

## THE DISTINCT ZEROS OF THE PRODUCT OF A POLYNOMIAL AND ITS SUCCESSIVE DERIVATIVES

BY  
Q. I. RAHMAN

It has been conjectured that if  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  then the product  $P(z) = p(z)p'(z)p''(z) \dots p^{(n-1)}(z)$  has at least  $n+1$  distinct zeros unless  $p(z) = c(z-a)^n$ . Professor P. Erdős who mentioned this problem in a lecture at the University of Montreal attributed it to Tiberiu Popoviciu.

In the present paper we consider the special case where  $p(z)$  has only real zeros.

Let the statement " $f(z)$  is a constant multiple of  $g(z)$ " be abbreviated " $f(z) \approx g(z)$ ".

We prove the following

**THEOREM.** *If  $p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with real zeros then the product  $P(z) = p(z)p'(z) \dots p^{(n-1)}(z)$  has*

- (i) 1 distinct zero if  $p(z) \approx (z-a)^n$ ;
- (ii)  $n+1$  distinct zeros if  $p(z) \approx (z-a)(z-b)^{n-1}$  or  $p(z) \approx (z-a)^2(z-b)^2$  or  $p(z) \approx (z-a)^3(z-b)^3$ ;
- (iii) at least  $n+2$  distinct zeros, in any other case.

If  $n=3$  then  $p(z) = z(z^2-1)$  is a polynomial with only real zeros for which  $P(z)$  has exactly 5 ( $=n+2$ ) distinct zeros. Again, if  $n=5$  then  $z(z^2-1)^2$  may be taken as a polynomial  $p(z)$  with only real zeros for which  $P(z)$  has exactly 7 ( $=n+2$ ) distinct zeros. However, we do not assert that for each  $n$  there is a polynomial  $p(z)$  of degree  $n$  with only real zeros such that  $P(z)$  has exactly  $n+2$  distinct zeros.

If  $n=1$  the product  $P(z)$  has only one zero.

If  $n=2$  the product  $P(z)$  has 1 distinct zero or 3 ( $=n+1$ ) distinct zeros according as the two zeros of  $p(z)$  are coincident or distinct.

Now let  $n \geq 3$  and suppose that  $p(z)$  has at least 3 distinct zeros. If  $[a, b]$  is the smallest interval containing all the zeros then both  $a$  and  $b$  are zeros of  $p(z)$ . Let the multiplicity of the zero at  $a$  be  $\kappa$  and that of the zero at  $b$  be  $l$ . Then  $\kappa + l \leq n-1$ ,  $\max(\kappa, l) \leq n-2$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\kappa \leq l$ . With the aid of Rolle's theorem it can be reasoned that for  $\kappa \leq j \leq n-2$  the smallest zero  $a^{(j)}$  of  $p^{(j)}(z)$  is simple and

$$a < a^{(\kappa)} < a^{(\kappa+1)} < \dots < a^{(n-2)}.$$

Besides, for  $l \leq j \leq n-2$  the largest zero  $b^{(j)}$  of  $p^{(j)}(z)$  is simple and

$$b > b^{(l)} > b^{(l+1)} > \dots > b^{(n-2)} > a^{(n-2)}.$$

Thus the product  $P(z) = p(z)p'(z) \dots p^{(n-2)}(z)$  has at least  $2n - \kappa - l$  distinct zeros, namely,  $a, a^{(\kappa)}, a^{(\kappa+1)}, \dots, a^{(n-2)}, b^{(n-2)}, b^{(n-3)}, \dots, b^{(l)}, b$ . Including the zero  $\frac{1}{2}(a^{(n-2)} + b^{(n-2)})$  of  $p^{(n-1)}(z)$  the product  $P(z)$  has at least  $n + 2$  distinct zeros.

Finally, let  $n \geq 3$  and suppose that  $p(z)$  has 2 distinct zeros, i.e.  $p(z) \approx (z - \alpha)^\kappa(z - \beta)^l$  where  $\kappa + l = n$ . There is no loss of generality in supposing that  $\alpha = -1, \beta = 1$ , and  $\kappa \leq l$ .

(i) If  $p(z) \approx (z + 1)(z - 1)^{n-1}$  then for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$  the  $j$ th derivative  $p^{(j)}(z)$  has a zero of multiplicity  $n - 1 - j$  at 1 and a simple zero at  $-1 + 2j/n$ . Hence along with the zero  $(n - 2)/n$  of  $p^{(n-1)}(z)$  the product  $p(z)p'(z) \dots p^{(n-1)}(z)$  has precisely  $n + 1$  distinct zeros.

(ii) If  $p(z) \approx (z + 1)^2(z - 1)^2$  or  $p(z) \approx (z + 1)^3(z - 1)^3$  then elementary direct calculation shows that  $P(z)$  has 5 ( $= n + 1$ ) and 7 ( $= n + 1$ ) distinct zeros respectively.

