

ON A CONJECTURE FOR A REFINEMENT OF THE SUM OF MINIMAL EXCLUDANTS

JULIA Q. D. DU and DAZHAO TANG  

(Received 20 April 2023; accepted 2 June 2023; first published online 20 July 2023)

Abstract

In 2019, Andrews and Newman [‘Partitions and the minimal excludant’, *Ann. Comb.* **23**(2) (2019), 249–254] introduced the arithmetic function $\sigma\text{mex}(n)$, which denotes the sum of minimal excludants over all the partitions of n . Baruah *et al.* [‘A refinement of a result of Andrews and Newman on the sum of minimal excludants’, *Ramanujan J.*, to appear] showed that the sum of minimal excludants over all the partitions of n is the same as the number of partition pairs of n into distinct parts. They proved three congruences modulo 4 and 8 for two functions appearing in this refinement and conjectured two further congruences modulo 8 and 16. We confirm these two conjectures by using q -series manipulations and modular forms.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11P83; secondary 05A17.

Keywords and phrases: congruences, partitions, minimal excludant, modular forms, refinement.

1. Introduction

A partition π of a positive integer n is a finite weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers $\pi_1 \geq \pi_2 \geq \dots \geq \pi_r$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \pi_i = n$. The π_i are called the parts of the partition π . Fraenkel and Peled [9] originally defined the minimal excludant for any set S of positive integers as the least positive integer not in S . In 2019, Andrews and Newman [3] defined the minimal excludant of an integer partition π as the least positive integer missing from the partition, denoted by $\text{mex}(\pi)$. For example, there are five partitions of 4: 4 with $\text{mex}(\pi) = 1$; 3 + 1 with $\text{mex}(\pi) = 2$; 2 + 2 with $\text{mex}(\pi) = 1$; 2 + 1 + 1 with $\text{mex}(\pi) = 3$; 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 with $\text{mex}(\pi) = 2$. Andrews and Newman [3, Theorem 1.1] established an elegant identity involving the quantity $\sigma\text{mex}(n)$, which

Julia Q. D. Du was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12201177), the Hebei Natural Science Foundation (No. A2021205018), the Science and Technology Project of Hebei Education Department (No. BJK2023092), the Doctor Foundation of Hebei Normal University (No. L2021B02), the Program for Foreign Experts of Hebei Province and the Program for 100 Foreign Experts Plan of Hebei Province. Dazhao Tang was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12201093), the Natural Science Foundation Project of Chongqing CSTB (No. CSTB2022NSCQ-MSX0387), the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (No. KJQN202200509) and the Doctoral start-up research Foundation (No. 21XLB038) of Chongqing Normal University.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

denotes the sum of minimal excludants over all the partitions of n . More precisely, they proved that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma \text{mex}(n)q^n = (-q; q)_{\infty}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_2(n)q^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where $Q_2(n)$ denotes the number of partition pairs of n into distinct parts. Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that q is a complex number and adopt the standard notation:

$$(a; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^j),$$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_{\infty} := (a_1; q)_{\infty} (a_2; q)_{\infty} \cdots (a_m; q)_{\infty}.$$

Interestingly, (1.1) was derived earlier by Grabner and Knopfmacher [11, (4.2)] under a different terminology. Recently, Ballantine and Merca [4] also proved (1.1) by employing purely combinatorial arguments.

Quite recently, Baruah *et al.* [5] investigated a refinement of the arithmetic function $\sigma \text{mex}(n)$ by considering the parity of the minimal excludant. More specifically, in [5, (1.2) and (1.3)] they defined the two functions

$$\sigma_o \text{mex}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \vdash n \\ \text{mex}(n) \text{ odd}}} \text{mex}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_e \text{mex}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \vdash n \\ \text{mex}(n) \text{ even}}} \text{mex}(\pi). \tag{1.2}$$

For instance, with $n = 4$, $\sigma_o \text{mex}(4) = 1 + 1 + 3 = 5$ and $\sigma_e \text{mex}(4) = 2 + 2 = 4$. By some q -series manipulations, Baruah *et al.* [5, Theorem 2.1] proved the following two partition identities which can be viewed as a refinement of (1.1): for any $n \geq 0$,

$$\sigma_o \text{mex}(n) = Q_2^e(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_e \text{mex}(n) = Q_2^o(n), \tag{1.3}$$

where $Q_2^e(n)$ and $Q_2^o(n)$ denote the number of partition pairs of n into distinct parts with an even number of parts and an odd number of parts, respectively. As a consequence of (1.3), [5, Theorem 2.2] gives the following three congruences modulo 4 and 8 for $\sigma_o \text{mex}(n)$ and $\sigma_e \text{mex}(n)$:

$$\sigma_o \text{mex}(2n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\sigma_o \text{mex}(4n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\sigma_e \text{mex}(4n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \tag{1.5}$$

Based on numerical evidence, Baruah *et al.* proposed the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.1 [5, Conjecture 6.1]. For any $n \geq 0$,

$$\sigma_o \text{mex}(8n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\sigma_e \text{mex}(8n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \tag{1.7}$$

The main purpose of this paper is to confirm (1.6) and (1.7).

THEOREM 1.2. *The congruences (1.6) and (1.7) are valid for any $n \geq 0$.*

The rest of this paper is constructed as follows. In Section 2, we first collect some necessary identities, and next introduce some notation, terminology and theorems in the theory of modular forms. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Section 3. We conclude this paper with two remarks.

2. Preliminaries

To prove (1.6) and (1.7), we first need the following identities.

LEMMA 2.1 (Jacobi’s triple product identity, [1, Lemma 1.2.2]). *We have*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2} = (-a, -b, ab; ab)_{\infty}, \quad \text{where } |ab| < 1. \tag{2.1}$$

For notational convenience, we denote

$$J_{a,b} := (q^a, q^{b-a}, q^b; q^b)_{\infty}, \quad \bar{J}_{a,b} := (-q^a, -q^{b-a}, q^b; q^b)_{\infty}, \quad J_a := J_{a,3a} = (q^a; q^a)_{\infty}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *We have*

$$\frac{1}{J_1} = \frac{1}{J_2^2} (\bar{J}_{6,16} + q\bar{J}_{2,16}), \tag{2.2}$$

$$J_1^2 = \frac{J_2 J_8^5}{J_4^2 J_{16}^2} - 2q \frac{J_2 J_{16}^2}{J_8}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{J_1^2} = \frac{J_8^5}{J_2^5 J_{16}^2} + 2q \frac{J_4^2 J_{16}^2}{J_2^5 J_8}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$J_1^4 = \frac{J_4^{10}}{J_2^2 J_8^4} - 4q \frac{J_2^2 J_8^4}{J_4^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{1}{J_1^4} = \frac{J_4^{14}}{J_2^{14} J_8^4} + 4q \frac{J_4^2 J_8^4}{J_2^{10}}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{J_1^2}{J_2^2} = \frac{J_2^{22}}{J_1^{14} J_4^8} - 16q \frac{J_4^8}{J_1^6 J_2^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

PROOF. The identity (2.2) appears in [2, Lemma 4.1]. The identities (2.3)–(2.6) follow from [6, page 40, Entries 25(i), (ii), (v) and (vi)] (see also [16, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3]). It follows immediately from [6, page 40, Entry 25(vii)] that

$$\frac{J_2^{20}}{J_1^8 J_4^8} - 16q \frac{J_4^8}{J_2^4} = \frac{J_1^8}{J_2^4}. \tag{2.8}$$

Multiplying by the factor J_2^2/J_1^6 on both sides of (2.8) yields (2.7). □

LEMMA 2.3 [15, (2.10)]. *We have*

$$J_1^3 \equiv \bar{J}_{28,64} - 3q\bar{J}_{20,64} + 5q^3\bar{J}_{12,64} - 7q^6\bar{J}_{4,64} \pmod{16}. \tag{2.9}$$

Next, we collect some notation and terminology on the theory of modular forms. The full modular group is given by

$$\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } ad - bc = 1 \right\},$$

and for a positive integer N , the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(N)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma : a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

