

SERIES EXPANSION, HIGHER-ORDER MONOTONICITY PROPERTIES AND INEQUALITIES FOR THE MODULUS OF THE GRÖTZSCH RING

ZHEN-HANG YANG¹ , MIAO-KUN WANG²  AND JING-FENG TIAN³ 

¹*Zhejiang Electric Power Company Research Institute, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, P. R. China*

²*Department of Mathematics, Huzhou University, Huzhou, Zhejiang, P. R. China*

³*Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University,
Baoding, Hebei, P.R. China*

Corresponding author: Miao-Kun Wang, email: wmk000@126.com

(Received 6 December 2022)

Abstract For $r \in (0, 1)$, let $\mu(r)$ be the modulus of the plane Grötzsch ring $\mathbb{B}^2 \setminus [0, r]$, where \mathbb{B}^2 is the unit disk. In this paper, we prove that

$$\mu(r) = \ln \frac{4}{r} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} r^{2n},$$

with $\theta_n \in (0, 1)$. Employing this series expansion, we obtain several absolutely monotonic and (logarithmically) completely monotonic functions involving $\mu(r)$, which yields some new results and extend certain known ones. Moreover, we give an affirmative answer to the conjecture proposed by Alzer and Richards in H. Alzer and K. Richards, On the modulus of the Grötzsch ring, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 432(1): (2015), 134–141, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.06.057. As applications, several new sharp bounds and functional inequalities for $\mu(r)$ are established.

Keywords: complete elliptic integral; modulus of the Grötzsch ring; absolute monotonicity; series expansion; complete monotonicity; inequality

2020 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 33E05; 30C62
Secondary 26A48; 39B62

1. Introduction

For $r \in (0, 1)$, the complete elliptic integral of the first and second kinds are defined by

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - r^2 \sin^2 t}} dt,$$



$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{E}(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - r^2 \sin^2 t} dt.$$

They have the Gaussian hypergeometric series representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(r) &= \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; r^2\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n^2 r^{2n}, \\ \mathcal{E}(r) &= \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; r^2\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_n^2}{1 - 2n} r^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_n = \frac{(1/2)_n}{n!} = \frac{\Gamma(n + 1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n + 1)} \tag{1.1}$$

is the Wallis ratio. The complete elliptic integral of the first kind $\mathcal{K}(r)$ satisfies Landen identities

$$\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) = (1+r)\mathcal{K}(r) \text{ and } \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) = \frac{1+r}{2}\mathcal{K}(r'), \tag{1.2}$$

and the asymptotic formula

$$\mathcal{K}(r) \sim \ln \frac{4}{r'} \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-, \tag{1.3}$$

where and in what follows $r' = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$ (see [14]).

As usual, let \mathbb{C} be the complex plane and \mathbb{B}^2 ($\overline{\mathbb{B}^2}$) be open (closed) the unit disk in \mathbb{C} , and let $\mu(r)$ be the modulus of the Grötzsch (extremely) ring $\mathbb{B}^2 \setminus [0, r]$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$\mu(r) = \frac{\pi \mathcal{K}(r')}{2 \mathcal{K}(r)} \tag{1.4}$$

(see [6, 16]). Using the Möbius transformation, the Grötzsch ring can be mapped onto $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\overline{\mathbb{B}^2} \cup [s, +\infty)\right)$, with $s = 1/r \in (1, +\infty)$, which has the conformal capacity

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu(1/s)}. \tag{1.5}$$

As is well known, the special function $\mu(r)$ plays an important role in conformal and quasiconformal mappings, and many conformal invariants and quasiconformal distortion functions can be expressed by it (see [3, 5, 6, 16]). For example, for $K \geq 1$,

the Hersch–Pfluger distortion function $\varphi_K(r)$, which occurs in the Schwarz Lemma for K -quasiconformal mappings, is defined by

$$\varphi_K(r) = \mu^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu(r)}{K} \right).$$

The function

$$\lambda(K) = \left(\frac{\varphi_K(1/\sqrt{2})}{\varphi_{1/K}(1/\sqrt{2})} \right)^2$$

gives the maximal value of boundary linear distortion for a K -quasiconformal automorphism of the upper half plane with fixed ∞ .

Now, let us return to equation (1.4). By equations (1.2) and (1.3), it is easy to check that $\mu(r)$ satisfies

$$\mu(r) \mu(r') = \frac{\pi^2}{4}, \quad \mu(r) \mu \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}, \quad \mu(r) = 2\mu \left(\frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1+r} \right),$$

and the asymptotic formula

$$\mu(r) \sim \ln \frac{4}{r} \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ and } \mu(1^-) = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, $\mu(r)$ has the derivative formula

$$\mu'(r) = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{1}{rr'^2 \mathcal{K}^2(r)} \tag{1.7}$$

(see [4]). It was proved in [4, Theorem 4.3] that $\mu(r)$ has the following properties:

- P1** The function $\mu(r)$ is strictly decreasing, has exactly one inflection point on $(0, 1)$ and satisfies $\mu'(0^+) = -\infty = \mu'(1^-)$.
- P2** The function $1/\mu(r)$ is strictly increasing and has exactly one inflection point on $(0, 1)$.
- P3** The function $g_1(r) = \mu(r) + \ln r$ is strictly decreasing and concave on $(0, 1)$ and satisfies $g'_1(0^+) = 0, g'_1(1^-) = -\infty$.
- P4** The function $g_2(r) = \mu(r) + \ln(r/r')$ is strictly increasing and convex on $(0, 1)$ and satisfies $g'_2(0^+) = 0, g'_2(1^-) = \infty$.
- P5** The function $\mu(r) / \ln(1/r)$ is strictly increasing but is neither convex nor concave on $(0, 1)$.
- P6** The function $\mu(r) / \ln(4/r)$ is strictly decreasing and concave on $(0, 1)$.

It was shown in [6, Theorem 5.13(4) and Theorem 5.17] that $\mu(r)$ also satisfies the following monotonicity and convexity/concavity properties:

- P7** The function $\mu(1/s) / \ln s$ is decreasing and convex on $(1, \infty)$.

P8 The function $\mu(1/s) / \ln(4s)$ is increasing and concave on $(1, \infty)$.

P9 The function $g_3(r) = \mu(r) + \ln(r / (1 + r'))$ is strictly decreasing and concave from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \ln 2)$.

Alzer and Richards [1] proved that

P10 The function $\alpha \mapsto \mu(r^\alpha) / \alpha$ is decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$.

They further made the following

Conjecture 1.1. *The function $\alpha \mapsto \mu(r^\alpha) / \alpha$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.*

It is worth mentioning that there are two famous infinite-product formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\mu(r) + \ln r) &= 4\sqrt{r'} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n}\right)^{3/2^{n+1}}, \\ \exp(\mu(r) + \ln r) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{b_n}{a_n}\right)^{2^{-n}} \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$, where

$$a_0 = 1, \quad b_0 = r', \quad a_n = \frac{a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}}{2}, \quad b_n = \sqrt{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}}.$$

The first identity was due to Jacobi (see [13, Formula (2.5.15), p. 52]), and the second one was given by Qiu and Vuorinen in [20]. For more other properties of $\mu(r)$ and its generalization, the readers can refer to the literature [2, 8, 19, 26–28, 30, 37, 38].

