*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **110** (2024), 367–376 doi:10.1017/S000497272300117X

# 2-LOCAL ISOMETRIES OF SOME NEST ALGEBRAS

# **BO YU**<sup>**D**<sup>∞</sup></sup> and JIANKUI LI<sup>**D**</sup>

(Received 26 September 2023; accepted 3 October 2023; first published online 18 December 2023)

#### Abstract

Let *H* be a complex separable Hilbert space with dim  $H \ge 2$ . Let *N* be a nest on *H* such that  $E_+ \ne E$  for any  $E \ne H, E \in N$ . We prove that every 2-local isometry of Alg *N* is a surjective linear isometry.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 47B49; secondary 47L35.

Keywords and phrases: nest algebra, isometry, 2-local isometry.

## 1. Introduction

Let *X* be a Banach space and *B*(*X*) the algebra of all bounded linear operators on *X*. Suppose that *S* is a subset of *B*(*X*). Following [4, 6], a map  $\phi : X \to X$  (which is not assumed to be linear) is called a 2-*local S-map* if for any  $a, b \in X$ , there exists  $\phi_{a,b} \in S$ , depending on *a* and *b*, such that

$$\phi_{a,b}(a) = \phi(a)$$
 and  $\phi_{a,b}(b) = \phi(b)$ .

Here, X is said to be 2-S-reflexive if every 2-local S-map belongs to S.

The concept of a 2-local *S*-map dates back to the paper [13], where Šemrl investigated 2-local automorphisms and 2-local derivations, motivated by Kowalski and Słodkowski [5]. Then in [8], the earliest investigation of 2-local Iso(*X*)-maps (also called 2-local isometries in some papers) was carried out by Molnár, where Iso(*X*) denotes the set of all surjective linear isometries of *X*. By an *isometry* of *X*, we mean a function  $\varphi : X \to X$  such that  $\|\varphi(a) - \varphi(b)\| = \|a - b\|$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . In [8], Molnár proved that B(H) is 2-Iso(B(H))-reflexive, where *H* is an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space. Recently, there has been a growing interest in 2-Iso(*X*)-reflexive problems for several operator algebras and function algebras (see, for example, [1, 9, 12]). However, the 2-Iso(*X*)-reflexivity in the context of nest algebras has not yet been considered. In this paper, we study 2-Iso(*X*)-reflexivity in some nest algebras.

Throughout, *H* will denote a separable Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with dim  $H \ge 2$ , along with its dual space  $H^*$ . For a subset  $S \subseteq H$ , we set  $S^{\perp} := \{f \in H^* : f(S) = 0\}$ .



This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871021.

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

B. Yu and J. Li

By a subspace lattice on H, we mean a collection  $\mathcal{L}$  of closed subspaces of H with (0) and H in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that, for every family  $\{E_r\}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ , both  $\bigvee\{E_r\}$  and  $\bigwedge\{E_r\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}$ , where  $\bigvee\{E_r\}$  denotes the closed linear span of  $\{E_r\}$  and  $\bigwedge\{E_r\}$  denotes the intersection of  $\{E_r\}$ . We say a subspace lattice is a *nest* if it is totally ordered with respect to inclusion. When there is no confusion, we identify the closed subspace and the orthogonal projection on it.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a subspace lattice on H and  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . Define

$$E_{-} = \bigvee \{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \not\supseteq E\} \text{ for } E \neq (0); \quad (0)_{-} = (0),$$
$$E_{+} = \bigwedge \{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \not\subseteq E\} \text{ for } E \neq H; \quad H_{+} = H,$$
$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}) = \{E \in \mathcal{L} : E \neq (0) \text{ and } E_{-} \neq H\}.$$

If N is a nest on H, then it is not difficult to verify that

$$H = \bigvee \{E : E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\} \text{ and } (0) = \bigwedge \{E_{-} : E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\}.$$

It follows that the subspaces  $\bigcup \{E : E \in \mathcal{J}(N)\}$  and  $\bigcup \{E_{-}^{\perp} : E \in \mathcal{J}(N)\}$  are both dense in *H* and *H*<sup>\*</sup>, respectively, where  $E_{-}^{\perp} = (E_{-})^{\perp}$ .

Denote by B(H), K(H) and F(H) the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H, the algebra of all compact operators on H and the algebra of all bounded finite rank operators on H, respectively.

By a *nest algebra* Alg N, we mean the set of all operators in B(H) leaving each element in N invariant, that is, Alg  $N = \{T \in B(H) : TE \subseteq E \text{ for all } E \in N\}$ . Denote  $F(N) = \text{Alg } N \cap F(H)$  and  $K(N) = \text{Alg } N \cap K(H)$ .

