# Effect of 30 % nutrient restriction in the first half of gestation on maternal and fetal baboon serum amino acid concentrations

Thomas J. McDonald<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Guoyao Wu<sup>3</sup>, Mark J. Nijland, Susan L. Jenkins<sup>1</sup>, Peter W. Nathanielsz<sup>1,2</sup> and Thomas Jansson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Center for Pregnancy and Newborn Research, University of Texas Health Sciences Center, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA

<sup>2</sup>Southwest National Primate Research Center at the Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, 78227, USA

(Submitted 26 January 2012 - Final revision received 10 May 2012 - Accepted 25 June 2012 - First published online 9 October 2012)

#### Abstract

Mechanisms linking maternal nutrient restriction (MNR) to intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR) and programming of adult disease remain to be established. The impact of controlled MNR on maternal and fetal amino acid metabolism has not been studied in non-human primates. We hypothesised that MNR in pregnant baboons decreases fetal amino acid availability by mid-gestation. We determined maternal and fetal circulating amino acid concentrations at 90 d gestation (90dG, term 184dG) in control baboons fed *ad libitum* (C, n 8) or 70% of C (MNR, n 6). Before pregnancy, C and MNR body weights and circulating amino acids were similar. At 90dG, MNR mothers had lower body weight than C mothers (P<0·0·5). Fetal and placental weights were similar between the groups. MNR reduced maternal blood urea N (BUN), fetal BUN and fetal BUN:creatinine. Except for histidine and lysine in the C and MNR groups and glutamine in the MNR group, circulating concentrations of all amino acids were lower at 90dG compared with pre-pregnancy. Maternal circulating amino acids at 90dG were similar in the MNR and C groups. In contrast, MNR fetal  $\beta$ -alanine, glycine and taurine all increased. In conclusion, maternal circulating amino acids were maintained at normal levels and fetal amino acid availability was not impaired in response to 30% global MNR in pregnant baboons. However, MNR weight gain was reduced, suggesting adaptation in maternal–fetal resource allocation in an attempt to maintain normal fetal growth. We speculate that these adaptive mechanisms may fail later in gestation when fetal nutrient demands increase rapidly, resulting in IUGR.

Key words: Pregnancy: Nutrition: Primates: Placenta: Growth



Maternal undernutrition during pregnancy remains a significant challenge to fetal growth and development worldwide and constitutes a very significant problem in the USA because 48·8 million Americans lived in households experiencing food insecurity or hunger at least some time during 2010 (http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/FoodSecurity/stats\_graphs. htm). Furthermore, maternal undernutrition is, together with infections, the most common cause of intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR) in developing countries<sup>(1)</sup> and fetal undernutrition accompanies placental insufficiency, a common aetiology of IUGR, even in more affluent societies<sup>(2)</sup>. In addition, maternal undernutrition may have adverse consequences for the fetus without affecting fetal growth<sup>(3)</sup>.

An adequate supply of amino acids is essential for normal development of the placenta and fetus at all stages of pregnancy. In addition, the mother has her own needs for amino acids to accommodate the extensive energetic and tissue remodelling demands of pregnancy. Amino acids serve as building blocks for tissue protein synthesis and perform many specific cell functions such as providing antioxidant capacity and serving as substrates for hormone synthesis and cell signalling molecules. Amino acids also act as essential precursors of many molecules of physiological significance such as NO and polyamines from arginine as well as amino sugars (4–7). Furthermore, amino acids also constitute important stimuli for the secretion of insulin, an important fetal growth hormone. Thus, amino availability is a key determinant of fetal growth.

The effects of maternal nutrient restriction (MNR) on maternal, placental and fetal growth have been extensively studied in rodents and sheep. Global MNR paradigms have ranged from moderate (30% reduction) to severe (70% reduction)<sup>(8,9)</sup>. All models of human pregnancy have their