We denote by γ the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, if not specified otherwise. Let γ act on $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ by the linear fractional transformation

$$\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma\infty = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\tau.$$

Let k be a positive integer and $\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$. A holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a modular form with weight k for $\Gamma_1(N)$ if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(N)$;
- (2) for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $(c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau) = \sum_{n=n_\gamma}^{\infty} a(n)q_{w_\gamma}^n,$$

where $a(n_\gamma) \neq 0$, $n_\gamma \geq 0$, $q_{w_\gamma} = e^{2\pi i\tau/w_\gamma}$ and w_γ is the minimal positive integer h such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \gamma^{-1}\Gamma_1(N)\gamma.$$

For a modular form $f(\tau)$ of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_1(N)$, the order of $f(\tau)$ at the cusp $a/c \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined by

$$\text{ord}_{a/c}(f) = n_\gamma$$

for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma\infty = a/c$; $\text{ord}_{a/c}(f)$ is well defined (see [8, page 72]). If the orders of f at all cusps are strictly greater than 0, then f is called a cusp form for $\Gamma_1(N)$.

Let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. The Dedekind eta-function $\eta(\tau)$ is defined by

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n).$$

The function $\eta^{24}(\tau)$ is a cusp form with weight 12 for Γ and also for $\Gamma_1(N)$ for any positive integer N . For a positive integer δ and a residue class $g \pmod{\delta}$, the generalised Dedekind eta-function $\eta_{\delta,g}(\tau)$ is defined by

$$\eta_{\delta,g}(\tau) = q^{\delta P_2(g/\delta)/2} \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ n \equiv g \pmod{\delta}}} (1 - q^n) \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ n \equiv -g \pmod{\delta}}} (1 - q^n),$$

where

$$P_2(t) = \{t\}^2 - \{t\} + \frac{1}{6}$$

is the second Bernoulli function and $\{t\}$ is the fractional part of t (see, for example, [12, 13]). Notice that

$$\eta_{\delta,0}(\tau) = \eta^2(\delta\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\delta,\delta/2}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^2(\delta\tau/2)}{\eta^2(\delta\tau)}.$$

A generalised eta-quotient is a function of the form

$$\prod_{\substack{\delta|N \\ 0 \leq g < \delta}} \eta_{\delta,g}^{r_{\delta,g}}(\tau), \tag{2.10}$$

where $N \geq 1$ and

$$r_{\delta,g} \in \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } g = 0 \text{ or } g = \frac{\delta}{2}; \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Although the work of Robins [12, Theorem 3] which gives a criterion for a generalised eta-quotient to be modular is for the zero weight case, the following theorem is true for nonzero weight as well (see [7, Theorem 2.5]).

THEOREM 2.4. *If $k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta|N} r_{\delta,0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(\tau) = \prod_{\delta|N, 0 \leq g < \delta} \eta_{\delta,g}^{r_{\delta,g}}(\tau)$ is a generalised eta-quotient such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta|N \\ 0 \leq g < \delta}} \delta P_2\left(\frac{g}{\delta}\right) r_{\delta,g} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta|N \\ 0 \leq g < \delta}} \frac{N}{\delta} P_2(0) r_{\delta,g} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

then

$$f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{2k} f(\tau)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(N)$.

We can obtain a formula for the order of a generalised eta-quotient at the cusp of $\Gamma_1(N)$ by [10, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 2.5. *The order of the function*

$$f(\tau) = \prod_{\substack{\delta|N \\ 0 < g \leq \lfloor \frac{\delta}{2} \rfloor}} \eta_{\delta,g}^{a_{\delta,g}}(\tau)$$

at the cusp a/c is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta|N \\ 0 < g \leq \lfloor \frac{\delta}{2} \rfloor}} w_{\gamma} a_{\delta, g} \left(\frac{e^2}{2\delta} \left(\frac{ag}{e} - \left\lfloor \frac{ag}{e} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{h^2}{6\delta} \left(\frac{a\delta}{h} - \left\lfloor \frac{a\delta}{h} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right), \tag{2.11}$$

where γ satisfies $\gamma\infty = a/c$, $e = \gcd(\delta, c)$ and $h = \gcd(3\delta, c)$.