The first aim of this paper is to present the power series representation of $\ln(4/r) - \mu(r)$ and then show that the coefficients of the power series are all positive. More precisely, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r \in (0, 1)$. Then,*

$$\mu(r) = \ln \frac{4}{r} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} r^{2n}, \tag{1.8}$$

with $\theta_0 = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\theta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n-k},$$

where $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (W_k^2 W_{n-k}^2)$ and W_n is defined by equation (1.1). Moreover, $\theta_n \in (0, 1)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.1. Since $\mu(1^-) = 0$, it follows from equation (1.8) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} = \ln 4.$$

Then, equation (1.8) can be written as

$$\mu(r) = -\ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} (1 - r^{2n}). \quad (1.9)$$

Taking $r = 1/\sqrt{2}$ in equation (1.8), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n \times 2^n} = 5 \ln 2 - \pi.$$

A function f is called absolutely monotonic on an interval I if it has non-negative derivatives of all orders on I , that is,

$$f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$$

for $x \in I$ and every $n \geq 0$ (see [31]). Clearly, if $f(x)$ is a power series converging on $(0, r)$ ($r > 0$), then $f(x)$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, r)$ if and only if all coefficients of $f(x)$ are non-negative.

A function f is said to be completely monotonic on an interval I if f has the derivative of any order on I and satisfies

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$$

for $x \in I$ and every $n \geq 0$ (see [11, 31]). A positive function f is called logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval I , if $-(\ln f)'$ is completely monotonic on I , see [7, 10, 18].

Recently, some monotonicity and convexity or concavity involving the complete elliptic integrals have been extended to the high-order monotonicity, including absolute monotonicity and completely monotonicity, see, for example, [9, 21, 24, 25, 29, 33, 34, 36]. These remind us to consider the higher-order monotonicity of the special combinations of $\mu(r)$ (or $\gamma(s)$) and the elementary functions.

The second aim of this paper is to establish absolutely monotonicity and completely monotonicity results involving $\mu(r)$ and $\gamma(s)$ using Theorem 1.1, which extend those properties listed above and give an answer to Conjecture 1.1. To be more special, Theorem 1.2 extends Properties P1–P4, Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 are the extensions of Properties P7–P9 and Theorem 1.6 solves Conjecture 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *The function $\mu(r)$ satisfies*

- (i) *for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mu^{(2m+1)}(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, 1)$;*

- (ii) the function $-\left[\mu(r) + \ln r\right]'$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$;
- (iii) the function $\left[\ln(4/r) - \mu(r)\right]/r^2$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$;
- (iv) the function $\mu(r) + \ln(r/r')$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$.

Theorem 1.3. The function $-\left[\mu(r) + \ln(r/(1+r'))\right]'$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $r \in (0, 1)$ and θ_n be defined in Theorem 1.1. Then,

$$\exp(-2\mu(r)) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n r^{2n}, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 1/2$ and $\nu_3 = 21/64$, and for $n \geq 2$,

$$\nu_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta_k \nu_{n-k}, \tag{1.11}$$

which satisfies $\nu_n \in (0, 1]$ for all $n \geq 1$. Consequently, the function $r \mapsto \exp(-2\mu(r))$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$.

Remark 1.2. Taking $r = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in equation (1.10), we obtain two identities

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{2^{n+4}} = e^{-\pi} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n = 16.$$

Theorem 1.5. The functions

$$s \mapsto \frac{d[\mu(1/s)]}{ds}, \quad s \mapsto \frac{\mu(1/s)}{\ln s}, \quad s \mapsto 1 - \frac{\mu(1/s)}{\ln(4s)} \text{ and } s \mapsto \frac{d[\mu(1/s)/\ln(4s)]}{ds}$$

are completely monotonic on $(1, \infty)$. Consequently, the functions

$$s \mapsto \frac{1}{\mu(1/s)} \text{ and } s \mapsto \frac{\ln(4s)}{\mu(1/s)}$$

are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(1, \infty)$.

Combining Theorem 1.5 and equation (1.5), we obtain

Corollary 1.1. The functions $s \mapsto \gamma(s)$ and $s \mapsto \gamma(s) \ln(4s)$ are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(1, \infty)$.

Theorem 1.6. For each $r \in (0, 1)$, the function $\alpha \mapsto \mu(r^\alpha)/\alpha$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present several lemmas which are needed to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given at the beginning of § 3 by use of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and then the absolute monotonicity and (logarithmically) complete monotonicity results including Theorems 1.2–1.6, and the extensions of Properties P5 and P6, are also proved subsequently. As applications of main results, several sharp bounds for $\mu(r)$ are established in § 4.

2. Lemmas

To prove main results, we need the following important lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{K}^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n r^{2n}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{E} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r^{2n}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, the coefficients a_n , b_n and c_n satisfy

- (i) $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 1/2$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2n-1)(2n^2-2n+1)}{n^3} a_{n-1} - \frac{(n-1)^3}{n^3} a_{n-2}; \tag{2.4}$$

- (ii) $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = -1/2$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4n^3 - 12n^2 + 10n - 3}{n^3} b_{n-1} - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{n^3} b_{n-2}; \tag{2.5}$$

- (iii) $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 1/32$ and for $n \geq 3$,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-1)(4n^3 - 12n^2 + 10n - 3)}{n^3(n-2)} c_{n-1} - \frac{(n-1)^2(n-2)}{n^3} c_{n-2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Differentiating and using the derivative formulas

$$\frac{d\mathcal{K}}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}}{r r'^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{K}}{r} \tag{2.7}$$

yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{K}^2)' &= 2\mathcal{K} \frac{\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}}{rr'^2} = 2 \frac{\mathcal{K}\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}^2}{rr'^2}, \\
 (\mathcal{E}^2)' &= 2\mathcal{E} \frac{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{K}}{r} = 2 \frac{\mathcal{E}^2 - \mathcal{K}\mathcal{E}}{r}, \\
 (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{E})' &= \frac{\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}}{rr'^2} \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{K} \frac{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{K}}{r} = \frac{\mathcal{E}^2 - r'^2 \mathcal{K}^2}{rr'^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting equations (2.1) (2.2) and (2.3) into the above three relations and arranging lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2na_n r^{2n-1} &= 2 \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r^{2n} - (1 - r^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n}}{r(1 - r^2)}, \\
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2nb_n r^{2n-1} &= \frac{2}{r} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n r^{2n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r^{2n} \right), \\
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2nc_n r^{2n-1} &= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n r^{2n} - (1 - r^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n}}{r(1 - r^2)},
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [na_n - (n - 1) a_{n-1}] r^{2n} &= c_0 - a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - a_n + a_{n-1}) r^{2n}, \\
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nb_n r^{2n} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n - c_n) r^{2n}, \\
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2nc_n - 2(n - 1) c_{n-1}] r^{2n} &= b_0 - a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n + a_{n-1}) r^{2n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients of r^{2n} gives $a_0 = b_0 = c_0$, and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{cases}
 na_n - (n - 1) a_{n-1} = c_n - a_n + a_{n-1}, \\
 nb_n = b_n - c_n, \\
 2nc_n - 2(n - 1) c_{n-1} = b_n - a_n + a_{n-1}.
 \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Solving the recurrence equations gives $a_1 = a_0/2$, $b_1 = -a_0/2$, $c_1 = 0$ and the desired recurrence relations for $n \geq 2$. Finally, it is clear that $a_0 = b_0 = c_0 = 1$, which yields that $a_1 = 1/2$, $b_1 = -1/2$, $c_1 = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let a_n be as in Lemma 2.1. The following statements are valid:*

