than 1 mm per day on average. Interestingly, their models also show a marked increase in mean near-surface wind speeds of >2–3 m/s for all of Northwestern Europe.

Bellomo et al. [\(2023](#page-17-1)) model an AMOC that has been weakened by 57% in the state-of-the-art EC-Earth3 GCM to investigate its implications on the European climate. Note that this scenario is

different from the more or less complete AMOC shutdown that we are considering here, but it is relevant nevertheless, as it indicates the direction of its effects in a relatively high resolution. Their results show a decrease in annual mean temperature of 3–5 degrees for most of mainland Europe and larger reductions still for Scotland and northern Scandinavia. The associated decrease in annual mean precipitation is between 0.2 and 0.4 mm per day for most of resuns show a decrease in annual mean temperature of 3-5 degrees<br>for most of mainland Europe and larger reductions still for Scotland<br>and northern Scandinavia. The associated decrease in annual mean<br>precipitation is betwee (Alps, Carpathians) and more so for western Scotland and western Scandinavia. In these model results, also southern Europe shows a mainland Europe, 0.4–0.6 mm per day for mountain ranges (Alps, Carpathians) and more so for western Scotland and western Scandinavia. In these model results, also southern Europe shows a drying, with reduced annual mean pr day. We will look in more detail into their simulation results below. Van Westen et al. ([2024\)](#page-20-5) show that very strong temperature effects can be expected in parts of Europe due to an AMOC collapse, in particular in wintertime. For example, London could experience a temperature drop of 5°C in summertime and up to 15°C in wintertime. They also find a reduction in mean precipitation for Europe by about 20%, and also a dynamic sea level rise of 30 cm (Mediterranean Sea) up toward 100 cm (northern Scandinavia).

To summarize, the results discussed in this section suggest that a partial or complete AMOC shutdown may result in a drop in the by about 20%, and also a dynamic sea level rise of 30 cm<br>(Mediterranean Sea) up toward 100 cm (northern Scandinavia).<br>To summarize, the results discussed in this section suggest that a<br>partial or complete AMOC shutdown may or more (considering that the top end of the range is derived from a model with a weakened rather than stalled AMOC and more recent models) compared to the control runs with background warming but no AMOC shutdown. Also, a drying is to be expected, reducing mean annual precipitation by up to 0.6 mm per day (over 200 mm per year), as well as increased windiness.

#### Global and regional mean temperature projection

[Figure 2a](#page-12-0) and [b](#page-12-0) project global and regional (NW Europe) mean surface temperatures for SSP1–2.6, SSP2–4.5 and SSP5–8.5, showing probability densities for the simulated ensembles, as well as the original SSP projections and 90% confidence intervals.

Surprisingly, the bulk of the model simulation results in [Figure 2a,b](#page-12-0) lie below the SSP projections, even though most of the tipping elements listed in [Figure 1](#page-12-0) have a positive global mean surface temperature effect. This can be explained by the fact that the three tipping elements that have a negative temperature effect have a significant amplitude, relatively low threshold value, and short timescales of activation and transition, thus dominating the temperature effects in [Figure 2](#page-12-0). A more pronounced impact of tipping elements with a positive temperature effect is to be expected for longer simulation runs.

No runaway tipping element cascades were observed in our simplified simulation approach over a relatively short time period of a single century. This is consistent with the observation of Gaucherel and Moron ([2017\)](#page-18-10) that tipping elements seem to stabilize rather than destabilize the climate.

#### Rates of change

[Figure 2c](#page-12-0) and [d](#page-12-0) shows the incidence of magnitude-duration combinations of contiguous periods of temperature increase or Rates of change<br>Figure 2c and d shows the incidence of magnitude-duration<br>combinations of contiguous periods of temperature increase or<br>decrease in our simulations for the SSP1–2.6, SSP2–4.5 and Rutes of change<br>Figure 2c and d shows the incidence of magnitude-duration<br>combinations of contiguous periods of temperature increase or<br>decrease in our simulations for the SSP1–2.6, SSP2–4.5 and<br>SSP5–8.5 model runs with ti and [f](#page-12-0) shows the combinations of tipping elements that may be associated with these magnitude-duration combinations (75% of the tipping element trajectory being part of the contiguous temperature change). Both figures apply a 5-year centered moving average smoothing on the temperature curves before the determination of the contiguous changes. Note that both [Figure 2c](#page-12-0) and [d](#page-12-0) and [e](#page-12-0) and [f](#page-12-0) show a concentration of results in the upper right corner for the SSP5–8.5 scenario, resulting from the occurrence of long, contiguous temperature increases right up to the last simulation time step.

The panels of [Figure 2a](#page-12-0) (global mean surface temperature) show the temperature changes that we recognize from the SSP projections, on the order of one to several degrees over a century or equivalent fractions of both. The panels of [Figure 2b,](#page-12-0) however, show a significant "belly" in addition to a pattern comparable to that depicted in the associated left-hand side panel. This region represents models that show a significant "belly" in addition to a pattern comparable to that depicted in the associated left-hand side panel. This region represents models that show a significant cooling of generally 2–4<sup></sup> C over timescales which become increasingly shorter with higher show a significant beny in addition to a pattern comparable to<br>that depicted in the associated left-hand side panel. This region<br>represents models that show a significant cooling of generally 2–4<sup>c</sup><br>C over timescales which represents models that show a significant cooling of generally  $2-4^{\circ}$  C over timescales which become increasingly shorter with higher amplitude SSP projections (SSP1–2.6: >20 years versus >10 years for SSP5–8.5). Figur expected, associated with the activation of the LABC and/or AMOC tipping elements.

#### Geographical distribution of AMOC weakening effects in Europe

Figure 3a and b illustrates how mean annual temperature and precipitation changes as a result of an AMOC collapse are distributed over Europe for the two sets of ESM simulations (by Bellomo et al. [2023;](#page-17-1) Jackson et al. [2023\)](#page-18-4). Not surprisingly, both models show a decrease in effect from the northwest toward the southeast. The EC-Earth3 results generally show more pronounced temperature and precipitation effects; the HadGEM3 show extensive areas of reduced precipitation that are different from those in the EC-Earth3 results, in particular in eastern Europe. Note that for both models a 30-year period is selected before the strength of the AMOC is at its weakest. As a result of a difference in model setup of the experiment a one-on-one comparison was difficult to construct.

Month-to-month and year-to-year variation within these sets of models are illustrated in [Figure 3](#page-13-0) for a smaller area bounded by 3°E–8°E and 48°N–54°N – averages over the entire continent are less pronounced and less meaningful. This area is selected as it encompasses an illustrative area of interest: the Netherlands and<br>the main streams of the river Rhine and Meuse catchments.<br>Averaged over 30 years, the temperature for the area of interest<br>is lower in both hosing experimen encompasses an mustrative area of interest: the Netherlands and<br>the main streams of the river Rhine and Meuse catchments.<br>Averaged over 30 years, the temperature for the area of interest<br>is lower in both hosing experiments Averaged over 30 years, the temperature for the area of interest C in the HadGEM3 simulations. This difference has its maximum is lower in both hosing experiments. The temperature is  $2.3-4.2^{\circ}$ C lower on monthly basis in the EC-Earth3 simulations and  $1.3-3.2^{\circ}$ C in the HadGEM3 simulations. This difference has its maximum in spring (March–Ap yearly temperatures are somewhat lower and more variable for the EC-Earth3 results than for the HadGEM3 results. At the end of the 30-year period, the temperatures in the EC-EARTH diverge from each other. The precipitation for the bounded area is for the same period in both simulations lower in the hosing experiments. For EC-EARTH, this is on an yearly basis of 52 mm, and for HadGEM3, this is more than double, namely 106 mm. Note that it is still possible that the cumulative rainfall for an individual year can be higher in the hosing experiments than in the control simulations.