The following theorem of Sturm [14, Theorem 1] plays an important role in proving congruences using the theory of modular forms.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let Γ' be a congruence subgroup of Γ , and let k be an integer and $g(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n)q^n$ a modular form of weight k for Γ' . For any given positive integer u , if $c(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$ holds for all $n \leq (1/12)k[\Gamma : \Gamma']$, then $c(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$ holds for any $n \geq 0$.*

There is an explicit formula for the index [8, page 13]:

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma_1(N)] = N^2 \cdot \prod_{\substack{p|N \\ p \text{ is prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In [5], Baruah *et al.* stated without proof the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(8n + 1)q^n &= (\bar{J}_{3,8} \bar{J}_{7,16} + q^2 \bar{J}_{1,8} \bar{J}_{1,16}) \\ &\times \left(-\frac{J_2^2 J_4^5}{J_1^7 J_8^2} - \frac{J_4^5}{J_1^3 J_8^2} + 2 \frac{J_2^7 J_4^8}{J_1^{13} J_8^4} + 8q \frac{J_2^{11} J_4^4}{J_1^{13} J_8^4} \right) \\ &+ 2q(\bar{J}_{1,8} \bar{J}_{7,16} + q \bar{J}_{3,8} \bar{J}_{1,16}) \left(-\frac{J_2^4 J_8^2}{J_1^7 J_4} + \frac{J_2^2 J_8^2}{J_1^3 J_4} + 4 \frac{J_2^9 J_4^2}{J_1^{13}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For the sake of completeness, we present a proof of (3.1) here.

According to [5, (4.25)],

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(4n + 1)q^n = \bar{J}_{3,8} \cdot J_4^2 \cdot \frac{1}{J_1} \cdot \frac{1}{J_1^2} \left(2 \frac{J_4^5}{J_2 J_8^2} \cdot \frac{1}{J_1^2} - \frac{1}{J_2^2} \cdot J_1^4 - 1 \right). \tag{3.2}$$

From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_{3,8} &= (-q^3, -q^5, q^8; q^8)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4n^2+n} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4(2n)^2+2n} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4(2n-1)^2+(2n-1)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{16n^2+2n} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{16n^2-14n+3} = \bar{J}_{14,32} + q^3 \bar{J}_{2,32}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Substituting (2.2), (2.4), (2.5) and (3.3) into (3.2), after simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(8n+1)q^n &= (\bar{J}_{3,8} \bar{J}_{7,16} + q^2 \bar{J}_{1,8} \bar{J}_{1,16}) \\ &\times \left(-\frac{J_2^{12} J_4}{J_1^{11} J_8^2} + 8q \frac{J_2^2 J_4^3 J_8^2}{J_1^7} - \frac{J_2^2 J_4^5}{J_1^7 J_8^2} + 2 \frac{J_2^7 J_4^8}{J_1^{13} J_8^4} + 8q \frac{J_2^{11} J_4^4}{J_1^{13} J_8^4} \right) \\ &+ 2q(\bar{J}_{1,8} \bar{J}_{7,16} + q \bar{J}_{3,8} \bar{J}_{1,16}) \\ &\times \left(2 \frac{J_4^9}{J_1^7 J_8^2} - \frac{J_2^{14} J_8^2}{J_1^{11} J_4^5} - \frac{J_2^4 J_8^2}{J_1^7 J_4} + 4 \frac{J_2^9 J_4^2}{J_1^{13}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Thanks to (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{J_2^{12} J_4}{J_1^{11} J_8^2} + 8q \frac{J_2^2 J_4^3 J_8^2}{J_1^7} &= -\frac{J_2^{12} J_4}{J_1^7 J_8^2} \left(\frac{J_4^{14}}{J_2^{14} J_8^4} + 4q \frac{J_2^2 J_4^4}{J_2^{10}} \right) + 8q \frac{J_2^2 J_4^3 J_8^2}{J_1^7} \\ &= -\frac{J_4^{15}}{J_1^7 J_2^6 J_8^6} + 4q \frac{J_2^2 J_4^3 J_8^2}{J_1^7} \\ &= -\frac{J_4^5}{J_1^7 J_8^2} \left(\frac{J_4^{10}}{J_2^2 J_8^4} - 4q \frac{J_2^2 J_4^4}{J_2^4} \right) = -\frac{J_4^5}{J_1^3 J_8^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{J_4^9}{J_1^7 J_8^2} - \frac{J_2^{14} J_8^2}{J_1^{11} J_4^5} &= 2 \frac{J_4^9}{J_1^7 J_8^2} - \frac{J_2^{14} J_8^2}{J_1^7 J_4^5} \left(\frac{J_4^{14}}{J_2^{14} J_8^4} + 4q \frac{J_2^4 J_8^4}{J_2^{10}} \right) \\ &= \frac{J_4^9}{J_1^7 J_8^2} - 4q \frac{J_2^4 J_8^6}{J_1^7 J_4^3} \\ &= \frac{J_2^2 J_8^2}{J_1^7 J_4} \left(\frac{J_4^{10}}{J_2^2 J_8^4} - 4q \frac{J_2^2 J_8^4}{J_2^4} \right) = \frac{J_2^2 J_8^2}{J_1^3 J_4}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Substituting (3.5) and (3.6) into (3.4), we obtain (3.2).

