

## SOME MULTIPLIERS ON $H_p^1(G)$

HIROSHI YAMAGUCHI

(Received 14 August 1978; revised 15 February 1979)

Communicated by E. Strzelecki

### Abstract

In this paper, we define the function space  $H_p^1(G)$  on a LCA group  $G$  with the algebraically ordered dual, and construct a multiplier on  $H_p^1(G)$  similar to the one given by Gaudry (1968).

1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 43 A 22

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a LCA group with dual  $\hat{G}$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L^p(G)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue space with respect to a Haar measure on  $G$ . For a subset  $E$  of  $\hat{G}$ , let  $L_E^1(G)$  be the subspace of  $L^1(G)$  consisting of those functions whose Fourier transforms vanish off  $E$ . Let  $M(G)$  be the Banach algebra of all complex valued bounded regular measures on  $G$ . In Gaudry (1968), he showed the following interesting example.

Let  $H^1(T)$  be the Hardy space. That is,

$$H^1(T) = \{f \in L^1(T); \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}.$$

We define a function  $\psi$  on  $Z^+$  (subsemigroup of  $Z$  consisting of nonnegative integers) by  $\psi = \chi_E$ , where  $E = \{a_n \in Z^+ \setminus \{0\}; a_{n+1}/a_n > 3\}$  and  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$ . By Paley's theorem, for each  $f \in H^1(T)$ , there exists a function  $g \in H^2(T)$  such that  $\psi(n)\hat{f}(n) = \hat{g}(n)$  for every  $n \in Z$  ( $\psi(n)\hat{f}(n)$  is 0 if  $n$  does not belong to  $Z^+$ ).

Therefore,  $\psi$  determines a multiplier on  $H^1(T)$ . But, by Rudin's F. and M. Riesz theorem,  $\psi$  does not belong to  $M(T)^\wedge|_{Z^+}$ .

Since  $E = \text{supp}(\psi)$  is a lacunary sequence, we note that

$$L_{\text{supp}(\psi)}^1(T) \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p(T).$$

DEFINITION 1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a LCA group.  $\Gamma$  is called an *algebraically ordered group* if there exists a subsemigroup  $P$  of  $\Gamma$  which satisfies the (AO)-condition, namely, (i)  $P \cup (-P) = \Gamma$  and (ii)  $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$ .

$\Gamma$  is an algebraically ordered group if and only if it is torsion-free (Rudin (1962a), p. 194).

Let  $G$  be a LCA group and  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $\hat{G}$  satisfying the (AO)-condition. Suppose  $P$  is not dense in  $\hat{G}$ . Now, we define  $H_P^1(G)$  as follows:

$$H_P^1(G) = \{f \in L^1(G); \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ on } P^c\}.$$

REMARK 1. If  $P$  is dense in  $\hat{G}$ , then  $H_P^1(G) = \{0\}$ . If  $G = R$  and  $P = R^+$ , then  $H_P^1(G) = H^1(R)$ , where  $R^+$  is a subsemigroup of  $R$  consisting of nonnegative real numbers.

Our purpose in this paper is to construct a multiplier on  $H_P^1(G)$  similar to the one given by Gaudry.

## 2. Multipliers on $H_P^1(G)$

Let  $G$  be a LCA group with the dual group  $\hat{G}$ , and let  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $\hat{G}$  with the (AO)-condition such that  $P$  is not dense in  $\hat{G}$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $S$  be a bounded linear operator on  $H_P^1(G)$ .  $S$  is called a *multiplier* if  $S$  commutes with every translation operator  $\tau_x$  on  $G$ .

The following two lemmas are due to Meyer (1968).

LEMMA 1. Suppose  $S$  is a bounded linear operator on  $H_P^1(G)$ . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $S$  is a multiplier,
- (2) there exists a function  $\psi \in L^\infty(P^\circ)$  such that  $\widehat{Sf}(\gamma) = \psi(\gamma)\hat{f}(\gamma)$  on  $\hat{G}$  for every  $f \in H_P^1(G)$ , where  $\psi(\gamma)\hat{f}(\gamma)$  is 0 if  $\gamma$  does not belong to  $P^\circ$ .

DEFINITION 3.  $\Phi \in L^\infty(P^\circ)$  is also called a *multiplier* on  $H_P^1(G)$  if there exists a multiplier  $S_\Phi$  on  $H_P^1(G)$  such that  $\widehat{S_\Phi f}(\gamma) = \Phi(\gamma)\hat{f}(\gamma)$  on  $\hat{G}$  for every  $f \in H_P^1(G)$ .

