

FINITE TYPE PSEUDO-UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS
IN A HYPERSPHERE

SHI-JIE LI

The notion of finite type submanifolds was introduced by B.Y. Chen. In this article we study 2- and 3-type pseudo-umbilical submanifolds in a hypersphere. Two theorems in this respect are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

A submanifold M of a Euclidean m -space E^m is said to be of finite type if each component of its position vector X can be written as a finite sum of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian Δ of M , that is,

$$(1.1) \quad X = X_0 + \sum_{t=1}^k X_{i_t},$$

where X_0 is a constant vector and $\Delta X_{i_t} = \lambda_{i_t} X_{i_t}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$. If in particular all eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}\}$ are mutually different, then M is said to be of k -type. A k -type submanifold is said to be null if one of the λ_{i_t} , $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$, is null. It is easy to see that if M is compact, then X_0 in (1.1) is exactly the centre of mass in E^m . A submanifold M of a hypersphere S^{m-1} of E^m is called mass-symmetric in S^{m-1} if the centre of mass of M in E^m is the centre of the hypersphere S^{m-1} in E^m (see [1] for details).

In terms of finite type submanifolds, a well-known result of Takahashi [6] says that a submanifold M in E^m is of 1-type if and only if it is either a minimal submanifold of E^m or a minimal submanifold of a hypersphere of E^m . In the first case M is of null 1-type and in the last case M is mass-symmetric in S^{m-1} . In [3] it was proved that a compact 2-type hypersurface of a hypersphere is mass-symmetric if and only if it has constant mean curvature. In [5] it was proved that every 2-type pseudo-umbilical submanifold of E^m with constant mean curvature is either spherical or null 2-type. And in [4] some examples were given for spherical 2-type pseudo-umbilical surfaces, which is mass-symmetric.

In this article we prove the following.

Received 26 November 1990

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/91 \$A2.00+0.00.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of a hypersphere S^{m-1} in E^m . If M is of 2-type, then M is a non-null 2-type submanifold of S^{m-1} with constant mean curvature. In particular, if M is compact, then M is mass-symmetric.*

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of a hypersphere S^{m-1} in E^m with constant mean curvature. If M is of 3-type, then $\|DH\|$ is constant if and only if $\|DH\|^2$ is harmonic. In particular, if M is closed, then M is mass-symmetric.*

2. SOME BASIC FORMULAS

Let M be an n -dimensional (connected) submanifold of the unit hypersphere $S^{m-1}(1)$ of E^m centred at the origin. Then the position vector X of M in E^m is normal to M as well as to $S^{m-1}(1)$. Let A, h, D and H denote the Weingarten map, the second fundamental form, the normal connection and the mean curvature vector of M in E^m , respectively, and by A', h', D' and H' the corresponding invariants of M in $S^{m-1}(1)$. A submanifold M is said to be pseudo-umbilical if its Weingarten map with respect to the mean curvature vector is proportional to the identity map. It is clear that M is pseudo-umbilical in E^m if and only if so it is in S^{m-1} . We put $\alpha^2 = \langle H, H \rangle$ and $\beta^2 = \langle H', H' \rangle$, where \langle, \rangle denotes the inner product of E^m . We have

$$(2.1) \quad H = H' - X, \quad H' = \beta\xi, \quad \alpha^2 = \beta^2 + 1,$$

for some unit normal vector ξ . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal tangent frame of M . For any vector η normal to the submanifold M we put

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{A}(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, A_\eta e_i),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{tr}(\bar{\nabla}A_\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{(\nabla_{e_i}A_\eta)e_i + \text{tr}A_{D\eta}\},$$

where $\text{tr}A_{D\eta} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{D_{e_i}\eta}e_i$. Then we have the following useful formulas obtained in [1, 2].

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta H = \Delta^D H + \tilde{A}(H) + \text{tr}(\bar{\nabla}A_H),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \text{tr}(\bar{\nabla}A_H) = \frac{n}{2} \text{grad} \alpha^2 + 2 \text{tr}A_{DH},$$

where Δ^D denotes the Laplacian operator associated with the normal connection D .

By using the same method of the proofs of (2.4) and (2.5) given in [1, 2] we may obtain the following.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of E^m . Then for any vector field η normal to M we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta\eta = \Delta^D\eta + \tilde{A}(\eta) + \text{tr}(\nabla A_\eta),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \text{tr}(\nabla A_\eta) = n \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta, D_{e_i}H \rangle e_i + 2 \text{tr} A_{D\eta}.$$

From (2.4), (2.5) and Lemma 2.1, we may obtain the following.

LEMMA 2.2. ([5]). *If M is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of E^m , then we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta H = \Delta^D H + n\alpha^2 H + \frac{4-n}{2} \text{grad } \alpha^2.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let M be a 2-type submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$ of E^m centred at the origin. Then (1.1) becomes

$$(3.1) \quad X = X_0 + X_{i_1} + X_{i_2}, \quad \Delta X_{i_t} = \lambda_{i_t} X_{i_t}, \quad t = 1, 2.$$

Since $\Delta X = -nH$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta H = bH + c(X - X_0),$$

where $b = \lambda_{i_1} + \lambda_{i_2}$, $c = \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} / n$.

If M is pseudo-umbilical in E^m , then from Lemma 2.2 and (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta^D H + n\alpha^2 H + \frac{4-n}{2} \text{grad } \alpha^2 = bH + c(X - X_0),$$

which implies

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{4-n}{2} \text{grad } \alpha^2 = -c(X_0)^T,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \Delta^D H, X \rangle - (n\alpha^2 - b + c) + c\langle X_0, X \rangle = 0,$$

where $(X_\alpha)^T$ denotes the tangent component of X_α .

Since $\langle \Delta^D H, X \rangle = \Delta\langle H, X \rangle = 0$, (3.5) becomes

$$(3.6) \quad n\alpha^2 - b + c = c\langle X_0, X \rangle.$$

Taking the gradient of both sides of (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \quad n \text{grad } \alpha^2 = c(X_0)^T.$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{4+n}{2} \text{grad } \alpha^2 = 0,$$

which implies that α is constant on M and, moreover, by (3.7)

$$(3.9) \quad c(X_0)^T = 0.$$

In (3.9), if $c = 0$, that is, if M is of null 2-type, then by (3.6) and (3.3), we have $\Delta^D H = 0$. Consequently, we have

$$(3.10) \quad 0 = \langle \Delta^D H, H \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \alpha^2 + \|DH\|^2 = \|DH\|^2.$$

Since we have shown above that α is constant on M , (3.10) and (2.1) imply that $D\xi = 0$ and $\beta = \text{constant}$. Put $\eta = \xi + \beta X$ and take the covariant derivative of η in E^m with respect to any vector Y tangent to M , we have

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_Y \eta = \tilde{\nabla}_Y \xi + \beta \tilde{\nabla}_Y X = -A_\xi Y - \beta A_X Y = 0.$$

Thus the normal vector field η on M is constant. It implies that M is in the intersection of S^{m-1} and a hyperplane of E^m , that is, in a S^{m-2} of E^{m-1} . Now we may consider M as a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of S^{m-2} which is still of null 2-type. Then by induction M would be an open portion of S^n in E^{n+1} , but which is not of 2-type. This is a contradiction.

Now we may assume that M is of non-null 2-type, then from (3.9) we have $(X_0)^T = 0$. Consequently, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \text{grad} \langle X - X_0, X_0 \rangle = (X_0)^T = 0,$$

which implies that either $X_0 = 0$, or $X_0 \neq 0$ and M is in the intersection of S^{m-1} and a hyperplane of E^m . But the latter case could not occur since by an argument similar to the one mentioned above it would lead to a contradiction. Consequently, if M is compact, since in this case X_0 is the centre of mass of M in E^m , then M is mass-symmetric in S^{m-1} .

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let M be a 3-type submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$ of E^m centred at origin. Then, as in Section 3, we have

$$(4.1) \quad X = X_0 + X_{i_1} + X_{i_2} + X_{i_3}, \quad \Delta X_{i_t} = \lambda_{i_t} X_{i_t}, \quad t = 1, 2, 3.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta^2 H = a\Delta H + bH + c(X - X_0),$$

where $a = \sum_i \lambda_{i_1}$, $b = -\sum_{i < j} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{j_1}$, and $c = \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \lambda_{i_3} / n$.

If M is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold in E^m with constant mean curvature, then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta H = \Delta^D H + n\alpha^2 H,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^2 H &= \Delta(\Delta^D H) + n\alpha^2 \Delta H \\ &= \Delta^D \Delta^D H + \tilde{A}(\Delta^D H) + \text{tr}(\bar{\nabla} A_{\Delta^D H}) + n\alpha^2 \Delta^D H + n^2 \alpha^4 H. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.2)–(4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \Delta^D \Delta^D H, X \rangle + \langle \tilde{A}(\Delta^D H), X \rangle + n\alpha^2 \langle \Delta^D H, X \rangle + n^2 \alpha^4 \langle H, X \rangle \\ = a \langle \Delta^D H, X \rangle + (n\alpha^2 a + b) \langle H, X \rangle + c - c \langle X_0, X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In (4.5), we have

$$(4.6) \quad \langle \Delta^D H, X \rangle = \Delta \langle H, X \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Delta^D \Delta^D H, X \rangle = \Delta \langle \Delta^D H, X \rangle = 0,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{A}(\Delta^D H), X \rangle &= \langle \Delta^D H, \tilde{A}(X) \rangle = \langle \Delta^D H, \sum_i h(e_i, A_X e_i) \rangle \\ &= -n \langle \Delta^D H, H \rangle = -n \|DH\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.5) implies

$$(4.8) \quad \|DH\|^2 = -(n^2 \alpha^4 - n\alpha^2 a - b + c) / n + c \langle X, X_0 \rangle / n.$$

Taking the Laplacian of both sides of (4.8), we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \Delta \|DH\|^2 = c \Delta \langle X, X_0 \rangle / n = -c \langle H, X_0 \rangle.$$

Consequently, $\|DH\|^2$ is harmonic if and only if $c \langle H, X_0 \rangle = 0$, that is, (i) $c = 0$, or (ii) $c \neq 0$ and $\langle H, X_0 \rangle = 0$.

In Case (i), M is of null 3-type and by (4.8) $\|DH\|^2 = -(n^2 \alpha^4 - n\alpha^2 a - b) / n$ which is a constant.

In Case (ii), from (4.2) we have

$$(4.10) \quad \langle \Delta^2 H, X_0 \rangle = a \langle \Delta H, X_0 \rangle + b \langle H, X_0 \rangle + c \langle X - X_0, X_0 \rangle.$$

Since we have

$$(4.11) \quad \langle \Delta H, X_0 \rangle = \Delta \langle H, X_0 \rangle, \quad \langle \Delta^2 H, X_0 \rangle = \Delta \langle \Delta H, X_0 \rangle = \Delta^2 \langle H, X_0 \rangle,$$

(4.10) implies $\langle X - X_0, X_0 \rangle = 0$. With an argument similar to the one mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1, we may conclude that X_0 must be the origin of E^m . Then (4.8) gives $\|DH\|^2 = -(n^2 \alpha^4 - n\alpha^2 a - b + c) / n$ which is a constant. In particular, if M is closed (that is, M is compact and without boundary), then because λ_{i_1} , λ_{i_2} and λ_{i_3} are positive, M cannot be null. Moreover, in this case, because X_0 is the centre of mass of M in E^m , M is mass-symmetric in S^{m-1} .

REFERENCES

- [1] B.Y. Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type* (World Scientific, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 1984).
- [2] B.Y. Chen, '2-type submanifolds and their applications', *Chinese J. Math.* **14** (1986), 1–14.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, M. Barros and O.J. Garay, 'Spherical finite type hypersurfaces', *Algebras, Groups and Geometries* **4** (1987), 58–72.
- [4] O.J. Garay, 'Spherical Chen surfaces which are mass-symmetric and of 2-type', *J. Geom.* **33** (1988), 39–52.
- [5] S.J. Li, '2-type pseudo-umbilical submanifolds', *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Ser. B* **43** (1991), 69–74.
- [6] T. Takahashi, 'Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds', *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18** (1966), 380–385.

Department of Mathematics
South China Normal University
Guangzhou
China