#### Equivalent climate locations

Selected examples of equivalent climatic conditions for projections are shown in [Figure 4](#page-14-0). Illustrated are equivalent climate conditions Equivalent climate locations<br>Selected examples of equivalent climatic conditions for projections<br>are shown in Figure 4. Illustrated are equivalent climate conditions<br>for SSP1–2.6 and SSP5–8.5 scenarios in 2050 (2041–2060) for SSP1–2.6 and SSP3–6.5 scenarios in 2050 (2041–2060) without and with an AMOC collapse. Such results may be helpful to utilities to study present-day drinking water sourcing, treatment and distribution practices in the to study present-day drinking water sourcing, treatment and distribution practices in the projected equivalent climate locations to projections and many other European cities, are included in the

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Figure 2. (a, b) Incidence of temperature change-time scale combinations in the model runs, using a 5-year  $(-2 \text{ to } +2)$  running average smoothing function; (c, d) Incidence of temperature change-time scale combinations in runs, using a 5-year (-2 to +2) running average smoothing function; (e, f) Incidence of temperature change-time scale combinations in the model runs, using a 5-year (-2 to +2) running average smoothing function, and alloca selected tipping element. (1) SSP1–1.9; (2) SSP2–4.5; (3) SSP5–8.5.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Figure 3. Difference in temperature (°C, upper panels) and precipitation (mm year-1, lower panels) between the control simulation and hosing experiment for EC- Earth3 (a) and HadGEM-LL (b). Monthly and yearly mean temperat monthly mean precipitation for the EC-Earth3 (c) and HadGEM3 (d) model results from Bellomo et al. ([2023](#page-17-23)) and Jackson et al. ([2023\)](#page-18-25), for control and hosing experiments. This a spatial average for an area bounded by 48 °N a 3 °E and 8 °E.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

cities in Europe. Maps for additional cities are provided in the [Supplementary Material.](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14)

[Supplementary Material](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14). Note that these are provided as examples. Smaller degrees of AMOC collapse can be expected to result in less pronounced shifts of the equivalent climate locations; larger degrees, as those reported by Van Westen et al. [\(2024\)](#page-20-5) from their modeling study, in a farther shift.

## **Discussion**

# Requirements for the water industry

Our understanding of tipping points and their possible activation in a warming climate has significantly increased over the past decade. We recognize that there is currently still no uniform consensus in the climate science community on the need to take tipping elements into consideration for climate projections. On the one hand, there is IPCC's 6th assessment report (IPCC [2021b](#page-18-5)), IPCC's Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (IPCC [2019](#page-18-2)), and the review paper by Wang et al. ([2023](#page-20-2)), which do not identify sufficient evidence to ascribe a possible key role for tipping elements in the climate of the coming century. On the other hand, there are authors like Lenton et al. ([2019](#page-19-29)), Armstrong McKay et al. ([2022\)](#page-17-6), Ripple et al. ([2023](#page-19-30)) and Deutloff et al. [\(2023\)](#page-17-12) who argue that the activation of some tipping elements is already inevitable and because of the many uncertainties that still exist, we cannot take any chances. In addition to this,

specific indications for possible imminent activation are emerging in papers by Boers and Rypdal ([2021](#page-17-8)), Ditlevsen and Ditlevsen ([2023](#page-17-10)) and Van Westen et al. [\(2024\)](#page-20-5).

The drinking water sector is, by necessity, a slow-moving industry with an infrastructure that is meant to operate for many decades and often does even longer. Upgrading infrastructure to meet evolving requirements takes significant time and investments. As the time scales of possible changes associated with tipping element activations and smaller than these, the water industry does not have the luxury of waiting for scientific consensus to arise on this topic: given the deep uncertainty and far-reaching consequences, it is essential to include tipping scenarios in our decision making (indeed, this argument could also be made with a wider reach). For sure, increasing the resilience of water supply systems (through infrastructure upgrades and new technologies) also requires significant investment on the part of utilities. However, as these are proactive rather than reactive measures, they allow for better planning and fewer potential shocks.

It does not suffice for water utilities to prepare for conditions that are predicted by IPCC climate models or their local/regional derivatives, but in addition to and on top of those, the effects of tipping element activations should be considered. A quantitative risk-based framework (i.e. considering likelihood and effect) is difficult to apply due to a lack of model-based insights in the likelihood of occurrence, but this may be supported by the analysis

of geological evidence for prior activations in Earth's recent history (i.e. Pleistocene). A storyline-based approach (Shepherd et al. [2018;](#page-19-5) Sillman et al. [2021](#page-19-6)) may be more appropriate. In this way, a more qualitative risk perspective should be sought. Sutton [\(2019](#page-20-21)), building on the climate change risk assessment principles by King et al. ([2015](#page-18-26)), argues that "[d]ecision-relevant climate scenarios could usefully be developed to sample all the major dimensions of epistemic uncertainty" including those related to climate model and system uncertainties, and in particular also physically plausible high impact scenarios (Sutton [2019](#page-20-21)), for example, AMOC collapse and monsoon shifts. This is in line with the recommendation of King et al. [\(2015\)](#page-18-26) to "[c]onsider the full range of probabilities, bearing in mind that a very low probability may correspond to a very high risk, if the impact is catastrophic."

# Possibility of tipping element activation and cascading in the coming decades

From our evaluation in [Table 3,](#page-10-0) it is already clear that of all known tipping elements, the LABC and AMOC and likely the most relevant for European climate in the near future. Our simulation results ([Figure 2](#page-12-0)) illustrate this observation by showing activation of the LABC element in many simulations and AMOC collapse in some. The Labrador Sea convection collapse has very a short relevant for European chinate in the hear future. Our simulation<br>results (Figure 2) illustrate this observation by showing activation<br>of the LABC element in many simulations and AMOC collapse in<br>some. The Labrador Sea conv results (Figure 2) inustrate this observation by showing activation<br>of the LABC element in many simulations and AMOC collapse in<br>some. The Labrador Sea convection collapse has very a short<br>transition time scale (5–50 years note, however, that the system may already be in the transition. For the AMOC, a slowdown has been reported from the 1950s (Caesar et al. [2018](#page-17-24)) or even the late 19th century (Dima et al. [2021\)](#page-17-25) and an accelerated rate of its slowdown since the 1980s (Zhu et al. [2023](#page-20-22)).

For the other known tipping elements, the reported timescales and magnitudes [\(Figure 1](#page-12-0)) do not result in appreciable deviations from the SSP-based climate projects in the coming decades.

#### Changes and consequences w.r.t. water supply

The climate conditions that have been projected in the previous section for Europe in an AMOC collapse can be understood by looking at equivalent present-day climate conditions. This is illustrated for several European cities in [Figure 4](#page-14-0) and in the [Supplementary](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14) [Material](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14).

As we have seen in [Figures 1](#page-12-0) and [2,](#page-12-0) the time scale on which such a change can take place may be only two decades or shorter, and we may already be in the transition phase. It is on these time scales that water utilities would need to adapt.

#### Mean values and extremes

The results and discussion above have been mostly focused on mean climatic conditions. These differ between different parts of Europe but are generally manageable from a drinking water supply system perspective (drinking water is supplied in all parts of Europe). This can be expected to remain the case in a changing climate (potentially apart from the water availability in relation to demand). However, a more serious risk is posed by weather extremes within the climate. The shift of a climate parameter toward higher (lower) values may be expected to result in the more frequent occurrence of high (low) extreme values if the shape and width of the probability distribution remain the same. This is, however, uncertain. Climate simulations suggest that higher temperatures are determined primarily by an increase in temperature and most likely not by

increased variability in temperature, or a widening of the probability distribution (Van der Wiel and Bintanja [2021\)](#page-20-23). For extreme precipitation, this is less clear. In contrast, a recent study by Contzen et al. [\(2023](#page-17-26)) shows both a shift and a broadening of the probability distributions for temperature for large parts of the world. Observations (Vautard et al. [2023](#page-20-24); Soares et al. [2023\)](#page-20-25) indicate that the current generation of models underestimates the occurrence of extreme weather events (heatwaves, precipitation).

## Early warning signals to monitor

Brovkin et al. [\(2021](#page-17-3)), following Dakos et al. ([2008\)](#page-17-27), describe Early Warning Signals (EWS) as"quantitative indicators of the proximity of a system to a tipping point, [applying] mathematical principles of dynamical systems to Earth system components." They often consider an increase of variance, interpreted as decreased resilience, and/or autocorrelation, interpreted as critical slowing down, of relevant (measured or reconstructed) signals. Brovkin et al. ([2021](#page-17-3)) note that interpretation of these signals is neither easy nor unambiguous "because climate variability can change due to many reasons unrelated to changes in stability," due to the spatial complexity of Earth's surface and the different relevant components and the possibility of cascading of changes in the climate system. Even though researchers have worked on methods for detecting precursors of abrupt changes for decades (Reeves et al. [2007](#page-19-31); Flach et al. [2017\)](#page-18-27).

Boers et al. ([2022\)](#page-17-4) state that "Predicting such transitions remains difficult and is subject to large uncertainties." They state that "substantial improvements in our understanding of the nonlinear mechanisms underlying abrupt transitions of Earth system components are needed." This would require combining insights from paleoclimatic records numerical simulations and time series analysis of pertinent recent observation-based data.

Jackson and Wood [\(2020](#page-18-27)) investigated which parameters would be most suitable to monitor the AMOC. They found temperature metrics based on large-scale differences, the large-scale meridional density gradient and the vertical density difference in the Labrador Sea perform best. Because the processes driving the change determine which is most suitable,"the best strategy would be to consider multiple fingerprints to provide early detection of all likely AMOC changes."

The EWS described by Ditlevsen and Ditlevsen ([2023](#page-17-10)), i.e., the variance and autocorrelation of the AMOC fingerprint (subpolar gyre sea surface temperature anomaly minus twice the global mean sea surface temperature anomaly), is a temperature metric based on large-scale differences, in line with Jackson and Wood's recommendation. The one proposed by Van Westen et al. ([2024\)](#page-20-5), i.e., the minimum of the AMOC-induced freshwater transport at in the southern boundary of the Atlantic, is not so, but may be considered related to the large-scale meridional density gradient.

These early warning signs can inform the water industry of impending changes in the hydro-climate system and as such inform decisions on preparations, modifications and expansions of their sources, treatment and distribution processes and/or infrastructure.

#### Potential additional biases in climate projections

Other biases in global circulation models, that are outside the scope of this article, and their impacts on climate projections also need to be recognized, as they may equally have an impact on the future climate that the water sector is preparing for. For example, in recent years, blocking circulation patterns in the northern hemisphere associated with a more meandering jet stream and circumglobal Rossby waves have been interpreted as the cause of many observed weather extremes, in particular droughts and floods (Kornhuber et al. [2019;](#page-18-20) Vogel et al. [2019](#page-20-26)). Luo et al. [\(2022](#page-19-32)) and Kornhuber et al. ([2020,](#page-18-28) [2023](#page-18-29)) describe how the current generation of models mostly underestimates surface weather anomalies associated with these dynamics. A connection between this process and AMOC strength and stability may also be surmised. The North Pole may be expected to cool due to an AMOC collapse; the tropics, however, will not be affected (see simulation results of Bellomo et al. [\(2021](#page-17-21)) and Jackson et al. [2023](#page-18-4)). As the jet stream is mainly fed by the temperature difference between the tropics and Arctic, a stronger jet stream can be expected in case of an AMOC collapse, which reduces the likelihood of atmospheric blockages. An important question is where the jet stream will position itself, i.e., does it move southward or not?

The incidence and potential consequences of these additional mechanisms and biases need to be further investigated and, if found pertinent, considered in an equal manner in the water industry's strategic planning and design.

## Relation and comparison to other work

Our proximal objective and general approach are somewhat similar to those of Deutloff et al. ([2023](#page-17-12)). However, we chose to follow an even simpler modeling approach, sidestepping uncertainties in GHG budgets, emissions and sensitivities that are included in their modeling framework, and instead accepting the (wide) uncertainmodeling framework, and instead accepting the (wide) uncertain-<br>ties in the direct mean global surface temperature effects that are<br>reported by Armstrong McKay et al. (2022) and their sources.<br>Notably, Deutloff et al. (202 reported by Armstrong McKay et al. ([2022](#page-17-6)) and their sources. reported by Armstrong McKay et al. (2022) and their sources.<br>Notably, Deutloff et al. [\(2023](#page-17-12)) find that tipping of LABC is more<br>likely than not activated by 2041 even for SSP1–2.6; their results for<br>AMOC suggest a tipping l AMOC suggest a tipping likelihood of about 15% by 2,500 for the 50% bar by 2075. Our results are broadly consistent with these, even though we refrain from expressing our results as model-Frequentist likelihoods – this is unsurprising, considering that we seen though we refrain from expressing our results as model-<br>frequentist likelihoods – this is unsurprising, considering that we<br>defrequentist likelihood use the same datasets as a starting point.

# Limitations

The risk scoring approach presented in this article fails to include specific climate hazards for specific aspects of the drinking water system, instead relying on temperature as a single proxy parameter. This is defensible, as all other hazards are driven by temperature changes. As such, the scoring is relatively simple (and simplistic) and transparent. A more elaborate approach might include separate scoring for each of the climate hazards described in [Table 1](#page-3-0), at the cost of more complexity and the requirement to introduce weighing for the contributing hazards and drinking water processes.

The stochastic simulation approach described in this article is a simplified one which is meant to give illustrations and directions rather than predictions. A more comprehensive study could include the nudging/forcing/imposition of all relevant climate tipping elements as a basis for the analysis provided in this article. This will result in numbers for possible timescales and magnitudes that can be used for decision making with more confidence. Other non-TE processes the effects of which may be underrepresented in currently used climate projections, such as the wave number 5 and 7 Rossby waves, may have strong regional effects. These have

All of the above has focused on the natural (though forced by human action) Earth system responses. We must stress that in response to climate change, human society adapts, also in terms of its interaction with the water cycle. For example, land use or water retention by dams may change. These so-called indirect effects may even have a larger impact on the availability and/or quality of water than the direct effects of climate change (Brosse et al. [2022\)](#page-17-28).

We note that an AMOC collapse would also have major impacts on climate and hydrological cycles outside of Europe, in particular due to the shifting of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ), see, e.g., Orihuela Pinto [\(2022\)](#page-19-10). Though these effects are outside the scope of and therefore not discussed in this article, they merit equal attention and elaboration.

## **Conclusions**

Considering the high inertia, long planning and implementation time scales and long lifecycles of drinking water supply infrastructure, water utilities would be wise to take notice of aspects of climate change that are not well represented by or emerging from models. These include climate tipping elements, in particular the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation and the Labrador Sea, which we identify as potentially most relevant for Europa in the coming decades. In addition, other climate processes are not well represented in or emerging from Earth System Models, such as Rossby wave blocking patterns. This latter aspect requires further examination.

The consideration of tipping elements further broadens the range of possible climate change outcomes in terms of mean surface temperature in both directions. This approach adds a new class of scenarios that, at least for Europe, show a significant cooling and additional drying over a period of mere decades. Whereas the water industry is well aware of the consequences of climate warming (including those on water availability, infrastructure, treatment processes, etc.), it has so far been unaware of cooling effects (e.g., requiring deeper burial of pipes). Also, additional dynamic sea level ristive by 30–100 cm water of the consequences of chinate warning<br>(including those on water availability, infrastructure, treatment<br>processes, etc.), it has so far been unaware of cooling effects (e.g.,<br>requiring deeper bu and ground water in coastal areas.

This class of scenarios has thus far been considered high-impactlow-likelihood but is in fact of unknown likelihood with historical precedents. The best way for the water industry to deal with this further broadening of the range of plausible scenarios seems to be to make our water supply systems as flexible as possible. It is important that utilities do not limit themselves to preparing adaptation strategies based on changes in the local climate that appear likely. The focus should be on resilience rather than robustness of the systems. That is to say, not necessarily resilience in the traditional engineering interpretation, which focuses on maintaining the operation of a system close to a single equilibrium state and aiming for a fast return to this state after a disturbance, but rather in the ecological interpretation, which allows for many equilibrium states and considers conditions for any of these (Quitana et al. [2020](#page-19-33)). The latter better reflects both the wider range of possible environmental conditions that may be experienced by the system over the coming decades and the system flexibility that may be needed to continue operating under these changing conditions. This requires (1) a permissive legislative framework, (2) agile stakeholder engagement and decision-making processes, (3) scalable and modular technologies and perhaps above

<span id="page-17-23"></span><span id="page-17-8"></span><span id="page-17-4"></span>all and (4) a change in mindset from efficiency-focused to resiliencefocused, from the biggest bang for the buck to whatever it takes. Some measures that can be taken are no-regret measures, or in other words, sensible in any case. These include addressing water quality issues, addressing interactions with the surroundings at the water system and the stakeholder levels and contingency planning at the (national) water supply level.

<span id="page-17-11"></span><span id="page-17-7"></span>Early warning signs for tipping element activations have been identified, but may not be unambiguous. The water industry should nevertheless watch closely as these are monitored by the climatology and oceanography communities.

<span id="page-17-28"></span>Open peer review. To view the open peer review materials for this article, please visit <http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14>.

<span id="page-17-3"></span>Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at [http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14.](http://doi.org/10.1017/wat.2024.14)

<span id="page-17-19"></span>Data availability statement. The numerical simulation results that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [PvT], upon reasonable request. For the simulation data that underlie [Figure 3](#page-13-0), readers are referred to the authors of the original publications.

<span id="page-17-14"></span>Acknowledgements. Constructive criticism on draft versions of this article by Dragan Savić and Katinka Bellomo is gratefully acknowledged. Katinka Bellomo and Laura Jackson kindly provided numerical simulation results. Thoughtful reviews by two anonymous reviewers helped to improve the article. –

<span id="page-17-24"></span><span id="page-17-17"></span>Author contribution. Peter van Thienen: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing oriews by two anonymous reviewers neiped to improve the article.<br>**Author contribution.** Peter van Thienen: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing<br>– orig Author contribution. Peter van Thienen: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing, Supervision. Herbert ter lysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Wr<br>– original draft, Writing – review and editing, Supervision. Herbert ter M<br>Investigation, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. S

<span id="page-17-5"></span>Financial support. This research was financially supported by the NATALIE project, which is funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe programme under grant agreement No. 101112859.

Competing interest. The authors report no conflicts of interests.

### <span id="page-17-27"></span><span id="page-17-26"></span>References

- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Agudelo-Vera C, Avvedimento S, Boxall J, Creaco E, De Kater H, Di Nardo A and Blokker M (2020) Drinking water temperature around the globe:<br>Understanding, policies, challenges and opportunities. Water 12(4), 1049.<br>ley RB, Marotzke J, Nordhaus WD, Overpeck JT, Peteet DM, Pielke RA and<br>Wallace JM Understanding, policies, challenges and opportunities. Water 12(4), 1049.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span>Alley RB, Marotzke J, Nordhaus WD, Overpeck JT, Peteet DM, Pielke RA and
- <span id="page-17-6"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>Armstrong McKay DI, Staal A, Abrams JF, Winkelmann R, Sakschewski B, Loriani S and Lenton TM (2022) Exceeding 1.5 C global warming could trigger multiple climate tipping points. Science 377(6611), eabn7950.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Ashwin P, Wieczorek S, Vitolo R and Cox P (2012) Tipping points in open systems: Bifurcation, noise-induced and rate-dependent examples in the climate system. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathem**hwin P, Wieczorek S, Vitolo R and Cox P** (2012) Tipping po<br>systems: Bifurcation, noise-induced and rate-dependent exam<br>climate system. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society<br>atical, Physical and Engineering Scien expect in the future. Hydrology Research 54(6), 70–781. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2023.008)<br>climate system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 370(1962), 1166–1184.<br>**rrette PD**
- <span id="page-17-20"></span><span id="page-17-16"></span><span id="page-17-13"></span>Barrette PD and Lindenschmidt KE (2023) Frazil ice events: assessing what to [10.2166/nh.2023.008](https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2023.008)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span><span id="page-17-12"></span>Bellomo K, Angeloni M, Corti S and von Hardenberg J (2021) Future climate change shaped by inter-model differences in Atlantic meridional overturning circulation response. Nature Communications 12(1), 3659.
- <span id="page-17-25"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>Bellomo K, Meccia VL, D'Agostino R, Fabiano F, Larson SM, von Hardenberg J and Corti S (2023) Impacts of a weakened AMOC on precipitation over the euro-Atlantic region in the EC-Earth3 climate model, Climate Dynamics 61,  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>and  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ .
- <span id="page-17-22"></span><span id="page-17-10"></span>Boeke RC and Taylor PC (2018) Seasonal energy exchange in sea ice retreat regions contributes to differences in projected Arctic warming. Nature Communications 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07061-9>
- Boers N, Ghil M and Stocker TF (2022) Theoretical and paleoclimatic evidence for abrupt transitions in the earth system. Environmental Research Letters 17 (9), 093006.
- Boers N and Rypdal M (2021) Critical slowing down suggests that the western Greenland ice sheet is close to a tipping point. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(21), e2024192118
- Boulton CA, Lenton TM and Boers N (2022) Pronounced loss of Amazon rainforest resilience since the early 2000s. Nature Climate Change 12(3), Academy of Sciences 118(21), e2024192118<br> **ulton CA, Lenton TM and Boers N** (2022) Pronou<br>
rainforest resilience since the early 2000s. Nature C<br>
271–278. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01287-8>
- Böning CW, Wagner P, Handmann P, Schwarzkopf FU, Getzlaff K and Biastoch A (2023) Decadal changes in Atlantic overturning due to the excessive 1990s Labrador Sea convection. Nature Communications 14(1), 4635.
- Brosse M, Benateau S, Gaudard A, Stamm C and Altermatt F (2022) The importance of indirect effects of climate change adaptations on alpine and pre-alpine freshwater systems. Ecological Solutions and Evidence 3, e12127.
- Brovkin V, Brook E, Williams JW, Bathiany S, Lenton TM, Barton M and Yu Z (2021) Past abrupt changes, tipping points and cascading impacts in the pre-alpine freshwater systems. *Ecological Solutio*<br>ovkin V, Brook E, Williams JW, Bathiany S, Ler<br>Z (2021) Past abrupt changes, tipping points ar<br>earth system. *Nature Geoscience* 14(8), 550–558.
- Bruaset S and Sægrov S (2018) An analysis of the potential impact of climate change on the structural reliability of drinking water pipes in cold climate regions. Water 10(4), 411.
- Buitink J, Melsen LA and Teuling AJ (2021) Seasonal discharge response to temperature-driven changes in evaporation and snow processes in the Rhine regions. Water 10(4), 411.<br> **itink J, Melsen LA and Teuling AJ** (2021) Seasonal discharge response to<br>
temperature-driven changes in evaporation and snow processes in the Rhine<br>
Basin. *Earth System Dynamics* 12, 387–400. [387-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-12-387-2021).
- Caesar L, Rahmstorf S, Robinson A, Feulner G and Saba V (2018) Observed fingerprint of a weakening Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation. Nature 387-2021.<br>**esar L, Rahmstorf S**<br>fingerprint of a wea<br>**556**(7700), 191–196.
- Casselgren J, Hellström G and Lundberg A (2015) Recent river ice research and river ice management in Scandinavia. Energiforsk report 2014, 203.
- Collins MM, Sutherland L, Bouwer S-M, Cheong T, Frölicher H, Jacot Des Combes M, Koll Roxy I, Losada K., McInnes B, Ratter E, Rivera-Arriaga RD, Susanto D, Swingedouw and L, Tibig (2019) Extremes abrupt changes and managing risk. In: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate [H-O Pörtner, DC Roberts, V Masson-Delmotte, P Zhai, M Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Changing Climate [H-O Pörtner, DC Roberts, V Masson-Delmotte, P Zhai, M Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press.<br>Cambridge [10.1017/9781009157964.008.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.008)
- Contzen J, Dickhaus T and Lohmann G (2023) Long-term temporal evolution of extreme temperature in a warming earth. PLoS One 18(2), e0280503.
- Dakos V, Scheffer M, Van Nes EH, Brovkin V, Petoukhov V and Held H (2008) Slowing down as an early warning signal for abrupt climate change. of extreme temperature in a warming earth. *PLoS One* 18(2), e0280503<br>kos V, Scheffer M, Van Nes EH, Brovkin V, Petoukhov V and Hel<br>(2008) Slowing down as an early warning signal for abrupt climate cha<br>*Proceedings of the*
- Daly SF and Barrette PD (2023) Prevention of water intake blockage by ice during supercooling events. Journal of Cold Regions Engineering 37(1), 03122001.
- Danilenko A, Dickson E, and Jacobsen M (2010) Climate Change and Urban Water Utilities: Challenges and Opportunities. The World Bank Group. [https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/docu](https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/628561468174918089/climate-change-and-urban-water-utilities-challenges-and-opportunities) [mentdetail/628561468174918089/climate-change-and-urban-water-util](https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/628561468174918089/climate-change-and-urban-water-utilities-challenges-and-opportunities)<br>ities-challenges-and-opportunities (retrieved 3 July 2024).<br>kker MM, Von Der Heydt AS and Dijkstra HA (2018) Cascading trations in the climate system. *Eart* [ities-challenges-and-opportunities](https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/628561468174918089/climate-change-and-urban-water-utilities-challenges-and-opportunities) (retrieved 3 July 2024).
- Dekker MM, Von Der Heydt AS and Dijkstra HA (2018) Cascading transi-
- Delcour I, Spanoghe P and Uyttendaele M (2015) Literature review: Impact of kker MM, Von Der Heydt AS and Dijkstra HA (2018) Cascading transitions in the climate system. *Earth System Dynamics* 9(4), 1243–1260.<br>**Climate Change P and Uyttendaele M** (2015) Literature review: Impact of climate change [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.09.030.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.09.030)
- Deutloff JE, Held H and Lenton TM (2023) The risky middle of the roadprobabilities of triggering climate tipping points and how they increase due to https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.09.030.<br> **utloff JE, Held H and Lenton TM** (2023) The risky middle of the<br>
probabilities of triggering climate tipping points and how they increase tipping<br>
points within the Earth's
- Dima M, Nichita DR, Lohmann G, Ionita M and Voiculescu M (2021) Earlyonset of Atlantic Meridional overturning circulation weakening in response to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. . NPJ Climate and Atmospheric Science 4(1), 27.
- Ditlevsen P and Ditlevsen S (2023) Warning of a forthcoming collapse of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. Nature Communications 14(1), 14(1),<br>**tlevse**<br>Atlan<br>1–12.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>Drijfhout S (2015) Competition between global warming and an abrupt collapse of the AMOC in Earth's energy imbalance. Scientific Reports 5(1), 14877.
