

## TORSION ELEMENTS AND THE CLASSIFICATION OF VECTOR BUNDLES

ROBERT D. LITTLE

**1. Introduction.** There are many situations in algebraic topology when a geometric construction is possible if, and only if, a certain integral cohomology class, an obstruction is zero. When attempts are made to compute the obstruction, it often happens that it is relatively easy to show that  $m$  times the obstruction is zero, where  $m$  is an integer, and consequently the geometric construction is possible if the cohomology group in question has no elements of order  $m$ . The purpose of this paper is to give an example of this situation and to develop techniques for computing the obstruction when elements of order  $m$  are present.

We consider the problem of classifying vector bundles over an  $n$ -dimensional CW complex  $X$ . If  $\xi$  is a real vector bundle over  $X$ , the Stiefel-Whitney class of  $\xi$  in  $H^i(X; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  is denoted by  $w_i(\xi)$  and the Pontrjagin class in  $H^{4i}(X; \mathbf{Z})$  by  $P_i(\xi)$ . If  $\xi$  is an  $n$ -plane bundle, the Euler class of  $\xi$  in  $H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})$  is denoted by  $\chi(\xi)$ . If  $\omega$  is a complex bundle, the Chern class in  $H^{2i}(X; \mathbf{Z})$  is denoted by  $c_i(\omega)$ . Universal characteristic classes are denoted by  $w_i$ ,  $P(i)$ , and  $c(i)$ . If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are two vector bundles over  $X$  and  $\theta$  is a primary cohomology operation,  $\theta_{\xi, \eta}$  denotes the functional cohomology operation associated with the action of  $\theta$  on the cohomology sequence of the pair  $(X \times I \cup B_F, B_F)$ , where  $B_F$  is the mapping cylinder of the map  $F : X \times I \rightarrow B$  given by the classifying maps of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Throughout this paper, we will take  $\delta Sq^2$  and  $\delta P^1$  for  $\theta$ , where  $\delta$  is the Bockstein,  $Sq^2$  the Steenrod square, and  $P^1$  the Steenrod power mod 3. In the two theorems below, we assume that  $n \leq 8$  and that  $H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})$  has no elements of order 2 if  $n$  is even in Theorem 1 and if  $n = 8$  in Theorem 2. In Theorem 1, we assume that  $n \neq 5$ . Theorem 1 is true in the case  $n = 5$ , if the word *isomorphic* is replaced by the words *stably isomorphic*. In Theorem 2, we assume that  $n$  is even.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are two orientable  $n$ -plane bundles over  $X$ , then  $\xi$  is isomorphic to  $\eta$  if, and only if,  $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\eta)$ ;  $P_i(\xi) = P_i(\eta)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $0 \in \delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$ ;  $0 \in \delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ ; and  $\chi(\xi) = \chi(\eta)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\omega$  and  $\zeta$  are two complex  $n/2$ -bundles over  $X$ , then  $\omega$  is isomorphic to  $\zeta$  if, and only if,  $c_i(\omega) = c_i(\zeta)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ;  $0 \in \delta Sq_{\omega, \zeta}^2(c(2))$ ; and  $0 \in \delta P_{\omega, \zeta}^1(c(2))$ .*

Theorem 1 contains the Dold-Whitney classification theorem for 7-complexes [13] which assumes that  $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$  has no elements of order 2 and a

---

Received March 9, 1976.

classification theorem of Thomas for 8-complexes [12] which assumes that  $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$  has no elements of order 2 and that  $H^8(X; \mathbf{Z})$  has no elements of order 6. Theorems 1 and 2 give a classification of vector bundles over closed, orientable manifolds of appropriate dimensions because the top dimensional cohomology of such manifolds is torsion free.

**2. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.** We prove Theorem 1 and the comment on Theorem 2. The conditions in the theorem are clearly necessary. In view of Theorem 1.7 in [3] and Lemma 2 in [13], it is enough to show that the conditions in the theorem imply that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are stably isomorphic. It will then follow that they are isomorphic when  $n$  is odd,  $n \neq 5$  [3], and the condition  $\chi(\xi) = \chi(\eta)$  will be enough to imply isomorphism in the case  $n$  even [13].

