

## SOME EXAMPLES OF COMPLEMENTED MODULAR LATTICES

G. Grätzer and Maria J. Wonenburger

(received November 13, 1961)

Let  $L$  be a complemented,  $\mathcal{S}$ -complete modular lattice. A theorem of Amemiya and Halperin (see [1], Theorem 4.3) asserts that if the intervals  $[O, a]$  and  $[O, b]$ ,  $a, b \in L$ , are upper  $\mathcal{S}$ -continuous then  $[O, a \cup b]$  is also upper  $\mathcal{S}$ -continuous. Roughly speaking, in  $L$  upper  $\mathcal{S}$ -continuity is additive. The following question arises naturally: is  $\mathcal{S}$ -completeness an additive property of complemented modular lattices? It follows from Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 below that the answer to this question is in the negative.

A complemented modular lattice is called a Von Neumann geometry or continuous geometry if it is complete and continuous. In particular a complete Boolean algebra is a Von Neumann geometry. In any case in a Von Neumann geometry the set of elements which possess a unique complement form a complete Boolean algebra. This Boolean algebra is called the centre of the Von Neumann geometry. Theorem 2 shows that any complete Boolean algebra can be the centre of a Von Neumann geometry with a homogeneous basis of order  $n$  (see [3] Part II, definition 3.2 for the definition of a homogeneous basis),  $n$  being any fixed natural integer.

### Preliminaries

We first recall some properties of regular rings. The definitions and proofs can be found in [3] part II, Chap. II or [2], § 3. We always assume that the regular ring has a unit element which will be denoted by 1.

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 5, no. 2, May 1962.

If  $S$  is a regular ring,  $\bar{L}_S$  ( $\bar{R}_S$ ) denotes the complemented modular lattices of principal left (right) ideals. The mapping which takes each element of  $\bar{L}_S$  into its right annihilator is a dual-isomorphism of  $\bar{L}_S$  onto  $\bar{R}_S$ . Under this map the principal left ideal  $(e)_\ell$  generated by the idempotent  $e$  goes into the principal right ideal  $(1-e)_r$ .

If  $S$  is a regular ring, the ring  $S_n$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $S$  is also regular. There exists a lattice isomorphism between  $\bar{L}_{S_n}$  ( $\bar{R}_{S_n}$ ) and the lattice of finitely generated submodules of the left (right)  $S$ -module of  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i \in S$ . Since  $S_n$  is regular, for every  $A \in S_n$  there exists an idempotent matrix  $E$  such that  $(E)_\ell = (A)_\ell$ . Moreover, it is possible to choose

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ c_{21} & e_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \dots & e_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $e_i^2 = e_i$ ,  $e_i c_{ij} = c_{ij}$ ,  $c_{ij} e_j = 0$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $c_{ij} = 0$ , for  $j > i$ . Such a matrix is called a left

canonical matrix. An idempotent matrix such that

$e_i^2 = e_i$ ,  $c_{ij} e_j = c_{ij}$ ,  $e_i c_{ij} = 0$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $c_{ij} = 0$  for  $j > i$  is called right canonical. For every  $A \in S_n$

there exists a right canonical matrix  $E$  such that  $(A)_r = (E)_r$ .

Notice that if  $E$  is a right (left) canonical matrix then  $1-E$  is left (right) canonical.

In what follows our regular ring  $S$  will be the Boolean ring  $B$  defined by a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , that is, the elements

of  $B$  are those of  $\mathcal{L}$  and

$$a + b = ab' \cup ba' , ab = a \cap b ,$$

where  $c'$  denotes the complement of  $c \in \mathcal{L}$ . The notation  $c = a \cup b$  implies that  $ab = 0$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{B}$ , it defines an ideal  $I$  of  $B$ . There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  and the principal ideal of  $B$ .

In the ring  $S_n$  there is in general more than one left (right) canonical matrix corresponding to an element  $A \in S_n$ . However, if two left canonical matrices  $E$  and  $F$  are such that  $(E)_{\mathcal{L}} = (F)_{\mathcal{L}}$  and they have the same idempotents down the main diagonal, then  $E = F$ . This follows from the fact that  $EF = E$  if  $(E)_{\mathcal{L}} = (F)_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Although in general the element  $e_i$  is not uniquely defined by  $A$ , the ideal  $(e_i)_{\mathcal{L}}$  is unique. Since in the Boolean ring  $B$  any principal ideal is defined by a unique element, any principal left ideal of  $B_n$  is defined by a unique left canonical matrix. We will identify the elements of  $\bar{L}_B$  with the corresponding left canonical matrices.

