

A NOTE ON ADDITIVE MAPPINGS
IN NONCOMMUTATIVE FIELDS

J. VUKMAN

In this paper we prove a result concerning the Cauchy functional equation, that is the functional equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$, in skew fields with characteristic not two.

This research has been inspired by the work of S. Kurepa [2].

THEOREM. *Let F be a skew field of characteristic not two and let $f: F \rightarrow F$ be an additive mapping such that the relation*

$$(1) \quad f(a) = -a^2 f(a^{-1})$$

holds for all nonzero $a \in F$. Then we have $f(a) = 0$ for all $a \in F$.

Proof. We intend to prove that

$$(2) \quad (ab - ba)af(a) = a(ab - ba)f(a)$$

holds for all pairs $a, b \in F$. For the proof of (2) we need several steps. The first step is to prove that

$$(3) \quad f(a^2) = 2af(a)$$

holds for all $a \in F$. Since the characteristic of the field is not two it follows immediately that

$$(4) \quad f(1) = 0.$$

Received 23 February 1987. This research was supported by the Research Council of Slovenia. The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor T.M.K. Davison for helpful conversations.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/87
\$A2.00 + 0.00. 499

We have also $f(0) = 0$. Hence we may assume that $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq 1$. In this case we have

$$a^2 = a - (a^{-1} + (1 - a)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Then from the additivity of f and from (1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a^2) &= f(a) - f((a^{-1} + (1 - a)^{-1})^{-1}) = f(a) \\ &+ (a^{-1} + (1 - a)^{-1})^{-2} f(a^{-1} + (1 - a)^{-1}) \\ &= f(a) - (1 - a)^2 a^2 a^{-2} f(a) - a^2 (1 - a)^2 (1 - a)^{-2} f(1 - a) \\ &= f(a) - (1 - a)^2 f(a) + a^2 f(a) = 2af(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the relation (3) is proved. Replacing a by $a + b$ in (3) one obtains easily that

$$(5) \quad f(ab + ba) = 2af(b) + 2bf(a), \quad a, b \in F.$$

Let us prove that

$$(6) \quad f(aba) = a^2 f(b) + 3abf(a) - baf(a)$$

holds for all pairs $a, b \in F$. From (5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a(ab + ba) + (ab + ba)a) &= 2af(ab + ba) + 2(ab + ba)f(a) \\ &= 4a^2 f(b) + 6abf(a) + 2baf(a). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we obtain, using (2) and (5), that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a(ab + ba) + (ab + ba)a) &= f(a^2 b + ba^2 + 2aba) \\ &= 2a^2 f(b) + 4baf(a) + 2f(aba). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these equations, we obtain relation (6). Let us write $a + c$ instead of a in (6). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f((a + c)b(a + c)) &= (a + c)^2 f(b) + 3(a + c)bf(a + c) \\ &- b(a + c)f(a + c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(aba) + f(cbc) + f(abc + cba) &= a^2 f(b) + 3abf(a) \\ - baf(a) + c^2 f(b) + 3cbf(c) - bcf(c) &+ (ac + ca)f(b) \\ + 3abf(c) + 3cbf(a) - baf(c) - bcf(a). \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad f(abc + cba) = (ac + ca)f(b) + 3abf(c) + 3cbf(a) - baf(c) - bcf(a)$$

where a, b, c are arbitrary elements from F . All is prepared to prove that the relation

$$(8) \quad (ab - ba)f(ab) = a(ab - ba)f(b) + b(ab - ba)f(a)$$

holds for all pairs $a, b \in F$. Let us write A for $f(ab(ab) + (ab)ba)$. Then from (7) we obtain

$$A = (a(ab) + (ab)a)f(b) + 3abf(ab) - baf(ab) + 3ab^2f(a) - babbf(a).$$

On the other hand since $A = f((ab)^2 + ab^2a)$ we obtain, using (3) and (6), that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= f((ab)^2) + f(ab^2a) = 2abf(ab) + a^2f(b^2) + 3ab^2f(a) \\ &\quad - b^2af(a) = 2abf(ab) + 2a^2bf(b) + 3ab^2f(a) - b^2af(a). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these equations, we obtain (8). Let us write $a + c$ instead of a in (8). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &((a + c)b - b(a + c))f((a + c)b) \\ &= (a + c)((a + c)b - b(a + c))f(b) \\ &\quad + b((a + c)b - b(a + c))f(a + c) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &((ab - ba) + (cb - bc))(f(ab) + f(cb)) \\ &= (a(ab - ba) + c(ab - ba) + a(cb - bc) + c(cb - bc))f(b) \\ &\quad + (b(ab - ba) + b(cb - bc))(f(a) + f(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is obvious that from (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(cb - bc)f(ab) + (ab - ba)f(cb) = c(ab - ba)f(b) \\ &\quad + a(cb - bc)f(b) + b(cb - bc)f(a) + b(ab - ba)f(c). \end{aligned}$$

If we put $b = a$ in the relation above, we obtain

$$(ca - ac)f(a^2) = 2a(ca - ac)f(a)$$

which proves (2) since (3) holds and since the characteristic of the field is not two.

Relation (2) makes it possible to use Lemma 1.1.9 of [1]. Let us assume that $f(a) \neq 0$ for some $a \in F$. Then from (2) it follows that $(ab - ba)a = a(ab - ba)$ holds for all $b \in F$. Hence since a commutes with all its own commutators $ab - ba$ we can conclude that a is in the centre of F by Lemma 1.1.9 in [1]. Let us take $b \in F$ which is not in the centre of F . Then $a + b$ is not in the centre. Now we have $f(b) = 0$ and $f(a + b) = 0$, otherwise b and $a + b$ would be in the centre of F . Since f is additive we have finally

$0 = f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b) = f(a)$ which contradicts $f(a) \neq 0$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

As we have mentioned, this work has been inspired by the work of S. Kurepa [2] where additive mappings with the additional requirement (1) on the real field are considered. One can prove that in the case where f is an additive mapping with the addition requirement (1) on a commutative field with characteristic not two it follows that f is a derivation (that is, an additive mapping such that $f(ab) = f(a)b + af(b)$ for all a and b). This is obvious from the beginning of the proof of the Theorem (see also [2]). Therefore, since it is well-known that there exist commutative fields with nonzero derivations, the assumption that the field is noncommutative is essential in the Theorem.

References

- [1] I.N. Herstein, *Rings with involution*, (University of Chicago Press, 1976).
- [2] S. Kurepa, "The Cauchy functional equation and scalar product in vector spaces", *Glas. Mat.-Fiz. Astr.* 19 (1964), 23-36.

University of Maribor

VEKŠ

Razlagova 14

62000 MARIBOR

YUGOSLAVIA