

OP42 A Budget Impact Analysis Of The Introduction Of Noninvasive Prenatal Testing To Korean National Health Insurance

Hyerin Seo (herinseo@ewhain.net), Jeonghoon Ahn, Doyeon Jin and Hyorim Lee

Introduction: This study assessed the budget impact (BI) of introducing noninvasive perinatal testing (NIPT) to the Korean National Health Insurance (KNHI). It aims to provide useful economic evidence on reimbursement decisions on prenatal screening. Since NIPT is widely used in Korea as a noncovered service, BI can be reliably estimated from real-world data (RWD).

Methods: In this BI analysis, we derived the total number of pregnant women from 2017 birth statistics ($n=358,000$) and its distribution of singleton births (96.1%) and multiples (3.9%). The age distribution of pregnant women was 29.4 percent for 35 and above, and 70.6 percent for below 35, according to the 2017 statistics. For NIPT costs, we considered a price range of KRW357,000 (USD260) to KRW715,000 (USD522) from a 2018 survey. To evaluate the BI of introducing NIPT, we examined eight scenarios based on NIPT cost sharing of 30 percent and 70 percent, NIPT price, and whether to include pregnant women aged less than 35 years old.

Results: BI for KNHI was estimated as KRW6 trillion (USD4 billion) to approximately KRW56 trillion (USD40 billion). Scenario seven, targeting older pregnant women with serum screening high risk with NIPT price at the lower end and payer coverage of 30 percent, shows the lowest annual BI of KRW6,115,813,866 (USD4,469,516); scenario two, covering all older pregnant women and younger high-risk cases with NIPT price at the higher end and payer coverage of 70 percent, exhibits the highest burden at KRW56,240,636,611 (USD41,091,993).

Conclusions: Our BI analysis of introducing NIPT to KNHI can serve as essential data for estimating insurer burdens during the potential transition of NIPT to provisional or selective coverage. In the absence of prenatal diagnostics cost-effectiveness research in Korea, our findings provide crucial evidence for establishing relevant reimbursement criteria, addressing a gap in current research and supporting evidence-based policymaking.

OP43 Incorporating Mathematical Modeling To Improve Accuracy Of Budget Impact Analysis: Using Screening For Hepatitis C As An Example

William Wong (wwwl Wong@uwaterloo.ca)

Introduction: The majority of those infected with chronic hepatitis C (CHC) are asymptomatic. Population screening has proven to be both effective and cost effective. When considering whether to implement screening or not, the uncertainty of the budget impact plays an important role. This study aims to develop methods that improve the accuracy of budget impact analysis for a one-time CHC screening program.

Methods: We developed a back-calculation mathematical model that employs a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm to estimate the prevalence and proportion of undiagnosed CHC. Subsequently, we utilized a state-transition model to assess the budget impact of two strategies: (i) no screening; and (ii) screen-and-treat with direct-acting antiviral (DAA) for individuals born between 1945 and 1965 ("baby-boomer" birth cohort). Model data were gathered from published literature. Our analysis adopted a Canadian provincial payer perspective, employed a 10-year time horizon, and followed best-practice recommendations by not applying discounting.

Results: For individuals born between 1945 and 1965, the estimated prevalence of CHC was 1.74 percent (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.52, 2.30) with an undiagnosed proportion of 15.72 percent (95% CI: 11.27, 18.54). The initial budget impact analysis indicated an additional cost of CAD61.5 million (USD45.0 million) over 10 years for screening related individuals for CHC in Ontario. With these updated prevalence and undiagnosed proportion estimates, our projection suggests a 29.6 percent reduction in the budget impact, now estimated at CAD43.3 million (USD31.7 million).

Conclusions: By comparing the budget impact of the CHC screening strategy with other recommended health services and technologies in Ontario, we have concluded that CHC screening may be considered affordable. To enhance the accuracy of budget impact analysis for population-level screening decision-making, it is crucial to develop precise methodologies for estimating the underlying prevalence and undiagnosed proportions.

OP44 Cost-Of-Illness And Cost-Consequence Analysis Of Dementia In Italy

Francesco Saverio Mennini, Chiara Bini (chiara.stat@gmail.com), Paolo Sciattella and Nicola Vanacore

Introduction: In Italy, there is a lack of evidence regarding the care and management of patients with dementia, as well as the associated costs. This study aims to fill this informational gap by utilizing data from both literature and national surveys.

Methods: A prevalence-based cost-of-illness (COI) model was developed to assess dementia-related costs from a societal perspective. The resources utilization for management and treatment of patients with dementia was derived from both the literature and the analysis of surveys conducted by the National Institute of Health on the social-health structures dedicated to dementia. Indirect costs from informal caregiving were evaluated through a human capital approach.