

## ON $p$ -LARGE SUBGROUPS OF $p$ -TORSION GROUPS

BY

K. BENABDALLAH\* and S. YOSHIOKA

RESUMÉ. Les groupes  $p$ -torsion forment une classe de groupes abéliens mixtes dont les sous-groupes de  $p$ -base sont de torsion. Nous montrons ici que la généralisation naturelle à ces groupes de la notion de sous-groupe large développée pour les groupes primaires par R. S. Pierce, permet d'obtenir des résultats analogues. Ainsi nous caractérisons les sous-groupes  $p$ -larges d'un groupe  $p$ -torsion  $G$  en fonction des suites non-décroissantes d'entiers non-négatifs  $u = (u_i)$  qui satisfont à la condition d'écart pour  $G$ . On obtient: un sous-groupe  $A$  du groupe  $p$ -torsion  $G$  est  $p$ -large si, et seulement si  $A$  est de la forme  $G(u)$  pour une suite  $u$  telle que pour tout  $x \in G$ , la suite  $(h(p^i x))$  est plus grande presque partout que la suite  $u$ .

Nous déterminons aussi, les sous-groupes  $p$ -large de  $\hat{B}$ , le complété  $p$ -adique d'une somme directe de groupes cycliques non bornés  $B$ , ainsi que ceux des sous-groupes  $p$ -purs totalement invariants de  $\hat{B}$  engendrés par un élément.

An abelian group is said to be a  $p$ -torsion group if its  $p$ -basic subgroups are  $p$ -primary groups for a fixed prime number  $p$ . The notion of  $p$ -large subgroups of an abelian group was introduced in [1]. It is a natural generalization of the concept of large subgroups of primary groups of R. S. Pierce [5]. A subgroup  $A$  of an abelian group  $G$  is said to be  $p$ -large in  $G$  if  $A$  is fully invariant in  $G$  and  $A + B = G$ , for every  $p$ -basic subgroup  $b$  of  $G$ . In the first section of this article we characterize the  $p$ -large subgroups of  $p$ -torsion groups in terms of certain sequences of non negative integers, and give some of their most important properties. the remaining sections deal with  $p$ -large subgroups of  $\hat{B}$  the  $p$ -adic completion of an unbounded direct sum of cyclic primary groups, and the  $p$ -large subgroups of the smallest  $p$ -pure fully invariant subgroups of  $\hat{B}$  containing a given element. All groups considered here are abelian and the notation and terminology, except for items explicitly designated, follow the usage established in [3].

**1. A characterization of  $p$ -large subgroups of  $p$ -torsion groups.** Many properties of large subgroups established in [5] extend with no difficulty to  $p$ -large subgroups of  $p$ -torsion groups. In particular we single out the following facts whose proofs are exactly the same as in [5] p. 219.

---

Received by the editors March 22, 1983 and, in final revised form, March 12, 1984.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 20K15

\* The work of this author is partially supported by the Canadian N.S.E.R.C. grant no A5591.

© Canadian Mathematical Society, 1984.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion group and  $A$  and  $C$  be fully invariant subgroups of  $G$ . Then for every  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  we have:*

$$(A + B) \cap C = (A \cap C) + (B \cap C).$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion group. We have the following properties:*

- (i) *The set of  $p$ -large subgroups of  $G$  is closed under finite interections.*
- (ii) *If  $A$  is a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$  then  $p^n A$  is a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$  for every positive integer  $n$ .*
- (iii) *Every  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$  contains  $p^\omega G = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty p^n G$ .*

We recall the following definitions:

Let  $G$  be a group and  $x \in G$ . The  $p$ th-Ulm sequence of  $x$  in  $G$  is  $H_p^G(x) = (h_p(x), h_p(px), \dots)$ , where  $h_p(x)$  is the  $p$ -height of  $x$  in  $G$ . Given a sequence  $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots)$  of ordinal numbers we let:

$$G(u) = \{x \in G \mid H_p^G(x) \geq u\}.$$

$G(u)$  is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ .

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion group,  $x$  and  $y$  be elements of  $G_p$  such that  $H_p(x) \leq H_p(y)$ , and  $\langle x \rangle \cap p^\omega G = \langle y \rangle \cap p^\omega G = 0$ . Then there exists an endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  such that  $f(x) = y$ .*

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [5] p. 223, there exist finite isomorphic subgroups  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $G_p$  which are pure in  $G_p$  and such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Clearly  $H_p^X(x) \leq H_p^Y(y)$  and an obvious extension of Lemma 65.5 of [3] vol. II yields a homomorphism  $g$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ , such that  $g(x) = y$ . However  $X$  being finite and pure in  $G$ , it is a direct summand of  $G$ . The corresponding projection of  $G$  onto  $X$  followed by  $g$  gives an endomorphism of  $G$  that maps  $x$  onto  $y$ .

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $G$  be a group,  $A$  a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $p^\omega G = p^\omega A$  and let  $x \in G_p$ . Then there exists  $a \in A$ , such that:  $H_p^G(x - a) = H_p^G(x)$ , and  $\langle x - a \rangle \cap p^\omega G = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $0(x) = p^k$  and let  $H_p^G(x) = (n_0, n_1, \dots)$ . Now  $n_{k+i} = \infty$   $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . If all  $n_j = \infty$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ , then  $x \in p^\omega G$ , and since  $p^\omega G = p^\omega A$ , we let  $a = x$ . Similarly if  $n_j \neq \infty$ ,  $0 \leq j < k$ , then  $\langle x \rangle \cap p^\omega G = 0$ , and we let  $a = 0$ . Thus the lemma is true for the trivial cases. Suppose then that  $n_t = \infty$ , with  $0 < t < k$ , and  $t$  is the smallest index for which  $n_t = \infty$ . Clearly  $p^t x \in p^\omega G = p^\omega A$ . Choose  $b \in A$ , such that:  $p^t x = p^{r+1} b$ , where  $r > n_{t-1}$ , and let  $a = p^{r-t+1} b$ . It is easy to verify that for  $0 \leq i < t$ ,  $h_p(p^i a) \geq r - t + i + 1 > n_i$ . It follows that:  $h_p(p^i(x - a)) = h_p(p^i x) = n_i$ , for  $0 \leq i < t$ , and  $h_p(p^i(x - a)) = \infty$ , for  $i \geq t$ . Therefore  $H_p^G(x - a) = H_p^G(x)$ . Clearly  $\langle x - a \rangle \cap p^\omega G = 0$ .

LEMMA 1.5. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion group and  $A$  a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $A_p$  is a large subgroup of  $G_p$ .*

**Proof.** The only difficulty is in showing that  $A_p$  is fully invariant in  $G_p$ . Let  $x \in A_p$  and let  $g$  be an endomorphism of  $G_p$ . Clearly  $p^\omega A = p^\omega G$  since  $p^n A$  is  $p$ -large for every  $n$  and  $p^\omega G$  is contained in every  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$ . Thus we can apply Lemma 1.4 to  $x$  and to  $g(x)$  to obtain  $a$  and  $a' \in A$  such that:  $\langle x - a \rangle \cap p^\omega G = 0 = \langle g(x) - a' \rangle \cap p^\omega G$ , and  $H_p^G(x - a) = H_p^G(x) \leq H_p^G(g(x)) = H_p^G(g(x) - a')$ . Thus, by Lemma 1.3 there exists an endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  such that  $f(x - a) = g(x) - a'$ . However,  $x - a \in A$  and  $A$  is fully invariant in  $G$ . Therefore  $g(x) - a' \in A$ , and it follows that  $g(x) \in A \cap G_p = A_p$ .

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -large subgroup of a  $p$ -torsion group  $G$ . Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers  $u$  such that:  $A = G(u)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $A_p$  is a large subgroup of  $G_p$  by Lemma 1.5, we can use the characterization of large subgroups in [5] or in [3] Theorem 67.2 vol. II. Thus there exists a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers  $u$  such that:  $A_p = G_p(u)$ . Now  $A + G_p = G$  and  $A/A_p$  is  $p$ -divisible. Therefore  $H_p^G(a) \geq u$ , for every  $a \in A$ , and  $A \subset G(u)$ . But  $G_p(u) = G(u) \cap G_p = A_p$ . Furthermore  $G/A_p = A/A_p \oplus G_p/A_p$ , therefore  $G(u)/A_p = A/A_p$ , and  $A = G(u)$ .