- (i) The sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is decreasing.
- (ii) The sequence $\{(n+1)a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is increasing or equivalently $a_n/a_{n-1} \geq n/(n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$.
- (iii) Let W_n be defined by [equation \(1.1\)](#). The sequence $\{a_n/W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is decreasing or equivalently $a_n/a_{n-1} \leq (2n-1)/(2n)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof.

- (i) We write [equation \(2.4\)](#) as

$$a_n - a_{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)^3}{n^3} (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2n-1}{n^3} a_{n-1}.$$

Since $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n W_k^2 W_{n-k}^2 > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$n^3 (a_n - a_{n-1}) < (n-1)^3 (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2})$$

for $n \geq 2$, which implies that

$$n^3 (a_n - a_{n-1}) < a_1 - a_0 = -\frac{1}{2} < 0$$

for $n \geq 2$, and then, the first statement follows.

- (ii) We write [equation \(2.4\)](#) as

$$a_n - \frac{n}{n+1} a_{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2} \left(a_{n-1} - \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n-1}{n^3 (n+1)} a_{n-1}.$$

Since $a_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$n^2 \left(a_n - \frac{n}{n+1} a_{n-1} \right) > (n-1)^2 \left(a_{n-1} - \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-2} \right)$$

for $n \geq 2$, which yields

$$n^2 \left(a_n - \frac{n}{n+1} a_{n-1} \right) > 1^2 \left(a_1 - \frac{1}{2} a_0 \right) = 0,$$

as well as $(n+1)a_n > na_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$. Due to $2a_1 = a_0 = 1$, we find that $(n+1)a_n \geq na_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, which proves the second statement.

(iii) We write equation (2.4) as

$$a_n - \frac{2n-1}{2n}a_{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)^3}{n^3} \frac{2n-2}{2n-3} \left(a_{n-1} - \frac{2n-3}{2n-2}a_{n-2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^3(2n-3)}a_{n-1}.$$

Since $a_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have $\alpha_n < \beta_n \alpha_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$, where

$$\alpha_n = a_n - \frac{2n-1}{2n}a_{n-1} \text{ and } \beta_n = \frac{(n-1)^3}{n^3} \frac{2n-2}{2n-3},$$

with $\alpha_1 = a_1 - a_0/2 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = a_2 - 3a_1/4 = -1/32 < 0$. Then,

$$\alpha_n < \beta_n \beta_{n-1} \cdots \beta_2 \alpha_1 = 0$$

for $n \geq 2$. In view of $W_n/W_{n-1} = (2n-1)/(2n)$, the inequality $\alpha_n < 0$ implies that $a_n/W_n < a_{n-1}/W_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$, that is, the sequence $\{a_n/W_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is decreasing. Since $\alpha_1 = 0$, so is $\{a_n/W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let W_n be defined by equation (1.1). Then*

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{2k-1} a_{n-k} > 0 \tag{2.9}$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Note that

$$r' = \sqrt{1-r^2} = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{2n-1} r^{2n},$$

$$\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n r^{2n}.$$

Then,

$$r' \mathcal{K}^2 = - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{2k-1} a_{n-k} \right) r^{2n} = - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n r^{2n}.$$

Differentiation yields

$$(r' \mathcal{K}^2)' = r' 2\mathcal{K} \frac{\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}}{r r'^2} - \frac{r}{r'} \mathcal{K}^2 = \frac{2\mathcal{K}\mathcal{E} - 2\mathcal{K}^2 + r^2 \mathcal{K}^2}{r r'},$$

which can be expanded in power series as

$$\begin{aligned} (r'\mathcal{K}^2)' &= \frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n r^{2n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2c_n - 2a_n + a_{n-1}) r^{2n} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (2c_k - 2a_k + a_{k-1}) W_{n-k} \right) r^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(r'\mathcal{K}^2)' = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2ns_n r^{2n-1}.$$

Comparing coefficients of r^{2n-1} gives

$$2ns_n = -\sum_{k=1}^n (2c_k - 2a_k + a_{k-1}) W_{n-k}$$

for $n \geq 1$.

If we prove that $(2c_k - 2a_k + a_{k-1}) < 0$ for $k \geq 1$, then $s_n > 0$ for $n \geq 1$, and the proof is done. The first relation of (2.19) implies that $c_n = (n+1)a_n - na_{n-1}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 (iii) that

$$2c_k - 2a_k + a_{k-1} = 2ka_k - (2k-1)a_{k-1} \leq 0$$

for $k \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. The above lemma implies that $-(r'\mathcal{K}^2)'$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$, which extends the result in [4, Theorem 2.2(3)].

To observe the complete monotonicity involving $\mu(r)$ in § 3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. ([17, Theorem 2]) *Let $f(x)$ be completely monotonic, and let $h(x)$ be non-negative with a completely monotonic derivative. Then, $f(h(x))$ is also completely monotonic.*

Remark 2.2. By Lemma 2.4, we immediately find that, if $h(x) > 0$ and $h'(x)$ is completely monotonic, then $1/h(x)$ is also completely monotonic. Since

$$[\ln h(x)]' = \frac{1}{h(x)} \times h'(x),$$

$[\ln h(x)]'$, as well as $1/h(x)$, is logarithmically completely monotonic (see also [15, Theorem 3]).

