

# Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups

**A. H. Rhemtulla and Anne Penfold Street**

A subset  $S$  of an additive group  $G$  is called a maximal sum-free set in  $G$  if  $(S+S) \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $|S| \geq |T|$  for every sum-free set  $T$  in  $G$ . It is shown that if  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^n$ , where  $p = 3k \pm 1$ , then a maximal sum-free set in  $G$  has  $kp^{n-1}$  elements. The maximal sum-free sets in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are characterized to within automorphism.

Given an additive group  $G$  and non-empty subsets  $S, T$  of  $G$ , let  $S + T$  denote the set  $\{s+t; s \in S, t \in T\}$ ,  $\bar{S}$  the complement of  $S$  in  $G$  and  $|S|$  the cardinality of  $S$ . We call  $S$  a *sum-free set* in  $G$  if  $(S+S) \subseteq \bar{S}$ . If, in addition,  $|S| \geq |T|$  for every sum-free set  $T$  in  $G$ , then we call  $S$  a *maximal sum-free set* in  $G$ . We denote by  $\lambda(G)$  the cardinality of a maximal sum-free set in  $G$ .

If  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then according to [2],  $2|G|/7 \leq \lambda(G) \leq |G|/2$ . Both these bounds can be attained since  $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_7) = 2$ ,  $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_2) = 1$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Exact values of  $\lambda(G)$  were given by Diananda and Yap [1] for  $|G|$  divisible by 3 or by at least one prime  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . When every prime divisor of  $|G|$  is a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  then, by [1],

$$(1) \quad |G|(m-1)/3m \leq \lambda(G) \leq (|G|-1)/3,$$

---

Received 13 February 1970. The preparation of this paper was partly supported by the National Research Council of Canada grant No. A-5299. The second author is Izaak Walton Killam Post-Doctoral Fellow.

where  $m$  is the exponent of  $G$ . If  $G$  is cyclic,  $\lambda(G)$  attains its upper bound. It was shown in [1] that  $\lambda(G)$  attains its lower bound when  $G$  is the direct sum of two cyclic groups of order 7. Here we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group,  $|G| = p^n$ ,  $p = 3k + 1$ , then  $\lambda(G) = kp^{n-1}$ .*

In [6], Yap characterized all the maximal sum-free sets in  $Z_p$ , where  $p$  is prime and  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Here we do the same when  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  in the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G = Z_p$  where  $p = 3k + 1$  is prime. Then any maximal sum-free set  $S$  may be mapped, under some automorphism of  $G$ , to one of the following:*

- (i)  $\{k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\{k, k+1, \dots, 2k-1\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\{k, k+2, k+3, \dots, 2k-1, 2k+1\}$ .

**DEFINITIONS.** Following Vosper [4], [5], we shall call a set  $A \subseteq Z_n$  a *standard set* if the elements of  $A$  are in arithmetic progression. If  $A, B \subseteq Z_n$  are standard sets with the same common difference, then  $(A, B)$  is a *standard pair*.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We first consider the case when  $|G| = p^2$  and then generalize.

- (a) Let  $G = \langle x_1, x_2; px_i = 0, i = 1, 2; x_1 + x_2 = x_2 + x_1 \rangle$ .

Let  $X_i$  denote  $\langle x_i \rangle$  and let  $S$  be a maximal sum-free set in  $G$ .

$G$  has  $(p+1)$  subgroups of order  $p$ , none of which contains more than  $k$  elements of  $S$  by (1). But  $\lambda(G) \geq kp$  and the union of these  $(p+1)$  subgroups is the whole of  $G$ ; hence at least one of these subgroups contains  $k$  elements of  $S$ . We assume this subgroup to be  $X_1$ .

So  $G = \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} (X_1 + ix_2)$ , and we denote by  $S_i$  the subset of  $X_1$  such

that  $S_i + ix_2 = S \cap (X_1 + ix_2)$ . In particular  $|S_0| = k$ . If  $|S_i| \leq k$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ , then  $|S| \leq kp$ . But  $|S| \geq kp$  by (1) and the theorem follows.

So suppose  $|S_i| > k$  for some  $i$ . We may choose  $x_2$  so that  $|S_1| > k$ . Since  $S$  is sum-free,

$$(2) \quad (S_i + S_j) \cap S_{i+j} = \emptyset$$

and, in particular,

$$(3) \quad (S_0 + S_i) \cap S_i = \emptyset.$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad |S_0 + S_1| \leq p - |S_1|.$$

By the Cauchy-Davenport theorem [3],

$$(5) \quad |S_0| + |S_1| - 1 \leq |S_0 + S_1|.$$

By (4) and (5),  $2|S_1| \leq p + 1 - |S_0|$  so that  $|S_1| \leq k+1$ . Since we assumed  $|S_1| > k$ , we must have  $|S_1| = k+1$ . If  $(S_0, S_1)$  is not a standard pair, then by Vosper's Theorem [4], [5],  $|S_0 + S_1| \geq |S_0| + |S_1| = 2k+1$ . But by (4),  $|S_0 + S_1| \leq 2k$ , a contradiction. Hence  $(S_0, S_1)$  is a standard pair with difference  $d$  and without loss of generality, we may assume that  $d = 1$ .

