A NOTE ON POWERFUL NUMBERS IN SHORT INTERVAL[S](#page-0-0) TSZ HO CHA[N](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8553-1633)[®]

(Received 19 July 2022; accepted 12 August 2022; first published online 22 September 2022)

Abstract

We investigate uniform upper bounds for the number of powerful numbers in short intervals $(x, x + y]$. We obtain unconditional upper bounds $O(y/\log y)$ and $O(y^{11/12})$ for all powerful numbers and $y^{1/2}$ -smooth powerful numbers, respectively. Conditional on the *abc*-conjecture, we prove the bound $O(y/\log^{1+\epsilon} y)$ for $y^{(2+\epsilon)/k}$ for k -full numbers when $k > 3$. These bounds are related squarefull numbers and the bound $O(y^{(2+\epsilon)/k})$ for *k*-full numbers when $k \ge 3$. These bounds are related to Roth's theorem on arithmetic progressions and the conjecture on the nonexistence of three consecutive squarefull numbers.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11N25.

Keywords and phrases: powerful numbers, Brun–Titchmarsh inequality, *abc*-conjecture, Roth's theorem on arithmetic progressions.

1. Introduction and main result

A number *n* is *squarefull* if its prime factorisation $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ satisfies $a_i \ge 2$ for all $1 \le i \le r$. Similarly, a number *n* is *k*-*full* if $a_i \ge k$ for $1 \le i \le r$. For example, $72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ is squarefull and $243 = 3^5$ is 5-full. Let $Q_k(x)$ denote the number of *k*-full numbers which are less than or equal to *x*. It is known that

$$
Q_k(x) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{m=k+1}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{p^{m/h}} \right) x^{1/k} + O(x^{1/(k+1)}),\tag{1.1}
$$

where the product is over all primes (see, for example, $[1, 4]$ $[1, 4]$ $[1, 4]$). There are also estimates for the number of *k*-full numbers in short intervals $(x, x + y)$ with $y = o(x)$. For moderate size *y*, there are some asymptotic results. For example, Trifonov [\[8\]](#page-7-2) and Liu [\[6\]](#page-7-3) respectively obtained

$$
Q_2(x + x^{1/2+\theta}) - Q_2(x) \sim \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{2\zeta(3)} x^{\theta}
$$
 for $\frac{19}{154} = 0.12337... < \theta < \frac{1}{2}$,

and

$$
Q_3(x + x^{2/3+\theta}) - Q_3(x) \sim \frac{\zeta(4/3)}{3\zeta(4)} x^{\theta}
$$
 for $\frac{5}{42} = 0.11904... < \theta < \frac{1}{3}$.

[©] The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

100 T. H. Chan [2]

What happens when *y* is very small, say $y \ll x^{1/2}$ or even $y \ll \log x$? For such short intervals, one can only expect suitable upper bounds rather than asymptotic formulae. Thus, in this note, we are interested in finding uniform upper bounds for $Q_k(x + y)$ – $Q_k(x)$ with $1 \le y \le x$ that are independent of x. By comparing k-full numbers with perfect *k*th powers, we suspect the following conjecture to be true.

CONJECTURE 1.1. Given an integer $k \ge 2$ and a real number $x \ge 1$, there exists some constant $C_k \geq 1$ such that

$$
Q_k(x+y) - Q_k(x) \le C_k y^{1/k}
$$

uniformly over $1 \le y \le x$.

We are far from proving this at the moment. The current best upper bound,

$$
Q_k(x+y) - Q_k(x) \ll \frac{y \log \log(y+2)}{\log(y+2)},\tag{1.2}
$$

was obtained by De Koninck *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-7-4). We improve [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) slightly.

THEOREM 1.2. *Given an integer* $k \geq 2$ *and a real number* $x \geq 1$ *, we have*

$$
Q_k(x+y) - Q_k(x) \ll \frac{y}{\log(y+1)}
$$
\n(1.3)

uniformly over $1 \leq y \leq x$.

In fact, we shall prove the following more general result concerning squarefull numbers in arithmetic progression over short intervals which gives Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) immediately, as *k*-full numbers are included in squarefull numbers.

