

ON UNIFORM APPROXIMATIONS OF ABSTRACT FUNCTIONS

Elias Zakon

(received June 7, 1966)

As is well known, every real function is the pointwise (uniform) limit of a sequence of functions with a finite (countable) range of values. Monna [5] and Kvačko [4] suggested some extensions of this theorem to functions with values in a separable metric space.<sup>1</sup> In the present note we give some further generalizations, with an emphasis on uniform approximations which have many applications in the generalized theory of measure and integration. In particular, we consider measurable abstract functions (mappings).

In order to be able to deal with uniform approximations in an arbitrary space, it is convenient to use "indexed neighborhood systems" which, as was shown by Davis [2], can be introduced in any space, in such a manner as to preserve its topology.<sup>2</sup> In this connection, we shall formulate a few definitions:

TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATION. A topological space  $T$  is said to be semi-uniform if, for some fixed index set  $I$ , each point  $x \in T$  has a local base of open indexed neighborhoods  $N^i(x)$  (briefly  $N^i_x$ ),  $i \in I$ , such that:

(N1) For any  $i, j \in I$ , there is  $k \in I$ , with  $N^k_x = N^i_x \cap N^j_x$  for all  $x \in T$ . Equivalently,  $N^k = N^i \cap N^j$  where

<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, both papers contain errors which we rectify below.

<sup>2</sup> In a sense, this note is also a contribution to the theory of such neighborhood systems.

$$N^i = \{(x, y) \mid x \in T, y \in N_x^i\} .$$

(N2)  $y \in N_x^i$  always implies  $x \in N_y^i$  (symmetry axiom).

(N3) For each  $N_x^i$ , there is  $j = j(i, x) \in I$  such that  
 $N^j(N_x^j) \subseteq N_x^i$ , where  $N^j(A) = \bigcup_{z \in A} N_z^j$  ( $A \subseteq T$ ).

If in (N3),  $j$  depends on  $i$  only (not on  $x$ ), then  $T$  becomes a uniform space in the sense of A. Weil [6, pages 7-8]. It is worth noting that the uniform limit of a net of continuous functions is continuous in semi-uniform spaces, as it is in uniform spaces. Thus the former are a natural generalization of the latter.

If only (N1) is assumed,  $T$  is called a graded space;  $I$  is its grader, and  $N = \{N_x^i \mid i \in I, x \in T\}$  is its graded base (structure), also denoted by  $(N, I)$ . Similarly,  $(T, N, I)$  is a space  $T$  with graded base  $(N, I)$ . If  $T$  satisfies (N1) and (N2), we call it a symmetric space, and  $(N, I)$  a symmetric base. We say that  $T$  admits a structure  $(N, I)$  if the latter preserves the topology of  $T$ .  $(T, N, I)$  is said to be totally ( $\sigma$ -totally) bounded if, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $T = N^i(A_i)$  for some finite (at most countable) set  $A_i \subseteq T$ .  $T$  is called an  $R_0$ -space (Davis) if each open set  $G \subseteq T$  contains the closure  $\bar{x}$  of every one-point set  $\{x\} \subseteq G$ . Other topological concepts (e.g. nets) are defined as in [3]. Uniform convergence of nets of functions  $\{f_k\}$  is defined for graded spaces exactly as in uniform spaces. (notation:  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (unif.)); similar notation holds for pointwise (ptw.) and (a.e.) convergence. The grader  $I$  of  $T$  is directed by setting  $i \geq j$  ( $i, j \in I$ ) iff  $N^i \subseteq N^j$ ; thus we may consider nets of the form  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ . As will be seen, all nets obtained in our theorems can be chosen to be of that type. The importance of graded structures is evident from the following Lemma (due to Davis):

LEMMA 1 . (a) Every topological space  $T$  admits some graded base  $(N, I)$ .

(b) This  $(N, I)$  can be chosen symmetric if  $T$  is an  $R_0$ -space (e.g., a  $T_1$ -space).