We begin with a proposition which relates obstructions to a stable isomorphism and characteristic classes. In the proposition below,  $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2$  and  $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1$  denote the functional operations described in the introduction. If  $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\eta)$ , the operation  $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2$  is defined on  $w_2$  and the resulting subset of  $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$  is denoted by  $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$  and is a coset modulo  $(P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta))$ , the subgroup generated by the difference  $P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta)$ . If  $P_1(\xi) = P_1(\eta)$ , the operation  $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$  is defined and is a subset of  $H^8(X; \mathbf{Z})$  which is a coset of  $(P_2(\xi) - P_2(\eta)) + \text{image } \delta P^1$ . (See [4] or [7].) Let  $a_i$  be 1 for  $i$  even and 2 for  $i$  odd and if  $x$  is an integral class,  $\bar{x}$  denotes its reduction mod 2.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are two orientable stable bundles such that the integral obstruction to a stable isomorphism  $O^{4i}(\xi, \eta)$  is nonvoid,  $i = 1$  or  $2$ , then:*

- (2.2)  $(2i - 1)! a_i O^{4i}(\xi, \eta) = P_i(\xi) - P_i(\eta)$ ,
- (2.3)  $O^4(\xi, \eta) + (P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta)) = \delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$ ,
- (2.4)  $O^4(\xi, \eta) = w_4(\xi) - w_4(\eta)$ ,
- (2.5)  $2O^8(\xi, \eta) + (P_2(\xi) - P_2(\eta)) + \text{image } \delta P^1 = \delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ .

*Proof.* Formula (2.2) is just formula (b) in Theorem 6.15 of [8]. To prove (2.3), let  $K(\mathbf{Z}_2, 2; \mathbf{Z}, 4, \delta Sq^2)$  be the total space of the fibration induced by  $\delta Sq^2$ . If  $f' : BSO \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}_2, 2; \mathbf{Z}, 4, \delta Sq^2)$  is a lifting of  $w_2$ , and  $[g]$  in  $\pi_4(BSO)$  is a generator, it follows from the Peterson-Stein definition of functional cohomology operation ([6, p. 159]) that the set  $\{f'_\# [g] : f'_* \iota = w_2\}$  can be identified with the functional cohomology operation  $\delta Sq_{\sigma}^2(w_2)$ . (See [4].) Direct computation shows that  $\delta Sq_{\sigma}^2(w_2)$  is the non-zero coset mod 2, and so the induced homomorphism  $f'_\# : H^4(X; \pi_4(BSO)) \rightarrow H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$  may be taken to be the identity. If  $f$  and  $g$  are the classifying maps of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , respectively, naturality of obstructions implies that  $O^4(\xi, \eta)$  is contained in  $O^4(f'f, f'g)$  which is contained in the operation  $\delta Sq_{f'f, f'g}^2(\iota)$ , where  $\iota$  is the fundamental class, by 10.8 in [7]. Formula (2.3) now follows from naturality of functional operations ([7, 14.6]) and the fact that the indeterminacy of  $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$  is  $(P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta))$ . Formula (2.4) follows from (2.3), the defining diagram of  $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2$  and the fact

that  $Sq^3$  is zero on 2-dimensional classes. Formula (2.5) follows from Theorem 3 in [4] and may be regarded as arising from naturality in the same way as (2.3).

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 1. If  $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\eta)$ ,  $P_1(\xi) = P_1(\eta)$ , and  $0 \in \delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$ , it follows immediately from (2.2), (2.3), and the fact that  $\pi_i(BSO) = 0$ ,  $5 \leq i \leq 7$ , that the restrictions of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  to the 7-skeleton of  $X$  are stably isomorphic. If  $P_2(\xi) = P_2(\eta)$ , formula (2.5) reduces to the containments  $2O^8(\xi, \eta) \equiv O^8(f'f, f'g) = \delta P_{f', f', f'g}^1(\iota) = \delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ , where  $f$  and  $g$  classify  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  and  $f' : BSO \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 4; \mathbf{Z}, 8, \delta P^1)$  is a lifting of  $P(1)$ . Let  $K = K(\mathbf{Z}, 4; \mathbf{Z}, 8, \delta P^1)$ . The last of the three containments are equalities because it is easy to see that  $f'$  can be chosen in such a way that image  $\{f'^* : H^8(K; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^8(BSO; \mathbf{Z})\}$  is contained in the kernel of the difference homomorphism  $f^* - g^*$  and so the obstruction  $O^8(f'f, f'g)$  is precisely  $\delta P_{f', f', f'g}^1(\iota)$  by 10.8 in [7] and this functional operation has the same indeterminacy as  $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ . The indeterminacy of  $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$  is image  $\delta P^1$  and the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete when we show that  $2O^8(\xi, \eta)$  is not a proper subset of  $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ . That is, we must show that  $f_* O^8(\xi, \eta) = O^8(f'f, f'g)$ .