3. Proofs of main results

We are now in a position to prove main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

(i) We first prove that

$$\frac{1}{r'^2 \mathcal{K}^2(r)} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n r^{2n}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\theta_0 = 1 \text{ and } \theta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n-k} \text{ for } n \geq 1. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, since $(4/\pi^2) \mathcal{K}^2(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n}$, we have

$$\frac{4}{\pi^2} r'^2 \mathcal{K}^2(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} r^{2n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n-1}) r^{2n}.$$

By the Cauchy product formula,

$$1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k^* \theta_{n-k} \right) r^{2n},$$

where $a_0^* = 1$ and $a_k^* = a_k - a_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$. Comparing coefficients of r^{2n} yields that $a_0^* \theta_0 = 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k^* \theta_{n-k} = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

which gives $\theta_0 = 1$ and

$$\theta_n = - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* \theta_{n-k} = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n-k}.$$

By means of this recurrence relation, it is easy to verify that

$$\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{13}{32}, \quad \theta_3 = \frac{23}{64}, \quad \theta_4 = \frac{2701}{8192}.$$

(ii) We next prove $\theta_n \in (0, 1)$ for $n \geq 1$. From the above recurrence formula, it is immediate to get that $\theta_1 = (a_0 - a_1) \theta_0 = 1/2$. Suppose that $\theta_k > 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. By Lemma 2.2, we see that $a_{k-1} - a_k > 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then,

$$\theta_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n+1-k} > 0.$$

By induction, we conclude that $\theta_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. On the other hand, we have shown that $\theta_0 = 1, \theta_1 = 1/2$. Suppose that $\theta_k \leq 1$ for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then,

$$\theta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) = a_0 - a_n = 1 - a_n < 1.$$

(iii) Finally, by the formula in equations (1.7) and (3.1), we have

$$\mu'(r) = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{1}{r r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)^2} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n r^{2n-1} = -\frac{1}{r} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n r^{2n-1},$$

which implies that

$$[\mu(r) - \ln(4/r)]' = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n r^{2n-1}.$$

Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} [\mu(r) - \ln(4/r)] = 0$, an integration yields

$$\int_0^r [\mu(t) - \ln(4/t)]' dt = -\int_0^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n t^{2n-1} dt,$$

which implies the power series representation equation (1.8). This completes the proof. □

From the above proof, we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1. *The sequence $\{\theta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is strictly decreasing.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

(i) Using the series representation equation (1.8) and differentiating give

$$\mu^{(2m+1)}(r) = -\frac{(2m)!}{r^{2m+1}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{2m} (2n - k) \right) \theta_n r^{2n-2m-1} < 0$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$.

(ii) By equation (1.9) we see that

$$-[\mu(r) + \ln r]' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n r^{2n-1},$$

which is obviously absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$.

(iii) We write (1.8) in the form of

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \left[\ln \frac{4}{r} - \mu(r) \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} r^{2n-2},$$

which is obviously absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$.

(iv) Expanding in power series for $\mu(r) + \ln(r/r')$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(r) + \ln(r/r') &= \ln 4 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} r^{2n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} r^{2n} \\ &= \ln 4 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \theta_n}{2n} r^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

which is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$ due to $\theta_n \in (0, 1)$ for $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since

$$\frac{d}{dr} \ln(1+r') = \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n r^{2n-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} - [\mu(r) + \ln(r/(1+r'))]' &= - [\mu(r) + \ln r]' + [\ln(1+r)]' \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n r^{2n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n r^{2n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n - W_n) r^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we prove that $\theta_n \geq W_n$ for $n \geq 1$, the required result follows. Clearly, $\theta_1 = 1/2 = W_1$, $\theta_2 = 13/32 > W_2 = 3/8$. Suppose that $\theta_k \geq W_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. We prove that $\theta_n > W_n$. In fact, we have

$$\theta_n - W_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n-k} - W_n > \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) W_{n-k} - W_n = s_n^*.$$

We next check that $s_n^* = s_n$, which is defined in equation (2.9). Since $a_0 = 1$, s_n^* can be changed to

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n^* &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k-1} W_{n-k} - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k W_{n-k} - W_n \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n-k}{n-k-1/2} - 1 \right) W_{n-k} a_k - a_n \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{W_{n-k}}{2(n-k)-1} a_k - a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_{n-k}}{2(n-k)-1} a_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by a shift of indexes, equals to s_n , and by Lemma 2.3, $s_n^* > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. From the above proof, we see that $W_n \leq \theta_n \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$\mu(r) + \ln\left(\frac{r}{1+r'}\right) = \ln 2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n - W_n}{2n} r^{2n}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Using the series representation equation (1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp(-2\mu(r)) &= \exp\left(-2 \ln \frac{4}{r} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} r^{2n}\right) \\
 &= \frac{r^2}{16} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} r^{2n}\right) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n r^{2n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} r^{2n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n+1} r^{2n}. \tag{3.4}$$

Differentiation yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\theta_n r^{2n-1} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} r^{2n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n \nu_{n+1} r^{2n-1}.$$

Substituting equation (3.4) into the above equality and dividing by $2r$ give

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_{n+1} r^{2n}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n+1} r^{2n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \nu_{n+2} r^{2n}.$$

Using Cauchy product formula and comparing coefficients of r^{2n} lead to

$$(n+1) \nu_{n+2} = \sum_{k=0}^n \theta_{k+1} \nu_{n+1-k},$$

which implies [equation \(1.11\)](#). Taking $r=0$ in [equation \(3.4\)](#) yields $\nu_1 = 1$. The values of ν_2 and ν_3 are obtained by [equation \(3.4\)](#).

Since $\theta_n \in (0, 1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\nu_1 = 1, \nu_2 = 1/2$, it follows from [equation \(3.4\)](#) and by induction that $\nu_n \in (0, 1]$ for all $n \geq 1$. Consequently, the function $r \mapsto \exp(-2\mu(r))$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.1. *The functions*

$$t \mapsto [\mu(e^{-t})]', \quad t \mapsto \frac{\mu(e^{-t})}{t}, \quad t \mapsto 1 - \frac{\mu(e^{-t})}{t + \ln 4} \quad \text{and} \quad t \mapsto \left[\frac{\mu(e^{-t})}{t + \ln 4} \right]'$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, the functions

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{\mu(e^{-t})} \quad \text{and} \quad t \mapsto \frac{t + \ln 4}{\mu(e^{-t})}$$

are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. By [equation \(1.9\)](#), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(e^{-t}) &= t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} (1 - e^{-2nt}), \\ \frac{\mu(e^{-t})}{t} &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n \frac{1 - e^{-2nt}}{2nt}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Differentiation yields

$$[\mu(e^{-t})]' = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n e^{-2nt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n e^{-2nt},$$

which is clearly positive and completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

(ii) Since

$$\frac{1 - e^{-2nt}}{2nt} = \int_0^1 e^{-2nxt} dx$$

is completely monotonic in t on $(0, \infty)$, so is $\mu(e^{-t})/t$.

(iii) By [equation \(1.8\)](#), we see that

$$\mu(e^{-t}) = t + \ln 4 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} e^{-2nt},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\mu(e^{-t})}{t + \ln 4} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} \left(\frac{e^{-2nt}}{t + \ln 4} \right).$$

Since e^{-2nt} and $1/(t + \ln 4)$ are both completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, so is $1 - \mu(e^{-t})/(t + \ln 4)$ and $[\mu(e^{-t})/(t + \ln 4)]'$.

