Assessing Impacts of "Anti-Equity" Legislation on Health Care and Public Health Services

Public Health and the Law

James G. Hodge, Jr.¹, Erica N. White¹, Jennifer L. Piatt¹, and Camille Laude¹

1. ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, PHOENIX, ARIZONA, USA.

About This Column

James G. Hodge, Jr., J.D., LL.M., serves as the section editor for *Public Health and the Law*. He is the Peter Kiewit Foundation Professor of Law and Director, Center for Public Health Law and Policy, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, Arizona State University (ASU). **Keywords**: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion (Dei), Gender-Based Care, LGBTQ+, Legislation, Discrimination, Public Health, Health Care, Constitutional Law

Abstract: A deluge of state "antiequity" legislative bills seek to reverse prevailing trends in diversity, equity, and inclusion; withdraw protections of LGBTQ+ communities; and deny access to gender-based care for trans minors and adults. While the political and constitutional fate of these acts is undetermined, profound impacts on patients and their providers are already affecting the delivery of health care and public health services.

Through an extensive series of bills leading into the key election year of 2024, legislators in multiple states seek to prohibit, avert, or rescind social protections centering on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI); lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer plus (LGBTQ+) populations; and gender-based care (GBC). Reflective of social and political trends during the COVID-19 pandemic and spurred by the U.S. Supreme Court's 2023 decision in Students for Fair Admission, Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harvard College,1 largely rejecting race-based university admission policies on equal protection principles, the "anti-woke"

thrust of these bills is palpable. So are the potential impacts on affected, atrisk populations (especially minors), as well as health care and public health professionals dedicated to providing fair and equitable services to all Americans.

As described below, state legislative attempts to undo equity-based protections and allowances for specific populations can impair health outcomes. Some bills would reverse longstanding DEI efforts or LGBTQ+ protections. Resulting discrimination against individuals and groups lends to mental health harms² and potential acts of violence.3 Among the most pronounced themes of these legislative movements, however, are direct attempts to limit or deny specific health services to trans and other atrisk populations.⁴ Select legislatures including those in Alabama, North Dakota, and Oklahoma have already prohibited minors from accessing GBC prescribed by medical practitioners.⁵ Additional states are proposing similar legislation (e.g., Virginia⁶) or changes in medical board standards (e.g., Florida⁷). Amid these legal trends, doctors, nurses, clinicians, and other providers in affected states are attempting to ascertain the lawful provision of health services that could affect their licensure, liability, and livelihoods.

Panoply of Anti-Equity Legislative Bills. Hundreds of state legislative bills introduced in the past year alone collectively seek to restrict specific benefits, services, or allowances of existing DEI programs, LGBTQ+

James G. Hodge, Jr., J.D., LL.M., is the Peter Kiewit Foundation Professor of Law and Director, Center for Public Health Law and Policy, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, Arizona State University (ASU). Erica N. White, J.D., is a Research Scholar, Center for Public Health Law and Policy, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, ASU. Jennifer L. Piatt, J.D., is a Research Scholar and Co-director, Center for Public Health Law and Policy, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, ASU. Jennifer L. Piatt, J.D., is a Research Scholar and Co-director, Center for Public Health Law and Policy, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, ASU. Camille Laude, is a J.D. Candidate and Legal Researcher, Center for Public Health Law and Policy, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, ASU.

JOURNAL OF LAW, MEDICINE & ETHICS

The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics, 52 (2024): 172-177. © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of American Society of Law, Medicine & Ethics. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited. DOI: 10.1017/jme.2024.55

protections, and GBC access. Longstanding public and private DEI programs aiming to "protect the health of underrepresented populations, [promote] health equity, and [eliminate] health disparities,"⁸ have been supported through Attorney General opinions (e.g., Colorado⁹), state bar initiatives (e.g., New York¹⁰), and multiple other laws. These programs now face intense legislative scrutiny.¹¹ Contrary to President Biden's affirmative DEI policies for the federal Others propose restricting access to library books or other media as well as classroom instruction on critical race theory, gender identity, or sexual orientation (e.g., Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma).²⁰ Penalties include "downgrading" an offending school's accreditation, reducing funding,²¹ and allowing private complaints or suits from parents.²² Upwards of 80% of teachers in impacted jurisdictions already report censoring their own classroom instruction to avoid

