The Voluntary Food Intake of Pigs Occasional Publication No. 13—British Society of Animal Production 1989 edited by J. M. Forbes, M. A. Varley and T. L. J. Lawrence

THE EFFECTS OF WATER DELIVERY RATE ON THE VOLUNTARY FOOD INTAKE, WATER USE AND PERFORMANCE OF EARLY-WEANED PIGS FROM 3 TO 6 WEEKS OF AGE

J. BARBER, P. H. BROOKS and J. L. CARPENTER

Seale-Hayne College, Newton Abbot, Devon TQ12 6NQ

INTRODUCTION

I has been reported that the intake of water by pigs, like that of most mammals, is usually positively correlated with food intake. Water is commonly supplied to weaned piglets either from bowls or from drinkers. With the exception of water bowls with a large reservoir capacity, the availability of water to the pig depends upon the water delivery rate from the drinker. Despite this, few manufacturers of piglet drinkers recommend an optimum water delivery rate for their product. The objective of this experiment was to investigate the effect of different water delivery rates on the voluntary food and water intakes of young pigs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

One hundred and sixty Large White \times (Large White \times Landrace) piglets aged 21 \pm 1 days were randomly assigned to one of four water delivery rates (700, 450, 350 and 175 cm³/min) in four replicate blocks. The piglets were housed in an early-weaning flatdeck house maintained at 28°C. Each treatment pen contained five boars and five gilts (weaning weight 5.84 (s.e. 0.3)kg). The experimental design was a 4 \times 4 Latin square, each of the four treatments experimental design was a five four treatment of the four treatments.

Arato 76 drinkers and water used was metered through previously calibrated Kent PSM-L turbine meters and recorded daily. Water delivery rate was determined by the use of different restricted orifices in the drinkers. The delivery rates achieved were within 5% of the nominal values. For the first 2 weeks post weaning the piglets were fed *ad libitum* Bibby's D20M diet (oil 210 g, protein 200 g/kg) and for the 3rd week Bibby's D10P diet (oil 100 g, protein 240 g/kg). Food intake was calculated weekly.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Piglet performance data are presented in Table 1. By making the attainment of water more difficult, voluntary food intake during the 3-week period immediately post weaning was significantly reduced (P < 0.001) and consequently average daily live-weight gain was significantly reduced (P < 0.01). There were no significant differences in voluntary food intake and daily gain between 700 cm³/min and 450 cm³/min water delivery rates.

Mean voluntary water use increased significantly at each water delivery rate (P < 0.001). The apparent time spent drinking was calculated by dividing the mean water use by the delivery rate. This is not strictly the time

of the four treatments occurring once in each of the four treatment pens. Water was supplied to each pen by two

spend drinking but the time the valve in the drinker was

TABLE 1

The effects of water delivery rate on voluntary food intake and water use

			A			
	175	350	450	700	s.e.d.	Significance
Daily food intake (g per pig)	303 ^c	323 ^b	341 ^a	347ª	3.68	* * *
Daily gain (g per pig)	210 ^c	235 ^b	250ª	247 ^a	5.57	* *
Food conversion ratio	1.48	1.39	1.37	1.42	0.03	
Daily voluntary water use						
(l per pig)	0.78^{d}	1.04 ^c	1⋅32 ^b	1.63ª	0.01	* * *
Apparent time spent drinking						
(s per pig per day)	268·1 ^b	175·8ª	174·8 ^a	139·4 ^a	15.44	* * *

Water delivery rate (cm³/min)

^{a, b, c, d}. Means bearing the same superscript are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

fully open. Time spent drinking at $175 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$ was significantly greater than at any of the other flow rates (P < 0.001). It is evident that the pigs had adapted to a certain extent to the lower flow rate by spending a greater amount of time drinking but this did not prevent a reduction in food intake. Nienaber and Hahn (1984) also found that there was no difference in apparent time spent drinking for early-weaned piglets between 350 and 1100 cm³ min. Barber, Brooks and Carpenter (1988) showed that food intake in growing pigs was unaffected by water delivery rate of 300 or 900 cm³/min suggesting that growing pigs adapted to a greater extent to the lower water delivery rate.

Fitting second-order polynomial curves to the data gave the following equations:

175 cm³/min: $Y = 0.379 - 0.014 X + 0.0035X^2$ $(R^2 = 0.98)$ 350 cm³/min: $Y = 0.655 - 0.043 X + 0.0053X^2$ $(R^2 = 0.98)$ 450 cm³/min: $Y = 0.773 - 0.033 X + 0.0059X^2$ $(R^2 = 0.98)$ 700 cm³/min: $Y = 0.681 - 0.008 X + 0.0054X^2$ $(R^2 = 0.98)$ where Y = water use (1 per pig per day)

X = days post weaning.

Although the relationship between water use and the number of days post weaning is highly significant, it does not adequately describe the pattern of water use during the first 2 days. Low water use on the 1st day was followed by a rapid increase in intake on the 2nd day suggesting that the piglets may have experienced difficulty in locating the drinkers immediately post weaning. Linear regression in food intake on the water delivery rate gave the following equation:

 $Y = 293 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 084X$ (R² = 0.86) where Y = food intake (g per pig per day)

X =delivery rate (cm³/min).

Linear regression of water delivery rate gave the following equation:

$$Y = 0.50 + 0.002X \qquad (R^2 = 0.98)$$

where Y = water intake (l per pig per day)

X =delivery rate (cm³/min).

It is concluded that between the water delivery rates of 175 cm³/min and 450 cm³/min there is a significantincrease in food intake. This highlights to the producer the importance of a readily available source of water during the period immediately post weaning. Many studies of the voluntary food intake in young pigs may have been biased by the water delivery system. Therefore it is recommended that future research concerning voluntary food intake in early-weaned pigs should be conducted using readily available water sources which do not themselves restrict voluntary food intake.

REFERENCES

- BARBER, J., BROOKS, P. H. and CARPENTER, J. L. 1988. The effect of water delivery rate and drinker number on the water use of growing pigs. *Animal Production* 46: 521 (Abstr.).
- NIENABER, J. A. and HAHN, G. L. 1984. Effect of water flow restriction and environmental factors on the performance of nursery-age pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 59: 1423-1429.

104