

# FORMAL CONTRACTION OF THE N-SIMPLEX

Bruce B. Peterson

(received July 22, 1967)

1. If  $K$  is a finite geometric (i. e. admitting a rectilinear triangulation)  $n$ -complex and  $\sigma^n$  is an  $n$ -simplex of  $K$  which is not a face of any  $n+1$  simplex of  $K$ , and if  $\sigma^{n-1}$  is an  $n-1$  face of  $\sigma^n$  which is not a face of any other  $n$ -simplex in  $K$ , then the complex  $K - \sigma^n - \sigma^{n-1}$  (the complex whose simplexes are those of  $K$  except for  $\sigma^n$  and  $\sigma^{n-1}$ ) is called an elementary contraction of  $K$  of order  $n$ . The correspondence  $K \rightarrow K - \sigma^n - \sigma^{n-1}$  will also be called an elementary contraction, there being no possibility of confusion. A sequence of elementary contractions is called a formal contraction. Formal contractions were first studied by Whitehead [3]. Many questions concerning this kind of contraction remain outstanding.

It is easy to show that a formal contraction may be arranged so that all its elementary contractions of order  $q$  precede all those of order  $p$  for  $p < q$ . A tree may be formally contracted to a given one of its vertices. If  $L$  is a formal contraction of  $K$  and if  $|K|$  (the point set occupied by  $K$ ) is  $n$ -connected, then  $|L|$  is  $n$ -connected. Hence if a complex contracts formally to a vertex it contracts formally to a given one of its vertices. The cone over a complex contracts formally to its vertex, and any subdivision of the cone over a complex may be further subdivided so as to contract formally to the vertex. Despite all this, it is not known whether a subdivided  $n$ -simplex ( $n > 2$ ) can be contracted formally to a vertex.

2. THEOREM 1. If  $K$  is a subdivided  $n$ -simplex, there exists a subcomplex  $K'$  of the  $n-1$  skeleton of  $K$  such that  $K$  contracts formally to  $K'$ .

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 10, no. 5, 1967

Proof. Each  $(n-1)$ -simplex of  $K$  lies on at most two  $n$ -simplexes of  $K$ , and in fact lies on exactly two unless it is on the boundary of  $K$ . We enumerate the  $n$ -simplexes of  $K$ ;  $\sigma_1^n, \sigma_2^n, \dots, \sigma_q^n$  and we let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q$  be their respective barycenters. If  $\sigma_i^n$  and  $\sigma_j^n$  intersect in an  $(n-1)$ -simplex, we denote their intersection by  $\sigma_{ij}^{n-1}$  and the barycenter of  $\sigma_{ij}^{n-1}$  by  $b_{ij}$ .

For each pair of  $n$ -simplexes intersecting in an  $(n-1)$ -simplex we construct a path from  $b_i$  to  $b_j$  consisting of the straight line segments  $b_i b_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij} b_j$ . The union of all such paths is a linear graph containing all the barycenters of  $n$ -simplexes, and all the barycenters of  $(n-1)$ -simplexes which are not on the boundary of  $K$ . Moreover, each  $b_{ij}$  is an endpoint of exactly two segments in the graph. We denote this graph by  $G$ , and pick, in  $G$ , a tree  $T$  which contains all the  $b_i$ 's and  $b_{ij}$ 's. This can always be done [2].

In forming  $T$  certain segments have been removed from  $G$  and, as  $b_{ij}$  is on exactly two segments of  $G$ , at most one of these could have been removed. The removal of the segment  $b_i b_{ij}$  leaves  $b_{ij}$  an endpoint of the tree  $T$ , and the tree  $T_1 = T - b_i b_{ij} - b_{ij} b_j$  is an elementary contraction of  $T$  which contains all the  $b_i$ 's. Continuing in this fashion we get a tree  $T'$  which contains all the  $b_i$ 's and has only  $b_i$ 's for endpoints. The idea of the proof is to contract  $T'$  to a particular barycenter and then, by reversing the order of contraction of  $T'$ , contract  $K$  to  $K'$ .

If  $b_i$  is an endpoint of  $T'$ , the removal of  $b_i b_{ij}$  leaves  $b_{ij}$  an endpoint, so that the segment  $b_{ij} b_j$  may be removed by elementary contraction. Such a sequence of two elementary contractions (i.e., removing the two segments containing a particular  $b_{ij}$ ) will be called a modified contraction.

$T'$  contracts to an arbitrary vertex, which we will assume to be a  $b_i$ . Hence, there is a sequence  $T'_1, T'_2, \dots, T'_{2(q-1)}$  of elementary contractions which contract  $T'$  to  $b_k$ .

Each endpoint of  $T'$  is a  $b_i$ , so that  $T'_1$  must be  $T' - b_i b_{ij} - b_i$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ . Since  $b_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij} b_j$  play no further role in the contraction until they are removed, we can arrange the sequence so that  $T'_2 = T'_1 - b_{ij} b_j - b_{ij}$ . Hence the sequence  $T'_1, T'_2, \dots, T'_{2(q-1)}$  may be chosen so that  $T'_k, T'_{k+1}$ , for  $k$  odd, is a modified contraction. We now consider the sequence of modified contractions  $T'_2, T'_4, \dots, T'_{2(q-1)}$  in which  $T'_{2(q-1)} = b_q$ , which we will now assume to be the barycenter of an  $n$ -simplex having an  $(n-1)$ -face on the boundary of  $K$ . Note that each  $T'_{2j}$  has only  $b_i$ 's for endpoints.

To further simplify matters we renumber the  $n$ -simplexes of  $K$  so that  $T'_{2i} = T'_{2i-2} - b_i b_{ij} - b_i - b_{ij} b_j - b_{ij}$ , where  $T'_0$  will denote  $T'$ . In particular the first barycenter removed is  $b_1$ , the second  $b_2$ , etc. It is, of course, during this renumbering process that we justify the subscript  $2(q-1)$  on the last modified contraction.

Now  $\sigma_q^n$ , the  $n$ -simplex having  $b_q$  as barycenter, has an  $(n-1)$ -face  $\sigma_q^{n-1}$  on the boundary of  $K$ , and the complex  $K_1 = K - \sigma_q^n - \sigma_q^{n-1}$  is an elementary contraction of order  $n$ . The path from  $b_{q-1}$  to  $b_q$  in  $T'$  contains  $b_{q-1, q}$ , the barycenter of  $\sigma_{q-1}^n \cup \sigma_q^n$ . We let  $\sigma_{q-1}^{n-1} = \sigma_{q-1}^n \cup \sigma_q^n$ . Since  $\sigma_q^n$  is not in  $K_1$ ,  $\sigma_{q-1}^n$  is the only simplex of  $K_1$  containing  $\sigma_{q-1}^{n-1}$ . Hence  $K_2 = K_1 - \sigma_{q-1}^n - \sigma_{q-1}^{n-1}$  is an elementary contraction of  $K_1$  and a formal contraction of  $K$ .

$|K_2|$  contains  $b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q-2$ . If  $K_{j-1} = K_{j-2} - \sigma_{q-j+2}^n$   
 $- \sigma_{q-j+2}^{n-1}$  has been defined and  $|K_{j-1}|$  contains  $b_i$  for  
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, q-j+1$ , and  $K_{j-1}$  is a formal contraction of  $K$ , we  
 consider the modified contraction  $T'_{2(q-j+1)}$ . This removes  
 $b_{q-j+1}$  and a vertex  $b_{q-j+1, k}$ , where  $k > q-j+1$ . Since  $\sigma_k^n$   
 is not in  $K_{j-1}$ ,  $\sigma_{q-j+1}^n$  is the only simplex in  $K_{j-1}$  which  
 contains  $\sigma_{q-j+1, k}^{n-1}$ . Hence  $K_j = K_{j-1} - \sigma_{q-j+1}^n - \sigma_{q-j+1, k}^{n-1}$   
 is an elementary contraction of  $K_{j-1}$  and a formal contraction  
 of  $K$ . This process removes each  $n$ -simplex of  $K$ . The  
 resulting complex is  $K'$ .

3. The preceding proof depends upon the fact that each  
 $(n-1)$ -simplex in  $K$  is on at most two  $n$ -simplexes in  $K$ .  
 Since a similar statement is not necessarily the case in  $K'$ ,  
 we cannot finish the contraction of the simplex except in the  
 case of the 2-simplex, where  $K'$  is a tree. We can, however,  
 say something more about the character of the complex  $K'$ .

**THEOREM 2.** If  $\sigma^{n-1}$  is an  $(n-1)$ -simplex of  $K'$  (the  
 complex found in theorem 1), then  $\sigma^{n-1}$  has at most one  
 $(n-2)$ -face which is not on some other  $(n-1)$ -simplex in  $K'$ .

Proof. If  $\sigma^{n-1}$  is on the boundary of  $K$ , it has at most  
 one  $(n-2)$ -face in common with a given  $(n-1)$ -simplex on the  
 boundary of  $K$ . Since only one  $(n-1)$ -simplex on the boundary  
 of  $K$  was removed in forming  $K'$ , at most one  $(n-2)$ -face of  
 any such  $(n-1)$ -simplex could have been freed in forming  $K'$ .