For  $x \in H$  and  $f \in H^*$ , the rank-one operator  $x \otimes f$  is defined as the map  $z \mapsto f(z)x$ . The following well-known result about rank-one operators will be repeatedly used.

**PROPOSITION 1.1** [7]. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subspace lattice, then  $x \otimes y \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$  if and only if there exists an element  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x \in E$  and  $y \in E_{-}^{\perp}$ .

# 2. Main result

Our main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let N be a nest on H such that  $E_+ \neq E$  for any  $E \neq H, E \in N$ . If  $\phi$  is a 2-local isometry of Alg N, then  $\phi$  is a surjective linear isometry.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be organised in a series of lemmas. In what follows,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a nest on H such that  $E_+ \neq E$  for any  $E \neq H, E \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\phi$  is a 2-local isometry of Alg  $\mathcal{N}$ . For  $A, B \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , the symbol  $\phi_{A,B}$  stands for a surjective linear isometry from Alg  $\mathcal{N}$  to itself such that  $\phi_{A,B}(A) = \phi(A)$  and  $\phi_{A,B}(B) = \phi(B)$ . For a nest  $\mathcal{M}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$  the nest  $\{I - E : E \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . A conjugation is a conjugate linear map on H such that  $J^2 = I$  and  $\langle Jx, y \rangle = \langle Jy, x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .

Proposition 2.2 below is cited from the paper by Moore and Trent [11] where they summarise the results in [2, 10] and characterise the surjective linear isometries on nest algebras.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nest on H and  $\rho$ : Alg  $\mathcal{M} \to$  Alg  $\mathcal{M}$  be a surjective linear isometry. Then there are unitary operators U and V in B(H) such that U and  $U^*$  lie in Alg  $\mathcal{M}$ . Moreover, one of the following cases holds:

- (1)  $\rho(A) = UV^*AV$  for every  $A \in \operatorname{Alg} \mathcal{M}$  and the map  $E \mapsto V^*EV$  is an order isomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$ ;
- (2)  $\rho(A) = UV^*JA^*JV$  for every  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{M}$ , where J is a conjugation on H such that JE = EJ for each  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and the map  $E \mapsto V^*JEJV$  is an order isomorphism from  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ .

**REMARK** 2.3. (1) It can be easily verified that the map  $T \mapsto JT^*J$  is a \*-anti-isomorphism of B(H) and J maps an orthonormal basis onto another orthonormal basis.

(2) For any  $a, b \in H$ ,

$$\langle (Jf \otimes Jx)a, b \rangle = \langle \langle a, Jx \rangle Jf, b \rangle = \langle a, Jx \rangle \langle Jf, b \rangle = \langle x, Ja \rangle \langle Jb, f \rangle \\ = \langle \langle Jb, f \rangle x, Ja \rangle = \langle (x \otimes f)Jb, Ja \rangle = \langle a, J(x \otimes f)Jb \rangle,$$

so  $(Jf \otimes Jx)^* = J(x \otimes f)J$ .

(3) If  $\rho$  is a surjective linear isometry of Alg  $\mathcal{M}$ , then according to Proposition 2.2, for any rank-one operator  $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg }\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\rho$  maps  $x \otimes f$  to either  $UV^*x \otimes V^*f$  or  $UV^*Jf \otimes V^*Jx$ , both of which are also rank-one operators. Since every finite rank operator in Alg  $\mathcal{M}$  can be written as a sum of finitely many rank-one operators in Alg  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\rho$  preserves linear independence, it follows that  $\rho$  preserves the rank of a finite rank operator. Since  $\rho^{-1}$  is also a surjective linear isometry,  $\rho$  preserves the rank in both directions.

## LEMMA 2.4. $\phi$ is rank preserving and $\phi|_{F(N)}$ is linear.

**PROOF.** It follows from Remark 2.3 that  $\phi$  is rank preserving. According to Proposition 2.2,  $\phi_{A,B}(X) = U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*XV_{A,B}$  or  $\phi_{A,B}(X) = U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*JX^*JV_{A,B}$ , where  $U_{A,B}$  and  $V_{A,B}$  are unitary operators in B(H) depending on A, B and  $U_{A,B}, U_{A,B}^*$  lie in Alg N.

First, we show that  $\phi$  is complex homogeneous. For any  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\phi(\lambda A) = \phi_{A,\lambda A}(\lambda A) = \lambda \phi_{A,\lambda A}(A) = \lambda \phi(A)$ .

Next, we prove that  $\phi$  is additive on F(N). For any  $A, B \in F(N)$ , since  $\phi$  is rank preserving,  $\phi(A)$  and  $\phi(B)$  are in F(N). We claim that  $tr(\phi(A)\phi(B)^*) = tr(AB^*)$ . Indeed, if  $\phi_{A,B}(X) = U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^* XV_{A,B}$ , then

$$\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A)\phi(B)^*) = \operatorname{tr}(U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*AV_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*B^*V_{A,B}U_{A,B}^*) = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*).$$

If  $\phi_{A,B}(X) = U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*JX^*JV_{A,B}$ , then

$$\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A)\phi(B)^*) = \operatorname{tr}(U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*JA^*JV_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*(JB^*J)^*V_{A,B}U_{A,B}^*)$$
  
=  $\operatorname{tr}(U_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*JA^*JV_{A,B}V_{A,B}^*JBJV_{A,B}U_{A,B}^*) = \operatorname{tr}(JA^*BJ) = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*).$ 

Thus, for any  $A, A' \in F(N)$ , by the linearity of tr,

$$\operatorname{tr}((\phi(A+A')-\phi(A)-\phi(A'))\phi(B)^*) = \operatorname{tr}(((A+A')-A-A')B^*) = 0.$$

By replacing B with A + A', A and A', we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}((\phi(A+A')-\phi(A)-\phi(A'))(\phi(A+A')-\phi(A)-\phi(A'))^*)=0.$$

It follows that  $\phi(A + A') - \phi(A) - \phi(A') = 0$ , which means that  $\phi$  is additive on F(N).

By Lemma 2.4 and [3, Corollary 2.2] where Hou and Cui characterise rank-1 preserving linear maps between nest algebras acting on Banach spaces, we can easily prove Lemma 2.5.

LEMMA 2.5. One of the following statements holds.

(1) There exist injective linear transformations

$$D: \bigcup \{E: E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\} \to H \quad and \quad C: \bigcup \{E_{-}^{\perp}: E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\} \to H^{*}$$

such that  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Dx \otimes Cf$  for every  $x \otimes f \in F(N)$ . (2) There exist injective linear transformations

$$D: \bigcup \{E_{-}^{\perp} : E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\} \to H \quad and \quad C: \bigcup \{E: E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\} \to H^{*}$$

such that  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Df \otimes Cx$  for every  $x \otimes f \in F(\mathcal{N})$ .

By categorising and discussing the two cases in Lemma 2.5, we can obtain the following result.

LEMMA 2.6. One of the following statements holds.

- (1) There exist unitary operators  $C, D \in B(H)$  such that  $\phi(A) = DAC^*$  for any  $A \in K(N)$ .
- (2) There exist bounded conjugate linear operators C, D such that  $CJ, DJ \in B(H)$  are unitary operators and  $\phi(A) = (DJ)JA^*J(CJ)^*$  for any  $A \in K(N)$ .

PROOF. We consider two cases.

*Case 1.* If Lemma 2.5(1) holds, then based on the assumption on N, there exist injective linear transformations  $D : \bigcup \{E : E \in \mathcal{J}(N)\} \to H$  and  $C : H^* \to H^*$  such that  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Dx \otimes Cf$  for every  $x \otimes f \in F(N)$ . Thus, for any  $x \otimes f \in Alg N$ ,

$$||Dx|| ||Cf|| = ||Dx \otimes Cf|| = ||\phi(x \otimes f) - \phi(0)|| = ||x \otimes f - 0|| = ||x|| ||f||.$$

Fix  $x_0 \neq 0 \in (0)_+$ . Then  $x_0 \otimes f$  is in Alg  $\mathcal{N}$  for any  $f \neq 0, f \in ((0)_+)_-^{\perp} = H^*$ . It follows that  $||Dx_0|| ||Cf|| = ||x_0|| ||f||$ . So  $||Cf|| / ||f|| = ||x_0|| / ||Dx_0||$  for any  $f \neq 0, f \in H^*$ , which means that  $C \in B(H^*)$  and  $||C|| = ||x_0|| / ||Dx_0||$ .

For any  $E \in \mathcal{J}(N)$ , fix  $f_0 \neq 0$ ,  $f \in E_{-}^{\perp}$ . Then  $x \otimes f_0 \in \text{Alg } N$  for any  $x \neq 0, x \in E$ . It follows that  $||Dx|| ||Cf_0|| = ||x|| ||f_0||$ . Therefore,  $||Dx||/||x|| = ||f_0||/||Cf_0|| = ||Dx_0||/||x_0||$ , which means that  $||D|_E|| = ||Dx_0||/||x_0||$ . Since  $\bigcup \{E : E \in \mathcal{J}(N)\}$  is dense in H, we can extend D to an operator in B(H) also denoted by D such that  $||Dx||/||x|| = ||Dx_0||/||x_0||$  for any  $x \neq 0, x \in H$ . So we can assume that C, D are isometries. Since  $\phi$  is an isometry, by the linearity of  $\phi|_{F(N)}$  and the continuity of  $\phi$ , we have  $\phi(A) = DAC^*$  for all  $A \in K(N)$ .