Since  $S_0$  is sum-free, we have three possibilities:  
 $S_0 = \{k, \dots, 2k-1\}$  or  $\{k+1, \dots, 2k\}$  or  $\{k+2, \dots, 2k+1\}$ . Since  $S_1 = \{l, l+1, \dots, l+k\}$  for some  $l \in X_1$ , neither  $k$  nor  $2k + 1$  belongs to  $S_0$ . Hence

$$(6) \quad S_0 = \{k+1+r; r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$$

and we may choose  $x_2$  so that

$$S_1 = \{k+1+r; r = 0, 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Since  $S$  is sum-free, (3) bounds the range of each  $S_i$ ; more

precisely, for each  $i$  there exists  $\alpha_i \in S_i$  such that

$$S_i \subseteq \{\alpha_i + r; r = 0, 1, \dots, k\}.$$

We call  $S_i$  a small-range set if for some  $m_i > 0$ , we have

$$S_i \subseteq \{\alpha_i + r; r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1-m_i\}$$

and  $\alpha_i + k-1-m_i \in S_i$ . Similarly we call  $S_i$  a normal-range set if  $S_i \subseteq \{\alpha_i + r; r = 0, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $\alpha_i + k-1 \in S_i$ , and a big-range set if  $S_i \subseteq \{\alpha_i + r; r = 0, \dots, k\}$  and  $\alpha_i + k \in S_i$ . By (2) we have

$$(7) \quad S_{i+1} \subseteq \{\alpha_i - m_i + r; r = 0, 1, \dots, k+m_i\}$$

when  $S_i$  is a small-range set;

$$(8) \quad S_{i+1} \subseteq \{\alpha_i + r; r = 0, \dots, k\}$$

when  $S_i$  is a normal-range set;

$$(9) \quad S_{i+1} \subseteq \{\alpha_i + 1 + r; r = 0, \dots, k-1\}$$

when  $S_i$  is a big-range set.

Now consider the movement of  $\alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ . If  $S_i$  is a big-range set then, by (9),  $\alpha_{i+1} > \alpha_i$ . If  $S_i$  is a normal-range set then, by (8),  $\alpha_{i+1} \geq \alpha_i$ . If  $S_i$  is a small-range set then, by (7),  $\alpha_{i+1} \geq \alpha_i - m_i$ . In this last case,  $\alpha_{i+1}$  may be at most  $m_i$  steps closer to 0 than  $\alpha_i$  is. But then the contribution of  $S_i$  to  $S$  is  $m_i$  elements fewer than the average contribution of  $k$  elements. Since  $|S| \geq kp$ , we must make up these  $m_i$  elements, one each from  $m_i$  of the big-range sets. But by (2) and the Cauchy-Davenport theorem, the cosets containing big-range sets themselves form a sum-free set in  $G/X_1$ , so that there are at most  $k$  big-range sets. Hence  $m = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} m_i \leq k$ , and  $\alpha_i \geq k+1-m$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ , where  $k+1 = \alpha_0$  by (6). Hence

$\alpha_i \geq 1$  for all  $i$ . A similar argument, using the relation  $(S_i - S_1) \cap S_{i-1} = \emptyset$  in place of (2), shows that the right hand end-point of  $S_i$  never exceeds  $p - 1$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $0 \notin S_i$ ,  $S \cap X_2 = \emptyset$  and  $|S| \leq kp$ .

(b) Now let  $G$  be an elementary abelian group of order  $p^n$ . Then  $G$  has  $(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$  subgroups of order  $p$ , none of which contains more than  $k$  elements of a maximal sum-free set  $S$ . But

$\lambda(G) \geq kp^{n-1} > (k-1)(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$  so that at least one of these subgroups contains  $k$  elements of  $S$ , and we denote this subgroup by  $X$ . Let  $Y$  denote the subgroup complementing  $X$  in  $G$ . Thus  $Y$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $p^{n-1}$  and has  $(p^{n-1} - 1)/(p - 1) = \rho$  subgroups  $Y_i$  of order  $p$ .

Now  $|S \cap X| = k$  and, by (a),  $|S \cap (X + Y_i)| \leq kp$  for all  $i$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &= \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} |S \cap (X + Y_i)| - (\rho - 1)k \\ &\leq \rho kp - (\rho - 1)k \\ &= \rho k(p - 1) + k \\ &= kp^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

We now establish the following result which we need in the proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA. Let  $G = Z_n$  and let  $S$  be a sum-free set in  $G$  satisfying

$$(10) \quad |S| = k, \quad \bar{S} = S + S \quad \text{and} \quad S = -S$$

where  $n = 3k + 1$ . Then

- I  $(S + g) \cap S = \emptyset$  if and only if  $g \in S$ ;
- II if  $|(S + g) \cap S| = 1$  for some  $g \in G$ , then  $|(S + g^*) \cap S| \geq k - 3$  where  $g^* = 3g/2$  and  $\pm g/2 \in S$ ;
- III if  $|(S + g) \cap S| = \lambda > 1$  for some  $g \in G$ , then there exists  $g^* \in G$  such that  $|(S + g^*) \cap S| \geq k - (\lambda + 1)$ .

Proof. Part I is trivial. To show II, let  $|(S+g) \cap S| = 1$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then there exist  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  such that  $s_1+g = s_2$ . But  $S = -S$ , hence  $-s_2+g = -s_1 \in S$  so that  $s_2 = -s_1$  and  $g = -2s_1$ . Now  $S \cap (S-s_1) = (S-s_1) \cap (S-2s_1) = (S-2s_1) \cap (S-3s_1) = \emptyset$  and  $|S \cap (S-2s_1)| = |(S-3s_1) \cap (S-s_1)| = 1$  so that  $|S \cap (S-3s_1)| \geq k-3$ . Take  $g^* = -3s_1$  to complete the proof of II.

By hypothesis of III, there exist  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  such that  $s_1+g, s_2+g \in S$  and  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . Hence  $\emptyset = (S+s_1) \cap S = (S+s_2) \cap S = (S+g+s_1) \cap S = (S+g+s_2) \cap S = (S+g+s_1) \cap (S+g) = (S+g+s_2) \cap (S+g)$ .

Thus  $|(S+g+s_1) \cap (S+g+s_2)| \geq k - (\lambda+1)$ , with equality only in the case when  $S \cup (S+g) \cup (S+g+s_1) \cup (S+g+s_2) = G$ . Choose  $g^* = s_1 - s_2$  to complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. If  $S$  is a standard set then, by taking an automorphism of  $G$  if necessary, we can assume the common difference to be 1. This gives two possibilities for  $S$ , namely (i) and (ii) of the theorem.

If  $S$  is not a standard set, then by Vosper's Theorem  $|S-S| \geq 2|S|$  whence  $|S-S| = 2k$  or  $2k+1$ . Since  $S$  is sum-free,

$$(11) \quad S \cap (S+S) = S \cap (S-S) = (-S) \cap (S-S) = \emptyset.$$

If  $|S-S| = 2k+1$ , then  $S \cup (S-S) = G$  and by (11),  $S = -S$ . We now show that the case  $|S-S| = 2k$  does not arise. If  $|S-S| = 2k$ , then  $S \cup (S-S) = \{\overline{g}\}$ , for some  $g \in G$  and  $-S \subseteq S \cup \{g\}$ . Two cases are possible:

- (A)  $S = -S$ . Then  $S+S = S-S$  and since  $0 \in S-S$ ,  $g \neq 0$  so that  $-g \in S+S$ . Thus for some  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ,  $-g = s_1+s_2$ . This implies that  $g = -s_1-s_2 \in S+S$ , a contradiction;
- (B)  $-S \subseteq S \cup \{g\}$  and  $g \in -S$ . Then  $|S \cup (-S)| = |S| + 1$  and  $|S \cap (-S)| = 2|S| - |S| - 1 = |S| - 1$ , an odd number. But this is a contradiction since  $0 \notin S$ .

We may now assume that the maximal sum-free set  $S$  satisfies the conditions in (10). If for some  $g \in G$ ,  $|(S+g) \cap S| = 1$ , then by II of the lemma  $|(S+3g/2) \cap S| \geq k-3$ . Map  $3g/2$  to 1 so that  $g = k+1$ .

Now  $|(S+1) \cap S| \neq k-1$  since  $S$  is not a standard set. If  $|(S+1) \cap S| = k-2$ , then obviously  $S = \{\pm k/2, \pm(1+k/2), \dots, \pm(k-1)\}$  which maps under automorphism to the set (iii) in the statement of the theorem. If  $|(S+1) \cap S| = k-3$ , then  $S = \{\alpha, \dots, \alpha+\rho-1, k+\rho+1, \dots, 2k-\rho, 3k+2-\alpha-\rho, \dots, 3k+1-\alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha \leq k$  and  $1 \leq \rho < k/2$ . But  $-g/2 = k \in S$  and  $g = k+1 \notin S$  by the lemma. Hence  $\alpha+\rho-1 = k$  and  $S = \{k+1-\rho, \dots, k, k+\rho+1, \dots, 2k-\rho, 2k+1, \dots, 2k+\rho\}$ . But  $(k+1-\rho) + (k+\rho+1) = 2k+2 \in \bar{S}$ . Hence  $\rho = 1$  and  $S$  is the set (iii) of the statement of the theorem.

We are now left with the case where  $S$  satisfies the conditions in (10) and  $|(S+g) \cap S| \neq 1$  for any  $g \in G$ . By taking an automorphism of  $G$  if necessary, assume that  $|(S+1) \cap S|$  is maximal. We list the elements of  $S$  as follows:

$$(12) \quad S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1+l_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_2+l_2, \dots, \alpha_h, \dots, \alpha_h+l_h\}$$

where  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_1+l_1 < \alpha_2-1 < \alpha_2+l_2 < \dots < \alpha_{h-1} < \alpha_h+l_h < p$ , and  $\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_i+l_i$  denotes a string of  $(l_i+1)$  consecutive elements of  $S$ . By (10),

$$(13) \quad \alpha_{h-i} + l_{h-i} = p - \alpha_{i+1} \text{ for all } i = 0, \dots, h-1.$$

Also

$$(14) \quad |(S+1) \cap S| = k - h \geq |(S+g) \cap S| \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Hence  $h$  is minimal in (12). We show that  $h = 2$ .

Let  $X = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_h\}$  and let  $Y = \{\alpha_1+l_1+1, \dots, \alpha_h+l_h+1\} = \{1-\alpha_1, \dots, 1-\alpha_h\} = 1 - X$  by (13). For any  $i = 1, \dots, h$ ,  $\alpha_i-1 \in \bar{S}$  so that by (14) and the lemma,  $|(S+\alpha_i-1) \cap S| \geq h-1$ . But for any  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ,  $s_1+\alpha_i-1 = s_2$  implies that  $s_1 \in X$ ,  $s_2 \in -X$  and  $s_1+\alpha_i \in Y$ . Hence

$$(15) \quad h \geq |(X+\alpha_i) \cap Y| \geq h - 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, h.$$

Also

$$(16) \quad |X+X| \geq 2h - 1 .$$

Since  $|Y| = h$ ,  $X + X$  contains at least  $(h-1)$  elements which do not belong to  $Y$ . By (15)  $X + \alpha_i$  contains at most one element which does not belong to  $Y$ . Thus for at least  $(h-2)$  values of  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ ,  $2\alpha_i \notin Y$ . But  $2\alpha_i \notin Y$  implies that  $1-\alpha_i \notin X+\alpha_i$  since  $Y = 1-X$ .

Hence for at least  $(h-2)$  values of  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha_1+\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}+\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_h+\alpha_i\} &= (X+\alpha_i) \cap Y \\ &= \{1-\alpha_1, \dots, 1-\alpha_{i-1}, 1-\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, 1-\alpha_h\} , \end{aligned}$$

and summing on both sides of this equation,

$$(17) \quad (h-3)\alpha_i \equiv h - 1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^h \alpha_j \pmod{p} .$$

Hence  $h \leq 3$ . But  $h > 1$  since  $S$  is not a standard set. If  $h = 3$ , we can list the elements of  $S$  as follows:

$$S = \{\alpha, \dots, \alpha+\rho-1, k+\rho+1, \dots, 2k-\rho, 3k+2-\alpha-\rho, \dots, 3k+1-\alpha\} ,$$

where  $\alpha \leq k$  and  $\rho < k/2$ . From (17) we have

$$0 \equiv 3-1-2(\alpha+k+\rho+1-(\alpha+\rho-1)) \pmod{p} \text{ or } 1 \equiv k+2 \pmod{p} \text{ which is not possible.}$$

Hence conclude that  $h = 2$  and obviously

$S = \{\pm k/2, \pm(1+k/2), \dots, \pm(k-1)\}$  which maps under automorphism to the set (iii) in the statement of the theorem.

### References

[1] P.H. Diananda and H.P. Yap, "Maximal sum-free sets of elements of finite groups", *Proc. Japan Acad.* 45 (1969), 1-5.

[2] P. Erdős, "Extremal problems in number theory", *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 8, 181-189. (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, P. T., 1965).

[3] Henry B. Mann, *Addition theorems: The addition theorems of group theory and number theory* (Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Number 18; John Wiley & Sons, New York, London, Sydney, 1965).



- [4] A.G. Vosper, "The critical pairs of subsets of a group of prime order", *J. London Math. Soc.* 31 (1956), 200-205.
- [5] A.G. Vosper, "Addendum to 'The critical pairs of subsets of a group of prime order' ", *J. London Math. Soc.* 31 (1956), 280-282.
- [6] H.P. Yap, "The number of maximal sum-free sets in  $C_p$ ", *Nanta Math.* 2 (1968), 68-71.

The University of Alberta,  
Edmonton,  
Alberta, Canada.