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>Drijfhout S, Bathiany S, Beaulieu C, Brovkin V, Claussen M, Huntingford C<br>and Swingedouw D (2015) Catalogue of abrupt shifts in intergovernmental<br>panel on climate change climate models. Proceedings of the National Acad-<br>em and Swingedouw D (2015) Catalogue of abrupt shifts in intergovernmental panel on climate change climate models. Proceedings of the National Acad-
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>EEA (European Environment Agency) (2021) Trends in Annual (Left) and Summer (Right) Precipitation across Europe between 1960 and 2015. [https://](https://www.eea.europa.eu/ds_resolveuid/5609ff0b28354b0e953d5558798e4bb4) [www.eea.europa.eu/ds\\_resolveuid/5609ff0b28354b0e953d5558798e4bb4](https://www.eea.europa.eu/ds_resolveuid/5609ff0b28354b0e953d5558798e4bb4) (visited 6 November 2023).
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011) Climate Change Vulnerability Assessments: Four Case Studies of Water Utility Practices. Global Change Research Program, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC; EPA/600/R-10/077F. [https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/global/](https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/global/recordisplay.cfm?deid=233808) [recordisplay.cfm?deid=233808](https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/global/recordisplay.cfm?deid=233808) (accessed 3 November 2023).
- <span id="page-18-14"></span><span id="page-18-13"></span>Fernald SH, Caraco NF and Cole JJ (2007) Changes in cyanobacterial dominance following the invasion of the zebra mussel Dreissena polymorpha: Longterm results from the Hudson River estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 30, rnald SH<br>ance follo<br>term res<br>163–170.
- <span id="page-18-21"></span><span id="page-18-4"></span>Fitzpatrick CSB, Fradin E and Gregory J (2004) Temperature effects on flocculation, using different coagulants. Water Science and Technology 50 163–170.<br>**zpatrick CSB**<br>flocculation, u<br>(12), 171–175.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>Fitzpatrick MC and Dunn RR (2019) Contemporary climatic analogs for 540 north American urban areas in the late 21st century. Nature Communi-(12), 171–175.<br>**zpatrick MC and**<br>540 north America<br>*cations* 10(1), 1–7.
- <span id="page-18-27"></span><span id="page-18-19"></span>Flach M, Gans F, Brenning A, Denzler J, Reichstein M, Rodner E and Mahecha MD (2017) Multivariate anomaly detection for earth observations:<br>A comparison of algorithms and feature extraction techniques. *Earth System Dynami* Mahecha MD (2017) Multivariate anomaly detection for earth observations: A comparison of algorithms and feature extraction techniques. Earth System A comparison of algorithms and feature extraction techniques. *Earth System*<br>Dynamics **8**(3), 677–696.<br>**fe J, Fox-Kemper B, Kopp R, Garner G** (2021): Summary for Policymakers of<br>the Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC
- <span id="page-18-23"></span><span id="page-18-18"></span>Fyfe J, Fox-Kemper B, Kopp R, Garner G (2021): Summary for Policymakers of Data for Figure SPM.8 (v20210809). NERC EDS Centre for Environmental Data Analysis. [https://doi.org/10.5285/98af2184e13e4b91893ab72f301790db.](https://doi.org/10.5285/98af2184e13e4b91893ab72f301790db) the Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report – Data for Figure SPM.8 (v20210809). NERC EDS Centre for Environmental Data Analysis. https://doi.org/10.5285/98af2184e13e4b91893ab72f301790db. García-Ru
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>European glacial landscapes from the younger dryas stadial. In Palacios D, Hughes PD, García-Ruiz JM and Andrés N (eds.), European Glacial Land-García-Ruiz JM, Palacios D, Hughes PD and Andrés N (2023) Chapter 61 – European glacial landscapes from the younger dryas stadial. In Palacios D, Hughes PD, García-Ruiz JM and Andrés N (eds.), *European Glacial Landscapes*
- <span id="page-18-10"></span><span id="page-18-9"></span>[org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91899-2.00021-8.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91899-2.00021-8)<br> **ucherel C and Moron V** (2017) Potenti<br>
tipping point interactions in Earth's climate<br>
ology 37(1), 399–408. Gaucherel C and Moron V (2017) Potential stabilizing points to mitigate tipping point interactions in Earth's climate. International Journal of Climat-
- <span id="page-18-26"></span><span id="page-18-15"></span>Gobler CJ (2020) Climate change and harmful algal blooms: Insights and perspective. Harmful Algae 91, 101731. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101731.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101731) ology 37(1), 399–408.<br> **obler CJ** (2020) Climate change and harmful algal blooms: Insights and perspetive. *Harmful Algae* **91**, 101731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101731.<br> **e F and Clark PU** (2022) Freshwater for
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>He F and Clark PU (2022) Freshwater forcing of the Atlantic meridional
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>Hersbach H, Bell B, Berrisford P, Biavati G, Horányi A, Muñoz Sabater J, Nicolas J, Peubey C, Radu R, Rozum I, Schepers D, Simmons A, Soci C, Dee D, Thépaut J-N (2023) ERA5 Monthly Averaged Data on Pressure Levels from 1940 to Present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS). <https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.6860a573> (accessed 13 September 2023).
- <span id="page-18-28"></span><span id="page-18-24"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span>Hewitt H, Fox-Kemper B, Pearson B, Roberts M and Klocke D (2022) The small scales of the ocean may hold the key to surprises. Nature Climate Change 12(6), 141 (CDS), 141 (CDS), 141 (CDS), 141 (CDS), 141 (CDS), 1496–499.<br>
Small scales of the oce:<br>
Change 12(6), 496–499.
- <span id="page-18-29"></span><span id="page-18-6"></span>Heyn K, and W, Winsor (2015) Climate Risks to Water Utility Built Assets and Infrastructure. A Synthesis of Interview with National and International Water Utilities. <https://www.wucaonline.org/assets/pdf/pubs-asset-infrastructure.pdf> (accessed 3 November 2023.
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>Hohner AK, Rhoades CC, Wilkerson P and Rosario-Ortiz FL (2019) Wildfires alter forest watersheds and threaten drinking water quality. Accounts of (accessed 3 November 2023.<br>
hner AK, Rhoades CC, Wilkerson P<br>
alter forest watersheds and threater<br>
Chemical Research 52(5), 1234–1244.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Howard G, Calow R, Macdonald A and Bartram J (2016) Climate change and water and sanitation: Likely impacts and emerging trends for action. Annual Chemical Research 52(5), 1234–1244.<br>Ward G, Calow R, Macdonald A and Bartram J (2016)<br>water and sanitation: Likely impacts and emerging trent<br>Review of Environment and Resources 41(1), 253–276.
- <span id="page-18-16"></span><span id="page-18-7"></span>Hu Sand Fedorov AV (2019) Indian Ocean warming can strengthen the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. Nature Climate Change 9(10), Review of Environment and Resources 41(1), 253–276<br>1 **Sand Fedorov AV** (2019) Indian Ocean warmin<br>Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Nature*<br>747–751. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0566-x>.
- <span id="page-18-25"></span>IPCC (2019) In: Pörtner H-O, Roberts DC, Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Tignor M, Poloczanska E, MintenbeckK, Alegría A, Nicolai M, Okem A, Petzold J, Rama B, Weyer NM (eds.) IPCC, Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 755 pp. [https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964)
- IPCC (2021a) Summary for policymakers. In: Masson-Delmotte, V, Zhai P, Pirani A, Connors SL, Péan C, Berger S, Caud N, Chen Y, Goldfarb L, Gomis MI, Huang M, Leitzell K, Lonnoy E, Matthews JBR, Maycock TK, Waterfield T, Yelekçi O, Yu R, and Zhou B (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- IPCC (2021b) In: Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pirani A, Connors SL, Péan C, Berger S, Caud N, Chen Y, Goldfarb L, Gomis MI, Huang M, Leitzell K, Lonnoy E, Matthews JBR, Maycock TK, Waterfield T, Yelekçi O, Yu R, and Zhou B (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Zhou B (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.<br> **IPCC** (2022) Fact Sheet – Europe. Climate Ch of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernment<br>Panel on Climate Change.<br>CC (2022) Fact Sheet – Europe. Climate Change Impacts and Risks. Workin<br>Group II – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Ve
- 
- Jackson LC, Alastrué de Asenjo E, Bellomo K, Danabasoglu G, Haak H, Hu A, et al. (2023) Understanding AMOC stability: The North Atlantic hosing model Group II – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Version October 2022.<br> **intercomparison IC, Alastrué de Asenjo E, Bellomo K, Danabasoglu G, Haak H, Hu A,**<br>