### Some examples of complemented modular lattices

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{L}$  will be a Boolean algebra,  $\mathcal{L}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $B$  and  $I$  the corresponding Boolean ring and ideal.  $\bar{J}$  denotes the cardinal power of the set  $J$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $L$  consist of the  $2 \times 2$  left canonical matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ a & e_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } e_1, e_2 \in B \text{ and } a \in I. \text{ For}$$

$A_1, A_2 \in L$ , define  $A_1 \leq A_2$  if  $(A_1)_{\mathcal{L}} \subset (A_2)_{\mathcal{L}}$  where  $(A)_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the principal left ideal of  $B_2$  generated by  $A$ . Then  $L$  is a complemented modular lattice. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $L$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -sublattice of  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$
- (ii)  $L$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -continuous  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -sublattice of  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -ideal and  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete.

Proof. Let  $R$  be the set of right canonical matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ a & e_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1, e_2 \in B \text{ with } a \in I, \text{ ordered by the relation}$$

$A_1 \leq A_2$  if  $(A_1)_r \subset (A_2)_r$ . Then the dual isomorphism between  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$  and  $\bar{R}_{B_2}$  induces a dual isomorphism between

$L$  and  $R$ . Hence any statement about  $L$  implies its dual, since what we prove for  $L$  can be proved as well for  $R$ .

We show first that  $L$  is a complemented modular lattice. When  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$  the ordered set defined in the theorem coincides with  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$  and there is nothing to prove. When  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$  we will

prove that  $L$  is a sublattice of  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$ . For this we use the

lattice isomorphism between the principal left ideals of  $B_2$  and the finitely generated submodules of the left  $B$ -module of 2-tuples  $(a_1, a_2)$ ,  $a_i \in B$ . If  $\{(a_1, a_2)\}$  denotes the left submodule generated by the vector  $(a_1, a_2)$  then the module

$M$  corresponding to the canonical matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ a & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$  has the

form

$$(1) \quad M = \{(e_1, 0)\} \oplus \{(a, e_2)\} = \{(e_1, 0)\} \oplus \{(a, a)\} \oplus \{(0, a_0)\}$$

where  $a_0 = e_2 a'$  and  $\oplus$  indicates direct sum. Since the matrix is canonical  $e_2 = a \dot{\cup} a_0$ .

It is clear that the only elements of  $M$  whose second or first component is zero are the elements of the submodules  $\{(e_1, 0)\}$  or  $\{(0, a_0)\}$ , respectively. The elements of  $M$  of the form  $(c, c)$  are the elements of  $\{(a \cup e_1 a_0, a \cup e_1 a_0)\}$ .

The module

$$(2) \quad N = \{(f_1, 0)\} \oplus \{(b, b)\} \oplus \{(0, b_0)\},$$

where  $b \in I$ ,  $bf_1 = bb_0 = 0$ , corresponds to the canonical matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ b & f_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_2 = b \cup b_0$ . Now  $N$  contains  $M$  if and only if

$$e_1 \leq f_1, \quad a_0 \leq b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a \leq b \cup f_1 b_0,$$

or what is equivalent,

$$e_1 \leq f_1, \quad e_2 \leq f_2, \quad a \leq b \cup f_1 f_2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 b = 0.$$

In general given two modules  $M$  and  $N$  defined by (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} M \cap N &= \{(e_1 \cup f_1, 0)\} + \{(a \cup b, a \cup b)\} + \{(0, a_0 \cup b_0)\} = \\ &= \{(e_1 \cup f_1 \cup ba_0 \cup b_0 a, 0)\} \oplus \{(c, c)\} \oplus \{(0, a_0 \cup b_0 \cup be_1 \cup af_1)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c = af_1 b'_1 \cup e_1 a'_1 b \leq a \cup b \in I$ . Hence  $M \cap N \in L$ . By duality  $M \cap N \in L$ . Therefore  $L$  is a sublattice of a modular lattice and is itself modular. Since

$$M' = \{(e'_1 a', 0)\} \oplus \{(0, a')\}$$

is a complement of the module  $M$ ,  $L$  is a complemented modular lattice.

Our next step is to show that if  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\mathfrak{K}$ -complete then  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$  is  $\mathfrak{K}$ -complete. It is sufficient to show that  $\bar{L}_{B_2}$  is upper  $\mathfrak{K}$ -complete, because the lower  $\mathfrak{K}$ -completeness follows by duality.

$$\text{Let } A^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^\beta & 0 \\ a^\beta & e_2^\beta \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{L}_{B_2} \text{ for all } \beta \in J,$$

where  $\bar{J} \leq \mathfrak{K}$ . It is immediate that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathfrak{K}$ -complete, the union of the corresponding modules

$$M_3 = \{(e_1^\beta, 0)\} \oplus \{(a^\beta, a^\beta)\} \oplus \{(0, a_0^\beta)\} \text{ where } a_0^\beta = e_2^\beta (a^\beta)'$$

is the module

$$M = \{(\cup e_1^\beta, 0)\} + \{(\cup a^\beta, \cup a^\beta)\} + \{(0, \cup a_0^\beta)\}$$

which corresponds to the canonical matrix

$$(3) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \cup e_1^\beta & \cup ((\cup a^\beta) \cdot (\cup a_0^\beta)) & 0 \\ & d & | \cup a^\beta | \cup | \cup a_0^\beta | \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } d = (\cup a^\beta) \cdot (\cup e_1^\beta \cup ((\cup a^\beta) \cdot (\cup a_0^\beta)))'$$

Now we are ready to prove the equivalence of conditions (i), (ii), (iii).

(i) implies (ii). This is a consequence of the additivity of upper  $\mathfrak{K}$ -continuity in complemented  $\mathfrak{K}$ -complete modular lattices. For, if

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the intervals  $[0, X]$  and  $[0, Y]$  are both isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}$ ;

hence  $L = [0, X \cup Y]$  is upper  $\mathfrak{S}$ -continuous. Using duality we get that  $L$  is  $\mathfrak{S}$ -continuous.

(ii) implies (iii). Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic to the interval  $[0, X]$ , if  $L$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete then  $B$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete.

Now let

$$C^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a^\beta & a^\beta \end{pmatrix} \in L$$

for all  $\beta \in J$  and  $\bar{J} \leq \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ . Then

$$\cup C^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \cup a^\beta & \cup a^\beta \end{pmatrix} \in L,$$

which implies that  $\cup a^\beta \in \mathcal{L}$  and therefore  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete.

(iii) implies (i). Let

$$A^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^\beta & 0 \\ a^\beta & e_2^\beta \end{pmatrix} \in L \text{ for all } \beta \in J,$$

and  $\bar{J} \leq \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ . Then (3) implies that  $\cup A^\beta \in L$ , hence (i) holds.

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $L$  be as in Theorem 1. Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is complete and  $\mathcal{L}$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -ideal which is not an  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -ideal. Then

(a)  $L$  contains two elements  $X$  and  $Y$  such that the intervals  $[0, X]$  and  $[0, Y]$  are complete and continuous and  $L = [0, X \cup Y]$ .

(b)  $L$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -complete and  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ -continuous but not  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -complete.

Proof. The only thing which has to be proved is that  $L$  is not  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -complete.

Suppose  $L$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -complete. Then, since  $L = [0, X \cup Y]$ , by the additivity of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -continuity in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -complete lattices,  $L$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -continuous. Let  $\Omega$  be the first ordinal such that  $\overline{\Omega} = \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$  and  $\{a^\beta\}_{\beta < \Omega}$  an increasing chain of elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\cup a^\beta \notin \mathcal{L}$ . Take

$$C^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a^\beta & a^\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$C = \cup C^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \cup a^\beta & \cup a^\beta \end{pmatrix} \notin L.$$

If  $C' = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ b & * \end{pmatrix}$  is the supremum of the  $C^\beta$  in  $L$  then

$b \not\leq \cup a^\beta$ , since  $b \in I$ . On the other hand  $C < C'$  implies that  $\cup a^\beta \leq b \cup e_1$ , hence  $e_1 \neq 0$ . Now

$D = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L$ .  $D \cap C^\beta = 0$  for all  $\beta < \Omega$ , but

$D \cap C \neq 0$ , which contradicts the  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+1}$ -continuity of  $L$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $L$  be as in Theorem 1. Then  $L$  is a Von Neumann geometry if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a principal ideal, that is,  $I = [0, x]$ ,  $x \in B$ . In this case the center of  $L$  is isomorphic to  $[0, x] \times [0, x'] \times [0, x']$ .