REMARK. This proposition is a reworking of Proposition 4.4 in [1] where the difficulty of showing that  $A_p$  is indeed fully invariant in  $G_p$  was overlooked.

For the remainder of this section we let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion group and  $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots)$  a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers. The following is an easy consequence of Proposition 1.6.

COROLLARY 1.7.  *$G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$  if and only if  $G = G(u) + G_p$ .*

In order to describe which sequences  $u$  determine  $p$ -large subgroups of a  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  we need the following concept:

DEFINITION 1.8. Let  $v = (v_0, v_1, \dots)$  be an increasing sequence of non-negative integers or  $\infty$ . We say that  $v$  is larger than  $u$  almost everywhere if there exists a non-negative integer  $k$  such that:  $v_i \geq u_i$ , for all  $i > k$ . We write  $v \geq u$ . For a group  $G$  and a sequence  $u$  we let:

$$G(u) = \{x \in G \mid H_p^G(x) \geq u\}.$$

It is easy to show that  $G(u)$  is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$  containing  $G_p + G(u)$ . Note that  $G(u) = \{x \in G \mid p^n x \in G(u) \text{ for some } n\}$ .

THEOREM 1.9. *A subgroup  $A$  of  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  is a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $A = G(u)$  for some strictly increasing sequence of non-negative*

integers such that for every  $x \in G$ ,  $H_p^G(x) \supseteq u$ . In other words if and only if  $A = G(u)$  and  $G = \bar{G}(u)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$ . From Proposition 1.6 there exists a sequence  $u$  such that  $A = G(u)$ . From the remark after Definition 1.8 and Corollary 1.7:

$$G(u) \supset G_p + G(u) = G.$$

Therefore  $\bar{G}(u) = G$ . Conversely, suppose  $A = G(u)$  and  $\bar{G}(u) = G$ . Let  $x \in G$ , then  $H_p^G(x) \supseteq u$ . This means that there exists  $k$  such that:  $h_p(p^i x) \geq u_i$ , for all  $i > k$ . In particular:  $p^{k+1}x = p^m y$ , for some  $y \in G$ , and  $m \geq u_{k+1}$ . Let  $z = p^{m-k-1}y$ . We claim that  $z \in G(u)$ . Indeed, for  $i > k$ ,  $p^i z = p^i x$ , therefore  $h_p^G(p^i z) = h_p^G(p^i x) \geq u_i$ . But for  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $h_p^G(p^i z) \geq m - k - 1 + i \geq u_{k+1} - (k + 1) + i$ . Now,  $u$  being a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers, we have:  $u_{j+1} \geq u_j + 1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . Thus, by adding term to term the  $k - i + 1$  inequalities for  $i \leq j \leq k$ , we obtain  $u_{k+1} \geq u_i + k - i + 1$ . Therefore  $h_p^G(p^i z) \geq u_i$ . It follows that  $z \in G(u)$ ,  $x - z \in G_p$ , and  $x = z + (x - z) \in G(u) + G_p$ . This shows that  $G = G(u) + G_p$ . From Corollary 1.7,  $G(u) = A$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$ .

This characterization can be used to extend to  $p$ -torsion groups and  $p$ -large subgroups other properties of large subgroups of primary groups. Thus we have:

**COROLLARY 1.10.** *Let  $F$  be a  $p$ -pure subgroup of a  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  and let  $A$  be a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $A \cap F$  is a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $F$ .*

Another consequence of the preceding results in the following:

**PROPOSITION 1.11.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion group,  $B$  a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $G$  and  $A$  a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $B \cap A$  is a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $A$  and  $G/A$  is a direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups.*

**Proof.**  $B \cap A = B \cap A_p$ , and since  $A_p$  is large in  $G_p$ ,  $B \cap A$  is a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $A_p$ . But  $A_p$  is pure in  $A$  and  $A/A_p$  is  $p$ -divisible. Therefore  $B \cap A$  is a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $A$ . Now  $G/A$  is isomorphic to  $B/B \cap A$  and by Corollary 1.10,  $B \cap A$  is fully invariant in  $B$ . It follows that  $B/B \cap A$  is a direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups.