(iv) Finally, the logarithmically complete monotonicity of the latter two functions follows from Remark 2.2. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $t = t(s) = \ln s$, $s > 1$. Then, $t(s) > 0$ and $t'(s) = 1/s$ is completely monotonic on $(1, \infty)$. Using Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.4, the desired results follow. □

Remark 3.2. Recall that an infinitely differentiable function $f : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a Bernstein function on an interval I if f' is completely monotonic on I (see [22, Definition 3.1]). Theorem 3.1 shows that $\mu(e^{-t}) > 0$ and $[\mu(e^{-t})]'$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, so that the function $t \mapsto \mu(e^{-t})$ is a Bernstein function on $(0, \infty)$. Similarly, $t \mapsto \mu(e^{-t})/(t + \ln 4)$ is so. Also, Theorem 1.5 leads to the conclusion that the functions $s \mapsto \mu(1/s)$ and $s \mapsto \mu(1/s)/\ln(4s)$ are both Bernstein functions on $(1, \infty)$.

Remark 3.3. Teichmüller extremely ring $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 0] \cup [t, +\infty)$ ($t > 0$) has conformal capacity $\tau(t) = \pi/\mu(1/\sqrt{1+t})$ (see [6]). Since $s(t) = \sqrt{t+1}$ satisfies that $s(t) > 0$ and $s'(t)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, using Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 1.5, we conclude that the functions $t \mapsto \tau(t)$ and $t \mapsto \tau(t) \ln(4\sqrt{t+1})$ are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, which extends the properties of $\tau(t)$ given in [6, Exercises 5.19 (8), (9)].

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Using equation (1.9), we have

$$\frac{\mu(r^\alpha)}{\alpha} = -\ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n \frac{1 - r^{2\alpha n}}{2\alpha n} = (-\ln r) \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n \frac{1 - r^{2\alpha n}}{-2\alpha n \ln r} \right].$$

Since

$$\frac{1 - r^{2\alpha n}}{-2\alpha n \ln r} = \int_0^1 r^{2\alpha n x} dx = \int_0^1 e^{-2\alpha n x \ln(1/r)} dx$$

is completely monotonic in α on $(0, \infty)$, so is $\alpha \mapsto \mu(r^\alpha)/\alpha$. This completes the proof. □

The following two theorems extend Properties P5 and P6 listed in the first section in this paper.

Theorem 3.2. Let $r \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(4/r)} \right]^{(m)} &< 0 \text{ for } m = 1, 2, 3, \\ \left[\frac{\mu(\sqrt{r})}{\ln(4/\sqrt{r})} \right]^{(m)} &< 0 \text{ for } m = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

(i) Using series representation [equation \(1.8\)](#), we have

$$\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(4/r)} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} \frac{r^{2n}}{\ln(4/r)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(4/r)} \right]' &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} \frac{r^{2n-1}}{\ln(4/r)} \left(2n + \frac{1}{\ln(4/r)} \right) < 0, \\ \left[\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(4/r)} \right]'' &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} \frac{r^{2n-2}}{\ln(4/r)} \left(2n(2n-1) + \frac{4n-1}{\ln(4/r)} + \frac{2}{\ln^2(4/r)} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since r^{2n-2} for $n \geq 1$ and $1/\ln(4/r)$ is positive and increasing, the function

$$r \mapsto \frac{r^{2n-2}}{\ln(4/r)} \left(2n(2n-1) + \frac{4n-1}{\ln(4/r)} + \frac{2}{\ln^2(4/r)} \right)$$

is also positive and increasing, which means that $[\mu(r)/\ln(4/r)]''$ is decreasing on $(0, 1)$.

(ii) By [equation \(3.5\)](#), we have

$$\frac{\mu(\sqrt{r})}{\ln(4/\sqrt{r})} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} \frac{r^n}{\ln(16/r)}.$$

Differentiation yields

$$\left[\frac{\mu(\sqrt{r})}{\ln(4/\sqrt{r})} \right]' = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\ln(16/r)} \left(n + \frac{1}{\ln(16/r)} \right) < 0.$$

Since r^{n-1} for $n \geq 1$ and $1/\ln(16/r)$ is positive and increasing, the function

$$r \mapsto \frac{r^{n-1}}{\ln(16/r)} \left(n + \frac{1}{\ln(16/r)} \right)$$

is also positive and increasing, which means that $[\mu(\sqrt{r})/\ln(4/\sqrt{r})]'$ is decreasing on $(0, 1)$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.3. Let $r \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$\left[\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(1/r)} \right]^{(m)} > 0 \text{ and } \left[\frac{\mu(\sqrt{r})}{\ln(1/\sqrt{r})} \right]^{(m)} > 0$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$ and $m = 1, 3$.

Proof. Using equation (1.9), we have

$$\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(1/r)} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} \frac{1 - r^{2n}}{\ln r},$$

$$\frac{\mu(\sqrt{r})}{\ln(1/\sqrt{r})} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} \frac{1 - r^n}{\ln r}.$$

Let $\eta_k(r) = (1 - r^k) / \ln r$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Differentiation yields

$$\eta'_k(r) = -\frac{r^{k-1}}{\ln^2 r} (k \ln r - 1 + r^{-k}),$$

$$-\frac{\ln^4 r}{r^{k-3}} \eta'''_k(r) = 2(\ln^2 r + 3 \ln r + 3) r^{-k} + k(k-1)(k-2) \ln^3 r - (3k^2 - 6k + 2) \ln^2 r + 6(k-1) \ln r - 6 := \xi(r).$$

From the known inequality $x - 1 - \ln x > 0$ for $x > 1$, it follows that $\eta'_k(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. To prove that $\eta'''_k(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, it suffices to prove that $\xi(e^{-t}) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Expanding in power series yields

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(e^{-t}) &= 2(t^2 - 3t + 3) e^{kt} - k(k-1)(k-2)t^3 \\ &\quad - (3k^2 - 6k + 2)t^2 - 6(k-1)t - 6 \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{j(j-1) - 3jk + 3k^2}{j!} k^{j-2} t^j > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality holds due to

$$j(j-1) - 3jk + 3k^2 = 3 \left(k - \frac{j}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} j(j-4) > 0$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \geq 4$. It is deduced that

$$\left[\frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(1/r)} \right]^{(m)} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{2n} \eta_{2n}^{(m)}(r) > 0,$$

$$\left[\frac{\mu(\sqrt{r})}{\ln(1/\sqrt{r})} \right]^{(m)} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n}{n} \eta_n^{(m)}(r) > 0$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$ and $m = 1, 3$. This completes the proof. □

4. Inequalities for the modulus of the Grötzsch ring

Using those higher-order monotonicity given in the above sections, we can deduce some functional inequalities and bounds for $\mu(r)$. By Theorem 1.3 (iii), we see that the function

$$r \mapsto \phi_1(r) = \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \left[\ln \frac{4}{r} - \mu(r) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} r^{2k} \right]$$

is increasing on $(0, 1)$ with $\phi_1(0^+) = \theta_n/(2n)$ and $\phi_1(1^-) = \ln 4 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta_k/(2k)$. Then, we have the following

Corollary 4.1. *Let θ_n be given in Theorem 1.1. The double inequality*

$$\ln \frac{4}{r} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} r^{2k} - \alpha_1 r^{2n} < \mu(r) < \ln \frac{4}{r} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} r^{2k} - \beta_1 r^{2n}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1)$ with the optimal constants

$$\alpha_1 = \ln 4 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} \text{ and } \beta_1 = \frac{\theta_n}{2n}.$$