We view the problem of constructing a homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  as the problem of extending the map on  $X \times I$  defined by  $f$  and  $g$  over  $X \times I$ . Let  $h : (X \times I)^8 \rightarrow K$  be an extension of a homotopy of  $f'f$  and  $f'g$  over the 8-skeleton of  $X \times I$ . Regard the obstruction cohomology class  $\{c^8(h)\}$  as an element in  $H^8(X; \pi_8(K))$  and suppose that  $\{c^8(\bar{h})\}$  is in  $O^8(f, g)$ . We assert that by altering  $h$  and  $\bar{h}$  in such a way that  $\{c^8(h)\}$  is unchanged, we may assume that  $\{c^8(h)\}$  is in image  $f_{\#}'$ . We begin proving this assertion by showing that we may assume that  $O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$  in  $H^3(X; \pi_4(K))$  is zero. The map  $f_{\#}' : \pi_4(BSO) \rightarrow \pi_4(K)$  is multiplication by 2 [8], and  $H^3(X; \mathbf{Z})/\text{kernel } \delta P^1$  is a 3-torsion group, so the composite  $H^3(X; \pi_4(BSO)) \rightarrow H^3(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(X; \mathbf{Z})/\text{kernel } \delta P^1$  is an epimorphism. Therefore, there is a class  $\{\mu\}$  in  $H^3(X; \pi_4(BSO))$  such that  $f_{\#}'\{\mu\} - O^3(f'\bar{h}, h) = \{\nu\}$ , where  $\{\nu\}$  is in kernel  $\delta P^1$ . Alter  $h$  by the cocycle  $\nu$  to get a new homotopy of  $f'f$  and  $f'g$ ,  $h_\nu$ , defined on the 8-skeleton of  $X \times I$  such that  $O^3(h, h_\nu) = \{\nu\}$  and hence  $\{c^8(h)\} = \{c^8(h_\nu)\}$  since  $\{c^8(h)\} - \{c^8(h_\nu)\} = \delta P^1 O^3(h, h_\nu)$  [9]. But  $-O^3(f'\bar{h}, h) = O^3(h, h_\nu) + O^3(h_\nu, f'\bar{h})$  and so  $f_{\#}'\{\mu\} = O^3(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu)$ . Altering  $\bar{h}$  by  $\mu$ , we obtain a homotopy of  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $\bar{h}_\mu$ , defined over the 8-skeleton of  $X \times I$  because  $\pi_i(BSO) = 0$ ,  $5 \leq i \leq 7$ , such that  $O^3(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_\mu) = \{\mu\}$ . Since  $O^3(f'\bar{h}_\mu, h_\nu) = O^3(f'\bar{h}_\mu, f'\bar{h}) + O^3(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu) = 0$ ,  $f'\bar{h}_\mu \cong h_\nu$  over the 7-skeleton of  $X \times I$  and the standard cocycle formula implies that  $f_{\#}'\{c^8(\bar{h}_\mu)\} = \{c^8(h_\nu)\} = \{c^8(h)\}$ . The proof Theorem 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2 is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 1 and uses Theorem 2 in [4]. We need the fact that stable isomorphism and isomorphism are the same in the context of Theorem 2, that is, the map  $[X; BU(n/2)] \rightarrow [X; BU]$  is a bijection when dimension  $X \leq n$ . In this case,

the map  $f_{\#}' : \pi_4(BU) \rightarrow \pi_4(K)$  is the identity [8], and so there is a cocycle  $\mu$  such that  $f_{\#}'\{\mu\} = O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$ . Since  $\pi_6(BU) = \mathbf{Z}$ , it is not clear that altering  $\bar{h}$  by  $\mu$  will produce a homotopy of  $f$  and  $g$  extendable over the 8-skeleton of  $X \times I$ . One alters  $h$  by  $\nu$  where  $\{\nu\} = -3O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$ . We then have  $\{c^8(h)\} = \{c^8(h_{\nu})\}$  and  $O^3(f'\bar{h}, h_{\nu}) = -2O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$  which is in kernel  $\delta Sq^2$  and so altering  $\bar{h}$  by  $\{\mu\} = -2O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$  produces a homotopy of  $f$  and  $g$  defined over the 8-skeleton of  $X \times I$ .

The functional operations are non-trivial invariants of the classification problem. It is possible to give an example of a 7-manifold  $M$  and a 7-bundle over  $M$ ,  $\xi$ , such that  $w_2(\xi) = 0$ ,  $P_1(\xi) = 0$  but  $\xi$  is not stably trivial. If 2-tor  $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$  denotes the subgroup of  $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$  of elements of order 2, it follows from Theorem 3.1 in [10] and Theorem 4.2 in [11] and Theorem 1, that  $w_2(\xi) = 0$  and  $P_1(\xi) = 0$  imply  $\xi = 0$  for every stable orientable bundle if, and only if, the quotient group 2-tor  $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})/\text{image } \delta Sq^2$  is zero. Take  $M = L^7(m)$ , a lens space of dimension 7 with fundamental group  $\mathbf{Z}_m$ , where  $m$  is even. Since  $Sq^2$  is zero on 1-dimensional classes, the above quotient group is just 2-tor  $H^4(L^7(m); \mathbf{Z})$  which is not zero since  $m$  is even, and so there is an orientable 7-bundle over  $L^7(m)$  such that  $w_2(\xi) = 0$  and  $P_1(\xi) = 0$  but  $\xi$  is not stably trivial. If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , there are elements of order 4 in  $H^4(L^7(m); \mathbf{Z})$ . In this case, (2.4) can be used to show that there is an orientable 7-bundle over  $L^7(m)$  such that  $w_i(\xi) = 0$ ,  $i = 2$  and 4,  $P_1(\xi) = 0$ , but  $\xi$  is not stably trivial.

**3. Applications.** Let  $M$  be a connected, smooth  $n$ -manifold. A theorem of Whitney [1] says that if  $n \geq 1$ ,  $M$  immerses in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$ . Recall that  $M$  is called a *spin manifold* if  $M$  is closed, orientable and  $w_2(M) = 0$ . We will use Hirsch's theorem on immersions [1] together with Theorem 1 above to prove the two theorems below which represent improvements of Whitney's theorem in special cases.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Every closed, orientable 5-manifold immerses in  $\mathbf{R}^8$ .*

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $n = 6$  or 7 and  $M$  is a spin manifold, then  $M$  immerses in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+3}$ .*

Hirsch originally proved Theorem 3.1 by showing that the normal bundle of the Whitney immersion of  $M$  in  $\mathbf{R}^9$  has a normal vector field and then applying his immersion theory. We prove this theorem in a different way, using a lemma about stable bundles and the Hirsch theory. Thomas has shown that if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then any spin  $n$ -manifold immerses in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n-3}$ , [14]. Theorem 3.2 sharpens Thomas' result by one dimension in the case  $n = 7$ .

If  $\xi$  is a bundle, let  $(\xi)$  denote its stable equivalence class. The stable bundle  $(\xi)$  is said to have *geometric dimension*  $\leq k$  (for some positive integer  $k$ ) if  $(\xi)$  contains a  $k$ -plane bundle. For a smooth manifold  $M$ , let  $\tau M$  denote the tangent bundle and  $\nu M$  the stable normal bundle; i.e.  $\nu M = -(\tau M)$ . Hirsch's

theorem says that  $M$  immerses in Euclidean space with codimension  $k$  if, and only if, geometric dimension  $\nu M \leq k$  [1]. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 will follow from Hirsch's theorem and the lemma below. In the proof of the lemma, we will use the following fact: if  $\xi$  is an orientable bundle over  $X$  such that  $w_4(\xi) = 0$  and  $\gamma$  is an orientable 3-bundle over  $X$  such that  $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\gamma)$ , then there is a class  $e$  in  $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$  such that  $P_1(\xi) - P_1(\gamma) = 4e$  and  $2e \in O^4(\xi, \gamma)$ . This fact follows immediately from (2.2) and (2.4).

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $\xi$  be a stable, orientable bundle over a closed, orientable  $n$ -manifold,  $5 \leq n \leq 7$ . If  $n \neq 5$ , assume that  $w_2(\xi) = w_2(M) = 0$ . Then geometric dimension  $\xi \leq 3$  if, and only if,  $w_4(\xi) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The condition is clearly necessary. We prove sufficiency first in the case  $n = 5$ . The argument begins by observing that if  $M$  is a closed, orientable 5-manifold and  $x$  is a class in  $H^2(M; \mathbf{Z}_2)$ , there exists an orientable 3-bundle  $\gamma$  over  $M$  such that  $w_2(\gamma) = x$ . This is proved by viewing the construction of  $\gamma$  as the extension of a map into  $BSO(3)$  over  $M$ . It is clearly possible to construct a map  $g$  from the 3-skeleton of  $M$  into  $BSO(3)$  such that  $g^*w_2 = x$ . Arguments similar to those used in the proof of (2.3) and the homotopy properties of  $BSO(3)$  [2], show that  $g$  extends over  $M$  if  $\delta Sq^2(g^*w_2) = 0$ , but this is true since  $H^5(M; \mathbf{Z})$  has no torsion. If  $w_4(\xi) = 0$ , let  $\gamma$  be an orientable 3-bundle such that  $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\gamma)$ , and let  $e$  be a class in  $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$  such that  $P_1(\xi) - P_1(\gamma) = 4e$  and  $2e \in O^4(\xi, \gamma)$ . It follows from the homotopy sequence of the fibration  $V_2(\mathbf{R}^5) = SO(5)/SO(3)$  and the fact that  $\pi_3(V_2(\mathbf{R}^5)) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  [2], that the homomorphism  $\pi_4(BSO(3)) \rightarrow \pi_4(BSO)$  is multiplication by 2. This means that it is possible to alter  $\gamma$  by a cocycle representing  $-e$  and obtain a 3-bundle over  $M$ ,  $\gamma'$ , such that  $O^4(\gamma, \gamma') = -2e$ . Since  $O^4(\xi, \gamma') = O^4(\xi, \gamma) + O^4(\gamma, \gamma')$ , we have  $0 \in O^4(\xi, \gamma')$  and hence geometric dimension  $\xi \leq 3$  since  $\pi_5(BSO) = 0$ .