(c) If  $T$  is regular,  $(T, N, I)$  can be made semi-uniform.<sup>1</sup>

Indeed, all this is proved in [2, Theorems 1, 2 and 4].

Below,  $m$  will denote a non-negative countably additive measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of subsets ("measurable sets") of a set  $S$ . A mapping (function)  $f: S \rightarrow T$  is called measurable (or  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable) if  $f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{M}$  for every open set  $G \subseteq T$ ;  $f$  is said to be simple (elementary) if  $f(S)$  is a finite (at most countable) set. Clearly, such an  $f$  is measurable if and only if it is constant on certain measurable sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ .

We now proceed to prove our theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** Every regular topological space  $T$  admits a totally bounded semi-uniform structure  $(N, I)$  under which every mapping  $f: S \rightarrow T$  is the uniform limit of a net  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  of simple maps. If further  $f$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable, the simple maps  $f_i$  can be made measurable as well.

Proof. By part (c) of Lemma 1,  $T$  admits a semi-uniform base  $(N, I)$ . Thus, to prove our first assertion, it suffices to show that  $(N, I)$  can be transformed into a semi-uniform structure  $(N', I')$  which is also totally bounded and is likewise admitted by  $T$  (though possibly not equivalent to  $(N, I)$ ). This can be done by using a method outlined by Behrend [1], with only slight modifications. Thus one need not assume, as Behrend does, that  $T$  is a uniform Hausdorff space; our axioms (N1-N3) suffice for his proof of the fact that  $(N', I')$  preserves the topology of  $T$  and is semi-uniform. Also, instead of the filter of all entourages, it suffices to use the filter base  $\{N^i \mid i \in I\}$  consisting of open symmetric entourages and closed under finite intersections (the fact that the sets  $N^i$  defined in (N1) are indeed such "entourages", i. e. neighborhoods of the diagonal of  $T \times T$ , is true in every symmetric structure, by Davis' Theorem 2). The rest of Behrend's proof carries over to our case almost verbally; so we omit its further details and assume that  $(N, I)$  is itself totally bounded.

Thus, for each  $i \in I$ , there is a finite point set  $\{p_{ik}\}$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup> A strengthening of (c) is contained in Theorem 1 below.

$k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$ , such that  $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_1} N^i(p_{ik})$ . Let

$A_{ik} = f^{-1}[N^i(p_{ik}) - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} N^i(p_{ij})]$ ,  $k = 2, \dots, n_1$ , and

$A_{i1} = f^{-1}[N^i(p_{i1})]$ . Then, for each (fixed)  $i \in I$ , the sets  $A_{ik}$  are disjoint and  $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_1} A_{ik}$ . We now define a net of simple

functions  $f_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , by setting  $f_i(x) = p_{ik}$  for  $x \in A_{ik}$ ,

$k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$ . Then, by the definition of the sets  $A_{ik}$ ,

$f(x) \in N^i(f_i(x))$  or, by (N2),  $f_i(x) \in N^i(f(x))$ , for all  $x \in S$  and

$i \in I$ . This, however, easily implies that  $f_i \rightarrow f$  (unif.), as re-

quired. Moreover, the  $A_{ik}$  are  $f^{-1}$ -images of Borel sets

(for the sets  $N^i(p_{ik})$  are open). Thus, if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable, then  $A_{ik} \in \mathcal{M}$ , i.e. the maps  $f_i$  are measurable as well. Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 1.** Every map  $f:S \rightarrow T$  (where  $T$  is an arbitrary topological space) is the pointwise limit of a net of simple maps.

This follows by applying Theorem 1 to  $T$  with discrete topology. (suggested by the referee).

**COROLLARY 2.** If  $(T, N, I)$  is symmetric and totally  $\sigma$ -totally bounded, then every map  $f:S \rightarrow T$  is the uniform limit of a net  $\{f_i | i \in I\}$  of simple (elementary) maps  $f_i:S \rightarrow T$  (all measurable if  $f$  is).