Proof. When  $\mathcal{L} = [0, x]$ ,  $L$  is the lattice direct sum of the intervals  $[0, Y_0]$ ,  $[0, Y_1]$ ,  $[0, Y_2]$ , where

$$Y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence its center is isomorphic to  $[0, x] \times [0, x] \times [0, x]$

**THEOREM 2.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra, then the lattice  $\bar{L}_{B_n}$  is a Von Neumann geometry whose center is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Remark. For  $n = 2$  this theorem is contained in Theorem 1.

Proof. Because of the dual isomorphism between  $\bar{L}_{B_n}$  and  $\bar{R}_{B_n}$  we only need to prove that  $\bar{L}_{B_n}$  is upper complete and upper continuous. Now  $\bar{L}_{B_n} = [0, X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_n]$ , where  $X_i$  is the canonical matrix with 1 in the  $(i, i)$  place and zeros elsewhere, and the interval  $[0, X_i]$  being isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}$ , is continuous. Therefore, by the theorem of Amemiya and Halperin quoted in the introduction, if  $\bar{L}_{B_n}$  is upper complete it is also upper continuous. So it is sufficient to prove that  $\bar{L}_{B_n}$  is upper complete.

We use induction on  $n$ . If  $n=1$ ,  $\bar{L}_B \approx \mathcal{B}$  and there is nothing to prove. Assume then that the theorem is true for  $n-1$ . Let  $A^\beta$  be an increasing chain, where  $\beta < \Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  any limit ordinal, and

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{L}_{B_n}.$$

Then the elements  $A^\beta \cap E$  form an increasing chain. To the element  $A^\beta \cap E$  there corresponds a finitely generated submodule  $N^\beta$  of the left  $B$ -module of  $n$ -tuples and the elements of this submodule have the last component equal zero. Therefore, because of the induction assumption, the increasing chain of submodules  $N^\beta$  has a supremum which is also a submodule whose elements have the last component equal to zero. Let  $A' \in \bar{L}_{B_n}$  be the left canonical matrix corresponding to this submodule,

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ c_{21} & e_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ c_{n-1,1} & c_{n-1,2} & \dots & e_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $C$  is an upper bound of the  $A^\beta$ ,  $\beta < \Omega$ , then  $C \geq A^\beta \cap E$ . Hence  $C \geq A'$ , and  $C \geq A^\beta \cup A'$ . That is, any upper bound of the  $A^\beta$  is an upper bound of the chain of  $A^\beta \cup A'$  and conversely. Let  $B^\beta = A^\beta \cup A'$ , since  $B^\beta \cap E = (A^\beta \cup A') \cap E = A'$ ,

$$B^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ c_{21} & e_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ c_{n-1,1} & c_{n-1,2} & \dots & e_{n-1} & 0 \\ b_1^\beta & b_2^\beta & \dots & b_{n-1}^\beta & e_n^\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $B^\alpha \leq B^\beta$  and this implies  $B^\alpha B^\beta = B^\alpha$ , which is equivalent to  $e_n^\alpha b_i^\beta = b_i^\alpha$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $e_n^\alpha e_n^\beta = e_n^\alpha$ . Now it is easily seen that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ c_{21} & e_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cup b_1^\beta & \cup b_2^\beta & \dots & \cup b_{n-1}^\beta & \cup e_n^\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

is the supremum of the chain of  $B^\alpha$ . For,  $e_n^\alpha b_i^\beta = b_i^\alpha$  and  $e_n^\alpha e_n^\beta = e_n^\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \beta$  imply that the  $b_i^\beta$  and  $e_n^\beta$  form increasing chains. Consequently,  $e_n^\alpha (\cup b_i^\beta) = b_i^\alpha$ ,  $e_n^\alpha (\cup e_n^\beta) = e_n^\alpha$  and  $(\cup e_n^\alpha) (\cup b_i^\beta) = \cup_\alpha (e_n^\alpha (\cup b_i^\beta)) = \cup b_i^\alpha$ , Therefore  $B$  is a canonical matrix such that  $B^\alpha B = B^\alpha$ , which implies  $B^\alpha \leq B$ , and it is clear that  $B$  is the supremum.

#### REFERENCES

1. I. Amemiya and I. Halperin, Complemented modular lattices. *Can. J. Math.* Vol. 11, pp. 481-520.
2. K.D. Fryer and I. Halperin, The von Neumann coordinatization theorem for complemented modular lattices. *Acta Szeged* 1956, pp. 203-249.
3. J. von Neumann, *Continuous Geometry*. Princeton University Press, 1960.

Hungarian Academy  
Queen's University