Reflective of social and political trends during the COVID-19 pandemic and spurred by the U.S. Supreme Court's 2023 decision in *Students for Fair Admission, Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harvard College*, largely rejecting race-based university admission policies on equal protection principles, the "anti-woke" thrust of these bills is palpable. So are the potential impacts on affected, at-risk populations (especially minors), as well as health care and public health professionals dedicated to providing fair and equitable services to all Americans.

workforce,12 these bills would forbid public entities, universities, and others from (1) requiring or accepting "diversity" or other ideological statements,¹³ (2) offering comprehensive trainings promoting "divisive concepts,"14 or (3) providing differential treatment to individuals or groups based on discrete protected categories.¹⁵ A Tennessee law, for example, prohibits public universities from requiring trainings on "implicit bias."16 In Texas, a secondary-education act banning diversity offices, hiring statements, and trainings for faculty and staff¹⁷ led the University of Texas at Austin to shutter its Multicultural Engagement Center.18

States have also introduced diverse bills targeting LGBTQ+ populations. Some bills blatantly attempt to limit free speech or rights to assemble, such as Tennessee's ban on "adult cabaret performances" in public places.¹⁹ discussing political or social topics.²³ A related class of bills require parental permission (e.g., Washington²⁴) or notification (e.g., California²⁵) to use a student's preferred pronouns or chosen name. In Arizona, the Republican-majority state legislature is attempting to avoid Governor Katie Hobbs' (D) potential veto of similar measures through a ballot initiative bypassing legislative processes.²⁶

Another class of state bills would restrict certain activities through policies separating individuals by biological sex. The "Women's Bill of Rights," introduced in states including Georgia, Iowa, and West Virginia, creates legal definitions for "man" and "woman" tied to their binary biological sex,²⁷ contravening federal public health definitions.²⁸ Additional bills would limit the use of public accommodations such as bathrooms (e.g., North Carolina²⁹), gym facilities or locker rooms (e.g., Missouri³⁰), or "separate single-sex spaces" (e.g., Alabama³¹) based on biological sex. A Kansas state bill would require separate overnight accommodations for school children.³² Restricting persons from participation in school athletic events based on their self-identified gender is popularized in manifold bills. Florida's legislature recently limited participation in girls' athletic teams based on sex at birth.33 Invasive physical exams for prospective athletes are proposed in Kansas.34 And in Minnesota a proposed bill would criminalize team participation for those not assigned female at birth.35

Closely tied to these measures are bills restricting gender-related health services provided to minors and adults despite contrary guidance from the American Medical Association and American Academy of Pediatrics.³⁶ These legislative proposals generally prohibit or limit medical interventions including prescription "puberty blockers" (e.g., Louisiana³⁷), hormone therapy (e.g., Arkansas³⁸), gender-affirming surgeries (e.g., Mississippi,³⁹ Kansas,⁴⁰ South Carolina⁴¹), and public health services (e.g., Florida⁴²). A bill in Illinois proposes that health care workers report to the state health department any prescription for puberty blockers to minors (<18 years).43 Another bill in the same state would require specific informed consent for anyone seeking gender-transition care.44 Health care workers in Kansas would have to provide transgender services under standards of care specified by the legislature.45 Mental health providers receiving state funds would be precluded from promoting medication or surgery as treatment options for minors.⁴⁶ In Tennessee, GBC clinics accepting state funds must also perform de-transition procedures.47 Public universities and their associated healthcare facilities would be barred from providing GBC for minors in West Virginia.48

Other states propose restricting or criminalizing GBC based on age (e.g., minors⁴⁹⁾ or specific population (e.g., incarcerated persons.⁵⁰⁾ Nebraska's 2023 law broadly prohibits gender-