If  $n = 6$  or  $7$  and  $w_4(\xi) = 0$ , let  $e$  be a class in  $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$  such that  $P_1(\xi) = 4e$  and  $2e \in O^4(\xi, *)$ , where  $*$  is the trivial stable bundle. There is a 3-bundle over  $S^4$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}$ , such that  $P_1(\hat{\gamma}) = 4u$  and so by (2.2),  $O^4(\hat{\gamma}, *) = 2u$  since  $H^4(S^4; \mathbf{Z})$  is torsion free. Since  $Sq^2e = 0$  and  $0 \in \Phi(e)$ , where  $\Phi$  is the secondary operation associated with the relation  $Sq^2Sq^2 = O(\mathbf{Z})$ , classical obstruction theory tells us that there is a map  $g : M \rightarrow S^4$  such that  $g^*u = e$ , and so  $\gamma = g^*\hat{\gamma}$  is a spin 3-bundle satisfying the conditions  $P_1(\gamma) = 4e$  and  $2e \in O^4(\gamma, *)$ . (See [13].) Therefore  $\xi$  is stably isomorphic to  $\gamma$  since  $O^4(\xi, \gamma) = O^4(\xi, *) - O^4(\gamma, *)$  and  $\pi_i(BSO) = 0$ ,  $5 \leq i \leq 7$ . We have established that geometric dimension  $\xi \leq 3$ .

Massey has shown that  $w_{n-1}(\nu M) = 0$  for any closed, orientable  $n$ -manifold [5] and so Theorem 3.1 follows from this fact, Lemma 3.3, and Hirsch's theorem on immersions. If  $M$  is a spin  $n$ -manifold,  $n = 6$  or  $7$ , it follows from Wu's formula that  $w_4(M) = 0$  and hence  $w_4(\nu M) = 0$ . Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows from Lemma 3.3. There is reason to believe that Theorem 3.2 is true without the spin hypothesis:  $w_4(\nu M) = 0$  for any closed, orientable  $n$ -manifold,  $n = 6$  or  $7$  [5].

## REFERENCES

1. M. Hirsch, *Immersion of manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *93* (1959), 242–276.
2. D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundles* (McGraw Hill, 1966).
3. I. M. Jones and E. Thomas, *An approach to the enumeration problem . . .*, Journ. Math. and Mech. *14* (1965), 485–506.
4. R. D. Little, *A relation between obstructions and functional cohomology operations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *49* (1975), 475–480.
5. W. S. Massey, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold*, Amer. J. Math. *82* (1960), 92–102.
6. R. E. Mosher and M. C. Tangora, *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory* (Harper and Row, 1968).
7. P. Olum, *Invariants for effective homotopy classification and extension of mappings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. *37* (1961).
8. ——— *Factorizations and induced homomorphisms*, Adv. in Math. *3* (1969), 72–100.
9. ——— *Seminar in obstruction theory*, Cornell Univ., 1968.
10. M. Sternstein, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for homotopy classification by cohomology and homotopy homomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *34* (1972), 250–256.
11. E. Thomas, *Homotopy classification by cohomology homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *96* (1960), 67–89.
12. ——— *Submersions and immersions with codimension one or two*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *19* (1968), 859–863.
13. ——— *Vector fields on low dimensional manifolds*, Math. Zeitschr. *108* (1968), 85–93.
14. ——— *Real and complex vector fields on manifolds*, Journ. Math. and Mech. *16* (1967), 1183–1206.

*University of Hawaii at Manoa,  
Honolulu, Hawaii*