We define a norm  $\|\Phi\|$  by  $\|\Phi\| = \|S_\Phi\|$ .

LEMMA 2. (Meyer (1968), § 1.1 Corollary 3). Suppose  $G$  is a LCA group and  $E$  is a closed subset of  $\hat{G}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a closed subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  and  $H$  be the annihilator of  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\psi$  be in  $L^\infty(E^c)$ . If  $\psi$  is a multiplier on  $L^1_{E^c}(G)$ , then  $\psi_\Lambda$  (restriction of  $\psi$  to  $\Lambda \cap E^c$ ) is also a multiplier on  $L^1_{\Lambda \cap E^c}(G/H)$  such that  $\|\psi_\Lambda\| \leq \|\psi\|$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** For  $b \in Z$ , define  $\mathbf{b} \in Z^n$  by  $\mathbf{b} = (b, 0, \dots, 0)$ . For a subset  $F \subset Z$ , we define  $\mathbf{F}$  by  $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathbf{b}; b \in F\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** Let  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $R^n$  with the (AO)-condition such that  $P^\circ$  (the interior of  $P$ ) =  $\{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; x_1 > 0\}$ . Let  $F$  be a sequence  $\{a_m\}$  in  $Z^+ \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a_{m+1}/a_m > 3$  ( $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Define a function  $\psi$  on  $\{y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in Z^n; y_1 > 0\}$  by

$$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in \mathbf{F} = \{a_m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Phi(z) = \sum \psi(l) \Delta(z-l)$  for  $z \in P^\circ$ , where sum is taken over the set

$$\{l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) \in Z^n; l_1 \geq 1\},$$

and where  $\Delta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \max(1 - 3|x_i|, 0)$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ . Then,  $\Phi$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_P(R^n)$  with the following property:

$$(I) \quad \Phi \notin M(R^n)^\wedge|_{P^\circ}.$$

**PROOF.** Let us denote by  $\leq_*$  the order on  $Z^n$  induced by a semigroup  $P \cap Z^n$ . For  $l \in P \cap Z^n$ , let  $G_F(l) = \text{Card} \{\{\gamma \in Z^n; l \leq_* \gamma \leq_* 2l\} \cap F\}$ . Then,  $G_F(l)$  is a bounded function on  $P \cap Z^n$ . Hence, by Rudin (1962a), Theorem 8.6, p. 213,  $\psi \hat{g} \in H^1_{P \cap Z^n}(T^n)^\wedge$  for every  $g \in H^1_{P \cap Z^n}(T^n)$ . By Meyer (1968), Theorem 3, p. 510,  $\Phi$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_P(R^n)$ . Since  $M(R^n)^\wedge|_Z = M(T)^\wedge$ ,  $\Phi$  does not belong to  $M(R^n)^\wedge|_{P^\circ}$ .

The following two lemmas are due to Otaki (1977) (Lemma 1, Lemma 3).

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $F$  be a compact abelian group and  $P$  a subsemigroup of  $F$  satisfying the (AO)-condition. Then,  $P$  is dense in  $F$ .

**LEMMA 5.** Let  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $R^n$  with the (AO)-condition such that it is not dense in  $R^n$ . Then, there exists a unitary transformation  $\tau$  on  $R^n$  such that  $\tau(P^\circ) = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; x_1 > 0\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.** Let  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $R^n$  satisfying the (AO)-condition. Suppose  $P$  is not dense in  $R^n$ . Then, there exists a multiplier  $S_\Phi$  on  $H^1_P(R^n)$  with the following property:

$$(I) \quad \Phi \in M(R^n)^\wedge|_{P^\circ},$$

where  $\Phi$  is a bounded measurable function on  $P^\circ$  corresponding to  $S_\Phi$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemma 5, there exists a unitary transformation  $\tau$  on  $R^n$  such that  $\tau(P^\circ) = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; x_1 > 0\}$ . Hence, by Proposition 3, there exists a multiplier  $\Phi'$  on  $H^1_{\tau(P)}(R^n)$  such that  $\Phi' \notin M(R^n)^\wedge|_{\tau(P^\circ)}$ . Define a function  $\Phi$  on  $P^\circ$  by  $\Phi(x) = \Phi'(\tau^{-1}(x))$ . Then,  $\Phi$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_P(R^n)$  such that  $\Phi \notin M(R^n)^\wedge|_{P^\circ}$ .

**LEMMA 7.** Let  $F$  be a LCA group and  $Z$  the usual integer group. Let  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $Z \oplus F$  with the (AO)-condition such that it is not dense in  $Z \oplus F$ . If  $P$  is dense in  $F$ , then we have

$$P = \{(n, f) \in Z \oplus F; n > 0, \text{ or } n = 0 \text{ and } f \geq_P 0\}, \text{ or}$$

$$= \{(n, f) \in Z \oplus F; n < 0, \text{ or } n = 0 \text{ and } f \geq_P 0\},$$

where  $>$  denotes the usual order on  $Z$  and  $\geq_P$  denotes the order on  $F$  induced by  $P$ . In particular, by Lemma 4, the conclusion holds when  $F$  is compact.

**PROOF.** We consider only the case  $P \cap Z = \{n \in Z; n \geq 0\}$ . Suppose  $(1, f_0) \in (-P)$  for some  $f_0 \in F$ . Since  $F \subset (-P)^-$  and  $(-P)^-$  is a semigroup, we have  $Z \oplus F \subset (-P)^-$ . This is a contradiction. Hence, we have

$$P = \{(n, f) \in Z \oplus F; n > 0, \text{ or } n = 0 \text{ and } f \geq_P 0\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 8.** Let  $G$  be a LCA group such that the dual group  $\hat{G}$  has an open compact subgroup  $F_0$ . Let  $P$  be a subsemigroup of  $\hat{G}$  with the (AO)-condition such that it is not dense in  $\hat{G}$ . Then, there exists a multiplier  $S_\Phi$  on  $H^1_P(G)$  with the following property:

$$(I) \quad \Phi \notin M(G)^\wedge|_{P^\circ},$$

where  $\Phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(P^\circ)$  corresponding to  $S_\Phi$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $I_1 = \{\gamma \in \hat{G}; O(\gamma + F_0) < \infty\}$  and  $I_2 = \{\gamma \in \hat{G}; O(\gamma + F_0) = \infty\}$ , where  $O(\gamma + F_0)$  denotes the order of  $\gamma + F_0$  in  $\hat{G}/F_0$ . Let  $[\gamma + F_0]$  be an open subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  generated by  $\gamma + F_0$ . Then,  $\hat{G} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in I_1} [\gamma + F_0] \cup \bigcup_{\gamma \in I_2} [\gamma + F_0]$ . By Lemma 4,  $P$  is necessarily dense in  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in I_1} [\gamma + F_0]$ . Hence by the hypothesis, there exists some  $\gamma_0 \in I_2$  such that  $P$  is not dense in  $[\gamma_0 + F_0] \cong Z \oplus F_0$ . Hence, by Lemma 7,  $P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0] = \{(n, f) \in Z \oplus F_0; n > 0, \text{ or } n = 0 \text{ and } f \geq_P 0\}$ , where  $\geq_P$  denotes the order on  $F_0$  induced by  $P$ . Let  $H$  be an annihilator of  $[\gamma_0 + F_0]$ . Then,  $G/H \cong [\gamma_0 + F_0]^\wedge = T \oplus \hat{F}_0$ . Let  $F$  be a sequence  $\{a_m\}$  in  $Z^+ \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$a_{m+1}/a_m > 3$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We define a function  $\psi$  on  $Z^+ \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$\psi(l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l \in F, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $f \in H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(T \oplus \hat{F}_0)$ ,  $f$  can be represented as follows:

$$f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m \times d\delta_{-x_m},$$

where

$$f_m \in H^1_0(T) = \{g \in H^1(T); \hat{g}(0) = 0\}$$

and  $\delta_{-x_m}$  are Dirac measures at  $-x_m \in \hat{F}_0$ . Moreover,

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|f_m\|_1.$$

Let  $S_\psi$  be a multiplier on  $H^1_0(T)$  such that  $S_\psi f(l) = \psi(l)\hat{f}(l)$  ( $l \in Z$ ) (see Section 1). For

$$f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m \times d\delta_{-x_m} \in H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(T \oplus F_0),$$

we define an operator  $S_1$  on  $H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(T \oplus \hat{F}_0)$  by

$$S_1(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S_\psi(f_m) \times d\delta_{-x_m}.$$