SPRING 2024

 $\label{eq:constraint} The Journal of Law, Medicine \ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}\ Ethics, 52\ (2024): 172-177. \\ (C) The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of American Society of Law, Medicine \ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}\ Ethics.$

based prescription drugs and procedures for those under 19 years of age under rules⁵¹ set by the state's chief medical officer.⁵² Ohio's legislature passed House Bill 68, the Saving Adolescents from Experimentation (SAFE) Act, on December 13, 2023.53 It banned manifold GBC services for minors (with some exceptions) and disallowed Ohio's Medicaid program from covering such care.54 Governor Mike DeWine (R) vetoed the SAFE Act on December 29, 2023. Yet he subsequently issued an executive order seven days later on January 5, 2024 invoking emergency authorities to direct the Ohio Department of Health to administratively prohibit gender transition surgeries on minors.55 Citing evidence of mental and physical harms of gender-related care for minors,⁵⁶ the Ohio legislature overrode Governor DeWine's veto on January 24. The SAFE Act took effect on April 24, 2024.57

Prospective Impacts on Health Care and Public Health Services. These politically-charged legislative trends carry significant impacts for health care providers and public health officials. Anti-DEI bills aiming to preclude future physicians or nurses from health equity instruction have the potential to bias the delivery of care for years ahead.58 Legislative provisions focused on LGBTQ+ and trans populations carry pronounced effects for health services including substantial sanctions for violating providers and facilities. After final passage of the SAFE Act, Ohio's Legislative Service Commission forewarned that health care professionals may face investigations, complaints, and potential violations through occupational licensure boards.⁵⁹ Illinois House Bill 4096 allows for licensure revocations of a physician who "willfully or actively participates" in performing a "sexreassignment procedure" on a minor. Hospitals and other providers could face their own licensure denials for non-compliance.60

Additional criminal and civil penalties are proposed elsewhere. Kansas' legislature would criminalize GBC services for anyone under 21 years of age who was not born with "a medically verifiable disorder of sex development."61 States like Arizona62 and Wyoming⁶³ would allow civil claims against providers by patients or parents. Threats of criminal or civil sanctions carry chilling effects that may disincentivize medical professionals from providing care. In some states, however, the legislature seeks to empower providers' discretion to avoid specific care, assimilating denounced federal protections for conscientious objections.64 A bill in Kentucky allows a "medical practitioner, health care institution, or health care payer" to refuse to participate in or pay for any health care service contrary to their "sincerely-held religious, moral, or ethical principles."65 Persons standing in the way of providers exercising their conscientious choices may face civil claims. In Virginia, persons alerting the Attorney General or federal or state agencies of violations of its legislatively-proposed ban of trans surgeries would be entitled to whistleblower protections.⁶⁶

Navigating Tempestuous Legal Trends. State legislative bills seeking to curb or reverse DEI, LGBTQ+, and GBC trends have unquestionable potential to directly influence health care and public health services, but not without political and legal resistance. Despite the deluge of new bills, the great majority of these measures introduced this past year have not been enacted.⁶⁷ Some passed bills have faced gubernatorial vetoes (e.g., Arkansas, Ohio). Specific measures may be preempted given direct conflicts with federal laws (e.g., antidiscrimination protections under the Affordable Care Act).⁶⁸ Some states are working to protect access to GBC by preventing laws passed elsewhere from interfering with care provided within their jurisdictions (e.g., Minnesota⁶⁹), proposing constitutional amendments to assure access to GBC (e.g., Oregon⁷⁰), or legislatively declaring "sanctuary state" status (e.g., Washington⁷¹).

Additional legal actions in courts nationally aim to obviate measures inhibiting health care providers in varying settings. Consistent with

Eighth Amendment prohibitions of "cruel and unusual punishment,"72 for example, some courts have determined that prisoners are entitled to GBC services,73 subject to limits on the scope of services provided.74 Health care providers, patients, and parents have raised constitutional arguments grounded in equal protection, due process, and free speech violations. On these grounds, a federal district court in Arkansas invalidated a law preventing medical practitioners from providing or referring GBC for minors on June 20, 2023.75 On December 26, 2023, a district court in Idaho similarly blocked a state law restricting GBC treatment.⁷⁶ The so-called "Vulnerable Child Protection Act"77 outlaws the provision of certain GBC services for minors, including administration of puberty blockers, testosterone, and estrogen.⁷⁸ The court found that the law likely violated equal protection and due process by discriminating on the basis of sex and transgender status and infringing fundamental parental rights to make medical decisions for children.79