THEOREM 1.3. *Given real numbers* $x \ge 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *and integers* $q > 0$ *and r with* $gcd(r, q) = 1$ *, we have*

$$
\sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y \\ n \text{ squarefull} \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} 1 \ll_{\alpha} \frac{y}{\phi(q) \log(y+1)}
$$

uniformly over $1 \le y \le x$ *and* $1 \le q \le y^{1-\alpha}$ *.*

Using a similar technique, we can obtain some power savings over [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2) for *smooth k*-full numbers in short intervals.

THEOREM 1.4. *Given an integer* $k \geq 2$ *and a real number* $x \geq 1$ *, we have*

$$
\sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y \\ n \text{ } k \text{-full} \\ p^+(n) \le y^{1/2}}} 1 \le \sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y \\ n \text{ squarefull} \\ p^+(n) \le y^{1/2}}} 1 \ll y^{11/12} \tag{1.4}
$$

uniformly over $1 \le y \le x$. Here $p^+(n)$ *stands for the largest prime factor of n.*

One may increase the exponent $1/2$ up to 1 and obtain a similar power saving upper bound.

The bound [\(1.4\)](#page-1-3) lends evidence towards Conjecture [1.1](#page-1-4) and shows that the difficulty lies with *nonsmooth k*-full numbers. Another piece of evidence comes from the famous *abc*-conjecture. It was proved in [\[3\]](#page-7-4) that, given any $\delta > 0$, the interval

$$
(x, x + x^{1 - (2 + \delta)/k})
$$
 (1.5)

contains at most one *k*-full number for sufficiently large *x* under the *abc*-conjecture. From this, one has the following result.

THEOREM 1.5. Assume the abc-conjecture. Given an integer $k \geq 2$ and real numbers $\delta > 0$ *and* $x \geq 1$ *, we have*

$$
Q_k(x+y) - Q_k(x) \ll_{\epsilon,k} y^{(2+\delta)/k} \tag{1.6}
$$

uniformly over $1 \leq y \leq x$.

We shall modify the proof in [\[3\]](#page-7-4) concerning (1.5) slightly to correct an inaccuracy (since the *a*, *b*, *c* in the application of the *abc*-conjecture might not be relatively prime). Then we apply it to obtain Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-1) Observe that (1.5) or (1.6) give us nothing nontrivial when $k = 2$. To remedy this, we shall prove the following conditional result which improves (1.3) slightly by a small power of a logarithm.

THEOREM 1.6. *The abc-conjecture implies that for some absolute constant* $c > 0$ *,*

$$
Q_2(x + y) - Q_2(x) \ll \frac{y}{\log^{1+c}(y+1)}
$$

uniformly over $1 \le y \le x$.

The proof relies on the following recent breakthrough result of Bloom and Sisask on the density of integer sequences without three-term arithmetic progressions.

THEOREM 1.7 (Bloom–Sisask, [\[2\]](#page-7-5)). Let $N \ge 2$ and $A \subset \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ be a set with no *nontrivial three-term arithmetic progressions, that is, solutions to* $x + y = 2z$ *with* $x \neq y$ *. Then*

$$
|A| \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{1+c}},
$$

where c > ⁰ *is an absolute constant.*

This paper is organised as follows. First, we will prove Theorems [1.3](#page-1-5) and [1.4](#page-1-6) using the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality and ideas from Shiu's generalisation [\[7\]](#page-7-6). Then we will prove Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) using the *abc*-conjecture. Finally, we will prove Theorem [1.6](#page-2-3) by establishing the nonexistence of three-term arithmetic progressions for squarefull numbers in short intervals.

Notation. We use |*A*| to denote the number of elements in a finite set *A* and $|x|$ to denote the greatest integer less than or equal to *x*. We let $p_-(n)$ and $p^+(n)$ be the smallest and the largest prime factor of *n*, respectively. The symbols $f(x) = O(g(x))$ 102 **T. H. Chan** [4]

and $f(x) \ll g(x)$ are equivalent to $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant $C > 0$. Also, $f(x) =$ $O_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r}(g(x))$ and $f(x) \ll_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r} g(x)$ mean that the implicit constant may depend on $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$. Furthermore, $f(x) = o(g(x))$ means $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)/g(x) = 0$ and $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/g(x) = 1$. Finally, the summation symbol \sum' signifies that a sum is over squarefull numbers only.