For  $(l, s) \in (Z^+ \setminus \{0\}) \oplus F_0$ , put  $\Phi_1(l, s) = \psi(l)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S_1(f)}(l, s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{S_\psi(f_m)}(l)(x_m, s) \\ &= \psi(l) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_m(l)(x_m, s) \\ &= \psi(l)\hat{f}(l, s) \\ &= \Phi_1(l, s)\hat{f}(l, s) \quad \text{for } (l, s) \in Z \oplus F_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S_1$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(T \oplus \hat{F}_0)$ . Define a bounded linear operator  $A_1$  from  $H^1_P(G)$  to  $H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(G/H)$  as follows:

$$\widehat{A_1(f)} = \hat{f}|_{[\gamma_0 + F_0]} \quad \text{for } f \in H^1_P(G).$$

Next, we define a bounded linear operator  $A_2$  from  $H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(G/H)$  to  $H^1_P(G)$  by

$$\widehat{A_2(g)}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \hat{g}(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in [\gamma_0 + F_0], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, define a bounded linear operator  $S_2$  on  $H^1_P(G)$  by  $S_2 = A_2 \circ S_1 \circ A_1$  (see Figure 1).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(G/H) & \xrightarrow{S_1} & H^1_{P \cap [\gamma_0 + F_0]}(G/H) \\
 \uparrow A_1 & & \downarrow A_2 \\
 H^1_P(G) & \xrightarrow{S_2} & H^1_P(G)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1.

Let  $\Phi$  be a function on  $P^\circ$  defined by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \Phi_1(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in [\gamma_0 + F_0] \cap P^\circ \cong (Z^+ \setminus \{0\}) \oplus F_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \in P^\circ \cap (\widehat{G} \setminus [\gamma_0 + F_0]). \end{cases}$$

Then,  $S_2$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_P(G)$  and  $S_2(\widehat{f})(\gamma) = \Phi(\gamma)\widehat{f}(\gamma)$ . Since

$$\Phi_1 \notin M(G/H)^\wedge|_{[\gamma_0 + F_0] \cap P^\circ},$$

we have  $\Phi \notin M(G)^\wedge|_{P^\circ}$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 8.

We need the following lemmas in order to prove the main theorem.

**LEMMA 9.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a LCA group and  $P$  a subsemigroup of  $\Gamma$  satisfying the (AO)-condition. Let  $F$  be an open subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $P$  is dense in it. If there exists an element  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $-P$  is not dense in  $\gamma_0 + F$ , then we have  $P \supset \gamma_0 + F$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $-P$  is not dense in  $\gamma_0 + F$ , there exists an open subset  $V$  of  $F$  such that  $(\gamma_0 + V) \cap (-P)^\circ = \emptyset$ . Hence, we have  $\gamma_0 + V \subset P$ . Since  $P$  is dense in  $F$ , we have  $V + P \supset F$ . Therefore, we have  $\gamma_0 + F \subset \gamma_0 + V + P \subset P$ .

**LEMMA 10.** *Suppose  $F$  is a compact abelian torsion-free group. Let  $-P$  be a subsemigroup of  $R^n \oplus F$  with the (AO)-condition. If  $P$  is not dense in  $R^n \oplus F$ , then  $P$  includes  $(P_{R^n})^\circ + F$ , where  $P_{R^n} = P \cap R^n$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $P$  is necessarily dense in  $F$ ,  $P$  is not dense in  $R^n$ . Hence, by Lemma 5, there exists a unitary transformation  $\tau$  on  $R^n$  such that

$$\tau((P_{R^n})^\circ) = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; x_1 > 0\}.$$

Define an automorphism  $\tilde{\tau}$  on  $R^n \oplus F$  by  $\tilde{\tau}(z, t) = (\tau(z), t)$  for  $(z, t) \in R^n \oplus F$ . Then,  $\tilde{\tau}(P)$  is a subsemigroup of  $R^n \oplus F$  with the (AO)-condition such that it is not dense in  $R^n \oplus F$ .  $\leq_P$  and  $\leq_{\tilde{\tau}(P)}$  denote the orders on  $R^n \oplus F$  induced by  $P$  and  $\tilde{\tau}(P)$

respectively. Suppose there exist  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in (P_{R^n})^\circ$  and  $s \in F$  such that  $y \not\ll_P s$ . Then,  $\tau(y) = \tilde{\tau}(y) \not\ll_{\tilde{\tau}(P)} \tilde{\tau}(s) = s$ . Let  $\tau(y) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  ( $x_1 > 0$ ). Then, for  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in R^n$  with  $z_1 < x_1$ , we obtain that

$$z = (0, s) + (z - \tau(y), 0) + (\tau(y), -s) \in (-\tilde{\tau}(P)) + (-\tilde{\tau}(P))^- + (-\tilde{\tau}(P))^- = (-\tilde{\tau}(P)).$$