et al. (2023) Understanding AMOC stability: The North Atlantic h [https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-1975-2023.](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-1975-2023)
- Jackson LC, Kahana R, Graham T, Ringer MA, Woollings T, Mecking JV and Wood RA (2015) Global and European climate impacts of a slowdown of the https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-1975-2023.<br>**kson LC, Kahana R, Graham T, Ringer MA, Woollings T, Mecking J**<br>**Wood RA** (2015) Global and European climate impacts of a slowdowr<br>AMOC in a high resolution GCM. *Climate Dynamics* Wood RA (2015) Global and European climate im<br>AMOC in a high resolution GCM. *Climate Dynan*<br>**ckson LC and Wood RA** (2020) Fingerprints for ear<br>the AMOC. Journal of Climate **33**(16), 7027–7044.
- Jackson LC and Wood RA (2020) Fingerprints for early detection of changes in **Example Reviews Microbiology 18(1)** Fingerprints for early detection of changes in the AMOC. Journal of Climate 33(16), 7027–7044.<br> **AMOC.** Journal of Climate 33(16), 7027–7044.<br>
Mature Reviews Microbiology 18(1), 35–46.
- Jansson JK and Hofmockel KS (2020) Soil microbiomes and climate change. [019-0265-7.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0265-7)
- Jones MW, Smith AJ, Betts R, Canadell JG, Prentice IC and Le Quéré C (2020). Climate Change Increases the Risk of Wildfires: January 2020. ScienceBrief.
- Kajtar JB, Collins M, Frankcombe LM, England MH, Osborn TJ and Juniper M (2019) Global mean surface temperature response to large-scale patterns of variability in observations and CMIP5. Geophysical Research Letters 46(4), **itar JB, Col<br>M** (2019) G<br>of variabilit<br>2232–2241.
- Kim SK, Kim HJ, Dijkstra HA and An SI (2022) Slow and soft passage through tipping point of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation in a changing climate. npj Climate and Atmospheric Science 5(1), 13.
- King DD, Schrag Z, Dadi Y, Qui and A, Gosh (2015) Climate Change. A Risk Assessment. Cambridge University Centre for Science and Policy report. <https://www.csap.cam.ac.uk/projects/climate-change-risk-assessment/>
- Klose AK, Wunderling N, Winkelmann R and Donges JF (2021) What do we mean,'tipping cascade'? Environmental Research Letters 16(12), 125011.
- Klose AK, Donges JF, Feudel U and Winkelmann R (2024) Rate-induced tipping cascades arising from interactions between the Greenland Ice Sheet and the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. Earth System Dynamics, <sup>15</sup>(3), 635–652. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-635-2024>
- KNMI Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (2023) Climate Explorer. [https://climexp.knmi.nl/,](https://climexp.knmi.nl/) visited 10 November 2023.
- Kornhuber K, Coumou D, Vogel E, Lesk C, Donges JF, Lehmann J and Horton RM (2020) Amplified Rossby waves enhance risk of concurrent heatwaves in https://climexp.knmi.nl/, visited 10 November 2023.<br> **ornhuber K, Coumou D, Vogel E, Lesk C, Donges JF, Lehmann J<br>
RM** (2020) Amplified Rossby waves enhance risk of concurrent major breadbasket regions. Nature Climate Chan
- Kornhuber K, Lesk C, Schleussner CF, Jägermeyr J, Pfleiderer P and Horton RM (2023) Risks of synchronized low yields are underestimated in climate and crop model projections. Nature Communications 14(1), 3528.
- Kornhuber K, Osprey S, Coumou D, Petri S, Petoukhov V, Rahmstorf S and Gray L (2019) Extreme weather events in early summer 2018 connected by a recurrent hemispheric wave-7 pattern. Environmental Research Letters 14(5), 054002.
- Kraemer BM, Boudet S, Burlakova LE, Haltiner L, Ibelings BW, Karatayev AY, Karatayev VA, Rossbacher S, Stöckli R, Straile D and Spaak P (2023) An abundant future for quagga mussels in deep European lakes. Environmental Research Letters 18(12), 124008. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad059f) [ad059f.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad059f)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>Leach NJ, Jenkins S, Nicholls Z, Smith CJ, Lynch J, Cain M and Allen MR (2021) FaIRv2. 0.0: A generalized impulse response model for climate uncertainty and future scenario exploration. Geoscientific Model Development 14 **ach NJ, Jenkins**<br>(2021) FaIRv2.<br>tainty and futur<br>(5), 3007–3036.
- <span id="page-19-26"></span><span id="page-19-4"></span>Lenton TM, Armstrong McKay DI, Loriani S, Abrams JF, Lade SJ, Donges JF, Milkoreit M, Powell T, Smith SR, Zimm C, Buxton JE, Bailey E, Laybourn L, Ghadiali A, Dyke JG (eds.) (2023) The Global Tipping Points Report 2023. University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.
- <span id="page-19-25"></span><span id="page-19-2"></span>Lenton TM, Held H, Kriegler E, Hall JW, Lucht W, Rahmstorf S and Schellnhuber HJ (2008) Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system. University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.<br> **CHECALACE ACADEMY CONSTREY OF SCIENCIAL ACADEMY Schellnhuber HJ** (2008) Tipping elements in the Earth's climate<br> *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(6), 1786–1793.
- <span id="page-19-29"></span><span id="page-19-11"></span>Lenton TM, Rockström J, Gaffney O, Rahmstorf S, Richardson K, Steffen W and Schellnhuber HJ (2019) Climate tipping points-too risky to bet against. Proceedings of the National<br>**nton TM, Rockström J, Gaf<br>and Schellnhuber HJ** (2019)<br>Nature 575(7784), 592–595.
- <span id="page-19-33"></span><span id="page-19-19"></span><span id="page-19-17"></span>Lobanova A, Liersch S, Nunes JP, Didovets I, Stagl J, Huang S, Koch H, Rivas<br>López MDR, Maule CF, Hattermann F and Krysanova V (2018) Hydro-<br>logical impacts of moderate and high-end climate change across European<br>river bas López MDR, Maule CF, Hattermann F and Krysanova V (2018) Hydrological impacts of moderate and high-end climate change across European [org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2018.05.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2018.05.003)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>Lohmann J, Dijkstra HA, Jochum M, Lucarini V and Ditlevsen PD (2023). Multistability and Intermediate Tipping of the Atlantic Ocean Circulation. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2304.05664](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.05664).
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>Lohmann J and Ditlevsen PD (2021) Risk of tipping the overturning circulation due to increasing rates of ice melt. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(9). [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017989118.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017989118)
- <span id="page-19-31"></span><span id="page-19-27"></span>Liu W, Xie S-P, Liu Z and Zhu J (2017) Overlooked possibility of a collapsed Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in warming climate. Science Advances 3(1). [https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601666.](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601666)
- <span id="page-19-28"></span><span id="page-19-0"></span>Liu W, Fedorov AV, Xie S-P and Hu S (2020) Climate impacts of a weakened Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in a warming climate. Science Advances 6(26). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz4876>.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>Liu T, Chen D, Yang L, Meng J, Wang Z, Ludescher J, Fan J, Yang S, Chen D, Kurths J, Chen X, Havlin S and Schellnhuber HJ (2023) Teleconnections among tipping elements in the Earth system. Nature Climate Change 13(1), 1 T, Chen D, Yang L, Meng J, Wang Z, Ludescher J,<br>Kurths J, Chen X, Havlin S and Schellnhuber HJ<br>among tipping elements in the Earth system. *Nature*<br>67–74. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01558-4.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01558-4)
- <span id="page-19-32"></span><span id="page-19-30"></span><span id="page-19-16"></span>Luo F, Selten F, Wehrli K, Kornhuber K, Le Sager P, May W and Coumou D (2022) Summertime Rossby waves in climate models: Substantial biases in surface imprint associated with small biases in upper-level circulation. Wea**o F, Selten F, Wehrli K, Kornhuber K, Le Sager P, May W and Coumou D** (2022) Summertime Rossby waves in climate models: Substantial biases in surface imprint associated with small biases in upper-level circulation. *Weath* [2022.](https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-3-905-2022)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>Lyle ZJ, VanBriesen JM and Samaras C (2023) Drinking water utility-level understanding of climate change effects to system reliability. ACS  $ES\&T$ 2022.<br>le ZJ, VanBriesen JM anderstanding of clima<br>Water 3(8), 2395–2406.
- <span id="page-19-23"></span><span id="page-19-3"></span>McCarthy GD and Caesar L (2023) Can we trust projections of AMOC weakening based on climate models that cannot reproduce the past? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A 381(2262), 20220193.