Moreover, Baruah *et al.* [5, (4.34)] proved that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_e \text{mex}(4n)q^n &= \frac{J_2^6}{J_1^2 J_4^4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(4n+1)q^n \\ &= \bar{J}_{3,8} \cdot \frac{J_2^6}{J_4^2} \cdot \frac{1}{J_1} \cdot \frac{1}{J_1^4} \left(2 \frac{J_4^5}{J_2 J_8^2} \cdot \frac{1}{J_1^2} - \frac{1}{J_2^2} \cdot J_1^4 - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.2), (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and (3.3), upon simplification, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_e \text{mex}(8n)q^n \\ = (\bar{J}_{3,8} \bar{J}_{7,16} + q^2 \bar{J}_{1,8} \bar{J}_{1,16}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left(-\frac{J_2^{22}}{J_1^{14}J_4^8} + 16q \frac{J_4^8}{J_1^6J_2^2} - \frac{J_2^{12}}{J_1^{10}J_4^4} + 2 \frac{J_2^{17}}{J_1^{16}J_4J_8^2} + 16q \frac{J_2^7J_4J_8^2}{J_1^{12}} \right) \\ & + 4q(\bar{J}_{1,8}\bar{J}_{7,16} + q\bar{J}_{3,8}\bar{J}_{1,16}) \left(-\frac{J_4^4}{J_1^6} + 2 \frac{J_2^5J_4^7}{J_1^{12}J_8^2} + \frac{J_2^{19}J_8^2}{J_1^{16}J_4^7} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting (2.7) into (3.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_e \text{mex}(8n)q^n &= (\bar{J}_{3,8}\bar{J}_{7,16} + q^2\bar{J}_{1,8}\bar{J}_{1,16}) \\ & \times \left(-\frac{J_1^2}{J_2^2} - \frac{J_2^{12}}{J_1^{10}J_4^4} + 2 \frac{J_2^{17}}{J_1^{16}J_4J_8^2} + 16q \frac{J_2^7J_4J_8^2}{J_1^{12}} \right) \\ & + 4q(\bar{J}_{1,8}\bar{J}_{7,16} + q\bar{J}_{3,8}\bar{J}_{1,16}) \left(-\frac{J_4^4}{J_1^6} + 2 \frac{J_2^5J_4^7}{J_1^{12}J_8^2} + \frac{J_2^{19}J_8^2}{J_1^{16}J_4^7} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing q by $-q$ in (3.1) and using the identity