Other courts have ruled inappositely. On September 28, 2023, the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals rejected due process and equal protection challenges to GBC service restrictions for minors in Tennessee and Kentucky, deferring to "accountable elected officials" to "sort[] out these medical, social, and policy changes."⁸⁰ In L.W. v. Skrmetti, the court suggested parental rights do not extend to "obtain[ing] reasonably banned treatments for their children" and that sex discrimination did not arise because the bans equally deprived care to biologically male and female children alike.81 It also declined to recognize transgender individuals as a suspect class sufficient to trigger strict scrutiny under equal protection. Invoking strict scrutiny would require government to demonstrate its actions are narrowly tailored to serving compelling governmental interests through the least restrictive means possible.82 Most laws subjected to this standard of review are deemed unconstitutional. Ultimately, the fate of "antiequity" legislation in the 6th Circuit and beyond may lie within the marbled halls of the U.S. Supreme Court, which is considering reviewing the *Skrmetti* decision.⁸³ The Court's decision on the constitutional issues at stake may reverberate nationally in regards to patients' access to GBC services and the efforts of health care professionals attempting to provide them.

Note

The authors have no conficts of interest to disclose.

References

- 1. Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harv. Coll., 600 U.S. 181 (2023).
- A. Novotney, "The Young People Feel It': A Look at the Mental Health Impact of Antitrans Legislation," *American Psychological Association*, June 29, 2023, available at ">https://www.apa.org/topics/lgbtq/mental-health-antitransgender-legislation> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- PBS News Hour, "How the Rise of Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate and Violence is Impacting the Community," *PBS*, Aug. 31, 2023, *available at* https://www.pbs.org/newshour/show/how-the-riseof-anti-lgbtq-hate-and-violence-is-impacting-the-community> (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).
- A. Ghorayshi, "Many Transgender Americans Face Stigma and Financial Hardship, Survey Finds," New York Times, Feb. 7, 2024, available at https://www.nytimes. com/2024/02/07/health/trangendersurvey-harassment-poverty.html> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- C. Strangio, "Trans Visibility Is Nice. Safety Is Even Better," New York Times, Feb. 15, 2024, available at https://www.nytimes.com/2024/02/15/opinion/trans-visibility-legislative-rights.html> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- S.B. 671, 2024 Legis. Sess. (Va. 2024), available at <https://lis.virginia.gov/cgi-bin/legp604.exe?241 +ful+SB671+pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 7. Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 59G-1.050 (2022).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Diversity and Health Equity* (last updated July 27, 2021), *available at* <https://www.cdc.gov/stem/workforce/inclusive-STEM-workforce.html> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- Press Release: Attorney General Legal Opinion: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Efforts Remain Legal Under Federal Law (Oct. 4, 2023), available at <a href="https://coag.gov/press-releases/legal-opinion-dei-efforts-legal-under-lega

federal-law-10-4-23/> (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).

- T. Monnay, "Law Firms Embrace Roadmap Against Diversity Program Attacks," *Bloomberg Law*, Oct. 2, 2023, *available at* https://news.bloomberglaw.com/business-and-practice/lawfirms-embrace-roadmap-against-diversity-program-attacks (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).
- Chronicle Staff, "DEI Legislation Tracker," *The Chronicle of Higher Education* (last updated Feb. 16, 2024), *available at* <https://www.chronicle. com/article/here-are-the-states-wherelawmakers-are-seeking-to-ban-colleges-dei-efforts?sra=true> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- Exec. Order No. 14,035 (June 25, 2021), available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/ presidential-actions/2021/06/25/ executive-order-on-diversity-equityinclusion-and-accessibility-in-the-federal-workforce/> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 261, 2024 Gen. Sess. (Utah 2024), available at https://le.utah.gov/~2024/bills/static/HB0261.html (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- S.B. 247, 2023 Reg. Sess. (Ala. 2023), available at https://www.legislature.state.al.us/pdf/Searchable Instruments/2023RS/SB247-int.pdf> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- H.B. 9, 2024 Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2024), available at https://apps.legislature. ky.gov/recorddocuments/bill/24RS/ hb9/orig_bill.pdf> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- S.B. 102, 113th Gen. Assemb. (Tenn. 2023), available at https://www.capi-tol.tn.gov/Bills/113/Bill SB0102.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- M. Rodrigues, "Gov. Abbot Signs DEI Bill Into Law, Dismantling Diversity Offices at Colleges," *The Dallas Morning News*, June 14, 2023, *available at* (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- N. Srivastava, "Multicultural Engagement Center Closes Because of Senate Bill 17," *The Daily Texan*, Jan. 18, 2024, available at ">https://thedaily-texan.com/2024/01/18/multiculturalengagement-center-closes-because-ofsenate-bill-17/> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- S.B. 3, 113th Gen. Assemb. (Tenn. 2023), available at https://www.capi-tol.tn.gov/Bills/113/Bill/SB0003.pdf (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 20. H. Natanson et al., "An Explosion of Culture War Laws is Changing Schools. Here's How.," *The Washington Post*, Oct. 18, 2022, *available at <*https:// www.washingtonpost.com/education/2022/10/18/education-laws-culture-war/> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).

- J. Bikales, "Okla. Downgrades School District Over Complaint It Shamed White People," *The Washington Post*, July 30, 2022, *available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2022/07/30/crt-oklahoma-tulsaschools-shame-white/> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).*
- Governor Ron DeSantis Signs Historic Bill to Protect Parental Rights in Education, Mar. 28, 2022, available at <https://www.flgov.com/2022/03/28/ governor-ron-desantis-signs-historicbill-to-protect-parental-rights-in-education/> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- H. Natanson, "Teachers Are Limiting Lessons on Political, Social Issues, Report Finds," *The Washington Post*, Feb. 15, 2024, *available at <https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2024/02/15/teachers-limit-political-social-issues-lessons/> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).*
- 24. S.B. 6026, 68th Leg., 2024 Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2024), available at <https://lawfilesext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2023-24/Pdf/Bills/Senate%20 Bills/6026.pdf?q=20240126095752> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- A.B. 1314, 2023-2024 Legis. Sess. (Cal. 2023), available at https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient. xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB1314> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- G. R. Gomez, "3 Bills Targeting Transgender Students Approved by Arizona Senate Panel," *Phoenix New Times*, Feb. 14, 2024, *available at* (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 27. K. Alfonseca, "Women's Bill of Rights' Policies Could Impact Daily Lives of Transgender Community," *ABC News*, Feb. 13, 2024, *available at <*https:// abcnews.go.com/US/womens-billrights-policies-impact-daily-livestransgender/story?id=107168596> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Terminology* (last updated Dec. 23, 2022), *available at* https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/terminology/sexual-and-gender-identity-terms.htm> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- H.B. 2, Gen. Assemb., 2d Extra Sess. (N.C. 2016), available at https://ncleg.gov/Sessions/2015E2/Bills/House/PDF/H2v3.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 1674, 102d Gen. Assemb., 2d Reg. Sess. (Mo. 2024), available at <https:// documents.house.mo. gov/billtracking/ bills241/hlrbillspdf/3076H.01I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 111, 2024 Reg. Sess. (Ala. 2024), available at https://www.legislature.state.al.us/pdf/searchableInstruments/2024RS/

SPRING 2024

HB111-int.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).

- 32. H.B. 2427, 2023 Sess. (Kan. 2023), available at https://kslegislature.org/li/b2023_24/measures/documents/ hb2427_01_0000.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- S.B. 1028, 2021 Legis. (Fla. 2021), available at https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2021/1028/BillText/er/PDF> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 34. S.B. 208, 2021 Sess. (Kan. 2021), available at http://kslegislature.org/li_2022/b2021_22/measures/docu-ments/sb208_01_0000.pdf> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- 35. H.F. 1657, 92d Leg., 2021-2022 Legis. Sess. (Minn. 2021), available at ">https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bills/text.php?number=HF1657&version=0&session=ls92&session_year=2021&session_number=0>">https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bills/text.php?number=HF1657&version=0&session=ls92&session_year=2021&session_number=0>">https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bills/text.php?number=HF1657&version=0&session=ls92&session_year=2021&session_number=0>">https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bills/text.php?number=HF1657&version=0&session=ls92&session_year=2021&session_number=0>">https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bills/text.php?number=0>"/>
- 36. C. Strangio, supra note 5.
- H.B. 648, 2023 Reg. Sess. (La. 2023), available at https://legis.la.gov/legis/viewDocument.aspx?d=1331071 (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 1570, 2021 Gen. Assemb. (Ark. 2021), available at https://www.ark-leg.state.ar.us/Home/FTP Document? path=%2FACTS%2F2021R%2FPublic %2FACT626.pdf> (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).
- 39. H.B. 1125, 2023 Reg. Sess. (Miss. 2023), available at <https://billstatus. ls.state.ms.us/documents/2023/pdf/ HB/1100-1199/HB1125SG.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 40. S.B. 12, 2023 Sess. (Kan. 2023), available at https://www.kslegislature.org/li/b2023_24/measures/documents/ sb12_00_0000.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H 3730, 125th Sess., 2023-2024 (S.C. 2023), available at https://www.scstatehouse.gov//sess125_2023-2024/ prever/3730_20230118.htm> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 42. Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 59G-1.050 (2022).
- 43. H.B. 4355, 103d Gen. Assemb. (Ill. 2024), available at https://www.ilga.gov/legislation/103/HB/PDF/10300HB4355lv.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 44. H.B. 4096, 103d Gen. Assemb. (Ill. 2023), available at https://www. ilga.gov/legislation/103/HB/PDF/ 10300HB4096lv.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 45. H.B. 2792, 2024 Sess. (Kan. 2024), available at <https://www.kslegislature.org/li/b2023_24/ measures/documents/hb2792_00_0000.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 46. H.B. 2791, 2024 Sess. (Kan. 2024), available at https://www.kslegislature.org/li/b2023_24/measures/ documents/hb2791_00_0000.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).

- H.B. 2816, 113th Gen. Assemb. (Tenn. 2024), available at https://www.capitol.tn.gov/Bills/113/Bill/HB2816.pdf (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 48. H.B. 4357, 2024 Reg. Sess. (W. Va. 2024), available at ">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/bills_text.cfm?billdoc=hb4357%20intr.htm&yr=2024&sesstype=RS&i=4357>">https://www.wvlegislature.gov/Bill_Status/B
- 49. C. Strangio, *supra* note 5.
- 50. S.B. 49, 102d Gen. Assemb., 1st Reg. Sess. (Mo. 2023), *available at* https://senate.mo.gov/23info/pdf-bill/tat/SB49.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
 51. 181 Neb. Admin. Code § 8.
- L.B. 540, 108th Leg., 1st Sess. (Neb. 2023), available at https://legiscan.com/NE/bill/LB574/2023 (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 68, 135 Gen. Assemb. (Ohio 2023), available at <https://searchprod.lis.state.oh.us/solarapi/v1/general_assembly_135/bills/hb68/EN/05/ hb68_05_EN?format=pdf> (last visited Feb. 27, 2024).
- 54. M. Trau, "Ohio Lawmakers Pass Bill to Ban Trans Youth From Gender-Affirming Care, Athletics," News 5 Cleveland, Dec. 13, 2023, available at <https:// www.news5cleveland.com/news/politics/ohio-politics/ohio-lawmakers-passbill-to-ban-trans-youth-from-genderaffirming-care-athletics> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- Ohio Exec. Order No. 2024-01D (Jan. 5, 2024), available at https://governor.ohio.gov/media/executive-orders/ executive-order-2024-01D (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 56. The Ohio House of Representatives, Rep. Click's Floor Speech on Veto Override of HB 68, Jan. 10, 2024, available at <htps://ohiohouse.gov/ members/gary-click/news/rep-clicksfloor-speech-on-veto-override-ofhb-68-118160> (last visited Feb. 21, 2024).
- Ohio Legislative Service Commission, H.B. 68 Final Analysis, Feb. 6, 2024, available at <https://www.legislature.ohio.gov/ download?key=22116&format=pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- T.A. Murray et al., "Anti-DEI Legislation Targeting Colleges and Universities: Its Potential Impacts on Nursing Education and the Pursuit of Health Equity," *ScienceDirect*, June 17, 2023, *available at* https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0029655423000994 (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- Ohio Legislative Service Commission, H.B. 68 Final Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement, Jan. 26, 2024, available at https://www.legislature.ohio.gov/download?key=22086&format=pdf (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 4096, 103d Gen. Assemb. (Ill. 2023), available at https://www.ilga.gov/legislation/103/HB/PDF/

10300HB4096lv.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).