- <span id="page-19-21"></span><span id="page-19-14"></span>Mecking JV and Drijfhout SS (2023) The decrease in ocean heat transport in response to global warming. Nature Climate Change, <sup>13</sup>, 1229–1236. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01829-8) [doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01829-8.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01829-8)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span><span id="page-19-5"></span>Menary MB, Jackson LC and Lozier MS (2020) Reconciling the relationship between the AMOC and Labrador Sea in OSNAP observations and climate models. Geophysical Research Letters 47(18), e2020GL089793.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>Mens M, Hunink J, Delsman J, Pouwels J, Schasfoort F (2020) Geactualiseerde knelpuntenanalyse voor het Deltaprogramma Zoetwater fase II, Deltares.
- <span id="page-19-24"></span><span id="page-19-6"></span>Myhre G, Alterskjær K, Stjern CW, Hodnebrog Ø, Marelle L, Samset BH and Stohl A (2019) Frequency of extreme precipitation increases extensively with event rareness under global warming. Scientific Reports 9(1), 16063. **Stohl A** (2019) Frequency of extreme precipitation increases extensively with event rareness under global warming. *Scientific Reports* **9**(1), 16063. **stor M-M** (2020) Groundwater vulnerability in Europe under climate ch
- <span id="page-19-22"></span><span id="page-19-18"></span>Nistor M-M (2020) Groundwater vulnerability in Europe under climate change. [19.04.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2019.04.012)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>Orbe C, Rind D, Miller RL, Nazarenko LS, Romanou A, Jonas J and Schmidt GA (2023) Atmospheric response to a collapse of the North Atlantic circulation under a mid-range future climate scenario: A regime shift in northern **be C, Rind D, Miller RL, Nazarenko LS, Romanou A, Jonas GA** (2023) Atmospheric response to a collapse of the North lation under a mid-range future climate scenario: A regime sh<br>hemisphere dynamics. *Journal of Climate* 3
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>Orihuela Pinto L (2022). Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation Collapse Effects on Global Climate and Feedbacks, Doctoral dissertation, UNSW Sydney.
- Orihuela-Pinto B, England MH and Taschetto AS (2022) Interbasin and interhemispheric impacts of a collapsed Atlantic overturning circulation. **ihuela-Pinto B, England MH and Ta**<br>interhemispheric impacts of a collapse<br>*Nature Climate Change* 12(6), 558–565.
- Oude Essink GHP, Van Baaren ES and De Louw PGB (2010) Effects of climate change on coastal groundwater systems: A modeling study in the Netherlands. Nature Climate Change 12(6), 558–565.<br> **Ide Essink GHP, Van Baaren ES and De Louw PGB** (2010) Effects of climate<br>
change on coastal groundwater systems: A modeling study in the Netherlands<br> *Water Resources Research* 46, 1
- Paul MJ, LeDuc SD, Lassiter MG, Moorhead LC, Noyes PD and Leibowitz SG (2022) Wildfire induces changes in receiving waters: A review with considerations for water quality management. Water Resources Research 58(9), e2021WR030699.
- Peng Q, Xie SP, Wang D, Huang RX, Chen G, Shu Y and Liu W (2022) Surface warming-induced global acceleration of upper ocean currents. Science Advances 8(16), eabj8394.
- Probst E and Mauser W (2023) Climate change impacts on water resources in the Danube River basin: A hydrological modelling study using EURO-CORDEX climate scenarios. Water. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w15010008>.
- Quitana G, Molinos-Senante M and Chamorro A (2020) Resilience of critical infrastructure to natural hazards: A review focused on drinking water systems. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction 48, 101575.
- Ramos MC, Lizaga I, Gaspar L and Navas A (2022) The impacts of exceptional rainfall on phosphorus mobilisation in a mountain agroforestry catchment (NE, Spain). Catena 216, 106407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106407>.
- Rao MP, Davi NK, Magney TS, Andreu-Hayles L, Nachin B, Suran B and Griffin KL (2023) Approaching a thermal tipping point in the Eurasian boreal forest at its southern margin. Communications Earth & Environment 4(1), 247.
- Reeves J, Chen J, Wang XL, Lund R and Lu QQ (2007) A review and comparison of changepoint detection techniques for climate data. Journal 4(1), 247.<br> **eves J, Chen J, Wang XL, Lund R and Lu QQ** (20<br>
comparison of changepoint detection techniques for cl<br>
of Applied Meteorology and Climatology 46(6), 900–915.
- Rickert B, van den Berg H, Bekure K, Girma S and de Roda Husman AM (2019) Including aspects of climate change into water safety planning: Literature review of global experience and case studies from Ethiopian urban supplies. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 222(5),  $(2019)$  I:<br>Literatur<br>supplies.<br>744–755.
- Riedel T and Weber TKD (2020) Review: The influence of global change on Europe's water cycle and groundwater recharge. Hydrogeology Journal 28(6), 744–755.<br> **1939–1959. Weber TKD** (2020) Review: The influence c<br>
Europe's water cycle and groundwater recharge. *Hydroge*<br>
1939–1959. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-020-02165-3>.
- Ripple WJ, Wolf C, Lenton TM, Gregg JW, Natali SM, Duffy PB and Schellnhuber HJ (2023) Many risky feedback loops amplify the need for climate action. One Earth 6.
- Semadeni-Davies A, Hernebring C, Svensson G and Gustafsson L-G (2008) The impacts of climate change and urbanisation on drainage in Helsingborg, climate action. One Earth 6.<br> **madeni-Davies A, Hernebring C, Svensson G and Gustafsson L-G** (2008)<br>
The impacts of climate change and urbanisation on drainage in Helsingborg.<br>
Sweden: Combined sewer system. *Journal of Hy* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.05.028>.
- Sgubin G, Swingedouw D, Drijfhout S, Mary Y and Bennabi A (2017) Abrupt cooling over the North Atlantic in modern climate models. Nature Communications 8(1), 14375.
- Sheahan D, Maud J, Wither A, Moffat C and Engelke C (2013) Impacts of climate change on pollution (estuarine and coastal). MCCIP Science Review 4, nications<br>
eahan D<br>
climate c<br>
244–251.
- Shepherd TG, Boyd E, Calel RA, Chapman SC, Dessai S, Dima-West IM and Zenghelis DA (2018) Storylines: An alternative approach to representing uncertainty in physical aspects of climate change. Climatic Change 151, epherd T<br>Zengheli<br>uncertair<br>555–571.
- Sillmann J, Shepherd TG, van den Hurk B, Hazeleger W, Martius O, Slingo J and Zscheischler J (2021) Event-based storylines to address climate risk. Earth's Future 9(2), e2020EF001783.
- Sperna Weiland FC, Visser RD, Greve P, Bisselink B, Brunner L and Weerts AH (2021). Estimating Regionalized Hydrological Impacts of Climate Change Over Europe by Performance-Based Weighting of CORDEX Projections. Frontiers in Water 3. [https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2021.713537.](https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2021.713537)
- Sjerps RMA, ter Laak TL, Zwolsman GJJ (2017) Projected impact of climate change and chemical emissions on the water quality of the European rivers Rhine and Meuse: A drinking water perspective, Science of the Total Environ**rps RMA, ter Laak TL, Zwolsman GJJ** (2017) Projected impact of clim<br>change and chemical emissions on the water quality of the European riv<br>Rhine and Meuse: A drinking water perspective, *Science of the Total Envirt*<br>*ment*
- Smeed DA, Josey SA, Beaulieu C, Johns WE, Moat BI, Frajka-Williams E, … McCarthy GD (2018) The North Atlantic Ocean is in a state of reduced ment 601–602, 1682–1694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.250.<br>need DA, Josey SA, Beaulieu C, Johns WE, Moat BI, Frajka-Williams E,<br>McCarthy GD (2018) The North Atlantic Ocean is in a state of reduc<br>overturning.
- Smith T, Traxl D and Boers N (2022) Empirical evidence for recent global shifts in vegetation residence in vegetation residence for recent<br>in vegetation resilience. Nature Climate Change 12(5), 477–484.
- <span id="page-20-25"></span><span id="page-20-6"></span>Soares PM, Lemos G and Lima DC (2023) Critical analysis of CMIPs past climate model projections in a regional context: The Iberian climate. Interin vegetation resilience. *Nature Climate Change* 12<br>ares PM, Lemos G and Lima DC (2023) Critica<br>climate model projections in a regional context: T<br>*national Journal of Climatology* 43(5), 2250–2270.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span><span id="page-20-8"></span>Stofberg SF, Engelenburg J, van Broers E (2023) Klimaateffecten op drinkwaterwinningen (Climate effects on water abstractions). Report BTO 2023.065, KWR, Nieuwegein (in Dutch).
- <span id="page-20-26"></span><span id="page-20-21"></span>Sutton RT (2019) Climate science needs to take risk assessment much more seriously. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 100(9), KWR, Nieu<br> **tton RT** (<br>