Since  $(-\tilde{\tau}(P))^-$  is a semigroup,  $R^n$  is contained in  $(-\tilde{\tau}(P))^-$ . This is a contradiction. Hence, we have  $P \supset (P_{R^n})^\circ + F$ .

**THEOREM 11.** *Let  $G$  be a nondiscrete LCA group with the dual group  $\hat{G}$ . Suppose there exists a subsemigroup  $P$  of  $\hat{G}$  with the (AO)-condition such that it is not dense in  $\hat{G}$ . Then, there exists a multiplier  $S_\Phi$  on  $H^1_P(G)$  with the following property:*

$$(I) \quad \Phi \notin M(G)^\wedge|_{P^\circ},$$

where  $\Phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(P^\circ)$  which corresponds to  $S_\Phi$ .

**PROOF.** By the structure theorem,  $\hat{G} \cong R^n \oplus F$ , where  $n$  is a nonnegative integer and  $F$  is a LCA group containing an open compact subgroup  $F_0$ .

(Case i): If  $n = 0$ , it has been proved in Proposition 8.

(Case ii): Suppose  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\Lambda = R^n \oplus F_0$ . Then,  $\Lambda$  is an open subgroup of  $\hat{G}$ .

(Case ii): Suppose  $P$  is not dense in  $\Lambda$ . Then, by Lemma 10,  $P \cap \Lambda$  contains  $(P_{R^n})^\circ + F_0$ . Note  $G/\Lambda^\perp \cong \hat{\Lambda} \cong R^n \oplus F_0$ . For each  $g \in H^1_{P \cap \Lambda}(G/\Lambda^\perp)$ ,  $g$  can be represented by

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty g_m(x) \times d\delta_{-z_m}(y)$$

with  $\|g\|_1 = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \|g_m\|_1$ , where  $g_m \in H^1_{P_{R^n}}(R^n)$  and  $\delta_{-z_m}$  are Dirac measures at  $-z_m \in \hat{F}_0$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ). By Proposition 6, there exists a multiplier  $S^{(1)}$  on  $H^1_{P_{R^n}}(R^n)$  with the following property:

$$(a) \quad \psi^{(1)} \notin M(R^n)^\wedge|_{P_{R^n}},$$

where  $\psi^{(1)}$  is a function in  $L^\infty((P_{R^n})^\circ)$  corresponding to  $S^{(1)}$ . Define a bounded linear operator  $S^{(2)}$  on  $H^1_{P \cap \Lambda}(G/\Lambda^\perp)$  by

$$S^{(2)}(g) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty S^{(1)}(g_m) \times d\delta_{-z_m}$$

for  $g(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty g_m(x) \times d\delta_{-z_m}(y) \in H^1_{P \cap \Lambda}(G/\Lambda^\perp)$ . For  $(s, t) \in (P_{R^n})^\circ \oplus F_0$ , put  $\Phi^{(2)}(s, t) = \psi^{(1)}(s)$ . Then,  $S^{(2)}(\hat{g})(s, t) = \Phi^{(2)}(s, t) \hat{g}(s, t)$  for  $(s, t) \in R^n \oplus F_0$ .

Hence,  $S^{(2)}$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_{P \cap \Lambda}(G/\Lambda^\perp)$  corresponding to  $\Phi^{(2)}$ . Next, we define a bounded linear operator from  $H^1_P(G)$  to  $H^1_{P \cap \Lambda}(G/\Lambda^\perp)$  such that

$A_1(h)^\wedge = \hat{h}|_\Lambda$ , and  $A_2$  is a bounded linear operator from  $H^1_{P \cap \Lambda}(G/\Lambda^\perp)$  to  $H^1_P(G)$  such that

$$A_2(\hat{k})(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \hat{k}(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in \Lambda, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Phi$  be a function on  $P^\circ$  defined by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \Phi^{(2)}(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in P^\circ \cap \Lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \in P^\circ \cap (\hat{G} \setminus \Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Then,  $S$  is a multiplier on  $H^1_P(G)$  corresponding to  $\Phi$ . Since  $\Phi^{(1)} \notin M(R^n)^\wedge|_{(P_{R^n})^\circ}$ ,  $\Phi \notin M(G)^\wedge|_{P^\circ}$ .