In particular, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\ln \frac{4}{r} - \frac{1}{4} r^2 - \left(\ln 4 - \frac{1}{4} \right) r^4 < \mu(r) < \ln \frac{4}{r} - \frac{1}{4} r^2 - \frac{13}{128} r^4$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 1.3 and equation (3.3) imply that the function

$$r \mapsto \phi_2(r) = \frac{1}{r^4} \left[\mu(r) + \ln \left(\frac{r}{1+r'} \right) - \ln 2 \right]$$

is decreasing on $(0, 1)$, with

$$\phi_2(1^-) = -\ln 2 = -\beta_{22}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\phi_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 2\pi - 4 \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) - 4 \ln 2 = -\beta_{21}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\phi_2(0^+) = -\frac{\theta_2 - W_2}{4} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{13}{32} - \frac{3}{8} \right) = -\frac{1}{128} = -\alpha_2. \tag{4.3}$$

Then, we have

Corollary 4.2. *The double inequalities*

$$-\ln\left(\frac{r}{1+r'}\right) + \ln 2 - \beta_{22}r^4 < \mu(r) < -\ln\left(\frac{r}{1+r'}\right) + \ln 2 - \alpha_2r^4$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$-\ln\left(\frac{r}{1+r'}\right) + \ln 2 - \beta_{21}r^4 < \mu(r) < -\ln\left(\frac{r}{1+r'}\right) + \ln 2 - \alpha_2r^4$$

for $r \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ hold, where the constants α_2 , β_{21} and β_{22} given in equations (4.1)–(4.3) are the best possible.

The following lemma was proved in [24, Lemma 4].

Lemma 4.1. *Let the function h be defined on \mathbb{R} which has the derivative of all orders. If $h^{(2k+1)}(x) > (<) 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the function*

$$\phi(x) = \frac{h(2c-x) - h(x)}{2c-2x} \text{ if } x \neq c \text{ and } \phi(c) = h'(c)$$

satisfies that $\phi^{(2k-2)}(x)$ is strictly convex (concave) on \mathbb{R} and is decreasing (increasing) on $(-\infty, c)$ and increasing (decreasing) on (c, ∞) . Furthermore, if $h'(x)$ is absolutely monotonic on \mathbb{R} , then $\phi(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(-\infty, c)$ and absolutely monotonic on (c, ∞) .

Applying Lemma 4.1 and our main theorems, we can obtain some higher-order monotonicity results involving $\mu(r)$ and establish several inequalities for $\mu(r)$. For example, by Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 4.1, we see that the function

$$\phi_3(x) = \frac{\mu(\sqrt{x}) - \mu(\sqrt{1-x})}{2x-1} \text{ if } x \neq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \phi_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = [\mu(\sqrt{x})]'_{x=1/2}$$

satisfies that $\phi_3^{(2k-2)}(x)$ is strictly concave on $(0, 1)$ and is increasing on $(0, 1/2)$ and decreasing on $(1/2, 1)$. Since

$$[\mu(\sqrt{x})]' = -\frac{\pi^2}{8} \frac{1}{x(1-x)\mathcal{K}^2(\sqrt{x})} \text{ and } \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/4)}{4\sqrt{\pi}},$$

we have

$$\phi_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{2}{\pi}\Gamma^4\left(\frac{3}{4}\right).$$

Setting $x = r^2$. Then, the inequality

$$\frac{\mu(r) - \mu(r')}{2r^2 - 1} < -\frac{2}{\pi}\Gamma^4\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = -\alpha_3$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1)$. This, by $\mu(r)\mu(r') = \pi^2/4$, gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *The function*

$$r \mapsto \frac{\mu(r) - \mu(r')}{2r^2 - 1}$$

is increasing on $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ and decreasing on $(1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality

$$\mu(r) > \sqrt{\alpha_3^2 \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{4}} - \alpha_3 \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$. It is reversed for $r \in (1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$.

We have shown in Theorem 3.2 that $\phi_4(x) = \mu(\sqrt{x}) / \ln(4/\sqrt{x})$ is concave on $(0, 1)$. Then,

$$\phi_5(x) = \phi_4(x) + \phi_4(1 - x)$$

is also concave on $(0, 1)$, with $\phi_5'(1/2) = 0$, which means that $\phi_5(x)$ is increasing on $(0, 1/2)$ and decreasing on $(1/2, 1)$. Consequently,

$$1 = \phi_5(0^+) < \phi_5(x) \leq \phi_5\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{5 \ln 2}$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Substituting $x = r^2$ gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *The double inequality*

$$1 < \frac{\mu(r)}{\ln(4/r)} + \frac{\mu(r')}{\ln(4/r')} < \frac{2\pi}{5 \ln 2}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1)$, where both bounds are sharp.

We establish functional inequalities by Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.5. *The double inequality*

$$\frac{4 \ln r_1 \ln r_2}{(\ln r_1 + \ln r_2)^2} < \frac{\mu(r_1)\mu(r_2)}{\mu^2(\sqrt{r_1 r_2})} < \frac{\ln(4/r_1)\ln(4/r_2)}{\ln^2(4/\sqrt{r_1 r_2})}$$

holds for $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$.

Proof. Note that a completely monotonic function is log-convex. By Theorem 3.1, the functions $\mu(e^{-t})/t$ and $\mu(e^{-t})/(t + \ln 4)$ are log-convex and log-concave on $(0, \infty)$, respectively. Then, for $t_1, t_2 \in (0, \infty)$ with $t_1 \neq t_2$, the inequalities

$$\left[\frac{\mu(e^{-(t_1+t_2)/2})}{(t_1+t_2)/2} \right]^2 < \frac{\mu(e^{-t_1})}{t_1} \frac{\mu(e^{-t_2})}{t_2},$$

$$\left[\frac{\mu(e^{-t_1})}{t_1 + \ln 4} \right] \left[\frac{\mu(e^{-t_2})}{t_2 + \ln 4} \right] < \left[\frac{\mu(e^{-(t_1+t_2)/2})}{(t_1+t_2)/2 + \ln 4} \right]^2$$

hold. Making a change of variables $t_i = -\ln r_i, i = 1, 2$, and arranging give the required double inequality. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.1. Taking $(r_1, r_2) = (r, r')$ in the above corollary, we derive that

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\ln(4/\sqrt{rr'})}{\sqrt{\ln(4/r) \ln(4/r')}} < \mu(\sqrt{rr'}) < -\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\ln(rr')}{\sqrt{\ln r \ln r'}}$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$, with $r \neq 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Finally, as another application of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we prove that the ratio $\phi_6(r)/\mu(r)$ is decreasing on $(0, 1)$, where

$$\phi_6(r) = \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \quad \rho \equiv \rho(r) = -\ln(1-r^2).$$

To this end, we also need other two tools. The first tool is the monotonicity rule of a ratio of two power series ([12, 32]), which states that

Lemma 4.2. Let $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n t^n$ and $B(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n t^n$ be two real power series converging on $(-r, r)$ ($r > 0$) with $b_n > 0$ for all n . If the sequence $\{a_n/b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is increasing (decreasing), then so is the ratio $A(t)/B(t)$ on $(0, r)$.