370

2-local isometries

By the Riesz–Frechet theorem,  $H^*$  can be identified with H through a conjugate linear surjective isometry. For any  $E \neq H, E \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have  $(E_+)_- = E$  by the hypothesis on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Thus, x is in  $(E_+)_-^{\perp}$  for any  $x \in E_+ \ominus E$ , and so  $x \otimes x \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{E_j : j \in \Omega\}$  and  $\{e_i^j : i \in \Lambda_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $(E_j)_+ \ominus E_j$ . Then  $K := \sum_{i,j} e_i^j \otimes e_i^j / (i \cdot j)$  is a compact operator in Alg  $\mathcal{N}$ . Moreover, K is an injective operator with dense range. We claim that  $\phi(K)$  is also an injective operator with dense range.

For the case when  $\phi(K) = U_{K,0}V_{K,0}^*KV_{K,0}$ , since  $U_{K,0}, V_{K,0}$  are unitary operators,  $\phi(K)$  is also an injective operator with dense range.

For the case when  $\phi(K) = U_{K,0}V_{K,0}^*JK^*JV_{K,0}$ , since Ker  $K = (\operatorname{Ran} K^*)^{\perp}$ ,  $K^*$  is an injective operator with dense range. As *J* is a conjugate linear isometry, it follows that  $\phi(K)$  is also an injective operator with dense range.

Therefore,  $\phi(K) = \sum_{i,j} De_i^j \otimes Ce_i^j / (i \cdot j)$  is an injective operator with dense range, which implies *D* and *C* have dense ranges. Consequently, *D* and *C* are surjective isometries (unitary operators).

*Case 2.* If Lemma 2.5(2) holds, then there exist injective linear transformations D:  $H^* \to H$  and  $C : \bigcup \{E \in N \mid E_- \neq H\} \to H^*$  such that  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Df \otimes Cx$  for every  $x \otimes f \in F(N)$ .

According to the Riesz–Frechet theorem, we can consider *D* as an injective conjugate linear transformation from *H* to *H*, and *C* as an injective conjugate linear transformation from  $\bigcup \{E \in \mathcal{N} \mid E_{-} \neq H\}$  to *H*. Similarly to Case 1, we can conclude that *DJ* and *CJ* are unitary operators. By Remark 2.3,

$$\phi(x \otimes f) = Df \otimes Cx = (DJ)(Jf \otimes Jx)(CJ)^*$$
$$= (DJ)(J(x \otimes f)J)^*(CJ)^* = (DJ)(J(x \otimes f)^*J)(CJ)^*$$

for any  $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } N$ . By the linearity of  $\phi|_{F(N)}$  and the continuity of  $\phi$ , we have  $\phi(A) = (DJ)(JA^*J)(CJ)^*$  for any  $A \in K(N)$ .

LEMMA 2.7.  $\phi(P)\phi(T)^*\phi(P) = \phi(PT^*P)$  for any  $T \in \text{Alg } N$  and any  $P = x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } N$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.2,  $\phi_{P,T}(X) = U_{P,T}V_{P,T}^*XV_{P,T}$  or  $\phi_{P,T}(X) = U_{P,T}V_{P,T}^*JX^*JV_{P,T}$ . To simplify the notation, denote  $U_{P,T}, V_{P,T}$  by U, V, respectively. For  $\phi_{P,T}(X) = UV^*XV$ ,

$$\begin{split} \phi(P)\phi(T)^*\phi(P) &= UV^*PV(UV^*TV)^*UV^*PV = UV^*PT^*PV = UV^*\langle T^*x, f\rangle PV \\ &= \langle T^*x, f\rangle UV^*PV = \langle T^*x, f\rangle \phi(P) = \phi(\langle T^*x, f\rangle P) = \phi(PT^*P). \end{split}$$

For  $\phi_{P,T}(X) = UV^*JX^*JV$ , using Remark 2.3,

$$\begin{split} \phi(P)\phi(T)^*\phi(P) &= UV^*JP^*JV(UV^*JT^*JV)^*UV^*JP^*JV = UV^*JP^*TP^*JV \\ &= UV^*J(PT^*P)^*JV = UV^*J(\langle T^*x, f\rangle x\otimes f)^*JV \\ &= \langle T^*x, f\rangle UV^*J(x\otimes f)^*JV \\ &= \langle T^*x, f\rangle\phi(P) = \phi(\langle T^*x, f\rangle P) = \phi(PT^*P). \end{split}$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi$  is the form in Lemma 2.6(1), then  $DPC^*\phi(T)^*DPC^* = DPT^*PC^*$ , which implies that

$$P(C^*\phi(T)^*D - T^*)P = 0$$
(2.1)

for any  $T \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  and  $P = x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .

If  $\phi$  is the form in Lemma 2.6(2), then it follows that

$$(DJ)JP^*J(CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ)JP^*J(CJ)^* = (DJ)J(PT^*P)^*J(CJ)^* = (DJ)(JP^*J)(JTJ)(JP^*J)(CJ)^*,$$

which implies that

372

$$(JP^*J)((CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ) - (JTJ))(JP^*J) = 0$$
(2.2)

for any  $T \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  and any  $P = x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .

Under the assumption on N, Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 follow from Proposition 2.2.

LEMMA 2.8. Let  $\rho$  : Alg  $N \to \text{Alg } N$  be a surjective linear isometry. If Case (1) in Proposition 2.2 holds for  $\rho$ , then  $V, V^*$  are in Alg N.

**PROOF.** It is sufficient to show that  $V^*EV = E$  for all  $E \in N$ . We prove it by the principle of transfinite induction.

It is evident that  $V^*(0)V = (0)$ . Moreover, for any given  $F \in \mathcal{N}$ , if the equation  $V^*GV = G$  holds for all  $G \in \mathcal{N}$  such that G < F, then because  $E \mapsto V^*EV$  is an order isomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$ , it follows that  $V^*FV = F$ .  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.9. Let  $\rho$ : Alg  $N \to$  Alg N be a surjective linear isometry. If Case (2) in Proposition 2.2 holds for  $\rho$ , then the following statements hold.

- (1)  $E_{-} \neq E$  for any  $E \neq (0), E \in \mathcal{N}$ .
- (2) N is finite.
- (3) We denote  $\mathcal{N} = \{E_0, E_1, ..., E_n\}$  where  $(0) = E_0 < E_1 < \dots < E_n = H$ . Then  $V^*$  and V both map  $E_i$  onto  $I E_{n-i}$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ .

**PROOF.** (1) In the nest  $\mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ , we denote  $E_{+}^{\mathcal{N}^{\perp}} = \bigwedge \{F \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp} : F \notin E\}$  for any  $E \neq H$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ , and  $E_{-}^{\mathcal{N}^{\perp}} = \bigvee \{F \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp} : F \not\supseteq E\}$  for any  $E \neq (0), E \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ .

Since the map  $\pi : E \mapsto V^*EV$  is an order isomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ , we have  $(I - E)^{\mathcal{N}^{\perp}}_+ \neq (I - E)$  for any  $I - E \neq H, I - E \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ . So

$$I - E \neq (I - E)_{+}^{\mathcal{N}^{\perp}} = \bigwedge \{ I - F \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp} : I - F > I - E \} = \bigwedge \{ I - F \in \mathcal{N}^{\perp} : F < E \} = I - E_{-}$$

for any  $I - E \neq H$ ,  $I - E \in \mathbb{N}^{\perp}$ . It follows that  $E_{-} \neq E$  for any  $E \neq (0) \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is infinite, then there is a sequence  $\{E_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  such that  $E_i \neq (0)$  or H for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $E_i < E_j$  when i < j. Let  $G = \bigvee \{E_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $G_- = \bigvee \{F \in \mathcal{N} : F < G\} \supseteq \bigvee \{E_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = G$  which contradicts  $G_- \neq G$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite.

#### 2-local isometries

(3) Since  $E \mapsto V^*JEJV$  is an order isomorphism from N onto  $N^{\perp}$  and EJ = JE for any  $E \in N$ , we obtain  $E_i \mapsto V^*E_iV = I - E_{n-i}$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ . Since V is a unitary operator, it follows that  $V^*$  and V both map  $E_i$  onto  $I - E_{n-i}$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ .

Using the characterisation of the  $\phi_{A,B}$  provided by Proposition 2.2, we divide the proof of Theorem 2.1 into two lemmas based on whether N is isomorphic to  $N^{\perp}$ .

LEMMA 2.10. If N is not order isomorphic to  $N^{\perp}$ , then  $\phi$  is a surjective linear isometry.

**PROOF.** Since N is not order isomorphic to  $N^{\perp}$ , every surjective linear isometry of Alg N is of the form in Proposition 2.2(1). We distinguish two cases according to Lemma 2.6.

*Case 1.* Suppose that Lemma 2.6(1) holds, that is,  $\phi(A) = DAC^*$  for every  $A \in K(N)$  where *C*, *D* are unitary operators. We claim that *C* and *D* are both in Alg  $N \cap$  Alg  $N^{\perp}$ .