We conclude this section with one more property of  $p$ -large subgroups corresponding to Theorem 2.13 of [5]. The proof is the same as in [5].

**PROPOSITION 1.12.** *Let  $a$  be a  $p$ -large subgroup of a  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  and let  $L$  be a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $A$ . Then  $L$  is a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$ .*

**REMARK.** As in the case of primary groups, a fully invariant subgroup  $A$  of a  $p$ -torsion group is  $p$ -large in  $G$  if and only if for some  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B$  which is not a summand of  $G$ ,  $A + B = G$ .

2. **The  $p$ -large subgroups of  $\hat{B}$ .** Let  $\hat{B}$  be the completion with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology of an unbounded direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups  $B = \bigoplus B_n$  where  $B_n$  is the direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p^n$ . Denote by  $\bar{B}$  the  $p$ -primary subgroup of  $\hat{B}$ . It is well-known that  $\hat{B}/\bar{B}$  is a torsion free divisible group. Thus  $\hat{B}$  is a reduced  $p$ -torsion group and by Proposition 1.6 every  $p$ -large subgroup of  $\hat{B}$  is of the form  $\hat{B}(u)$  for some strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers  $u$ . We show here that only sequences with finitely many gaps give rise to  $p$ -large subgroups of  $\hat{B}$ . We need a few preliminaries.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of non negative integers. We say that  $u$  has a gap at  $i$  if  $u_i + 1 < u_{i+1}$ . We say that  $u$  has finitely many gaps if there exists  $k$  such that  $u_k + i = u_{k+i}$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . If no such  $k$  exists we say that  $u$  has infinitely many gaps. We say that  $u$  satisfies the gap condition with respect to a  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  if the  $u_i$ -th Ulm-Kaplansky invariant of  $G$  is non-zero each time there is a gap at  $i$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $u$  has finitely many gaps then for any group  $G$ ,  $G(u)$  contains  $p^n G$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , and thus,  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** By hypothesis, there exists  $k$  such that  $u_k + i = u_{k+i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $n = u_k$  and suppose  $x \in p^n G$ . Then  $x = p^n y$ , and  $h_p(p^i x) \geq u_k + i = u_{k+i} \geq u_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . Therefore  $x \in G(u)$  and  $p^n G \subset G(u)$ .

**NOTATION 2.3.** For a sequence  $u$  and an element  $x$  of  $G$  we let

$$L(u, x) = \{i \mid h_p^G(p^i x) < u_i\}.$$

Clearly,  $H_p^G(x) \geq u$  if and only if  $L(u, x)$  is finite. Theorem 1.9 can be restated in terms of  $L(u, x)$ ; namely for a  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  and a sequence  $u$ ,  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$  if and only if  $L(u, x)$  is finite for every  $x \in G$ .

**LEMMA 2.4.** Let  $u$  be a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers with infinitely many gaps. Then there exists  $x \in \hat{B}$  such that  $L(u, x)$  is infinite.