2. Some preparations

LEMMA 2.1. *For any* $X \geq 1$,

$$
\sum_{X < n \le X^2} \frac{1}{n} \ll X^{-1/2}.
$$

PROOF. From [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1), $Q_2(X) \ll X^{1/2}$. By partial summation, the above sum is

$$
\int_{X}^{X^{2}} \frac{1}{u} dQ(u) = \frac{Q(X^{2})}{X^{2}} - \frac{Q(X)}{X} + \int_{X}^{X^{2}} \frac{Q(u)}{u^{2}} du \ll \frac{1}{X^{1/2}} + \int_{X}^{X^{2}} \frac{1}{u^{3/2}} du \ll \frac{1}{X^{1/2}}.
$$

LEMMA 2.2 (Brun–Titchmarsh inequality). Let $q \ge 1$ *and r be integers satisfying* $gcd(r, q) = 1$ *. Suppose* $q < y \le x$ *and* $z \ge 2$ *. Then,*

$$
\sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q} \\ p - (n) > z}} 1 \ll \frac{y}{\phi(q) \log z} + z^2.
$$

The above bound is still true when $y \leq q$ or $y < 1$ since there is at most one term in the sum. The estimate follows from the Selberg upper bound sieve method (see, for example, [\[5,](#page-7-7) page 104]).

Finally, let us recall the *abc*-conjecture. For any nonzero integer *m*, the kernel of *m* is

$$
\kappa(m):=\prod_{p|m}p.
$$

CONJECTURE 2.3 (*abc*-conjecture). For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that for any integers *a* b *c* with $a + b = c$ and $gcd(a, b) = 1$, we have that, for any integers *a*, *b*, *c* with $a + b = c$ and $gcd(a, b) = 1$, we have

$$
\max\{|a|, |b|, |c|\} \le C_{\epsilon} \kappa (abc)^{1+\epsilon}.
$$

3. Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-5)

Our proof is inspired by Shiu [\[7\]](#page-7-6) on the Brun–Titchmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions. We may assume that $y \ge 2^{2/\alpha}$ for the theorem is clearly true when $1 \le y$ $2^{2/\alpha}$ by choosing a large enough implicit constant. Recall that $1 \leq q \leq y^{1-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $z = y^{\alpha/2} \ge 2$. Any squarefull number *n* in [*x*, *x* + *y*] can be factored as

$$
n=\underbrace{p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_j^{a_j}}_{b_n}\underbrace{p_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}}\cdots p_s^{a_s}}_{d_n}\quad\text{with }p_1
$$

where *j* is the greatest index such that $p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_j^{a_j} \le z$. Hence, $b_n \le z < b_n p_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}}$. Note that $j \text{ mod } j$ and $j \text{ mod } j$ and $j \text{ mod } j$ and $j \text{ mod } j$. *j* may be 0 (the product is an empty product) if $p_1^{a_1} > z$. In this case, $b_n = 1$ and $d_n = n$.
Also, since $n = r \pmod{a}$ with $\gcd(r, a) = 1$ we must have $\gcd(b, a) = 1 = \gcd(d, a)$. Also, since $n \equiv r \pmod{q}$ with $gcd(r, q) = 1$, we must have $gcd(b_n, q) = 1 = gcd(d_n, q)$.