The second tool is an auxiliary function $H_{f,g}$ presented first in [35], which was called Yang’s H -function in [23] by Tian et al. For $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$, let f and g be differentiable on (a, b) and $g' \neq 0$ on (a, b) . Then, the function $H_{f,g}$ is defined by

$$H_{f,g} = \frac{f'}{g'} g - f.$$

If f and g are twice differentiable on (a, b) , then

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)' = \frac{g'}{g^2} \left(\frac{f'}{g'} g - f\right) = \frac{g'}{g^2} H_{f,g},$$

$$H'_{f,g} = \left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right)' g.$$

Theorem 4.1. *The function $r \mapsto \phi_6(r) / \mu(r)$ is decreasing on $(0, 1)$. Therefore, the double inequality*

$$\beta_4 \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{\rho}} < \mu(r) < \alpha_4 \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{\rho}} \tag{4.4}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ with the best constants

$$\beta_4 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_4 = \frac{\pi}{4 \ln 2 - \ln(\ln 2)} = 1.000\,793\,54\dots$$

While $r \in (1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$, the double inequality

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\alpha_4} \frac{1}{2[3 \ln 2 - \ln(-\ln r)]} < \mu(r) < \frac{\pi^2}{\beta_4} \frac{1}{2[3 \ln 2 - \ln(-\ln r)]}$$

holds.

Proof. First, we show that $\phi'_6(r) / \mu'(r)$ is increasing on $(0, 1)$. Since

$$\mu'(r) = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{1}{r r'^2 \mathcal{K}^2(r)} \text{ and } \phi'_6(r) = -\frac{r}{r'^2} \frac{1}{\rho},$$

we have

$$\frac{\phi'_6(r)}{\mu'(r)} = \frac{(4/\pi^2) \mathcal{K}(r)^2}{\rho/r^2} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1} r^{2n}}.$$

As shown in Lemma 2.2 (ii), the sequence $\{(n+1) a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is increasing. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that the function $\phi'_6(r) / \mu'(r)$ is increasing on $(0, 1)$.

Second, we show that

$$H_{\phi_6, \mu}(r) = \frac{\phi'_6(r)}{\mu'(r)} \mu(r) - \phi_6(r) > 0$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$. Since $H'_{\phi_6, \mu} = (\phi'_6 / \mu')' \mu$, we see that $H'_{\phi_6, \mu} > 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$, that is, $H_{\phi_6, \mu}$ is increasing on $(0, 1)$. If we prove $H_{\phi_6, \mu}(0^+) = 0$, then $H_{\phi_6, \mu}(r) > H_{\phi_6, \mu}(0^+) = 0$. Due to

$$\frac{\phi'_6(r)}{\mu'(r)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } \mu(r) \sim \ln(4/r), \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0^+,$$

it is obtained that

$$H_{\phi_6, \mu}(r) \sim \ln(4/r) - \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{\rho}} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{-\ln(1-r^2)}{r^2} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Third, since $\mu'(r) < 0$, it follows that

$$\left[\frac{\phi_6(r)}{\mu(r)} \right]' = \frac{\mu'(r)}{\mu^2(r)} H_{\phi_6, \mu}(r) < 0$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, the first double inequality follows from the decreasing property of the ratio $\phi_6(r)/\mu(r)$ on $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})$; the second one follows from the first double inequality and $\mu(r)\mu(r') = \pi^2/4$.

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.2.

- (1) It was proved in [6, Theorem 5.54] that the function $r \mapsto \mu(r) - \phi_6(r)$ is increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(\ln 4, \infty)$. Now, we can give a new proof of this by using Theorem 4.1. In fact, the increasing property of $r \mapsto \phi_6'(r)/\mu'(r)$ on $(0, 1)$ yields that

$$\frac{\phi_6'(r)}{\mu'(r)} > \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi_6'(r)}{\mu'(r)} = 1$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$. This together with $\mu'(r) < 0$ implies that

$$[\mu(r) - \phi_6(r)]' = \mu'(r) \left[1 - \frac{\phi_6'(r)}{\mu'(r)} \right] > 0$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$.

- (2) Rewrite equation (4.4) as

$$0 < \frac{\mu(r) - \ln(4/\sqrt{\rho})}{\mu(r)} < 1 - \frac{4 \ln 2 - \ln(\ln 2)}{\pi} = 0.000\,792\,913 \dots$$

for $r \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$. This shows that $\ln(4/\sqrt{\rho})$ is a very accurate estimation of $\mu(r)$ on $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we proved that $\mu(r) = \ln(4/r) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\theta_n/(2n)] r^{2n}$, with $\theta_n \in (0, 1)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the recursion method. Thereout, we further showed some elegant results, for example,

- (i) the functions $r \mapsto -[\mu(r) + \ln r]'$, $r \mapsto \mu(r) + \ln(r/r')$, $r \mapsto -[\mu(r) + \ln(r/(1+r'))]'$ and $r \mapsto \exp(-2\mu(r))$ are absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$;
- (ii) the functions $t \mapsto \mu(e^{-t})/t$ and $t \mapsto 1 - \mu(e^{-t})/(t + \ln 4)$ are completely monotonic on $(0, 1)$;
- (iii) the functions $t \mapsto 1/\mu(e^{-t})$ and $t \mapsto (t + \ln 4)/\mu(e^{-t})$ are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;

- (iv) the functions $s \mapsto \gamma(s)$ and $s \mapsto \gamma(s) \ln(4s)$ are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(1, \infty)$;
- (v) the function $\alpha \mapsto \mu(r^\alpha)/\alpha$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

As applications, several sharp bounds and functional inequalities for $\mu(r)$ were derived, and they are new. In particular, we proved that the double inequality

$$\ln \frac{4}{r} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} r^{2k} - \alpha_1 r^{2n} < \mu(r) < \ln \frac{4}{r} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} r^{2k} - \beta_1 r^{2n}$$

holds for all $r \in (0, 1)$ with the best possible constants

$$\alpha_1 = \ln 4 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_k}{2k} \text{ and } \beta_1 = \frac{\theta_n}{2n}.$$

Here, $\theta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{k-1} - a_k) \theta_{n-k}$, $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (W_k^2 W_{n-k}^2)$ and W_n is the Wallis ratio defined by equation (1.1). Using the above formula of θ_n , we can calculate the value of θ_n for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= \frac{1}{2}, & \theta_2 &= \frac{13}{23}, & \theta_3 &= \frac{23}{64}, & \theta_4 &= \frac{2701}{8192}, & \theta_5 &= \frac{5057}{16384}, & \theta_6 &= \frac{76715}{262144}, \\ \theta_7 &= \frac{146749}{5242288}, & \theta_8 &= \frac{1446447449}{536870912}, & \theta_9 &= \frac{279805685}{1073741824}, & \theta_{10} &= \frac{4346533901}{17179869184}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, we also showed that the double inequality

$$\beta_4 \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{-\ln(1-r^2)}} < \mu(r) < \alpha_4 \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{-\ln(1-r^2)}}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ with the best constants

$$\beta_4 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_4 = \frac{\pi}{4 \ln 2 - \ln(\ln 2)} = 1.00079354\dots$$

Funding Statement. This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant no. 11701176 and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant no. LY24A010011.