**Proof.** Write  $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty B_i$  where  $B_i = \bigoplus Z(p^i)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $n_1$  be an integer such that  $u_{n_1} + 1 < u_{n_1+1}$  and choose  $m_1 \geq u_{n_1} + 2$  such that  $B_{m_1} \neq 0$ . Choose in  $B_{m_1}$  an element  $a_{m_1}$  such that  $h_p(a_{m_1}) = u_{n_1} - n_1$ . Now we repeat this operation for an integer  $n_2$ , such that  $n_2 \geq e(a_{m_1})$ ,  $u_{n_2} + 1 < u_{n_2+1}$  and  $m_2 \geq u_{n_2} + 2$  with  $B_{m_2} \neq 0$  and we choose in  $B_{m_2}$  an element  $a_{m_2}$  such that  $h_p(a_{m_2}) = u_{n_2} - n_2$ . We continue this process and obtain a sequence  $a_{m_i}$  of elements such that:  $h_p(a_{m_i}) < h_p(a_{m_{(i+1)}}) < \dots$  and  $n_1 + 2 \leq e(a_{m_1}) \leq n_2 < n_2 + 2 \leq e(a_{m_2}) \leq \dots$ . Now let  $x = (a_j) \in \prod_{j=1}^\infty B_j$  where  $a_j = 0$  unless  $j = n_i$  for some  $i$ , in which case  $a_j = a_{m_i}$ . This  $x \in \hat{B}$  since  $h_p(a_n)$  increases as  $n$  increases. Furthermore  $L(u, x)$  is infinite. In fact  $h(p^{n_i} x) = h(p^{n_i} a_{m_i}) = u_{n_i}$  and

$$h_p(p^{n_i+1} x) = u_{n_i} + 1 < u_{n_i+1} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

The preceding lemma could also be derived from Proposition 3.5 of (4).

Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 and the remark before Lemma 2.4, immediately yield the following:

PROPOSITION 2.5.  $\hat{B}(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $\hat{B}$  if and only if  $u$  has finitely many gaps.

THEOREM 2.6. *The  $p$ -large subgroups of  $\hat{B}$  are precisely the fully invariant subgroups of  $\hat{B}$  which contain  $p^n \hat{B}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . They are in one to one correspondence with the strictly increasing sequences of non-negative integers with finitely many gaps which satisfy the gap-condition.*

**First Proof.** Follows immediately from Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.2. The unicity of the sequence follows from Theorem 67.1, p. 12 in [3], vol. II.

**Second Proof.** Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $\hat{B}$ . Then from Proposition 1.11  $\hat{B}/A$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups. But  $\hat{B}$  is an algebraically compact reduced group. From Corollary 39.2 in [3], vol. I, since  $(\hat{B}/A)^1 = 0$ ,  $A$  and  $\hat{B}/A$  are algebraically compact reduced groups. From Corollary 40.3 in [3], vol. I,  $\hat{B}/A$  is bounded. Therefore  $A$  contains  $p^n \hat{B}$  for some  $n$ .

3.  **$p$ -pure fully invariant subgroups of  $\hat{B}$ .** We consider here the simplest kind of  $p$ -pure fully invariant subgroups of  $\hat{B}$  and we determine completely their  $p$ -large subgroups. Given  $x \in \hat{B}$ , let  $h = H_p(x)$  and

$$\hat{B}_x = \{y \in \hat{B} \mid p^n y \in B(h) \text{ for some } n \geq 0\} = \hat{B}(h)$$

$\hat{B}_x$  is a  $p$ -pure fully invariant subgroup of  $\hat{B}$  containing  $\bar{B}$ . It is in fact the smallest  $p$ -pure fully invariant subgroup of  $\hat{B}$  containing  $x$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For every strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers  $u$  with infinitely many gaps there exists a  $p$ -pure fully invariant subgroup  $G$  of  $\hat{B}$  such that  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u = (u_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  be the given sequence. Derive from  $u$  the sequence  $h = (h_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ , such that:  $h_k = u_{2k}$ , for every  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . The sequence  $h$  has a gap at each  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . Take  $B = \bigoplus B_n$  such that for every  $k$ ,  $B_{n_k+1} \neq 0$ . Then, by Proposition 3.5 of [4], there exists  $x \in \hat{B}$  such that  $H_p(x) = h$ . Let  $G = \hat{B}_x$ . We show now that  $L(u, y)$  is finite for all  $y \in G$ . Since  $p^n y \in \hat{B}(h)$ , there exists an endomorphism of  $\hat{B}$  which maps  $x$  onto  $p^n y$ , thus  $h_p(p^{n+i}y) \geq h_p(p^i x) = u_{2i}$ , but  $h_p(p^{n+i}y) = h_{n+i}$  therefore  $h_{n+i} \geq u_{2i} \geq u_{n+i}$ , for all  $i \geq n$  and  $L(u, y)$  is finite. Therefore,  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$ .