Indeed, if the total boundedness of  $(T, N, I)$  is assumed a priori, the proof of Theorem 1 only requires the use of (N2), not (N3). In the  $\sigma$ -totally bounded case, one only has to replace finite sets  $\{p_{ik}\}$  by countable ones.

If  $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , the net  $\{f_i\}$  becomes a sequence. We use this to obtain a slightly stronger (and rectified) version of a theorem by Monna [5]:

**COROLLARY 3.** If  $T$  is a regular space with countable

base (e.g., a separable pseudometric space), then every map  $f:S \rightarrow T$  is the uniform limit of a sequence of simple maps (measurable if  $f$  is), under a suitable totally bounded pseudometric  $d$  preserving the topology of  $T$  (hence it also is a pointwise limit under that topology).

Proof. As  $T$  is regular, it is an  $R_0$ -space. Thus, for any  $p, q \in T$ , the closures  $\bar{p}$ ,  $\bar{q}$  are either identical or disjoint [2, Theorem 2(e)]. Hence  $T$  has a separated quotient space  $\bar{T}$  whose elements are such closures  $\bar{p}$ , with topology defined as follows: a set of elements  $\bar{p}$  is open in  $\bar{T}$  if and only if its union is open in  $T$ . Then our assumptions imply that  $\bar{T}$  is a regular  $T_1$ -space with countable base. Thus by Urysohn's theorem (cf. [3, p. 125]),  $\bar{T}$  is topologically embedded in the Hilbert cube  $Q^\omega$ . Under the metric of  $Q^\omega$ ,  $\bar{T}$  is totally bounded, while  $T$  itself becomes a totally bounded pseudometric space. The result then follows by Cor. 2, with  $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $N_p^i =$  the sphere of radius  $2^{-i}$  about  $p$ , under the pseudometric  $d$  inherited from  $Q^\omega$ .

NOTE 1. The idea of using Urysohn's theorem here is due to Monna who, however, considers only metric spaces  $T$  and erroneously claims uniform approximation under the original metric of  $T$  (cf. his "Conséquence" on p. 405).

THEOREM 2. Every separable space  $T$  admits the uniform approximation of any map  $f:S \rightarrow T$  by a net  $\{f_i | i \in I\}$  of elementary functions, under any graded base  $(N, I)$  for the topology of  $T$ .<sup>1</sup> If further  $T$  is an  $R_0$ -space and  $f$  is measurable, then all  $f_i$ , for a suitable choice of  $(N, I)$ , can be made so.

Proof. Let  $\{p_k\}$  be a dense sequence in  $T$ , and  $(N, I)$  a graded base for  $T$ . For each  $i \in I$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $B_{ik} = \{y \in T | p_k \in N_y^i\}$ . Then, by the density of the  $p_k$ ,

<sup>1</sup> Such a base exists by part (a) of Lemma 1. Note that here (unlike Theorem 1),  $(N, I)$  may be chosen at will.

$T = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{ik}$  for each  $i \in I$ . The rest of the proof now proceeds as in Theorem 1, with the sets  $N^i(p_{ik})$  replaced by  $B_{ik}$ . This yields here a net of elementary maps, with  $f_i \rightarrow f$  (unif.) on  $S$ . If further  $T$  is an  $R_0$ -space, then  $T$  admits a symmetric base  $(N, I)$ , by part (b) of Lemma 1. Thus, by (N2),  $B_{ik} = \{y \in T \mid p_k \in N_y^i\} = \{y \in T \mid y \in N^i(p_k)\} = N^i(p_k)$ , so that the  $B_{ik}$  are open sets, and the measurability of the  $f_i$  results as in Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

NOTE 2. If, in Theorem 2,  $T$  also satisfies the first axiom of countability then, clearly,  $T$  admits a graded base  $(N, I)$ , with  $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ; thus the net  $\{f_i\}$  becomes a sequence. However, in general, such a base  $(N, I)$  is not symmetric, and the measurability of the  $f_i$  fails.

Theorems 1 and 2 may be summarized thus: the regularity (separability) of  $T$  ensures a uniform approximation (under a suitable graded base) of any map  $f: S \rightarrow T$  by simple (elementary) functions  $f_i$ . In general, elementary functions cannot be replaced by simple ones. The following error (occurring in Kvačko's Lemma 3 [4, p. 89]) should be avoided: given a sequence of elementary functions  $f_i \rightarrow f$  (unif.), with  $f_i \equiv p_k$  on  $A_{ik} \subseteq S$  ( $i, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), one can certainly define simple functions

$g_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) by setting  $g_i = f_i$  on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} A_{ik}$  and  $g_i \equiv p_{k+2}$  on  $S - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} A_{ij}$ . However, Kvačko's seemingly plausible inference that  $g_i \rightarrow f$  (ptw.) unfortunately fails to materialize,

even if  $T$  is a metric space and the sets  $A_{ik}$  are defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. As an alternative, avoiding this error, we give below a proposition (Theorem 3) which suffices for most measure-theoretical applications.<sup>1</sup> First we prove:

<sup>1</sup>In particular, it suffices for all of Kvačko's paper, including generalized theorems of Lusin and Egoroff and some applications in integration. Despite its simplicity, the theorem seems to be new in the proposed generality.

LEMMA 2. If  $(S, \mathcal{M}, m)$  is a measure space with  $m(S) < \infty$ , and if  $f_i: S \rightarrow T$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are measurable elementary mappings, then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a set  $D \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $m(S-D) < \epsilon$  and such that all  $f_i$  are measurable and simple on  $D$  (i.e., they become so when restricted to  $D$ ).

Proof. By assumption, each  $f_i$  is constant on some disjoint sets  $A_{ik} \in \mathcal{M}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), with  $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{ik}$ , so that  $m(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_{ik}) = m(S) < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(S - \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_{ik}) = 0$ . Hence, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find for each  $i$  an integer  $n_i > 0$  with  $m(S - \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} A_{ik}) < \epsilon / 2^i$ . Let  $D_i = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} A_{ik}$  and  $D = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $m(S-D) < \epsilon$ , and each  $f_i$  is measurable and simple on  $D_i$ . Hence all  $f_i$  become so when restricted to  $D$ . Q.E.D.

We shall say that  $g_i \rightarrow f$  almost uniformly (a.unif.) on  $S$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a set  $D \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $m(S-D) < \epsilon$  and  $g_i \rightarrow f$  (unif.) on  $D$ . Then:

THEOREM 3. If the measure space  $(S, \mathcal{M}, m)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, every sequence of measurable elementary maps  $f_i: S \rightarrow T$  (where  $T$  is any graded space) can be replaced by simple measurable maps  $g_i$  such that  $g_i = f_i$  on some  $D_i \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $D_i \subseteq D_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and with  $m(S - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i) = 0$ . Moreover, the  $g_i$  satisfy:

- (a)  $g_i \rightarrow f$  (a.e.) on  $S$  whenever  $f_i \rightarrow f$  (a.e.) on  $S$ , and
- (b)  $g_i \rightarrow f$  (a.unif.) on  $S$  whenever  $f_i \rightarrow f$  (a.unif.) on  $S$  and  $m(S) < \infty$ .

Proof. (a) By  $\sigma$ -finiteness,  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  for some sets  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $m(E_n) < \infty$ . Thus Lemma 2 (with  $S$  replaced by  $E_n$ ) yields for every  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , some  $D_{nk} \subseteq E_n$  ( $D_{nk} \in \mathcal{M}$ )

such that  $m(E_n - D_{nk}) < 1/k$  and all  $f_i$  are simple and measurable on each  $D_{nk}$ . We may assume that  $D_{nk} \subseteq D_{n,k+1}$  (otherwise replace  $D_{n,k+1}$  by  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} D_{nj}$ ). Clearly,  $m(E_n - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_{nk}) = 0$ .

