

ON A BROWN-HALMOS-PEARCY LEMMA

A. T. DASH

To begin with, we say that an operator (bounded linear transformation) A on a separable complex Hilbert space H is a commutator if there exist operators B and C such that $A = BC - CB$. The following lemma is due to Brown, Halmos and Percy [3, p. 698].

LEMMA. *If the matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$ of an operator A on H with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ is such that $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i + j$ is an even integer, then A is a commutator.*

The authors proceed to give a proof of this lemma by noting that $A = (\frac{1}{2}A)D_{\alpha} - D_{\alpha}(\frac{1}{2}A)$, where D_{α} is a diagonal operator with diagonal $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots\}$ and $\alpha_n = (-1)^n$. This proof is obviously incorrect.

Although, the works of Brown and Percy [2] and that of Anderson and Stampfli [1] have superseded these results, nevertheless, this Lemma has several important consequences. To name a few, every weighted unilateral shift and weighted bilateral shift are commutators and analogous results are also valid with operator weights (consult [3]). Thus, for the benefit of the reader it may be helpful to have a correct proof of this lemma.

Proof of the Lemma. Observe that $D_{\alpha}AD_{\alpha} = -A$ and $D_{\alpha}^2 = 1$. Thus, it follows immediately that

$$(-\frac{1}{2}D_{\alpha}A)D_{\alpha} - D_{\alpha}(-\frac{1}{2}D_{\alpha}A) = A.$$

This proves the lemma.

REFERENCES

1. J. H. Anderson and J. G. Stampfli, *Commutators and compressions*, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971), 433-441.
2. A. Brown and C. Percy, *Structure of commutators of operators*, Ann. of Math. 82 (1965), 112-127.
3. A. Brown, P. R. Halmos, and C. Percy, *Commutators of operators on Hilbert space*, Can. J. Math. 17 (1965), 695-708.

*University of Guelph,
Guelph, Ontario*

Received October 21, 1973 and in revised form, January 2, 1975.