We consider now a group  $G = \hat{B}_x$  and characterize the sequences  $u$  for which  $G(u)$  is a  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$  in terms of the height sequence of  $x$  in  $\hat{B}$ . We bear in mind that  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$  if and only if  $L(u, y)$  is finite for every  $y \in G$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $G = \hat{B}_x$ , and let  $u$  be a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers with infinitely many gaps satisfying the gap condition with*

respect to  $\hat{B}$ . Let  $H_p(x) = h = (h_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ ,  $u = (u_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ . If  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$  then for every  $m \geq 0$ , there exists  $j_m \geq 0$ , such that  $h_i \geq u_{i+m}$ , whenever  $i \geq j_m$ .

**Proof.** We know that  $L(u, x)$  is finite. Thus for  $m = 0$  there exists  $j_0$  such that  $i > j_0$  implies  $h_i \geq u_i$ . Now  $p^m G(u)$  is also  $p$ -large in  $G$ . Define  $v = (v_i)$ , where  $v_i = u_{i+m}$ . We claim that  $p^m G(u) = G(v)$ . Clearly  $p^m G(u) \subset G(v)$ . In order to show the reverse inclusion we note first that  $G(u) = G \cap \hat{B}(u)$  since  $G$  is  $p$ -pure in  $\hat{B}$ . Since  $u$  satisfies the gap condition, there exists  $z \in \hat{B}$ , such that:

$$\hat{B}(u) = Ez = \{\varphi(z) \mid \varphi \in \text{End } \hat{B}\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_p(z) = u.$$

Thus:  $H_p(p^m z) = v$ , and  $\hat{B}(v) = Ep^m z = p^m \hat{B}(u)$ . Clearly:

$$G(v) = G \cap \hat{B}(v) = G \cap p^m \hat{B}(u) \subset p^m G \cap p^m \hat{B}(u) \subset p^m (G \cap \hat{B}(u)) = p^m G(u).$$

Now we apply the same reasoning to  $G(v)$  as to  $G(u)$  and find that there exists  $j_m$  such that:  $i \geq j_m$  implies  $h_i \geq v_i = u_{i+m}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $G, H, u$  be as in Lemma 3.2. Then  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$  if and only if: for every  $m \geq 0$ , there exists  $j_m$  such that  $i \geq j_m$  implies  $h_i \geq u_{i+m}$ . Moreover every  $p$ -large subgroup of  $G$  is of the form  $G(u)$  for a unique such sequence  $u$ .*

**Proof.** The necessity follows from Lemma 3.2. For the sufficiency, we need only show that  $L(u, y)$  is finite for every  $y \in G$ . Now, there exists  $m$  such that  $p^m y \in \hat{B}(h)$ ; therefore,  $h_{i+m}(y) = h_p(p^{i+m}y) \geq h_i$ , and for  $i \geq j_m : h_{i+m}(y) \geq h_i \geq u_{i+m}$ . Therefore  $L(u, y)$  is finite for every  $y \in G$ . It follows that  $G(u)$  is  $p$ -large in  $G$ . The unicity follows from Theorem 67.1, p. 12, in vol. II of [3].

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** We are grateful to the referee whose remarks on the first version of this article led us to a fuller development of section one.

REFERENCES

1. K. Benabdallah and K. Honda, *Quasi- $p$ -large subgroups of abelian groups*, Comment Math. Univ. St. Pauli, vol. 31, no 2, pp. 201–206, (1982).
2. K. Benabdallah and A. Laroche, *Quasi- $p$ -pure-injective groups*, Can. J. Math. **29**, pp. 278–286 (1977).
3. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups vol. I and vol. II*, Academic Press, New York 1970 and 1973.
4. A. Mader, *The fully invariant subgroups of reduced algebraically compact groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 17, pp. 299–306 (1970).
5. R. S. Pierce, *Homomorphisms of primary abelian groups*, Topics in Abelian groups (Chicago, Illinois, 1963), pp. 215–310.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE STATISTIQUE  
 UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL  
 MONTRÉAL, CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 RIKKYO UNIVERSITY  
 TOKYO JAPAN