For any fixed  $E \in N$ , if  $x \neq 0, x \in E$  and  $f \neq 0, f \in E_{-}^{\perp}$ , then it follows from  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Dx \otimes Cf = U_{T,x \otimes f} V_{T,x \otimes f}^*(x \otimes f) V_{T,x \otimes f}$  that

$$Dx = \lambda_{T,x\otimes f} U_{T,x\otimes f} V^*_{T,x\otimes f} x$$
 and  $Cf = \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_{T,x\otimes f}} V^*_{T,x\otimes f} f$ ,

where  $\lambda_{T,x\otimes f} \in \mathbb{C}$  is on the unit circle.

By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.8,  $U_{T,x\otimes f}$ ,  $V_{T,x\otimes f}$  are both in Alg  $\mathcal{N} \cap$  Alg  $\mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ . Fix  $x_0 \neq 0, x_0 \in (0)_+$ . Then  $x_0 \otimes f$  is in Alg  $\mathcal{N}$  for any  $f \neq 0, f \in H$ . Thus, for any  $E \neq (0), E \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have  $Cf = V_{T,x_0\otimes f}^* f/\overline{\lambda}_{T,x_0\otimes f} \in E$  for any  $f \neq 0, f \in E$ . Also, for any  $E \neq H, E \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have  $Cf = V_{T,x_0\otimes f}^* f/\overline{\lambda}_{T,x_0\otimes f} \in E^{\perp}$  for any  $f \neq 0, f \in E^{\perp}$ . This shows that *C* is in Alg  $\mathcal{N} \cap$  Alg  $\mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ .

For any fixed  $E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ , there exists an  $f_0 \neq 0, f_0 \in E_-^{\perp}$ . It follows that  $Dx = \lambda_{T,x \otimes f_0} U_{T,x \otimes f_0} V_{T,x \otimes f_0}^* x \in E$  for any  $x \neq 0, x \in E$ , which means that  $D \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .

Fix  $E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ . Then, for any  $y \in E$  and any  $x \in E^{\perp} \cap (\bigcup \{F : F \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})\})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, D^*y \rangle &= \langle Dx, y \rangle = \langle \lambda_{T, x \otimes f} U_{T, x \otimes f} V_{T, x \otimes f}^* x, y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \lambda_{T, x \otimes f}^* V_{T, x \otimes f} U_{T, x \otimes f}^* y \rangle \in \langle x, E \rangle = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $D^*E \perp (E^{\perp} \cap (\bigcup \{F : F \in \mathcal{J}(N)\}))$ . Since  $E^{\perp} \cap (\bigcup \{F : F \in \mathcal{J}(N)\})$  is dense in  $E^{\perp}$ , it follows that  $D^* \in Alg \mathcal{N}$ . This completes the claim.

For any  $T \in \text{Alg } N$ , denote  $G := C^* \phi(T)^* D - T^*$ . By (2.1),  $f(Gx) x \otimes f = 0$  for any  $P = x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } N$ . Thus, G maps  $E_+$  into E for any  $E \neq H, E \in N$ . It is clear that G is in Alg  $N^{\perp}$ , and hence G maps every  $E^{\perp} \in N^{\perp}$  into  $E^{\perp}$ . It follows that G maps  $E_+ \ominus E = E_+ \cap E^{\perp}$  into  $E \cap E^{\perp}$  for any  $E \neq H, E \in N$  which yields G = 0 and  $\phi(T) = DTC^*$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose that Lemma 2.6(2) holds, that is,  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Df \otimes Cx$  for every  $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } N$  where C, D are conjugate linear operators such that  $CJ, DJ \in B(H)$  are unitary operators.

Then for  $x_0 \neq 0, x_0 \in (0)_+$  and linear independent  $f_1, f_2 \in H$ ,

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_1) = Df_1 \otimes Cx_0 = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} x_0 \otimes V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} f_1$$

and

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_2) = Df_2 \otimes Cx_0 = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} x_0 \otimes V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} f_2.$$

It follows that  $Df_1$  and  $Df_2$  are linearly dependent which leads to a contradiction.

In conclusion,  $\phi(T) = DTC^*$  for any  $T \in \text{Alg } N$  and it is clear that  $\phi$  is a surjective linear isometry of Alg N.

**LEMMA 2.11.** If N is order isomorphic to  $N^{\perp}$ , then  $\phi$  is a surjective linear isometry.

**PROOF.** According to Lemma 2.9, N is finite; denote  $N = \{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  where  $(0) = E_0 < E_1 < \dots < E_n = H$ . We distinguish two cases according to Lemma 2.6.

*Case 1.* Suppose that Lemma 2.6(1) holds, that is,  $\phi(A) = DAC^*$  for every  $A \in K(N)$  where C, D are unitary operators. In this case, for any  $E \in \mathcal{J}(N)$  satisfying dim  $E_{-}^{\perp} > 1$ , fix  $x_0 \neq 0, x_0 \in E$ . For any linearly independent  $f_1, f_2 \in E_{-}^{\perp}$ , we have  $x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2 \in \text{Alg } N$ .