References

- (1) H. Alzer and K. Richards, On the modulus of the Grötzsch ring, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **432**(1) (2015), 134–141. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.06.057
- (2) G. D. Anderson, S.-L. Qiu, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Generalized elliptic integrals and modular equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **192**(1) (2000), 1–37. doi:10.2140/pjm.2000.192.1

- (3) G. D. Anderson, S.-L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, Modular equations and distortion functions, *Ramanujan J.* **18**(2) (2009), 147–169. doi:10.1007/s11139-007-9047-3
- (4) G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Functional inequalities for complete elliptic integrals and their ratios, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21**(2) (1990), 536–549. doi:10.1137/0521029
- (5) G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Topics in special functions II, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* **11**(17) (2007), 250–270. doi:10.1090/S1088-4173-07-00168-3
- (6) G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. K. Vuorinen, *Conformal invariants, inequalities, and quasiconformal maps*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1997).
- (7) R. D. Atanassov and U. V. Tsoukrovski, Some properties of a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions, *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **41**(2) (1988), 21–23.
- (8) R. Balasubramaniana, S. Ponnusamy and M. Vuorinen, Functional inequalities for the quotients of hypergeometric functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **218**(1) (1998), 256–268. doi:10.1006/jmaa.1997.5776
- (9) R. W. Barnard, K. Pearce and K. C. Richards, A monotonicity property involving ${}_3F_2$ and comparisons of the classical approximations of elliptical arc length, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32**(2) (2000), 403–419. doi:10.1137/S003614109935050X
- (10) C. Berg, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **1**(4) (2004), 433–439. doi:10.1007/s00009-004-0022-6
- (11) S. N. Bernstein, Sur les fonctions absolument monotones, *Acta Math.* **52**(1) (1928), 1–66. doi:10.1007/BF02592679
- (12) M. Biernacki and J. Krzyz, On the monotonicity of certain functionals in the theory of analytic functions, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska* **9**(1) (1955), 135–147.
- (13) J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein, *Pi and AGM*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1987).
- (14) P. F. Byrd and M. D. Friedman, *Handbook of elliptic integrals for engineers and scientists*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1971).
- (15) C.-P. Chen, F. Qi and H. M. Srivastava, Some properties of functions related to the gamma and psi functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **21**(2) (2010), 153–164. doi:10.1080/10652460903064216
- (16) O. Lehto and K.I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal mappings in the plane* (2nd ed.), Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, (Spring-Verlag, New York, NY-Heidelberg, 1973).
- (17) K. S. Miller and S. G. Samko, Completely monotonic functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **12**(4) (2001), 389–402. doi:10.1080/10652460108819360
- (18) F. Qi and C. P. Chen, A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **296**(2) (2004), 603–607. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.04.026
- (19) S.-L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, Duplication inequalities for the ratios of hypergeometric functions, *Forum Math.* **12**(1) (2000), 109–133. doi:10.1515/form.1999.025
- (20) S. L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, Infinite products and the normalized quotients of hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **30**(5) (1999), 1057–1075. doi:10.1137/S0036141097326805
- (21) K. C. Richards, A note on inequalities for the ratio of zero-balanced hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **6**(2) (2019), 15–20. doi:10.1090/bproc/41
- (22) R. L. Schilling, R. Song and Z. Vondraček, *Bernstein functions: theory and applications*, (De Gruyter, Berlin, 2010).
- (23) J.-F. Tian, M.-H. Ha and H.-J. Xing, Properties of the power-mean and their applications, *AIMS Math.* **5**(6) (2020), 7285–7300. doi:10.3934/math.2020466

- (24) J.-F. Tian and Z.-H. Yang, Several absolutely monotonic functions related to the complete elliptic integral, *Results Math.* **77**(3, paper no. 109) (2022), 19 pages. doi:10.1007/s00025-022-01641-4
- (25) J.-F. Tian and Z.-H. Yang, Convexity and monotonicity involving the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, *Results Math.* **78**(1, paper no. 29) (2023), 18 pages. doi:10.1007/s00025-022-01799-x
- (26) G.-D. Wang, S.-L. Qiu, X. H. Zhang and Y.-M. Chu, Approximate convexity and concavity of generalized Gröszsch ring function, *Appl. Math.- J. Chin. Univ.* **21**(2) (2006), 203–206. doi:10.1007/BF02791357
- (27) G.-D. Wang, X.-H. Zhang, S.-L. Qiu and Y.-M. Chu, The bounds of the solutions to generalized modular equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321**(2) (2006), 589–594. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.08.064
- (28) M.-K. Wang, H.-H. Chu and Y.-M. Chu, On the approximation of some special functions in Ramanujan's generalized modular equation with signature 3, *Ramanujan J.* **56**(1) (2021), 1–22. doi:10.1007/s11139-021-00437-4
- (29) M.-K. Wang, H.-H. Chu, Y.-M. Li and Y.-M. Chu, Answers to three conjectures on convexity of three functions involving complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **14**(1) (2020), 255–271. doi:10.2298/AADM190924020W
- (30) M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Li and Y.-M. Chu, Inequalities and infinite product formula for Ramanujan generalized modular equation function, *Ramanujan J.* **46**(1) (2018), 189–200. doi:10.1007/s11139-017-9888-3
- (31) D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946).
- (32) Z.-H. Yang, Y.-M. Chu and M.-K. Wang, Monotonicity criterion for the quotient of power series with applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **428**(1) (2015), 587–604. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.03.043
- (33) Z.-H. Yang and J.-F. Tian, Absolutely monotonic functions involving the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind with applications, *J. Math. Inequal.* **15**(3) (2021), 1299–1310. doi:10.7153/jmi-2021-15-87
- (34) Z.-H. Yang and J.-F. Tian, Absolute monotonicity involving the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind with applications, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B, Engl. Ed.* **42**(3) (2022), 847–864. doi:10.1007/s10473-022-0302-x
- (35) Z.-H. Yang, A new way to prove L'Hospital monotone rules with applications, arXiv:1409.6408, 2014, 19 pages.
- (36) Z.-H. Yang, Sharp approximations for the complete elliptic integrals of the second kind by one-parameter means, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **467**(1) (2018), 446–461. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.07.020
- (37) X.-H. Zhang, G.-D. Wang and Y.-M. Chu, Some inequalities for the generalized Gröztzsch function, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **51**(1) (2008), 265–272. doi:10.1017/S001309150500132X
- (38) X.-H. Zhang, On the generalized modulus, *Ramanujan J.* **43**(2) (2017), 405–413. doi:10.1007/s11139-015-9746-0