

# ABSOLUTE REGULARITY OF THE NÖRLUND MEAN

B. KWEE

(received 15 April 1964)

Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be any sequence of real or complex numbers subject to the sole restriction

$$P_n = \phi_0 + \phi_1 + \cdots + \phi_n \neq 0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

And let

$$t_n = \frac{\phi_n s_0 + \phi_{n-1} s_1 + \cdots + \phi_0 s_n}{P_n}.$$

If  $t_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we say that the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is summable Nörlund or summable  $(N, \phi)$  to  $s$ .

If  $t_n \rightarrow s$  whenever  $s_n \rightarrow s$ , we say that  $(N, \phi)$  is regular.

It is known [3] that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the regularity of  $(N, \phi)$  are that, for any fixed  $k$ ,

$$(1) \quad \phi_{n-k} = o(P_n)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and that

$$(2) \quad |\phi_0| + |\phi_1| + \cdots + |\phi_n| = o(P_n).$$

We shall say that the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is absolutely summable Nörlund or summable  $|N, \phi|$  to  $s$  if

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty,$$

where  $t_{-1} = 0$ , and

$$(4) \quad t_n \rightarrow s$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If (3) and (4) hold whenever  $s_n \rightarrow s$  and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty,$$

where  $a_0 = s_0$ ,  $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), then we say that  $(N, \phi)$  is absolutely regular.

The aim of this paper is to discuss the relation between regularity and

absolute regularity of the Nörlund Summability, and for this purpose we require the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *In order that  $(N, \phi)$  should be absolutely regular it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right| \leq H,$$

where  $H$  is independent of  $m$  and  $P_{-1} = 0$  and that (1) should hold.

For the proof of this theorem we require the following theorem on general summation matrices  $(c_{nk})$ , proved by F. M. Mears [1].

**THEOREM.** *The necessary and sufficient conditions that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n|$ , where  $u_n = U_n - U_{n-1}$ ,  $U_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{nk} s_k$ , and  $s_k = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_k$ , should converge whenever  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges are*

(A)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{nk}$  converges, for all  $n$ ;

(B)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (c_{nk} - c_{n-1,k})| \leq H$ , for all  $m$  where  $H$  is a positive constant.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1<sup>1</sup>.** The conditions are necessary. We suppose  $(N, \phi)$  is absolutely regular and wish to prove (1) and (6) must then hold. Since

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= \frac{\phi_n s_0 + \phi_{n-1} s_1 + \dots + \phi_0 s_n}{P_n} \\ &= \frac{\phi_n}{P_n} s_0 + \frac{\phi_{n-1}}{P_n} s_1 + \dots + \frac{\phi_0}{P_n} s_n, \end{aligned}$$

we have

<sup>1</sup> An alternative proof of this theorem is possible by appeal to a theorem of H. Hahn, Monatshefte für Math. und Phys. 32 (1922), 3–88. This theorem is quoted in Math. Rev. 9 (1948), 579, by R. P. Agnew in a review of a paper on absolute regularity by Z. Schurr. The theorem of Hahn is as follows.

“Necessary and sufficient conditions that  $t_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} s_k$  should converge (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) whenever  $s_n$  converges absolutely are:

(i)  $c_{nk} \rightarrow d_k,$

(ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{nk} \rightarrow d,$

(iii)  $\left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c_{nk} \right| < k$  for all  $m$  and  $n$ ;

and then

$$t_n \rightarrow ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k (s_k - s),$$

where

$$s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n.$$

This theorem was pointed out to me by the referee.

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{\phi_{n-k}}{P_n} \quad (k = 0, \dots, n),$$

$$c_{n,k} = 0 \quad (k > n).$$

Since  $(N, \phi)$  is absolutely regular Mears's theorem tells us that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (c_{n,k} - c_{n-1,k}) \right|$$

is bounded. Putting in the  $c_{nk}$  appropriate to  $(N, \phi)$  we find that the above sum is equal to

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right|.$$

Hence (6) holds.

Take  $s_k = 1, s_n = 0 (n \neq k)$ . Then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely to 0 and hence, when  $k$  is fixed,

$$t_n = \frac{\phi_{n-k}}{P_n} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . (1) is also necessary.