$$(-q; -q)_{\infty} = \frac{J_2^3}{J_1J_4}, \tag{3.8}$$

after simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(8n + 1)(-q)^n &= (J_{3,8}J_{7,16} + q^2J_{1,8}J_{1,16}) \\ & \times \left(-\frac{J_1^7J_4^{12}}{J_2^{19}J_8^2} - J_1^3 \cdot \frac{J_4^8}{J_2^9J_8^2} + 2 \frac{J_1^{13}J_4^{21}}{J_2^{32}J_8^4} - 8q \frac{J_1^{13}J_4^9J_8^4}{J_2^{28}} \right) \\ & - 2q(J_{1,8}J_{7,16} - qJ_{3,8}J_{1,16}) \\ & \times \left(-\frac{J_1^7J_4^6J_8^2}{J_2^{17}} + J_1^3 \cdot \frac{J_4^2J_8^2}{J_2^7} + 4 \frac{J_1^{13}J_4^{15}}{J_2^{30}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Also, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_e \text{mex}(8n)q^n &= (\bar{J}_{3,8}\bar{J}_{7,16} + q^2\bar{J}_{1,8}\bar{J}_{1,16}) \\ & \times \left(-J_1^3 \cdot \frac{1}{J_1J_2} - \frac{J_2^{12}}{J_1^{10}J_4^4} + 2 \frac{J_2^{17}}{J_1^{16}J_4J_8^2} + 16q \frac{J_2^7J_4J_8^2}{J_1^{12}} \right) \\ & + 4q(\bar{J}_{1,8}\bar{J}_{7,16} + q\bar{J}_{3,8}\bar{J}_{1,16}) \left(-\frac{J_4^4}{J_1^6} + 2 \frac{J_2^5J_4^7}{J_1^{12}J_8^2} + \frac{J_2^{19}J_8^2}{J_1^{16}J_4^7} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting (2.9) into (3.9) and (3.10) and using the identity

$$\bar{J}_{a,b} = \frac{J_{2a,2b}J_b^2}{J_{a,b}J_{2b}},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(8n+1)(-q)^n \\
 & \equiv (J_{3,8}J_{7,16} + q^2J_{1,8}J_{1,16}) \left(-\frac{J_1^7 J_4^{12}}{J_2^{19} J_8^2} + 2\frac{J_1^{13} J_4^{21}}{J_2^{32} J_8^4} - 8q\frac{J_1^{13} J_4^9 J_8^4}{J_2^{28}} \right) \\
 & \quad - \frac{J_4^8}{J_2^9 J_8^2} \left(\frac{J_{56,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{28,64} J_{128}} - 3q\frac{J_{40,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{20,64} J_{128}} + 5q^3\frac{J_{24,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{12,64} J_{128}} - 7q^6\frac{J_{8,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{4,64} J_{128}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times (J_{3,8}J_{7,16} + q^2J_{1,8}J_{1,16}) \\
 & \quad - 2q(J_{1,8}J_{7,16} - qJ_{3,8}J_{1,16}) \left(-\frac{J_1^7 J_4^6 J_8^2}{J_2^{17}} + 4\frac{J_1^{13} J_4^{15}}{J_2^{30}} \right) \\
 & \quad - 2q\frac{J_4^2 J_8^2}{J_2^7} \left(\frac{J_{56,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{28,64} J_{128}} - 3q\frac{J_{40,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{20,64} J_{128}} + 5q^3\frac{J_{24,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{12,64} J_{128}} - 7q^6\frac{J_{8,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{4,64} J_{128}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times (J_{1,8}J_{7,16} - qJ_{3,8}J_{1,16}) \pmod{16} \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_e \text{mex}(8n)q^n \\
 & \equiv \left(\frac{J_{6,16}J_{14,32}J_8^2 J_{16}}{J_{3,8}J_{7,16}J_{32}} + q^2\frac{J_{2,16}J_{2,32}J_8^2 J_{16}}{J_{1,8}J_{1,16}J_{32}} \right) \left(-\frac{J_2^{12}}{J_1^{10} J_4^4} + 2\frac{J_2^{17}}{J_1^{16} J_4 J_8^2} + 16q\frac{J_2^7 J_4 J_8^2}{J_1^{12}} \right) \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{J_1 J_2^2} \left(\frac{J_{56,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{28,64} J_{128}} - 3q\frac{J_{40,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{20,64} J_{128}} + 5q^3\frac{J_{24,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{12,64} J_{128}} - 7q^6\frac{J_{8,128} J_{64}^2}{J_{4,64} J_{128}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{J_{6,16}J_{14,32}J_8^2 J_{16}}{J_{3,8}J_{7,16}J_{32}} + q^2\frac{J_{2,16}J_{2,32}J_8^2 J_{16}}{J_{1,8}J_{1,16}J_{32}} \right) \\
 & \quad + 4q \left(\frac{J_{2,16}J_{14,32}J_8^2 J_{16}}{J_{1,8}J_{7,16}J_{32}} + q\frac{J_{6,16}J_{2,32}J_8^2 J_{16}}{J_{3,8}J_{1,16}J_{32}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(-\frac{J_4^4}{J_1^6} + 2\frac{J_2^5 J_4^7}{J_1^{12} J_8^2} + \frac{J_2^{19} J_8^2}{J_1^{16} J_4^7} \right) \pmod{16}. \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove (1.6) and (1.7), we need to prove that the coefficients on the right-hand sides of (3.11) and (3.12) vanish modulo 16.