Case 1: $b_n > z^{1/2}$. As $q \le y^{1-\alpha}$ and $z = y^{\alpha/2}$, the number of such squarefull numbers is bounded by

$$
\sum_{\substack{z^{1/2} < b \le z \\ \gcd(b,q)=1}}' \sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} 1 \le \sum_{z^{1/2} < b \le z} \left(\frac{y/b}{q} + 1\right) \ll \frac{y}{qz^{1/4}} + z^{1/2} \ll_{\alpha} \frac{y}{\phi(q) \log y} \tag{3.1}
$$

by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) and Lemma [2.1.](#page-3-0)

Case 2: $b_n \leq z^{1/2}$ *and* $p_-(d_n) \leq z^{1/2}$. Then $p_{j+1} \leq z^{1/2}$ and $p_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}} > z^{1/2}$ which implies $p_{j+1}^{-a_{j+1}} \le \min(z^{-1/2}, p_{j+1}^{-2})$ as $a_{j+1} \ge 2$. Hence, the sum

$$
\sum_{p_{j+1}\leq z^{1/2}}\frac{1}{p_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}}}\leq \sum_{p_{j+1}\leq z^{1/4}}z^{-1/2}+\sum_{z^{1/4}
$$

Therefore, by replacing $p_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}}$ with a generic p^a , the number of squarefull numbers in this case is bounded by

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \le z^{1/2} \\ \gcd(p,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y \\ p^a|n \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} 1 \le \sum_{p \le z^{1/2}} \left(\frac{y/p^a}{q} + 1\right) \ll \frac{y}{qz^{1/4}} + z^{1/2} \ll_{\alpha} \frac{y}{\phi(q) \log y},\tag{3.2}
$$

since $q \le y^{1-\alpha}$ and $z = y^{\alpha/2}$.

Case 3: $b_n \leq z^{1/2}$ *and* $p_-(d_n) > z^{1/2}$. As $q \leq y^{1-\alpha}$ and $z = y^{\alpha/2}$, the number of such squarefull numbers is bounded by

$$
\sum_{\substack{b \le z^{1/2} \\ \gcd(b,q) = 1}}' \sum_{\substack{x/b < n/b \le (x+y)/b \\ p_-(n/b) > z^{1/2} \\ (n/b) = r\bar{b} \pmod{q}}} 1 \ll \sum_{b \le z} ' \left(\frac{y/b}{\phi(q) \log z} + z \right) \ll \frac{y}{\phi(q) \log z} + z^{3/2} \ll_{\alpha} \frac{y}{\phi(q) \log y}
$$
\n
$$
(3.3)
$$

by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1), Lemma [2.2](#page-3-1) and the convergence of the sum of reciprocals of squarefull numbers (which follows from Lemma [2.1](#page-3-0) for instance). Here *b* denotes the multiplicative inverse of *b* (mod*q*), that is, $b\overline{b} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Combining (3.1) , (3.2) and (3.3) , we have Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-5)

4. Proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-1-6)

This is very similar to the proof of Theorem [1.3,](#page-1-5) so we just highlight the necessary adjustments. We set $q = 1$ and $z = y^{1/3}$. The arguments for Case 1 and Case 2 are exactly the same as (3.1) and (3.2) , and we get the bound

$$
\frac{y}{z^{1/4}} + z^{1/2} \ll y^{11/12}.
$$

It remains to deal with Case 3, where $b_n \leq z^{1/2}$ and $z^{1/2} < p_-(d_n) \leq y^{1/2}$ as the squarefull numbers are assumed to be $y^{1/2}$ -smooth. Thus, with $p := p_-(d_n)$ and $d_n :=$ p^2d , the number of squarefull numbers in this case is bounded by

$$
\begin{split} \sum_{b \leq z^{1/2}}&\sum_{z^{1/2} < p \leq y^{1/2}}\sum_{x/b < p^2 d \leq (x+y)/b} 1 = \sum_{b \leq z^{1/2}}\sum_{z^{1/2} < p \leq y^{1/2}}\sum_{x/bp^2 < d \leq (x+y)/bp^2} 1\\ &\ll \sum_{b \leq z^{1/2}}\sum_{z^{1/2} < p \leq y^{1/2}} \left(\frac{y/(bp^2)}{\log z} + z\right) \ll \frac{y}{z^{1/2}\log z} + \frac{z^{5/4}y^{1/2}}{\log y} \ll y^{11/12} \end{split}
$$

by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1), Lemma [2.2](#page-3-1) and the convergence of the sum of reciprocals of squarefull numbers. The above bounds together yield Theorem [1.4.](#page-1-6)

5. Proof of (1.5) and Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1)

Given an integer $k \ge 2$ and a small real number $\delta > 0$, we claim that the interval from (1.5) , namely

$$
(x, x + x^{1 - (2+\delta)/k}],
$$

contains at most one *k*-full number for all sufficiently large $x > C$ (in terms of δ and *k*) under the *abc*-conjecture.

