

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF MODULES

DAVID J. FIELDHOUSE

1. Introduction. In this paper we use the Bourbaki [2] conventions for rings and modules. All rings are associative but not necessarily commutative and have a 1; all modules are unital.

Bass [1] calls a ring A left perfect if and only if every left A -module has a projective cover, which he shows is equivalent to every flat left A -module being projective. Bass calls a ring A semi-perfect if and only if every finitely generated module has a projective cover and shows that this concept is left-right symmetric.

We will define a ring A to be *quasi-perfect* if and only if every finitely generated flat left A -module is projective.

An exercise [6, Exercise 10, p. 136] is given by Lambek to show that every semi-perfect ring is quasi-perfect. However the hint given to solve this exercise seems to be incorrect, since it involves the application of Nakayama's Lemma to a non-finitely generated module. A correct version of the solution will follow from our results.

If $K \subseteq L$ are left A -modules Cohn [3] calls K pure in L if and only if $M \otimes K \rightarrow M \otimes L$ is monic for all right A -modules M . Basic properties of purity can be found in [4]. A module is pure projective if and only if it is projective relative to the pure exact sequences. We shall use the fact that for flat modules F a submodule K is pure if and only if F/K is flat; in this case K is also flat.

A module will be called a PDS module if and only if every pure submodule is a direct summand. Rings for which all modules are PDS were studied in [4].

2. Perfect, quasi-perfect and regular rings. We will need the following facts.

(I) The only small pure submodule of a projective module is 0. For a proof see [5].

(II) A module is finitely generated and pure projective if and only if it is finitely presented. For a proof see [4].

(III) A module is flat and pure projective if and only if it is projective.

Proof of (III). Necessity. Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of the flat module M . Since M is flat the sequence is pure exact and hence splits since M is pure projective.

Sufficiency. This is obvious.

Received September 8, 1970 and in revised form, June 2, 1971.

THEOREM 2.1. *For any ring A the following conditions are equivalent. (All conditions are for the same side.)*

- (1) A is perfect.
- (2) Every flat module has a projective cover.
- (3) Every flat module is pure projective.
- (4) Every flat module is PDS.
- (5) Every projective module is PDS.
- (6) Every free module is PDS.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). This follows from the definition of perfect.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ is a projective cover of the flat module F . Then S is small and pure in P and hence $S = 0$ by (I).

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Every flat is projective, hence pure projective.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). By (III), every flat is projective.

The implications (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) are obvious.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). If K is a pure submodule of the flat module F then F/K is flat, hence projective and K is a direct summand.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). If $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ is a free resolution of any flat module F then K is pure in L , hence a direct summand and F is projective.

THEOREM 2.2. *For any ring A the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)' A is quasi-perfect.
- (2)' Every finitely generated flat module has a projective cover.
- (3)' Every finitely generated flat module is pure projective.
- (3)'' Every finitely generated flat module is finitely presented.
- (4)' Every finitely generated flat module is PDS.
- (5)' Every finitely generated projective module is PDS.
- (6)' Every finitely generated free module is PDS.

Proof. This is basically the same as for the preceding theorem.

COROLLARY. *Every semi-perfect ring is quasi-perfect since in a semi-perfect ring every finitely generated module has a projective cover.*

We now prove a related result.

THEOREM 2.3. *For any ring A the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) A is von Neumann regular.
- (2) Every pure projective is projective.
- (3) Every finitely presented module is projective.
- (4) Every pure projective is flat.
- (5) Every finitely presented module is flat.

Proof. Clearly we have the implications

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (2) & \Rightarrow & (3) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ (4) & \Rightarrow & (5) \end{array}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Every module is flat. The implication then follows from (III).

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Since the module A/Aa is flat, Aa is a finitely generated pure submodule of the projective module A and hence a direct summand (see [4]).

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Finitistic homological dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *95* (1960), 466–488.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Chap. I (Hermann, Paris, 1961).
3. P. M. Cohn, *On the free product of associative rings*, Math. Z. *71* (1959), 380–398.
4. D. Fieldhouse, *Pure theories*, Math. Ann. *184* (1969), 1–18.
5. ———, *Pure simple and indecomposable rings*, Can. Math. Bull. *13* (1970), 71–78.
6. J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules* (Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1966).

*University of Guelph,
Guelph, Ontario*