Abbreviations: BUN, blood urea N; C, control; dG, d of gestation; MNR, maternal nutrient restriction; IUGR, intra-uterine growth restriction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Nutrition, Center for Animal Biotechnology and Genomics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: T. J. McDonald, fax +1 210 567 3406, email mcdonaldt@uthscsa.edu



strengths and weaknesses. Energetically speaking, rodents and sheep are inappropriate models for human pregnancy because the daily costs of fetal growth and milk synthesis are much higher for rodents and sheep than they are for humans. In contrast, these costs are identical for baboons and humans due to the characteristic traits of slow-growing fetuses with long gestations. However, with respect to maternal body fat stores, sheep and rats are more similar to humans than baboons, which are lean in pregnancy (10). Our previous studies of development at 50% of fetal primate gestation have shown significant effects of moderate MNR on structure and molecular mechanisms in several organ systems, including the brain<sup>(11)</sup>, kidney<sup>(12)</sup> and liver<sup>(13)</sup>. Because a sufficient supply of amino acids is critical for normal development, we felt it important to conduct the present study on amino acid availability at the same stage of gestation where we have found organ deficits. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that MNR in the pregnant baboon results in decreased fetal amino acid availability in mid-gestation.

#### Materials and methods

### Animals

Normally cycling female baboons (Papio sp., n 14) from 8 to 15 years of age were maintained at the Southwest National Primate Research Center and housed in two group cages, each containing one male. Housing conditions and animal care were as described previously (14). All animals were fed ad libitum until the start of the study. Commencing at 30 d of gestation (dG), eight pregnant baboons were selected at random and allowed to continue feeding ad libitum as controls (C) to 90dG while six additional pregnant baboons consumed 70% of the C diet, i.e. 30% MNR, on a per kg body-weight basis. All procedures using animals in the present study were performed using the Guide for the Care and Use of Animals and were approved by the Internal Animal Care and Use Committee of the Texas Biomedical Research Institute and were conducted in Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC)approved facilities.

## Feed and feeding

Table 1 shows the detailed composition of the feed (Purina Monkey Diet 5038) and the amount consumed daily by the C group throughout the study. Once per d before feeding, all baboons from a single group cage passed along a chute into individual feeding cages. The baboons were fed from 07.00-09.00 or 11.00-13.00 hours. At the start of the feeding period, each C baboon was given sixty biscuits accessed through a 6.4 cm diameter opening into the feed containers. C food consumption was expressed as the average consumption per animal per kg body weight for each week of pregnancy. Restricted animals were given 70% of the average C consumption/kg body weight for the same week of gestation. The floor of individual cages was made of galvanised mesh to minimise the loss of biscuits. The sides of the feeding cages were solid

Table 1. Composition of Purina Monkey Diet 5038 and control group average consumption from 30 to 90 d of gestation\*