The conditions are sufficient. There are two things to be proved:

- (i) that, if  $s_n \rightarrow s$  absolutely, then  $t_n \rightarrow s$ ,
- (ii) that  $t_n$  converges absolutely, i.e.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty$ .

Since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk}$  is a terminating series for each  $n$ , it is convergent, and Mears's condition (A) is fulfilled. And since, by the algebra above,

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (c_{nk} - c_{n-1,k}) \right|,$$

and the left side is bounded by (6), Mears's condition (B) is fulfilled. So by Mears's theorem,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty$  and (ii) is established.

To prove that (1) and (6) imply (i), suppose first that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely to 0. Then we can choose  $k$  so that

$$(7) \quad |a_k| + |a_{k+1}| + \dots + |a_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{3H}$$

and

$$(8) \quad |s_{k-1}| < \frac{\epsilon}{3H}.$$

Now, by partial summation,

$$t_n = \frac{\phi_n s_0 + \phi_{n-1} s_1 + \dots + \phi_0 s_n}{P_n}$$

$$= \frac{P_n a_0 + P_{n-1} a_1 + \dots + P_0 a_n}{P_n}$$

$$= A_n + B_n,$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{P_n a_0 + P_{n-1} a_1 + \cdots + P_{n-k+1} a_{k-1}}{P_n},$$

$$B_n = \frac{P_{n-k} a_k + P_{n-k-1} a_{k+1} + \cdots + P_0 a_n}{P_n}.$$

Since, for all  $m \leq n$ ,

$$(9) \quad \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} \right| = \left| \sum_{r=m}^n \left( \frac{P_{r-m}}{P_r} - \frac{P_{r-m-1}}{P_{r-1}} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{r=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{r-m}}{P_r} - \frac{P_{r-m-1}}{P_{r-1}} \right| \leq H,$$

we have, by (7),

$$|B_n| \leq \left| \frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} \right| |a_k| + \left| \frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_n} \right| |a_{k+1}| + \cdots + \left| \frac{P_0}{P_n} \right| |a_n|$$

$$\leq H(|a_k| + |a_{k+1}| + \cdots + |a_n|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

and, by partial summation,

$$|A_n| = \left| \frac{\phi_n s_0 + \phi_{n-1} s_1 + \cdots + \phi_{n-k+2} s_{k-2} + P_{n-k+1} s_{k-1}}{P_n} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{\phi_n s_0 + \phi_{n-1} s_1 + \cdots + \phi_{n-k+2} s_{k-2}}{P_n} \right| + \left| \frac{P_{n-k+1}}{P_n} \right| |s_{k-1}|.$$

By (8) and (9),

$$\left| \frac{P_{n-k+1}}{P_n} \right| |s_{k-1}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since  $k$  is a constant, it follows from (1) that

$$\left| \frac{\phi_n s_0 + \phi_{n-1} s_1 + \cdots + \phi_{n-k+2} s_{k-2}}{P_n} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore

$$|A_n| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3},$$

and hence, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$|t_n| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely to  $s$ , which is not zero, then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n$ , where  $a'_0 = a_0 - s$ ,  $a'_n = a_n$  ( $n \neq 0$ ), converges absolutely to 0 so that

$$t'_n = \frac{\phi_n s'_0 + \phi_{n-1} s'_1 + \dots + \phi_0 s'_n}{P_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But, on substituting  $s_n - s$  for  $s'_n$ ,

$$t'_n = t_n - s.$$

Hence  $t_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If we take  $\phi_{2n} = 1, \phi_{2n+1} = 0$ , we see that (1) and (2) are satisfied but (6) is not when  $m$  is an odd integer. Hence a Nörlund method can be regular without being absolutely regular. The question naturally arises as to whether it is true that an absolutely regular Nörlund method is necessarily regular. I have not been able to solve this problem. I have, however, obtained the following two theorems.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $(N, \phi)$  is absolutely regular and  $P_n$  is bounded, then  $(N, \phi)$  is regular.*

**PROOF.** It follows from the absolute regularity of  $(N, \phi)$  that

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right|$$

is bounded and this implies that  $\phi_0/P_m$  is bounded, so that for all  $m$

$$(12) \quad |P_m| \geq c > 0,$$

where  $c$  is a constant.