We claim that  $\phi_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}$  is not of the form in Proposition 2.2(2). Otherwise,

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_1) = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} J(x_0 \otimes f_1)^* J V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} = Dx_0 \otimes Cf_1$$

and

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_2) = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} J(x_0 \otimes f_2)^* J V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} = Dx_0 \otimes Cf_2.$$

It follows that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are linear dependent, leading to a contradiction.

Thus, for any  $f_1 \neq 0$ ,  $f_1 \in H$ , there exist  $x_0 \neq 0$ ,  $x_0 \in (0)_+$  and  $f_2 \neq 0$ ,  $f_2 \in H$  such that

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_1) = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}(x_0 \otimes f_1) V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} = Dx_0 \otimes Cf_1.$$

Hence,  $Dx_0 = \lambda_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} x_0$  and  $Cf_1 = V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} f_1 / \overline{\lambda}_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}$ for some  $\lambda_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} \in \mathbb{C}$  on the unit circle. By the arbitrariness of  $f_1$  and  $V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} \in$ Alg  $\mathcal{N} \cap$  Alg  $\mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ , we obtain  $C \in$  Alg  $\mathcal{N} \cap$  Alg  $\mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ .

Similarly, for any  $E \in \mathcal{N}$  with dim E > 1, fix  $f_0 \in E_{-}^{\perp}$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in E$  be any linearly independent elements. It is impossible for  $\phi_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0}$  to be in the form of Lemma 2.2(2). Thus, for any  $x_1 \neq 0, x_1 \in H$ , there exist  $f_0 \neq 0, f_0 \in H_{-}^{\perp}$  and  $x_2 \neq 0, x_2 \in H$  such that

$$\phi(x_1\otimes f_0)=U_{x_1\otimes f_0,x_2\otimes f_0}V^*_{x_1\otimes f_0,x_2\otimes f_0}(x_1\otimes f_0)V_{x_1\otimes f_0,x_2\otimes f_0}=Dx_1\otimes Cf_0.$$

It follows that  $D \in \operatorname{Alg} \mathcal{N} \cap \operatorname{Alg} \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ .

For any  $T \in \text{Alg } N$ , denote  $G := C^* \phi(T)^* D - T^*$ . Using a similar method to that in Lemma 2.10, we see that G maps  $E_+ \ominus E = E_+ \cap E^{\perp}$  into  $E \cap E^{\perp}$  for any  $E \neq H$ ,  $E \in N$ , which yields G = 0 and  $\phi(T) = DTC^*$ .

374

*Case 2.* Suppose that Lemma 2.6(2) holds, that is,  $\phi(x \otimes f) = Df \otimes Cx$  for every  $x \otimes f \in Alg N$  where C, D are conjugate linear operators such that  $CJ, DJ \in B(H)$  are unitary operators.

In this case, for any  $E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$  with dim  $E_{-}^{\perp} > 1$ , fix  $x_0 \in E$ . For any linearly independent  $f_1, f_2 \in E_{-}^{\perp}, x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2$  are in Alg  $\mathcal{N}$ . It is impossible for  $\phi_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}$  to be in the form of Proposition 2.2(1). Otherwise,

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_1) = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} x_0 \otimes V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} f_1 = Df_1 \otimes Cx_0$$

and

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_2) = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} x_0 \otimes V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} f_2 = Df_2 \otimes Cx_0 g_2$$

implying that  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  are linear dependent, which leads to a contradiction.

Thus, for any  $f_1 \neq 0, f_1 \in H$ , there exist  $x_0 \neq 0, x_0 \in (0)_+$  and  $f_2 \neq 0, f_2 \in H$  such that

$$\phi(x_0 \otimes f_1) = U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V^*_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} J(x_0 \otimes f_1)^* J V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} = Df_1 \otimes Cx_0$$

So  $Df_1 = \lambda_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}^* Jf_1$  and  $Cx_0 = V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}^* Jx_0 / \overline{\lambda}_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}$  for some  $\lambda_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} \in \mathbb{C}$  on the unit circle. According to Lemma 2.9,  $V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}^*$  and  $V_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2}$  both map  $E_i$  onto  $I - E_{n-i}$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ . Since EJ = JE for any  $E \in N$ , by the arbitrariness of  $f_1$  and  $U_{x_0 \otimes f_1, x_0 \otimes f_2} \in \text{Alg } N \cap \text{Alg } N^{\perp}$ , we see that D maps  $E_i$  into  $I - E_{n-i}$  and  $I - E_i$  into  $E_{n-i}$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ , respectively.