Let f and g denote the right-hand sides of (3.11) and (3.12), respectively. By Theorem 2.4,

$$F(\tau) = q^{13/96} \frac{\eta^{122}(4\tau)\eta^2(8\tau)\eta_{16,8}^7(\tau)}{\eta^{48}(2\tau)\eta_{16,7}^{15}(\tau)} f \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$G(\tau) = q^{1/96} \frac{\eta^{168}(4\tau)\eta_{16,7}^{21}(\tau)\eta_{16,8}^{10}(\tau)}{\eta^{72}(2\tau)} g \tag{3.14}$$

satisfy the transformation formulae

$$F(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{38}F(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad G(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{48}G(\tau)$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(128)$. From (2.11), the orders of $F(\tau)$ and $G(\tau)$ at every cusp of $\Gamma_1(128)$ are nonnegative, and so they are modular forms for $\Gamma_1(128)$ of weight 38 and 48, respectively. One can check the coefficients of the first 38912 terms of (3.13) are congruent to 0 modulo 16, and the coefficients of the first 49152 terms of (3.14) are congruent to 0 modulo 16. Therefore, by Theorem 2.6, $f \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ and $g \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. Concluding remarks

We conclude this paper with two remarks.

First, Baruah *et al.* [5] proved (1.4) and (1.5) by using several identities involving $\varphi(q)$ and $\psi(q)$ and the Lambert series representations of $\varphi^2(q)$ and $\varphi(q)\varphi(q^2)$, where $\varphi(q)$ and $\psi(q)$ are two of Ramanujan’s three classical theta functions. We provide a simplified proof of (1.4) based on (2.2), (2.9) and (3.3).

Baruah *et al.* [5, (4.17)] derived

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(2n + 1)q^n = \frac{J_2^2 J_8^2}{J_1^3 J_4} - \frac{J_1 J_8^2}{J_4}. \tag{4.1}$$

Replacing q by $-q$ in (4.1) and using (3.8),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(2n + 1)(-q)^n = \frac{J_4^2 J_8^2}{J_2^7} \cdot J_1^3 - \frac{J_2^3 J_8^2}{J_4^2} \cdot \frac{1}{J_1}. \tag{4.2}$$

Substituting (2.2) and (2.9) into (4.2), taking all the terms of the form q^{2n} , after simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_o \text{mex}(4n + 1)q^n &\equiv \frac{J_2^2 J_4^2}{J_1^7} (\bar{J}_{14,32} - 7q^3 \bar{J}_{2,32}) - \frac{J_1 J_4^2}{J_2^2} \bar{J}_{3,8} \pmod{16} \\ &= \frac{J_2^2 J_4^2}{J_1^7} (\bar{J}_{14,32} - 7q^3 \bar{J}_{2,32}) - \frac{J_1 J_4^2}{J_2^2} (\bar{J}_{14,32} + q^3 \bar{J}_{2,32}) \\ &\equiv \frac{J_1 J_4^2}{J_2^2} (\bar{J}_{14,32} - 7q^3 \bar{J}_{2,32} - \bar{J}_{14,32} - q^3 \bar{J}_{2,32}) \pmod{8} \\ &= -8q^3 \frac{J_1 J_4^2}{J_2^2} \bar{J}_{2,32} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity follows from (3.3). The congruence (1.4) thus follows.