| Arg         0.81         0.13         0.003           Cystine         0.23         0.04         0.001           Gly         0.66         0.12         0.003           His         0.39         0.06         0.002           Ile         0.70         0.13         0.003           Leu         1.44         0.24         0.006           Lys         0.75         0.12         0.003           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006     <               |                             |             | Mean ar<br>consume<br>body weigl | d (g/kg |  |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|
| Arg         0.81         0.13         0.003           Cystine         0.23         0.04         0.001           Gly         0.66         0.12         0.003           His         0.39         0.06         0.002           Ile         0.70         0.13         0.003           Leu         1.44         0.24         0.006           Lys         0.75         0.12         0.003           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006     <               | Nutrients                   | % of Ration | Mean                             | SEM     |  |
| Cystine         0.23         0.04         0.001           Gly         0.66         0.12         0.003           His         0.39         0.06         0.002           Ile         0.70         0.13         0.003           Leu         1.44         0.24         0.003           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Fat (diethyl ether extract)         5.0         0.79         0.023           Cholesterol         75 ppm         75 pp | Protein                     | 15.7        | 2.45                             | 0.062   |  |
| Gly         0.66         0.12         0.003           His         0.39         0.06         0.002           Ile         0.70         0.13         0.003           Leu         1.44         0.24         0.006           Lys         0.75         0.12         0.003           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Arg                         | 0.81        | 0.13                             | 0.003   |  |
| His 0.39 0.06 0.002 Ille 0.70 0.13 0.003 Leu 1.44 0.24 0.006 Lys 0.75 0.12 0.003 Met 0.43 0.07 0.002 Phe 0.74 0.13 0.003 Tyr 0.47 0.07 0.002 Thr 0.56 0.10 0.002 Trp 0.18 0.03 0.001 Val 0.76 0.13 0.003 Ser 0.80 0.12 0.003 Asp + Asn 1.48 0.22 0.006 Glu + Gln 3.79 0.59 0.15 Ala 0.95 0.15 0.004 Pro 1.43 0.22 0.006 Taurine (Tau) <0.01 <0.002 Fat (diethyl ether extract) 5.0 0.79 0.002 Taurine (Tau) <0.01 <0.002 Fat (acid hydrolysis) 6.0 0.93 0.023 Cholesterol 75 ppm 75 ppm Linoleic acid 1.66 0.23 0.006 Linolenic acid 0.10 0.01 0.000 Arachidonic acid 0.10 0.01 0.000 Arachidonic acid 0.10 0.01 0.000 Total SFA 1.54 0.27 0.007 Total MUFA 1.68 0.26 0.007 Fibre (crude) 4.5 0.63 0.016 Neutral-detergent fibre 16.8 2.64 0.066 Acid-detergent fibre 16.8 2.64 0.066 Acid-detergent fibre 5.80 0.90 0.023 N-free extract (by difference) 5.93 9.60 0.241 Starch 42.40 6.52 0.164 Glucose 0.29 0.04 0.001 Fructose 0.32 0.055 Sucrose 2.24 0.34 0.008   | Cystine                     | 0.23        | 0.04                             | 0.001   |  |
| Ille  | Gly                         | 0.66        | 0.12                             | 0.003   |  |
| Leu         1.44         0.24         0.006           Lys         0.75         0.12         0.003           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006         0.04           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Fat (diethyl ether extract)         5.0         0.79         0.022           Fat (acid hydrolysis)         6.0         0.93         0.023           Cholesterol         75 ppm         75 ppm           Linoleic acid         1.66         0.23         0.006           Arachidonic acid         <0.01  | His                         | 0.39        | 0.06                             | 0.002   |  |
| Lys         0.75         0.12         0.003           Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | lle                         | 0.70        | 0.13                             | 0.003   |  |
| Met         0.43         0.07         0.002           Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Leu                         | 1.44        | 0.24                             | 0.006   |  |
| Phe         0.74         0.13         0.003           Tyr         0.47         0.07         0.002           Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.04           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         < 0.01   | Lys                         | 0.75        | 0.12                             | 0.003   |  |
| Tyr 0.47 0.07 0.002 Thr 0.56 0.10 0.002 Trp 0.18 0.03 0.001 Val 0.76 0.13 0.003 Ser 0.80 0.12 0.003 Asp + Asn 1.48 0.22 0.006 Glu + Gln 3.79 0.59 0.015 Ala 0.95 0.15 0.004 Pro 1.43 0.22 0.006 Taurine (Tau) <0.01 <0.002 Taurine (Tau) <0.01 <0.002 Tat (diethyl ether extract) 5.0 0.79 0.020 Tat (acid hydrolysis) 6.0 0.93 0.023 Cholesterol 75 ppm 75 ppm Linoleic acid 1.66 0.23 0.006 Linolenic acid 0.10 0.01 0.000 Arachidonic acid <0.01 <0.002  Total SFA 1.54 0.27 0.007 Total MUFA 1.68 0.26 0.007 Total MUFA 1.68 0.26 0.007 Fibre (crude) 4.5 0.63 0.016 Neutral-detergent fibre 16.8 2.64 0.066 Acid-detergent fibre 5.80 0.90 0.023 N-free extract (by difference) 59.3 9.60 0.241 Starch 42.40 6.52 0.164 Glucose 0.29 0.04 0.001 Fructose 0.32 0.05 0.001   | Met                         | 0.43        | 0.07                             | 0.002   |  |
| Thr         0.56         0.10         0.002           Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Phe                         | 0.74        | 0.13                             | 0.003   |  |
| Trp         0.18         0.03         0.001           Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.006           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Tyr                         | 0.47        | 0.07                             | 0.002   |  |
| Val         0.76         0.13         0.003           Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.006           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Thr                         | 0.56        | 0.10                             | 0.002   |  |
| Ser         0.80         0.12         0.003           Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Trp                         | 0.18        | 0.03                             | 0.001   |  |
| Asp + Asn         1.48         0.22         0.006           Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         <0.01   | Val                         | 0.76        | 0.13                             | 0.003   |  |
| Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         < 0.01  | Ser                         | 0.80        | 0.12                             | 0.003   |  |
| Glu + Gln         3.79         0.59         0.015           Ala         0.95         0.15         0.004           Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         < 0.01  | Asp + Asn                   | 1.48        | 0.22                             | 0.006   |  |
| Pro         1.43         0.22         0.006           Taurine (Tau)         < 0.01  |                             | 3.79        | 0.59                             | 0.015   |  |
| Taurine (Tau)         < 0.01  | Ala                         | 0.95        | 0.15                             | 0.004   |  |