- S.B. 12, 2023 Sess. (Kan. 2023), available at https://www.kslegislature.org/li/b2023_24/measures/documents/sb12_00_0000.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- S.B. 1451, 56th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess. (Ariz. 2024), available at https://www.azleg.gov/legtext/56leg/2R/bills/SB1451P. pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 156, 2024 Budget Sess. (Wyo. 2024), available at https://wyoleg.gov/2024/Introduced/HB0156.pdf (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 64. N. Weixel, "Biden Administration Rescinds Much of Trump 'Conscience' Rule for Health Workers," *The Hill*, Jan. 9, 2024, *available at* <htps://thehill. com/policy/healthcare/4397912-bidenadministration-rescinds-much-oftrump-conscience-rule-for-healthworkers> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- H.B. 49, 2024 Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2024), available at available at https://apps.legislature. ky.gov/recorddocuments/bill/24RS/ hb49/orig_bill.pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- S.B. 671, 2024 Legis. Sess. (Va. 2024), available at https://lis.virginia.gov/cgi-bin/legp604.exe?241+ful+SB671+pdf> (last visited Feb. 19, 2024).
- 67. C. Strangio, supra note 5.
- C.P. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Ill., No. 3:20-CV-06145-RJB (W.D. Wash. Dec. 19, 2023).
- 69. H.F. 146, 2023 Reg. Session. (Minn. 2023), available at <https:// www.house.mn.gov/bills/Info/ HF146/93/2023/0> (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).
- H.B. 2673, 2023 Reg. Sess. (Or. 2023), available at https://apps.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2023R1/Measures/Over-view/HB2673> (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).
- S.B. 5599, 2023 Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2023), available at https://legiscan.com/WA/text/SB5599/2023 (last visited Feb. 22, 2024).
- J. Parsi. "The Eighth Amendment and Medical Consensus on Gender Affirming Care: Reexamining the Dissentals in Edmo v. Corizon," *Denver Law Review, Vol. 101* (May 2, 2023), available at https://papers.srn.com/sol3/ papers.cfm?abstract_id=4428075> (last visited Feb. 28, 2024).
- Edmo v. Corizon, 935 F.3d 757 (9th Cir. 2019); Mitchell v. Kallas, No. 16-3350 (7th Cir. 2018).
- 74. *Lamb v. Norwood*, 899 F.3d 1159 (10th Cir. 2018).
- Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Brandt et al. v. Rutledge et al., No. 4:21-CV-00450-JM (E.D. Ark. June 20, 2023).
- Memorandum Decision and Order, *Poe* v. *Labrador*, No. 1:23-CV-00269-BLW (D. Idaho Dec. 26, 2023).

JOURNAL OF LAW, MEDICINE & ETHICS

77. Idaho Stat. § 18-1506C (2023).

- 78. K. Alfonseca, "Federal Judge Blocks Idaho Gender-Affirming Transgender Care Ban," *ABC News*, Dec. 27, 2023, *available at* https://abcnews.go.com/US/federal-judge-blocks-idaho-gender-affirming-transgender-care/story?id=105948344 (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).
- 79. Memorandum Decision and Order, *supra* note 77.
- 80. *L.W. v. Skrmetti*, No. 23-5600 (6th Cir. 2023).

- J.G. Hodge, Jr., Public Health Law in A Nutshell (4th ed. 2021): 69.
- C. Parks, "Tenn. Teens, Doctors Ask U.S. Supreme Court to End Transgen-

der Care Ban," *The Washington Post*, Nov. 1, 2023, *available at* <https:// www.washingtonpost.com/dc-mdva/2023/ 11/01/transgender-care-bantennessee-supreme-court/> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

^{81.} *Id.*