(Case ii)<sub>II</sub>: Suppose  $P$  is dense in  $\Lambda$ . Since  $P$  is not dense in  $\hat{G}$ , there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $P$  is not dense in  $\gamma_0 + \Lambda$ . Suppose the order of  $\gamma_0 + \Lambda$  in  $\hat{G}/\Lambda$  is finite. By Lemma 9,  $\gamma_0 + \Lambda$  is contained in  $-P$ . Hence, we have  $[\gamma_0 + \Lambda] \subset -P$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, the order of  $\gamma_0 + \Lambda$  in  $\hat{G}/\Lambda$  is infinite. Hence,  $[\gamma_0 + \Lambda] \cong R^n \oplus F_0 \oplus Z$ . Put  $\Lambda_0 = [\gamma_0 + \Lambda]$ . By Lemma 7, we have

$$P \cap \Lambda_0 \cong \{(x, t, l) \in R^n \oplus F_0 \oplus Z; l > 0, \text{ or } l = 0 \text{ and } (x, t) = (x, t, 0) \geq_P 0\}.$$

Hence,  $P \cap R^n \oplus Z \cong \{(x, l) \in R^n \oplus Z; l > 0, \text{ or } l = 0 \text{ and } x \geq_{P_{R^n}} 0\}$ . We put

$$Q = P \cap R^n \oplus Z.$$

Then, by Proposition 6 and Lemma 2, there exists a multiplier  $S^{(1)}$  on  $H^1_Q(R^n \oplus T)$  such that  $\psi^{(1)} \notin M(R^n \oplus T)^\wedge|_{Q^\circ}$ , where  $\psi^{(1)}$  is a function in  $L^\infty(Q^\circ)$  corresponding to  $S^{(1)}$ .

Let  $\Phi^{(2)}$  be a function on  $P^\circ \cap \Lambda_0$  such that  $\Phi^{(2)}(x, t, l) = \psi^{(1)}(x, l)$  for  $(x, l) = (x, 0, l) \in Q^\circ$  and  $t \in F_0$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a function on  $P^\circ$  defined by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \Phi^{(2)}(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in P^\circ \cap \Lambda_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \in P^\circ \cap (\hat{G} \setminus \Lambda_0). \end{cases}$$

Then, evidently,  $\Phi \notin M((G)^\wedge)|_P$ . By the same method as in (Case ii)<sub>I</sub>, we can show that there exists a multiplier  $S$  on  $H^1_P(G)$  corresponding to  $\Phi$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 11.

**REMARK 1.** By the construction of  $\Phi$  in Theorem 11, we note that the following is established:

$$L^p_{\text{supp}(\Phi)}(G) \subset \bigcap_{p \leq q < \infty} L^q(G) \quad (1 \leq p \leq 2),$$

where

$$L^p_{\text{supp}(\Phi)}(G) = \{f \in L^p(G); \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } (\text{supp}(\Phi))^c\}.$$

Finally, I wish to express my thanks to Professor S. Yamamuro and referees for their valuable advice and suggestions.

### References

- R. E. Edwards and G. I. Gaudry (1977), *Littlewood–Paley and multipliers theorem* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York).
- G. I. Gaudry (1968). ‘ $H^p$  multipliers and inequality of Hardy and Littlewood’, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **10**, 23–32.
- E. Hewitt and K. Ross (1971), *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. II (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York).
- R. Larsen (1971). *An introduction to the theory of multipliers* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York).
- Y. Meyer (1968), ‘Endomorphismes de inéaux fermés de  $L^1(G)$ , classes de Haerdy, et séries de Fourier lacunaires, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **1**, 499–580.
- H. Otaki (1977), ‘A relation between the F. and M. Riesz theorem and the structures of LCA groups,’ *Hokkaido Math. J.* **VI**, 306–312.
- W. Rudin (1962a), *Fourier analysis on groups* (New York), Interscience).
- W. Rudin (1962b), ‘Trigonometric series with gaps’, *J. Math. Mech.* **9**, 203–228.

Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo  
Japan

Current address:  
Department of Mathematics  
Josai University  
Sakado, Saitama  
Japan