

THE ROTATIONAL EXCITATION OF OH IN ORION

E. F. van Dishoeck and G.J. Melnick
Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge MA 02138

J.H. Black
Steward Observatory, Tucson AZ 85721

The Orion-KL region has been studied extensively by observations of lines originating from highly-excited rotational levels of CO (see Watson et al. 1985). The lines arise in the shocked gas that lies at the interface of the hypersonic mass outflow of a newly-formed star and the quiescent material in Orion. The CO line intensities are sensitive to the physical conditions in the shocked region, and have been interpreted with a kinetic temperature $T \simeq 600$ K and density $n(\text{H}_2) \simeq 2 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Recently, pure rotational lines of OH have been detected as well in the far-infrared spectrum of Orion. Since the OH and CO molecules differ substantially in their energy level structure and in other properties, it is of interest to investigate whether the OH excitation probes the same physical conditions as the CO excitation or whether the two molecules reside in different parts of the shock.

Observations have been reported previously of the OH ${}^2\Pi_{3/2} J=5/2 \rightarrow 3/2$ doublet at $119 \mu\text{m}$ (Storey et al. 1981), the $J=7/2 \rightarrow 5/2$ doublet at $84 \mu\text{m}$ (Watson et al. 1985, Viscuso et al. 1985a) and the ${}^2\Pi_{1/2} J=3/2 \rightarrow 1/2$ doublet at $163 \mu\text{m}$ (Viscuso et al. 1985b). Recent new measurements at high resolution ($\Delta v = 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) have been made of the $163 \mu\text{m}$ doublet, and these line profiles were compared with those of the adjacent CO $J=16 \rightarrow 15$ line at $163 \mu\text{m}$ and the [OI] ${}^3P_1 \rightarrow {}^3P_2$ line at $63 \mu\text{m}$. The OH lines are well resolved with intrinsic line widths of about 50 km s^{-1} , somewhat larger than the CO and [OI] emission widths of about 30 km s^{-1} . In an effort to assess whether any significant fraction of the observed OH emission arises in a gas with $n_{\text{H}} \geq 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, searches have also been made for the higher excitation ${}^2\Pi_{1/2} J=9/2 \rightarrow 7/2$ doublet at $55 \mu\text{m}$ and the $J=11/2 \rightarrow 9/2$ doublet at $46 \mu\text{m}$. The $55 \mu\text{m}$ observations have yielded upper limits on the emitted flux in a $30''$ beam consistent with emission from gas with $n_{\text{H}} < 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The $46 \mu\text{m}$ line strengths appear to be anomalously large ($\sim 10^{-17} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$) and may result from instrumental calibration problems. Attempts will be made to re-observe this doublet in the coming year. These observations are discussed in greater detail in Crawford et al. (1986), Melnick et al. (1986), and Melnick et al. (1986a).

Calculations of the steady-state populations have been performed for 36 levels of OH lying within 2000 cm^{-1} ($\Delta E/k \leq 2900$ K) in excitation energy of the ground state, including the Λ -doubling, but neglecting the hyperfine interaction. The statistical equilibrium equations were solved taking into account the competing effects of absorption and stimulated emission in an ambient thermal dust radiation

field, and of collisional excitation and deexcitation. Because of the large dipole moment of OH, the radiative processes prove to be important in determining the level populations, in contrast with the CO excitation. The radiative transfer in the lines has been treated both within a uniform cloud, escape probability formulation, and in the Sobolev (large velocity gradient) approximation for a specific model of the Orion shock (cf. Draine and Roberge 1982). Results for the infrared line intensities in the 30–160 μm wavelength region have been presented for a range of densities, temperatures and OH column densities (see Black and van Dishoeck 1986).

The comparison with observations is complicated by the fact that the location of the continuum radiation with respect to the line forming region is not known, and by the possibility that the gas is highly clumped. If the continuum radiation is not directly behind the shock, the observed fluxes in the 119 and 84 μm doublets in the Orion–KL region can be reproduced—within the factor two uncertainty in some of the collisional cross sections—with $n(\text{H}_2) \simeq 3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T \simeq 750 \text{ K}$ and $N(\text{OH}) \simeq 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, in harmony with the interpretation of the CO lines. The intense flux in the 163 μm doublet appears to require a somewhat larger density or OH column density. For $N(\text{OH}) \simeq 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, the optical depth in the 119 μm lines is about 10–20, in the 84 μm lines 1–2 and in the 163 μm lines 0.3–0.7. The observed fluxes for all three doublets are also consistent, however, with a much lower temperature $T \simeq 75 \text{ K}$ and a somewhat larger density $n(\text{H}_2) \geq 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. If all of the infrared continuum radiation is located directly behind the shock, the observations are not consistent with the present models of the Orion shocked region based on the CO observations. Larger densities, $n(\text{H}_2) > 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and/or column densities, $N(\text{OH}) > 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, are needed in this case to bring the 119 and 84 μm lines into emission and to reproduce the observations. The models are consistent with the observed upper limit on the flux in the 55 μm doublet, but they cannot reproduce the possibly large flux in the 46 μm doublet. In all models, the lines involving the cross ladder transitions at 79 and 53 μm are predicted to have comparable strengths to the 119 and 84 μm lines. Further observational searches of lines involving these transitions, as well as higher J transitions within one ladder, are needed to test the various models.

REFERENCES

- Black, J.H. and van Dishoeck, E.F. 1986, to appear in “Masers, Molecules and Mass Outflows”, Haystack Observatory conference 1985; in prep.
- Crawford, M.K., Lugten, J.B., Fitelson, W., Genzel, R. and Melnick, G. 1986, preprint.
- Draine, B.T. and Roberge, W.G. 1982, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **259**, L91.
- Melnick, G.J., Genzel, R., Crawford, M.K., and Lugten, J.B. 1986, in prep.
- Melnick, G.J., Houck, J.R., Herter, T., Gull, G.E., and Graf, P. 1986a, in prep.
- Storey, J.W.V., Watson, D.M. and Townes, C.H. 1981, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **244**, L27.
- Viscuso, P.J., Stacey, G.J., Harwit, M., Haas, M.R., Erickson, E.F. and Duffy, P.B. 1985a, *Ap. J.*, **296**, 149.
- Viscuso, P.J., Stacey, G.J., Fuller, C.E., Kurtz, N.T. and Harwit, M. 1985b, *Ap. J.*, **296**, 142.
- Watson, D.M., Genzel, R., Townes, C.H. and Storey, J.W.V. 1985, preprint.