Following De Koninck *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-7-4), we suppose that the interval $(x, x + x^{1-(2+\delta)/k})$ contains two *k*-full numbers, $b < c$. Then $c = a + b$ for some integer *a* with $0 < a \le$ $x^{1-(2+\delta)/k}$. With $d = \gcd(a, b)$, the integers a/d , b/d and c/d are pairwise relatively prime. Note that $\kappa(n) \leq n^{1/k}$ for any *k*-full number. Applying the *abc*-conjecture with $\epsilon = \delta/k$ to the equation $a/d + b/d = c/d$, we get

$$
\frac{x}{d} < \frac{c}{d} \le C_{\delta/k} \left(\kappa \left(\frac{a}{d} \right) \kappa \left(\frac{b}{d} \right) \kappa \left(\frac{c}{d} \right) \right)^{1+\delta/k} \le C_{\delta/k} \left(\frac{a}{d} \cdot \kappa(b) \kappa(c) \right)^{1+\delta/k}
$$
\n
$$
\le C_{\delta/k} \left(\frac{x^{1-(2+\delta)/k}}{d} (2x)^{2/k} \right)^{1+\delta/k} = 2^{(2/k)(1+\delta/k)} C_{\delta/k} \frac{x^{1-\delta^2/k^2}}{d^{1+\delta/k}}
$$
\n
$$
\le 2^{(2/k)(1+\delta/k)} C_{\delta/k} \frac{x^{1-\delta^2/k^2}}{d}.
$$

This implies

$$
x^{\delta^2/k^2} \le 2^{(2/k)(1+\delta/k)} C_{\delta/k}
$$
 or $x \le (2^{(2/k)(1+\delta/k)} C_{\delta/k})^{k^2/\delta^2} =: C$

and the claim follows.

Clearly, Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) is true for $1 \le y \le C$ by picking the implicit constant to be *C*. Now, for $C < y \le x$, the above claim implies that the interval

$$
(x,x+y^{1-(2+\delta)/k}]
$$

contains at most one *k*-full number. By dividing the interval $(x, x + y)$ into subintervals of length *y*¹−(2+δ)/*^k*, we obtain

$$
Q_k(x + y) - Q_k(x) \ll \frac{y}{y^{1 - (2 + \delta)/k}} \cdot 1 = y^{(2 + \delta)/k},
$$

which gives Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-1)

6. Proof of Theorem 1.6

First, we suppose $y \leq x^{0.2}$. We claim that there is no nontrivial three-term arithmetic progression among the squarefull numbers in the interval $(x, x + y)$ under the *abc*-conjecture. Suppose the contrary. Then we have three squarefull numbers x < $a_1^2 b_1^3 < a_2^2 b_2^3 < a_3^2 b_3^3 \le x + y$ such that

$$
a_1^2b_1^3 = a_2^2b_2^3 - d
$$
 and $a_3^2b_3^3 = a_2^2b_2^3 + d$

for some positive integer *d* with $2d \leq y$. Multiplying the above two equations, we get

$$
a_1^2 a_3^2 b_1^3 b_3^3 = a_2^4 b_2^6 - d^2
$$
 or $a_1^2 a_3^2 b_1^3 b_3^3 + d^2 = a_2^4 b_2^6$.