Similarly, for any  $E \in \mathcal{N}$  with dim E > 1, fix  $f_0 \in E_-^{\perp}$ . For any linearly independent  $x_1, x_2 \in E$ ,  $x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0$  are in Alg  $\mathcal{N}$ . It is impossible for  $\phi_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0}$  to be in the form of Proposition 2.2(1). Thus, for any  $x_1 \neq 0, x_1 \in H$ , there exist  $f_0 \neq 0, f_0 \in H_-^{\perp}$  and  $x_2 \neq 0, x_2 \in H$  such that

$$\phi(x_1 \otimes f_0) = U_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} V^*_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} J(x_1 \otimes f_0)^* J V_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} = Df_0 \otimes Cx_1.$$

So  $Df_0 = \lambda_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} U_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} V^*_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} Jf_0$  and  $Cx_1 = V^*_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} Jx_1 / \overline{\lambda}_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0}$  for some  $\lambda_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0} \in \mathbb{C}$  on the unit circle. Since  $V^*_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0}$ ,  $V_{x_1 \otimes f_0, x_2 \otimes f_0}$  both map  $E_i$  onto  $I - E_{n-i}$  for any  $0 \le i \le n$  and EJ = JE for any  $E \in N$ , by the arbitrariness of  $x_1$ , we see that C maps  $E_i$  into  $I - E_{n-i}$  and  $I - E_i$  into  $E_{n-i}$  for all  $0 \le i \le n$ , respectively.

By (2.2),  $(JP^*J)((CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ) - (JTJ))(JP^*J) = 0$  for any  $T \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  and any  $P = x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ . So  $\langle ((CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ) - JTJ)Jf, Jx \rangle = 0$  for all  $P = x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  which means that  $((CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ) - JTJ)$  maps  $(E_i)^{\perp}_{-}$  into  $(E_i)^{\perp}$ .

Moreover, for any  $E_i \in \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$E_i \xrightarrow{DJ} I - E_{n-i} \xrightarrow{\phi(T)^*} I - E_{n-i} \xrightarrow{(CJ)^*} E_i,$$

and JTJ maps  $E_i$  into  $E_i$ . It follows that  $((CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ) - JTJ)$  maps  $E_i \cap (E_i)^{\perp}$ into  $E_i \cap E_i^{\perp} = \{0\}$ . So  $((CJ)^*\phi(T)^*(DJ) - JTJ) = 0$ , which implies that  $\phi(T) = (DJ)JT^*J(CJ)^*$  for any  $T \in \text{Alg } N$ . It is easy to check that  $\phi(T)$  is a surjective linear isometry.

Combining Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

#### B. Yu and J. Li

### References

- H. Al-Halees and R. Fleming, 'On 2-local isometries on continuous vector-valued function spaces', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354(1) (2009), 70–77.
- J. Arazy and B. Solel, 'Isometries of nonselfadjoint operator algebras', J. Funct. Anal. 90(2) (1990), 284–305.
- [3] J. Hou and J. Cui, 'Rank-1 preserving linear maps on nest algebras', *Linear Algebra Appl.* **369** (2003), 263–277.
- [4] A. Jiménez-Vargas, L. Li, A. M. Peralta, L. Wang and Y.-S. Wang, '2-local standard isometries on vector-valued Lipschitz function spaces', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 461(2) (2018), 1287–1298.
- [5] S. Kowalski and Z. Słodkowski, 'A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in Banach algebras', *Studia Math.* 67(3) (1980), 215–223.
- [6] L. Li, S. Liu and W. Ren, '2-local isometries on vector-valued differentiable functions', Ann. Funct. Anal. 14(4) (2023), Article no. 70.
- [7] W. E. Longstaff, 'Strongly reflexive lattices', J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 11(4) (1975), 491–498.
- [8] L. Molnár, '2-local isometries of some operator algebras', *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **45**(2) (2002), 349–352.
- [9] L. Molnár, 'On 2-local \*-automorphisms and 2-local isometries of B(H)', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 479(1) (2019), 569–580.
- [10] R. L. Moore and T. T. Trent, 'Isometries of nest algebras', J. Funct. Anal. 86(1) (1989), 180–209.
- [11] R. L. Moore and T. T. Trent, 'Isometries of certain reflexive operator algebras', J. Funct. Anal. 98(2) (1991), 437–471.
- [12] M. Mori, 'On 2-local nonlinear surjective isometries on normed spaces and C\*-algebras', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148(6) (2020), 2477–2485.
- [13] P. Šemrl, 'Local automorphisms and derivations on B(H)', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(9) (1997), 2677–2680.

BO YU, School of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, PR China e-mail: modeace@163.com

JIANKUI LI, School of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, PR China e-mail: jkli@ecust.edu.cn