Second, the numerical evidence suggests the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 4.1. We have

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{0 \leq n < X: \sigma_o \text{mex}(31n + 18) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}\}}{X} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{0 \leq n < X: \sigma_e \text{mex}(31n + 18) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}\}}{X} = 1.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to two anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and many constructive suggestions, which improved the quality of the paper to a great extent.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part I* (Springer, New York, 2005).
- [2] G. E. Andrews, B. C. Berndt, S. H. Chan, S. Kim and A. Malik, 'Four identities and third order mock theta functions', *Nagoya Math. J.* **239** (2020), 173–204.
- [3] G. E. Andrews and D. Newman, 'Partitions and the minimal excludant', *Ann. Comb.* **23**(2) (2019), 249–254.
- [4] C. Ballantine and M. Merca, 'Combinatorial proof of the minimal excludant theorem', *Int. J. Number Theory* **17**(8) (2021), 1765–1779.
- [5] N. D. Baruah, S. C. Bhorla, P. Eyyunni and B. Maji, 'A refinement of a result of Andrews and Newman on the sum of minimal excludants', *Ramanujan J.*, to appear. Published online (14 June 2023).
- [6] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part III* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991).
- [7] T. Cotron, A. Michaelson, E. Stamm and W. Zhu, 'Lacunary eta-quotients modulo powers of primes', *Ramanujan J.* **53**(2) (2020), 269–284.
- [8] F. Diamond and J. Shurman, *A First Course in Modular Forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 228 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005).
- [9] A. S. Fraenkel and U. Peled, 'Harnessing the unwieldy MEX function', in: *Games of No Chance 4*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 63 (ed. R. J. Nowakowski) (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2015), 77–94.
- [10] J. Frye and F. Garvan, 'Automatic proof of theta-function identities', in: *Elliptic Integrals, Elliptic Functions and Modular Forms in Quantum Field Theory*, Texts & Monographs in Symbolic Computation (eds. J. Blümlein, C. Schneider and P. Paule) (Springer, Cham, 2019), 195–258.
- [11] P. J. Grabner and A. Knopfmacher, 'Analysis of some new partition statistics', *Ramanujan J.* **12**(3) (2006), 439–454.
- [12] S. Robins, 'Generalized Dedekind η -products', in: *The Rademacher Legacy to Mathematics (University Park, PA, 1992)*, Contemporary Mathematics, 166 (eds. G. E. Andrews, D. M. Bressoud and L. A. Parson) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994), 119–128.
- [13] B. Schoeneberg, *Elliptic Modular Functions: An Introduction*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 203 (Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg, 1974).
- [14] J. Sturm, 'On the congruence of modular forms', in: *Number Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1240 (eds. D. V. Chudnovsky, G. V. Chudnovsky, H. Cohn and M. B. Nathanson) (Springer, Berlin, 1987), 275–280.

- [15] D. Tang, 'Proof of a conjecture of Banerjee and Dastidar on odd crank', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear. Published online (13 January 2023); doi:[10.1017/S0004972722001678](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972722001678).
- [16] O. X. M. Yao, E. X. W. Xia, 'New Ramanujan-like congruences modulo powers of 2 and 3 for overpartitions', *J. Number Theory* **133**(6) (2013), 1932–1949.

JULIA Q. D. DU, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Hebei Workstation for Foreign Academicians,
Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, PR China
e-mail: qddu@hebtu.edu.cn

DAZHAO TANG, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, PR China
e-mail: dazhaotang@sina.com