Say $D^2 = \text{gcd}(a_2^4b_2^6, d^2)$ as the numbers are perfect squares. Then, the three integers

$$
\frac{a_1^2 a_3^2 b_1^3 b_3^3}{D^2}, \ \frac{d^2}{D^2}, \ \frac{a_2^4 b_2^6}{D^2}
$$

are pairwise relatively prime and we have the equation

$$
\frac{a_1^2 a_3^2 b_1^3 b_3^3}{D^2} + \frac{d^2}{D^2} = \frac{a_2^4 b_2^6}{D^2}.
$$

Now, by the *abc*-conjecture,

$$
\frac{x^2}{D^2} \le \frac{a_2^4 b_2^6}{D^2} \ll_{\epsilon} \kappa \Big(\frac{a_1^2 a_3^2 b_1^3 b_3^3}{D^2} \frac{d^2}{D^2} \frac{a_2^4 b_2^6}{D^2} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} \kappa (a_1^2 a_3^2 b_1^3 b_3^3)^{1+\epsilon} \kappa \Big(\frac{d^2}{D^2} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \kappa (a_2^4 b_2^6)^{1+\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3)^{1+\epsilon} \Big(\frac{d}{D} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} x^{3/2+3\epsilon/2} \frac{y^{1+\epsilon}}{D^{1+\epsilon}}.
$$

Since $1 \le D \le d \le y$, this implies $x^{1/2-3\epsilon/2} \ll_{\epsilon} D^{1-\epsilon}y^{1+\epsilon} \ll y^2 \le x^{0.4}$, which is a controllection for small applyies ϵ , say $\epsilon = 0.01$, and sufficiently large $x > C$ (in terms of tradiction for small enough ϵ , say $\epsilon = 0.01$, and sufficiently large $x > C$ (in terms of the implicit constant) the implicit constant).

Clearly, the theorem is true for $1 \le y \le C$ by picking an appropriate implicit constant. So, we may assume $y > C$. Since arithmetic progressions are invariant under translation, we may shift the interval $(x, x + y)$ to $(0, y)$. Therefore, by Theorem [1.7,](#page-2-4) we have

$$
Q_2(x+y) - Q_2(x) \ll \frac{y}{\log^{1+c} y},
$$

which gives the theorem.

Now, if $y > x^{0.2}$, one can simply divide the interval $(x, x + y)$ into subintervals of length $x^{0.2}$:

$$
(x, x + x^{0.2}) \cup (x + x^{0.2}, x + 2x^{0.2}) \cup \dots \cup \left(x + \left\lfloor \frac{y}{x^{0.2}} \right\rfloor x^{0.2}, x + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{y}{x^{0.2}} \right\rfloor + 1\right) x^{0.2}\right)
$$

Then, over each interval $(x + ix^{0.2}, x + (i + 1)x^{0.2})$, we have the bound

$$
Q_2(x + (i + 1)x^{0.2}) - Q_2(x + ix^{0.2}) \ll \frac{x^{0.2}}{\log^{1+c} x}.
$$

Summing over $\lfloor y/x^{0.2}\rfloor + 1$ of these intervals, we have

$$
Q_2(x + y) - Q_2(x) \ll \frac{y}{x^{0.2}} \cdot \frac{x^{0.2}}{\log^{1+c} x} \ll \frac{y}{\log^{1+c} y},
$$

which gives the theorem as well.

References

- [1] P. T. Bateman and E. Grosswald, 'On a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres', *Illinois J. Math.* 2 (1958), 88–98.
- [2] T. F. Bloom and O. Sisask, 'Breaking the logarithmic barrier in Roth's theorem on arithmetic progressions', Preprint, 2021, [arXiv:2007.03528.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03528)
- [3] J. M. De Koninck, F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Powerful numbers in short intervals', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 71(1) (2005), 11–16.
- [4] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, 'Über die Anzahl der Abelschen Gruppen gegebener Ordnung und über ein verwandtes zahlentheoretisches Problem', *Acta Univ. Szeged* 7 (1934–1935), 95–102.
- [5] H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert, *Sieve Methods*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 4 (Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London–New York, 1974).
- [6] H. Q. Liu, 'The number of cubefull numbers in an interval', *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 43(2) (2010), 105–107.
- [7] P. Shiu, 'A Brun–Titchmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions', *J. reine angew. Math.* 313 (1980), 161–170.
- [8] O. Trifonov, 'Lattice points close to a smooth curve and squarefull numbers in short intervals', *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 65 (2002), 303–319.

TSZ HO CHAN, Mathematics Department, Kennesaw State University, Marietta, GA 30060, USA e-mail: tchan4@kennesaw.edu

.