| Fat (diethyl ether extract)         5.0         0.79         0.020           Fat (acid hydrolysis)         6.0         0.93         0.023           Cholesterol         75 ppm         75 ppm         75 ppm           Linoleic acid         1.66         0.23         0.006           Linolenic acid         0.10         0.01         0.002           n-3 Fatty acids         0.13         0.02         0.000           Total SFA         1.54         0.27         0.007           Total MUFA         1.68         0.26         0.007           Fibre (crude)         4.5         0.63         0.016           Neutral-detergent fibre         16.8         2.64         0.066           Acid-detergent fibre         5.80         0.90         0.023           N-free extract (by difference)         59.3         9.60         0.241           Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.008          | Pro                         | 1.43        | 0.22                             | 0.006   |  |
| Fat (acid hydrolysis)         6.0         0.93         0.023           Cholesterol         75 ppm         75 ppm         75 ppm           Linoleic acid         1.66         0.23         0.006           Linolenic acid         0.10         0.01         0.002           Arachidonic acid         < 0.01  | Taurine (Tau)               | < 0.01      | < 0.002                          |         |  |
| Fat (acid hydrolysis)         6.0         0.93         0.023           Cholesterol         75 ppm         75 ppm         75 ppm           Linoleic acid         1.66         0.23         0.006           Linolenic acid         0.10         0.01         0.002           Arachidonic acid         < 0.01  | Fat (diethyl ether extract) | 5.0         | 0.79                             | 0.020   |  |
| Linoleic acid         1.66         0.23         0.006           Linolenic acid         0.10         0.01         0.002           Arachidonic acid         <0.01   |                             | 6.0         | 0.93                             | 0.023   |  |
| Linoleic acid         1.66         0.23         0.006           Linolenic acid         0.10         0.01         0.002           Arachidonic acid         <0.01   | Cholesterol                 | 75 ppm      | 75 ppm                           |         |  |
| Arachidonic acid         < 0.01   | Linoleic acid               |             |                                  | 0.006   |  |
| n-3 Fatty acids         0.13         0.02         0.000           Total SFA         1.54         0.27         0.007           Total MUFA         1.68         0.26         0.007           Fibre (crude)         4.5         0.63         0.016           Neutral-detergent fibre         16.8         2.64         0.066           Acid-detergent fibre         5.80         0.90         0.023           N-free extract (by difference)         59.3         9.60         0.241           Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.008  | Linolenic acid              | 0.10        | 0.01                             | 0.000   |  |
| Total SFA         1.54         0.27         0.007           Total MUFA         1.68         0.26         0.007           Fibre (crude)         4.5         0.63         0.016           Neutral-detergent fibre         16.8         2.64         0.066           Acid-detergent fibre         5.80         0.90         0.023           N-free extract (by difference)         59.3         9.60         0.241           Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.009  | Arachidonic acid            | < 0.01      | < 0.002                          |         |  |
| Total SFA         1.54         0.27         0.007           Total MUFA         1.68         0.26         0.007           Fibre (crude)         4.5         0.63         0.016           Neutral-detergent fibre         16.8         2.64         0.066           Acid-detergent fibre         5.80         0.90         0.023           N-free extract (by difference)         59.3         9.60         0.241           Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.009  | n-3 Fatty acids             | 0.13        | 0.02                             | 0.000   |  |
| Fibre (crude)         4.5         0.63         0.016           Neutral-detergent fibre         16.8         2.64         0.066           Acid-detergent fibre         5.80         0.90         0.023           N-free extract (by difference)         59.3         9.60         0.241           Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.009   |                             | 1.54        | 0.27                             | 0.007   |  |
| Neutral-detergent fibre         16-8         2-64         0-066           Acid-detergent fibre         5-80         0-90         0-023           N-free extract (by difference)         59-3         9-60         0-241           Starch         42-40         6-52         0-164           Glucose         0-29         0-04         0-001           Fructose         0-32         0-05         0-001           Sucrose         2-24         0-34         0-009  | Total MUFA                  | 1.68        | 0.26                             | 0.007   |  |
| Neutral-detergent fibre         16-8         2-64         0-066           Acid-detergent fibre         5-80         0-90         0-023           N-free extract (by difference)         59-3         9-60         0-241           Starch         42-40         6-52         0-164           Glucose         0-29         0-04         0-001           Fructose         0-32         0-05         0-001           Sucrose         2-24         0-34         0-009  | Fibre (crude)               | 4.5         | 0.63                             | 0.016   |  |
| Acid-detergent fibre         5.80         0.90         0.023           N-free extract (by difference)         59.3         9.60         0.241           Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.009  |                             | 16-8        | 2.64                             | 0.066   |  |
| N-free extract (by difference)       59·3       9·60       0·241         Starch       42·40       6·52       0·164         Glucose       0·29       0·04       0·001         Fructose       0·32       0·05       0·001         Sucrose       2·24       0·34       0·009   | •                           | 5.80        | 0.90                             | 0.023   |  |
| Starch         42.40         6.52         0.164           Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.009  | <u> </u>                    |             |                                  | 0.241   |  |
| Glucose         0.29         0.04         0.001           Fructose         0.32         0.05         0.001           Sucrose         2.24         0.34         0.009  |                             |             |                                  | 0.164   |  |
| Fructose 0.32 0.05 0.001<br>Sucrose 2.24 0.34 0.009   |                             |             |                                  | 0.001   |  |
| Sucrose 2.24 0.34 0.009   |                             |             |                                  | 0.001   |  |
|   |                             |             |                                  | 0.009   |  |
|   |                             |             |                                  | 0.006   |  |