Thus, setting  $D_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and neglecting a set

of measure zero, we may write  $E_n \approx \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_{nk} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$ ,

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,<sup>1</sup> whence  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \approx \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$ , i. e.,  $m(S-D) = 0$

where  $D = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k \in \mathcal{M}$ . Also, since  $D_{nk} \subseteq D_{n,k+1}$ , we have

$D_k \subseteq D_{k+1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The formula  $S \approx \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$  then yields

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(D_k) = m(S)$ . We also note that all  $f_i$  are simple and

measurable on each  $D_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^k D_{nk}$  (being so on each  $D_{nk}$ ).

Thus, setting  $g_k = f_k$  on  $D_k$  and  $g_k \equiv \text{const.}$  on  $S - D_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we obtain a sequence of simple measurable functions  $g_k$  on  $S$ , satisfying the first clause of Theorem 3. Moreover, we clearly have

(i)  $g_k(x) = f_k(x)$  whenever  $x \in D_{nk}$  and  $n \leq k$ .

Now, if  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (a. e.) on  $S$ , we lose no generality by assuming that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (ptw.) on  $S$ . We then complete the proof of (a) by showing that  $g_k \rightarrow f$  (ptw.) on  $D = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$ . Fix any  $x \in D$ : Then there are integers  $n$  and  $k_0 \geq n$  such that  $x \in D_{nk}$  for  $k \geq k_0$  (since  $D_{nk} \subseteq D_{n,k+1}$ ). Hence, by (i),  $g_k(x) = f_k(x)$

<sup>1</sup> We write " $\approx$ " for an equality valid to within a set of measure 0.

for  $k \geq k_0$ , and thus  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = f(x)$ , proving (a).

(b) Now suppose that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (a.unif.) on  $S$  and  $m(S) < \infty$ . Fix any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, with  $g_k$  and  $D_k$  as above, the formula  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(D_k) = m(S)$  yields a  $k = \underline{k}$  with  $m(S - D_{\underline{k}}) < \epsilon/2$ . Also, as  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (a.unif.), there is a set  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $m(S - E) < \epsilon/2$  and  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (unif.) on  $E$  and, a fortiori, on  $E \cap D_{\underline{k}}$ . By the definition of the maps  $g_k$ , we have  $g_k = f_k$  on  $D_k \supseteq D_{\underline{k}}$  for  $k \geq \underline{k}$ . Hence  $g_k \rightarrow f$  (unif.) on  $E \cap D_{\underline{k}}$ . Since  $m(S - E \cap D_{\underline{k}}) < \epsilon$ , assertion (b) is proved. Q.E.D.

Thus, in  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, measurable elementary functions may be replaced by simple ones in practically all cases of interest.

It might be of interest to investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the replacement of nets by sequences, as well as to analyze point-wise approximations more closely. We leave these questions for a separate paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. A. Behrend, Note on the compactification of separated uniform spaces. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*, 59 (1956), pages 269-270.
2. A. S. Davis, Indexed systems of neighborhoods for general topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 68 (1961), pages 886-894.
3. J. Kelley, *General Topology*. D. Van Nostrand, New York (1955).
4. M. E. Kvačko, Measurable mappings of spaces (Russian). *Vestnik of the Leningrad Univ.*, 13 (1958), pages 87-101.
5. A. F. Monna, Sur l'approximation des fonctions abstraites. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*, 49 (1946), pages 404-408.

6. A. Weil, Sur les espaces à structure uniforme et sur la topologie générale. Actualités Sci. Ind. 551, Hermann, Paris (1937).

University of Windsor, Canada  
Summer Research Institute of the  
Canadian Mathematical Congress.