more serio<br>
1637–1642.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span>Tagklis F, Bracco A, Ito T and Castelao RM (2020) Submesoscale modulation of deep water formation in the Labrador Sea. Scientific Reports 10(1), 17489.
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>Uhl A, Hahn HJ, Jäger A, Luftensteiner T, Siemensmeyer T, Döll P and Griebler C (2022) Making waves: Pulling the plug-climate change effects will turn gaining into losing streams with detrimental effects on groundwater quality. Water Research 220, 118649.
- <span id="page-20-17"></span><span id="page-20-4"></span>Vahala R (2016) Challenges and solutions for drinking water treatment in cold climate. Water Management in Cold Climate conference, Spitsbergen 2016.
- <span id="page-20-16"></span><span id="page-20-1"></span>Valdivia-Garcia M, Weir P, Graham DW and Werner D (2019) Predicted impact of climate change on trihalomethanes formation in drinking water treatment. Scientific Reports 9, 9967. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46238-0) [46238-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46238-0).
- <span id="page-20-20"></span><span id="page-20-15"></span>Van der Linden S, Maibach E and Leiserowitz A (2015) Improving public engagement with climate change: Five "best practice" insights from psycho-46238-0.<br> **n der Linden S, Maibach E and Leiserowitz A** (2015) Improving<br>
engagement with climate change: Five "best practice" insights from<br>
logical science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **10**(6), 758–763.
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>Van der Wiel K and Bintanja R (2021) Contribution of climatic changes in mean and variability to monthly temperature and precipitation extremes. Communications Earth & Environment 2(1), 1.
- <span id="page-20-19"></span><span id="page-20-9"></span><span id="page-20-0"></span>Van Huijgevoort MHJ, Voortman BR, Rijpkema S, Nijhuis KHS and Witte J-PM (2020) Influence of climate and land use change on the groundwater system of the Veluwe, the Netherlands: A historical and future perspective. Water, 12(10), 2866. [https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/10/](https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/10/2866) [2866.](https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/10/2866)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>van Vliet MTH, Ludwig F, Zwolsman JJG, Weedon GP and Kabat P (2011) Global river temperatures and sensitivity to atmospheric warming and changes in river flow. Water Resources Research, 47(2): W02544. [http://](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79952204429&partnerID=40&md5=e6051db6fedf25557c7e477a8039cfc9) [www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79952204429&partnerID=](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79952204429&partnerID=40&md5=e6051db6fedf25557c7e477a8039cfc9) [40&md5=e6051db6fedf25557c7e477a8039cfc9.](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79952204429&partnerID=40&md5=e6051db6fedf25557c7e477a8039cfc9)
- <span id="page-20-22"></span><span id="page-20-18"></span><span id="page-20-10"></span>van Vliet MTH and Zwolsman JJG (2008) Impact of summer droughts on the water quality of the Meuse river. Journal of Hydrology, <sup>353</sup>(1–2): 1–17. [http://](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-41649093522&partnerID=40&md5=0cabf4fe637d359c8365e81d935b5364) [www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-41649093522&partnerID=](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-41649093522&partnerID=40&md5=0cabf4fe637d359c8365e81d935b5364) [40&md5=0cabf4fe637d359c8365e81d935b5364.](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-41649093522&partnerID=40&md5=0cabf4fe637d359c8365e81d935b5364)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span><span id="page-20-5"></span>van Westen RM, Kliphuis M and Dijkstra HA (2024) Physics-based early warning signal shows that AMOC is on tipping course. Science Advances 10(6), eadk1189.
- <span id="page-20-24"></span><span id="page-20-11"></span>Vautard RJ, Cattiaux T, Happé J, Singh R, Bonnet et al. (2023) Heat extremes in Western Europe are increasing faster than simulated due to missed atmospheric circulation trends. hal-03937057v. [https://hal.science/hal-](https://hal.science/hal-03937057v2/document)[03937057v2/document](https://hal.science/hal-03937057v2/document).
- Verlicchi P, Grillini V, Maffini F, Benini A, Mari M and Casoni R (2024) A proposed methodology to evaluate the influence of climate change on drinking water treatments and costs. Journal of Environmental Management 366, 121726.
- Viaroli P, Bartoli M, Castaldelli G, Naldi M, Nizzoli D, Rossetti G (2013). Recent evolution and expected changes of nutrient loads in a heavily exploited watershed: the Po River, Italy. In Understanding Freshwater Quality Problems in a Changing World, Proceedings of H04, IAHS-IAPSO-IASPEI Assembly, Recent evolution and expected changes of nutrient loads in a heavily watershed: the Po River, Italy. In Understanding Freshwater Quality in a Changing World, Proceedings of H04, IAHS-IAPSO-IASPEI .<br>Gothenburg, Sweden, July
- Vogel MM, Zscheischler J, Wartenburger R, Dee D and Seneviratne SI (2019) Concurrent 2018 hot extremes across northern hemisphere due to human-Gothenburg, Sweden, July 2013 (IAHS Publ. 361, 2013), 4, 175–182.<br> **gel MM, Zscheischler J, Wartenburger R, Dee D and Seneviratne 4**<br>
Concurrent 2018 hot extremes across northern hemisphere due to<br>
induced climate change.
- Wang S, Foster A, Lenz EA, Kessler JD, Stroeve JC, Anderson LO and Hausfather Z (2023) Mechanisms and impacts of earth system tipping elements. Reviews of Geophysics 61, e2021RG000757. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021RG000757) [10.1029/2021RG000757](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021RG000757).
- Weijer W, Cheng W, Drijfhout SS, Fedorov AV, Hu A,Jackson LC and Zhang J (2019) Stability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation: A review 10.1029/2021RG000757.<br> **eijer W, Cheng W, Drijfhout SS, Fedorov AV, Hu A, Jackson LC and Zhang**<br> **J** (2019) Stability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation: A review<br>
and synthesis. *Journal of Geophysical Res* [https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015083.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015083)
- Weldeab S, Schneider RR, Yu J and Kylander-Clark A (2022) Evidence for massive methane hydrate destabilization during the penultimate interglacial warming. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119, 35, e2201871119. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201871119.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201871119)
- Wols BA and Van Thienen P (2016) Impact of climate on pipe failure: Predictions of failures for drinking water distribution systems. European e2201871119. [https://](https://doi.org/10.18757/ejtir.2016.16.1.3123)doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201871119.<br>**ols BA and Van Thienen P** (2016) Impact of climate on pipe failure:<br>Predictions of failures for drinking water distribution systems. *European*<br>Journal of Transport an [doi.org/10.18757/ejtir.2016.16.1.3123](https://doi.org/10.18757/ejtir.2016.16.1.3123).
- Wunderling N, Willeit M, Donges JF and Winkelmann R (2020) Global warming due to loss of large ice masses and Arctic summer sea ice. Nature Communications 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18934-3>
- Wunderling N, Donges JF, Kurths J and Winkelmann R (2021) Interacting tipping elements increase risk of climate domino effects under global warm-Communications 11(1). https://doi.org/10.10<br> **underling N, Donges JF, Kurths J and Wii**<br>
tipping elements increase risk of climate dor<br>
ing. *Earth System Dynamics* 12(2), 601–619.
- Wunderling N, Winkelmann R, Rockström J, Loriani S, Armstrong McKay DI, Ritchie PD and Donges JF (2023) Global warming overshoots increase risks of climate tipping cascades in a network model. Nature Climate Change mag. 2010.<br> **13(1)**, Ritchie F<br>
13(1), 75–82.
- Xiao F, Huang JCH, Zhang BJ and Cui CW (2009) Effects of low temperature on coagulation kinetics and floc surface morphology using alum. Desalin-13(1),  $75-82$ .<br> **ao F, Huang JCH, Zhang**<br>
on coagulation kinetics a<br> *ation*  $237(1-3)$ ,  $201-213$ .
- Zhu C, Liu Z, Zhang S and Wu L (2023) Likely accelerated weakening of Atlantic overturning circulation emerges in optimal salinity fingerprint. Nature Communications 14(1), 1245.
- Zwolsman JJG and Becker B (2012, September). Climate change and seawater intrusion: impacts on water supply in the Netherlands. In IWA World Water Nature Communical<br>volsman JJG and Be<br>intrusion: impacts of<br>Congress, pp. 16–21.
- Zwolsman JJG and Van Bokhoven AJ (2007) Impact of summer droughts on water quality of the Rhine River-a preview of climate change? Water Science Congress, pp. 16–21.<br>**volsman JJG and Van Bokho**<br>water quality of the Rhine Riv<br>*and Technology* 56(4), 45–55.