ppm. Parts per million.

metal so animals could not access the food of animals in adjacent cages. Water was continuously available both in the feeding cages and in group housing. At the end of the 2 h feeding session the animals were run back into their own group cage after which the biscuits remaining in the tray and on the floor of the cage and in the pan were counted. No treats or supplements were provided to either group in the period 30–90dG.

#### Blood sampling

All blood samples were taken before feeding. Maternal blood samples were obtained from non-pregnant and pregnant animals by femoral venepuncture. At caesarean section, maternal uterine vein and fetal umbilical vein blood samples were collected into heparinised tubes for amino acid analysis and no-additive tubes for all other analytes as described previously<sup>(15)</sup>.



The full composition of Monkey Diet 5038 can be found at http://labdiet.com/pdf/



# Body weights

Once per d just before feeding, all baboons from a single group cage passed along a chute and stopped at a weighing scale. The weight, in kg to three decimal places of each adult female baboon, both pre-pregnancy and during pregnancy, was obtained as she crossed an electronic scale system (GSE 665; GSE Scale Systems) at the entrance to the individual feeding cages<sup>(14)</sup>.

#### Caesarean sections

All baboons were tranquilised with ketamine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg). After intubation, isoflurane (starting rate 2% with oxygen: 2·0 litres/min) was administered to maintain a surgical plane of anaesthesia throughout the surgery. Conventional caesarean sections using a standard sterile technique were performed as described previously<sup>(15)</sup>. At caesarean section, fetuses and placentas were towel-dried and weighed. Postoperative analgesia was provided with buprenorphine (0·015 mg/kg per d as two doses) for 3 d post-surgery<sup>(15)</sup>.

# Biochemical analyses

Within 1h of collection, the clotted blood was centrifuged and the serum removed. Biochemical determinations were made in serum for glucose, blood urea N (BUN), creatinine, total protein, albumin and globulin with the Beckman Synchron CX5CE Analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Inc.)<sup>(15)</sup>.