If  $\sigma^{n-1}$  is not on the boundary of  $K$ , it is on exactly  
 two  $n$ -simplexes of  $K$ . We call them  $\sigma_i^n$  and  $\sigma_j^n$ ;  
 $\sigma^{n-1} = \sigma_i^n \cup \sigma_j^n$ . Suppose  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$  and  $\sigma_2^{n-2}$  are  $(n-2)$ -faces  
 of  $\sigma^{n-1}$ , each of which is on no other  $(n-1)$ -simplex in  $K'$ .  
 If  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$  were on  $\text{Bd}(K)$ , it would be on  $\sigma^{n-1}$  and an

$(n-1)$ -simplex on  $\text{Bd}(K)$ :  $K'$ . Hence we may assume that neither  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$  nor  $\sigma_2^{n-2}$  is on  $\text{Bd}(K)$ .

There is, in addition to  $\sigma^{n-1}$ , exactly one  $(n-1)$ -face of  $\sigma_i^n$  containing  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$ ; we call it  $\sigma_{11}^{n-1}$ . There is, in addition to  $\sigma_i^n$ , exactly one  $n$ -simplex of  $K$  containing  $\sigma_{11}^{n-1}$ ; we call it  $\sigma_{11}^n$ . There is, in addition to  $\sigma_{11}^{n-1}$ , exactly one  $(n-1)$ -face of  $\sigma_{11}^n$  containing  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$ ; we call it  $\sigma_{12}^{n-1}$ .

If  $\sigma_{1k}^{n-1}$  and  $\sigma_{1k}^n$  have been chosen so that  $\sigma_1^{n-2} \subset \sigma_{1k}^{n-1} \subset \sigma_{1k}^n$ , there is, in addition to  $\sigma_{1k}^{n-1}$ , exactly one  $(n-1)$ -face of  $\sigma_{1k}^n$  containing  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$ ; we call it  $\sigma_{1,k+1}^{n-1}$ . There is, in addition to  $\sigma_{1k}^n$ , exactly one  $n$ -simplex of  $K$  containing  $\sigma_{1,k+1}^{n-1}$ ; we call it  $\sigma_{1,k+1}^n$ . In this fashion we order all the  $n$  and  $(n-1)$ -simplexes containing  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$ .

Since  $\sigma^{n-1}$  is in  $K'$ , and its face  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$  is in no other  $(n-1)$ -simplex in  $K'$ ,  $\sigma_{1k}^{n-1}$  is not in  $K'$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $m$  is number of  $(n-1)$ -simplexes in  $K$ , which are different from  $\sigma^{n-1}$  and contain  $\sigma_1^{n-2}$ . The contraction of  $K$  removed only those  $(n-1)$ -simplexes of  $K$  whose barycenters were in the tree  $T'$ . Hence the barycenter of  $\sigma_{1k}^{n-1}$  is in  $T'$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . We will now denote this barycenter by  $b(\sigma_{1k}^{n-1})$ .

If  $b(\sigma_{1k}^{n-1})$  is in  $T'$ , the path made up of the segments

$b(\sigma_{1, k-1}^n)b(\sigma_{1k}^{n-1})$  and  $b(\sigma_{1k}^{n-1})b(\sigma_{1k}^n)$  is also in  $T'$ .

Similarly the paths  $b(\sigma_i^n)b(\sigma_{11}^{n-1})$ ,  $b(\sigma_{11}^{n-1})$ ,  $b(\sigma_{11}^n)$ ,  $b(\sigma_{1, m-1}^n)b(\sigma_{1m}^{n-1})$ , and  $b(\sigma_{1m}^{n-1})b(\sigma_j^n)$  are in  $T'$ . The union of all these segments is the path in  $T'$  from  $b(\sigma_i^n)$  to  $b(\sigma_j^n)$ .

In a similar fashion, we may define  $\sigma_{2k}^{n-1}$  and  $\sigma_{2k}^n$  so that  $\sigma_2^{n-2} \subset \sigma_{2k}^{n-1} \subset \sigma_{2k}^n$  and  $\sigma_{2, k+1}^{n-1} \subset \sigma_{2k}^n$  and get a path in  $T'$  from  $b(\sigma_i^n)$  to  $b(\sigma_j^n)$ . Since  $T'$  is a tree these paths are identical. In particular  $b(\sigma_{11}^{n-1}) = b(\sigma_{21}^{n-1})$  and  $\sigma_{11}^{n-1} = \sigma_{21}^{n-1}$ . Since  $\sigma_{11}^{n-1} \cdot \sigma_1^{n-1} = \sigma_1^{n-2}$  and  $\sigma_{21}^{n-1} \cdot \sigma_2^{n-1} = \sigma_2^{n-2}$ ,  $\sigma_2^{n-2} = \sigma_1^{n-2}$ . This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. R.H. Bing, Notes on combinatorial topology, National Science Foundation Summer Institute for Graduate Students in Topology, 1961.
2. D. König, Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen graphen, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft M.B.H., Leipzig, Germany, 1936.
3. J.H.C. Whitehead, Simplicial spaces, nuclei and m-groups, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Series 2, Volume 45, 1939, pp. 243-327.

Middlebury College