Now the sum (11), which is equal to

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|P_n P_{n-1}|} |P_{n-1} P_{n-m} - P_{n-m-1} P_n|,$$

is bounded. Since we are restricting ourselves to the case in which  $P_n$  is bounded,  $|P_n P_{n-1}|$  is also bounded so that the boundedness of (11) implies the boundedness of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |P_{n-1} P_{n-m} - P_{n-m-1} P_n| \\ &= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |(P_n - \phi_n) P_{n-m} - (P_{n-m} - \phi_{n-m}) P_n| \\ &= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |P_n \phi_{n-m} - \phi_n P_{n-m}| \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |P_{n+m} \phi_n - \phi_{n+m} P_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |P_{n+m}\phi_n - \phi_{n+m}P_n| \leq k.$$

Hence, for any fixed  $N$  and all  $m$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N |P_{n+m}\phi_n - \phi_{n+m}P_n| \leq k,$$

and hence

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N |P_{n+m}\phi_n| \leq k + \sum_{n=0}^N |\phi_{n+m}P_n|.$$

Take  $N$  as fixed and make  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^N |\phi_{n+m}P_n| \rightarrow 0,$$

because, by (1),  $\phi_n = o(P_n) = o(1)$ .

Since  $|P_{n+m}| \geq c > 0$ , by (12), for all  $n, m$ , it follows from (13) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^N |\phi_n| \leq \frac{k}{c}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\phi_n|$$

converges.

Hence, by (12),

$$|\phi_0| + |\phi_1| + \dots + |\phi_n| = o(1) = o(P_n),$$

and  $(N, \phi)$  is regular.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $(N, \phi)$  is absolutely regular and  $P_n$  is not bounded, then  $|P_n| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $|P_n|$  does not tend to infinity, we can find a positive number  $G$  such that  $|P_n| < G$  for arbitrarily large values of  $n$ . Also by Theorem 1,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right| \leq H$$

for all  $m$ . Since  $P_n$  is unbounded, there is  $k$  such that  $|P_k| > HG$ . Then there is  $N > k$  such that  $|P_N| < G$ . Let  $m = N - k$ , a positive integer. Then

$$\left| \frac{P_{N-m}}{P_N} \right| > H.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right| &\geq \sum_{n=m}^N \left| \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{n=m}^N \left( \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-m-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{P_{N-m}}{P_N} \right| > H, \end{aligned}$$

which is in contradiction to (6). Therefore  $|P_n| \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using the above two theorems, we see that only the case in which  $|P_n| \rightarrow \infty$  is left to investigate.

It is worth remarking that it is possible for a Nörlund method to be absolutely conservative without being conservative. This will be shown by an example.

We say that a Nörlund method is conservative if  $s_n \rightarrow s$  implies  $t_n \rightarrow t$ .

It follows from Theorem 1 of Hardy's book [2] that necessary and sufficient conditions for  $(N, \phi)$  to be conservative are that (2) should hold and that for some  $\delta_m$

$$(14) \quad P_{n-m} = [\delta_m + o(1)]P_n$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A Nörlund method is said to be absolutely conservative if (3) holds and  $t_n \rightarrow t$  whenever  $s_n \rightarrow s$  and (5) holds.

By Mears's Theorem, a necessary and sufficient condition that  $(N, \phi)$  should be absolutely conservative is that (6) should hold.

We note that (6) does not imply (2). For if we take  $P_n = e^{ni\theta}$  where  $\theta$  is any constant not a multiple of  $2\pi$ , we see that (6) is satisfied but (10) is not. Thus the remark is proved.

Finally I should like to express my thanks to the referee for some useful suggestions and to Dr. B. Kuttner for help in writing this paper.

## References

- [1] F. M. Mears, Absolute Regularity and the Nörlund Mean, *Annals of Mathematics* 38 (1937), 594–601.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*.
- [3] C. N. Moore, Summable series and convergent factors.

The University of Malaya,  
Kuala Lumpur,  
Malaysia.