We prove that in every other case the product  $P(z)$  has at least  $n + 2$  distinct zeros.

In fact, the polynomial  $p'(z)$  has a zero of multiplicity  $\kappa - 1$  at  $-1$ , a zero of multiplicity  $l - 1$  at  $+1$  and a zero  $\gamma_1 = -(l - \kappa)/(\kappa + l)$  in the open interval  $(-1, 1)$ . Thus the product  $p(z)p'(z)$  has 3 distinct zeros. The second derivative  $p''(z)$  has a zero of multiplicity  $\kappa - 2$  at  $-1$ , a zero of multiplicity  $l - 2$  at  $+1$ , a simple zero  $\gamma_{2,1}$  in the open interval  $(-1, \gamma_1)$  and a simple zero  $\gamma_{2,2}$  in the open interval  $(\gamma_1, 1)$ . The product  $p(z)p'(z)p''(z)$  has therefore 5 distinct zeros. As long as  $p^{(j)}(z)$  has a zero at  $-1$  the smallest zero  $\gamma_{j+1,1}$  of  $p^{(j+1)}(z)$  lying in the open interval  $(-1, 1)$  cannot be a zero of any of the polynomials  $p(z), p'(z), \dots, p^{(j)}(z)$ . Similarly, as long as  $p^{(j)}(z)$  has a zero at  $+1$  the largest zero  $\gamma_{j+1,2}$  of  $p^{(j+1)}(z)$  lying in the open interval  $(-1, 1)$  cannot be a zero of any of the polynomials  $p(z), p'(z), \dots, p^{(j)}(z)$ . Thus the product  $p(z)p'(z) \dots p^{(n-1)}(z)$  vanishes at least at the  $n + 1$  points  $-1, +1, \gamma_1, \gamma_{2,1}, \dots, \gamma_{\kappa,1}, \gamma_{2,2}, \dots, \gamma_{l,2}$  where

$$-1 < \gamma_{\kappa,1} < \gamma_{\kappa-1,1} < \dots < \gamma_{2,1} < \gamma_1 < \gamma_{2,2} < \dots < \gamma_{l,2} < 1.$$

According to Rolle's theorem the polynomial  $p'''(z)$  has a zero  $\gamma_{3,0}$  between  $\gamma_{2,1}$  and  $\gamma_{2,2}$  which we have not counted since it may possibly coincide with  $\gamma_1$ . But according as  $\kappa = 2$  or  $\kappa > 2$ ,  $p'''(z)$  is proportional to

$$(z - 1)^{n-5} \{ n(n - 1)z^2 + 2(n - 1)(n - 6)z + (n - 4)(n - 9) \}$$

or to

$$(z + 1)^{\kappa-3}(z - 1)^{l-3} \{ \kappa(\kappa - 1)(\kappa - 2)(z - 1)^3 + 3\kappa l(\kappa - 1)(z - 1)^2(z + 1) + 3\kappa l(l - 1)(z - 1)(z + 1)^2 + l(l - 1)(l - 2)(z + 1)^3 \}.$$

Hence  $p'''(\gamma_1) = p'''[-(l - \kappa)/(\kappa + l)] \neq 0$  unless  $k = l$ . It follows that if  $\kappa \neq l$  then  $\gamma_{3,0} \neq \gamma_1$  and the product  $P(z)$  has at least  $n + 2$  distinct zeros.

Since the case  $\kappa = l = 2$  and  $\kappa = l = 3$  have already been considered let  $\kappa = l$  and  $n \geq 8$ . In our preceding discussion of the case  $p(z) \approx (z + 1)^\kappa(z - 1)^l$  where  $\kappa + l = n$  we have ignored the fact that the polynomial  $p^{(iv)}(z)$  has a simple zero  $\gamma_{4,-0}$  in

the open interval  $(\gamma_{3,1}, \gamma_{3,0})$  and another simple zero  $\gamma_{4,+0}$  in the open interval  $(\gamma_{3,0}, \gamma_{3,2})$ . We did so in order to allow the possibility that  $\gamma_{4,-0}, \gamma_{4,+0}$  may respectively be equal to  $\gamma_{2,1}, \gamma_{2,2}$ . However, if  $p(z) \approx (1-z^2)^\kappa$  then

$$\gamma_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa-1}}, \quad \gamma_{2,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa-1}}$$

and

$$p^{(iv)}(z) \approx (1-z^2)^{\kappa-4} \{(2\kappa-1)(2\kappa-3)z^4 - 6(2\kappa-3)z^2 + 3\}.$$

Hence neither  $p^{(iv)}(\gamma_{2,1})=0$  nor  $p^{(iv)}(\gamma_{2,2})=0$ , i.e.  $\gamma_{4,-0} \neq \gamma_{2,1}$  and  $\gamma_{4,+0} \neq \gamma_{2,2}$ . It follows that the product  $P(z)$  has at least  $n+3$  distinct zeros.

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL,  
MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC