## Tables

| I.I  | Metadata available in the TLC.  | page 8 |
|------|---|--------|
| 1.2  | Mean factors for all texts in conversation in Biber (1988).   | 25     |
| 2.1  | Results of the Pearson correlation between turn length (in word tokens) and turn coordinate for each dimension.                           | 43     |
| 2.2  | The linguistic features most strongly associated with   |        |
|      | Dimension 2.  | 44     |
| 2.3  | The turns most strongly associated with positive and negative Dimension 2.  | 45     |
| 2.4  | The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark. | 1      |
| 2.5  | The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 47     |
| 2.6  | The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.  | 48     |
| 2.7  | Cultural and linguistic background associations with Dimension 2.   | 49     |
| 2.8  | The linguistic features most strongly associated with Dimension 3.  |        |
| • •  |   | 50     |
| 2.9  | Turns most strongly associated with positive and negative Dimension 3.  | 51     |
| 2.10 | The Dimension 3 association of the turns in the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark. | 52     |
| 2.11 | The Dimension 3 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade                     | ŕ      |
|      | and overall mark.   | 53     |

| 2.12 | The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 53 |
|------|---|----|
| 2.13 | The Dimension 3 association of the turns from groups of learners defined by their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.                    | 54 |
| 2.14 | The linguistic features most strongly associated with Dimension 4.  | 55 |
| 2.15 | Turns most strongly associated with positive and negative Dimension 4.  | 56 |
| 2.16 | The Dimension 4 association of the turns in the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark. | 57 |
| 2.17 | The Dimension 4 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 58 |
| 2.18 | The Dimension 4 association of the turns in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.  | 58 |
| 2.19 | The Dimension 4 association of the turns from groups of learners defined by their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.                    | 59 |
| 2.20 | The linguistic features most strongly associated with Dimension 5.  | 60 |
| 2.21 | Turns most strongly associated with positive and negative Dimension 5.  | 60 |
| 2.22 | The Dimension 5 association of the turns in the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark. | 62 |
| 2.23 | The Dimension 5 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 62 |
| 2.24 | The Dimension 5 association of the turns in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.  | 62 |
| 2.25 | The Dimension 5 association of the turns from groups of learners defined by their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.                    | 63 |
| 2.26 | Examiner discourse functions.   | 65 |
| 3.I  | The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 2.   | 76 |

|             | List of Tables   | xiii |
|-------------|--|------|
| 3.2         | The association of the discourse units in the Conversation, Discussion, and Interactive tasks with Dimension 2 according   |      |
|             | to the mark learners received.   | 79   |
| 3.3         | The Dimension 2 association of the discourse units in  |      |
|             | the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.                              | 82   |
| 3.4         | The Dimension 2 association of the discourse units in  |      |
|             | the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by   | 0    |
|             | proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 83   |
| 3.5         | The Dimension 2 association of the discourse units in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, | 0    |
|             | grade and overall mark.  | 84   |
| 3.6         | The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 3.  | 87   |
| <b>3.</b> 7 | The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in the  |      |
|             | Conversation, Discussion and Interactive tasks from groups   | 20   |
| - 0         | of learners defined by overall mark.   | 90   |
| 3.8         | The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in the Conversation task according to learners' proficiency, grade      |      |
|             | and overall mark.  | 96   |
| 3.9         | The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in  | )0   |
| )•)         | the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by   |      |
|             | proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 97   |
| 3.10        | The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by              | ,    |
|             | proficiency, grade and overall mark.   | 99   |
| 4.I         | The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 4.  | 104  |
| 4.2         | The association of the examiner turns to Information-Seeking (negative Dimension 2) function at grade 6 according to       |      |
|             | learners' mark.  | III  |
| 4.3         | The Dimension 4 association of the discourse units in the Conversation, Discussion, and Interactive tasks according to     |      |
|             | the mark the learners received.  | II2  |
| 4.4         | The association of the examiner turns to Dimension 7   |      |
|             | (Narrative versus Stance Seeking) according to the task and  |      |
|             | the learners' grade and mark.  | 114  |

115

The association of the discourse units in the Discussion task to Dimension 4 (Informational Narratives versus Seeking and Encoding Stance) according to the learners' mark,

grade and proficiency level.

| 4.6          | The association of the discourse units in the Conversation task to Dimension 4 according to the learners' mark, grade                    |     |
|--------------|--|-----|
|              | and proficiency level.   | 116 |
| <b>4.</b> 7  | The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 5.  | 118 |
| 4.8          | The association of the discourse units in the Discussion task on Dimension 5 according to the mark learners' received.                   | 121 |
| 4.9          | The association of the discourse units in the Discussion task according to learners' mark, grade and proficiency level on Dimension 5.   | 125 |
| 4.10         | The association of the discourse units in the Conversation task on Dimension 5 according to learners' mark, grade and proficiency level. | 126 |
| 5 <b>.</b> I | Functions at turn level in the TLC L1 in tasks shared between L2 (grades 6–8) and L1 speakers (grade 12).                                | 136 |
|              | Frequency, per 10,000 words, of features by grade.   | -   |
| 5.2          | Frequency of feature in Conversation compared to   | 139 |
| 5.3          | Discussion.  | 141 |
| 5.4          | Frequency of features across grade compared by task.   | 142 |
| 5.5          | Individual variation at grade 6.   | 143 |
| 5.6          | Individual variation at grade 7.   | 144 |
| 5.7          | Individual variation at grade 8.   | 145 |
| 5.8          | The percentage of speakers not producing a specific feature in either task by grade.   | 148 |
| 5.9          | Features at each grade in Conversation compared to the TLC L1.   |     |
|              |  | 149 |
| 5.10         | Features at each grade in Discussion compared to the TLC LI.   | 149 |
| 5.11         | The standard deviation of four grammatical features across the Conversation and Discussion tasks in the L1 data.                         | 150 |
| 5.12         | Users not producing a grammatical function, by task, in grade 8 L2 data and the L1 data.   | 151 |
| 5.13         | Speakers not using a specific feature in either task in the L2 grade 8 data and the L1 data.   | 152 |
| 5.14         | The percentage of uses of a feature in examinee speech following the use of the same feature by the examiner in Conversation.            | ŕ   |
| 5.15         | The percentage of uses of a feature in examinee speech following the use of the same feature by the examiner in                          | 153 |
|              | Discussion.  | 153 |

|                 | List of Tables   | XV  |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| 5.16            | Functions at discourse unit level in the TLC L1 in tasks shared between L2 (grades 6–8) and L1 speakers (grade 12).  | 156 |
| 6. <sub>1</sub> | Features associated with Dimension 2.  | 162 |
| 6.2             | Features associated with Dimension 3.  | 164 |
| 6.3             | Features associated with Dimension 4.  | 165 |
| 6.4             | Features associated with Dimension 5.  | 167 |
| 6.5             | Features associated with Dimension 6.  | 168 |
| 6.6             | Features associated with Dimension 7.  | 169 |
| 6.7             | The discourse unit view of discourse functions in the BNC 2014, functions with reversed polarity relative to         |     |
|                 | the turn-level view are marked with an asterisk.   | 170 |
| 7.I             | Discourse functions across the three corpora.  | 178 |
| 7.2             | Substructures in short discourse units in each corpus.   | 198 |
| 7.3             | Revised discourse functions across the three corpora.  | 201 |
| 8.1             | A micro-structural short-text MDA of the narrative in  |     |
|                 | Figure 8.1.  | 219 |
| 9.1             | Examples of different narrative elements in our TLC narrative sample.  | 223 |
| 9.2             | Percentage of candidates who produced at least one narrative for each LI group, ranked from highest to lowest.       | 226 |
| 9.3             | Average number of turns per narrative for each L1 group, ranked from most to least frequent.                         | 227 |
| 9.4             | Average number of elements per narrative for each L1 group, ranked highest to lowest.                                | 228 |
| 9.5             | Average number of unique elements produced by candidates within each L1 group, ranked highest to lowest.             | 229 |
| 9.6             | Percentage of candidates who produced each narrative element from each L1 group.                                     | 229 |
| 9.7             | Average age and age range for each L1 group, ordered from youngest to oldest (on average).                           | 236 |
| 9.8             | Proportion of age categories in each L1 group, ordered from youngest to oldest (on average; see Table 9.7).          | 236 |
| 9.9             | Percentage of candidates who produced at least one narrative for each age category, ranked from highest to lowest.   | 237 |
| 9.10            | Percentage of young candidates who produced at least one narrative for each L1 group, ranked from highest to lowest. | 238 |
| 9.11            | Average number of turns per narrative for young candidates in each L1 group, ranked from most to least frequent.     | 239 |

| 9.12 | Average number of elements per narrative for young   |     |
|------|--|-----|
|      | candidates in each L1 group, ranked highest to lowest.   | 239 |
| 9.13 | Average number of unique elements produced by young candidates within each LI group, ranked highest to lowest.   | 240 |
| 9.14 | Percentage of young candidates who produced each narrative element from each L1 group.   | 240 |
| 9.15 | Proportion of candidates who received at least one prompt out of those who produced at least one narrative, grouped by L1  |     |
|      | and ranked from highest to lowest.   | 249 |
| 9.16 | Proportion of candidates within each age category who did and did not receive at least one prompt, expressed as percentages of overall number of candidates who produced |     |
|      | at least one narrative within each category.   | 250 |
| 9.17 | Proportion of prompts that were initiating or mid-narrative  |     |
|      | for each LI group, expressed as percentages.   | 251 |
| 9.18 | Elements following initiating prompts for each L1 group,   |     |
|      | expressed as percentages.  | 251 |
| 9.19 | Elements following mid-narrative prompts for each L1 group,  |     |
|      | expressed as percentages.  | 252 |
| Aі   | The full feature set.  | 269 |
| A2   | The short-text MDA feature set.  | 278 |