### Amino acid analyses

Heparinised plasma samples  $(0.1\,\mathrm{ml})$  were deproteinised with  $0.1\,\mathrm{ml}$  of  $1.5\,\mathrm{m}$ -HClO<sub>4</sub> and neutralised with  $0.05\,\mathrm{ml}$  of  $2\,\mathrm{m}$ -K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The solution was centrifuged at  $12\,000\,\mathrm{g}$  at  $4^\circ\mathrm{C}$  for  $1\,\mathrm{min}$  and the supernatant used for analyses. Amino acids were determined by HPLC methods involving precolumn derivatisation with o-phthaldialdehyde, as described previously in detail<sup>(16)</sup>. All amino acids were quantified on the basis of authentic standards (Sigma Chemicals) using Millenium-32 Software (Waters)<sup>(15)</sup>.

# Statistical analyses

Comparisons between mothers were performed with repeated-measures two-way ANOVA and the *post hoc* Bonferroni correction; fetuses were compared using Student's non-paired t test. The sex distribution in the controls was two males and six females, and in the MNR group three males and three females. Sex differences between fetuses were examined based on a linear model with  $2 \times 2$  factorial and the *post hoc* Bonferroni correction. No significant differences were found, so data from the sexes were pooled. Correlation was performed using the Pearson product–moment correlation coefficient. Amino acid data were transformed to natural logs before testing. Data are presented as means with their standard errors with the  $\alpha$ -level set at 0.05.

#### Results

#### Body weights and food consumption

The C and MNR groups did not differ in body weight or average age before pregnancy. During the study (30–90dG), the C group maintained their body weight while MNR baboons lost approximately 6% of their preconceptional weight (P<0·05; Table 2). Fetal weights, placental weights and fetal:placental weight ratios were not different between the groups (Table 2). Average food consumption from 30 to 90dG was significantly (P<0·0005) different between the C (15·87 (SEM 1·13) g/kg body weight per d) and MNR groups (11·32 (SEM 0·24) g/kg body weight per d).

#### Biochemical measurements

There was a tendency for serum glucose to be reduced in MNR group fetuses (Table 3); however, due to the variability in the data, it did not reach significance. Pregnancy reduced BUN in both C and MNR mothers compared with the pre-pregnant state (P < 0.05; Table 3). The MNR treatment reduced both maternal and fetal BUN in comparison with the C treatment (P < 0.05) while no differences were observed in creatinine concentrations between the treatment groups. In addition, the maternal and fetal BUN:creatinine ratio was lower in the MNR group (P < 0.05; Table 3). Although the fetal:maternal glucose ratio appeared lower in the MNR group, this difference did not reach significance (P = 0.10). Interestingly, MNR decreased maternal serum albumin and increased serum globulin so that the maternal albumin:globulin ratio was decreased (Table 3).

## Amino acids

Maternal plasma concentrations of all measured amino acids, except the essential amino acids histidine and lysine in C and MNR group mothers and the non-essential amino

**Table 2.** Maternal and fetal/placental morphometrics from animals fed as *ad libitum* controls (C, n 8) or fed a 70 % C diet (maternal nutrient restriction (MNR), n 6) from 30 to 90 d of gestation

(Mean values with their standard errors)

|                               | С      |      | MN     | R    |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|--------|------|
|                               | Mean   | SEM  | Mean   | SEM  |
| Maternal                      |        |      |        |      |
| Pre-pregnant                  |        |      |        |      |
| Body weight (kg)              | 13.7   | 0.5  | 13.0   | 0.2  |
| Body length (cm)              | 87.6   | 1.2  | 87.7   | 0.8  |
| Biparietal distance (cm)      | 9.7    | 0.6  | 9.7    | 0.3  |
| 90 d of gestation             |        |      |        |      |
| Body weight (kg)              | 13.7   | 0.4  | 12.2*† | 0.3  |
| Fetal/placental               |        |      |        |      |
| Fetal weight (g)              | 100.93 | 3.37 | 95.43  | 3.26 |
| Body length (cm)              | 17.94  | 0.31 | 17.58  | 0.44 |
| Weight:length (g/cm)          | 5.62   | 0.15 | 5.43   | 0.14 |
| BMI (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )      | 3.14   | 0.09 | 3.10   | 0.12 |
| Biparietal distance (mm)      | 33.51  | 0.48 | 34.17  | 0.70 |
| Placental weight (g)          | 70.36  | 5.09 | 62.93  | 1.48 |
| Umbilical cord length (cm)    | 14.08  | 0.80 | 11.83  | 0.90 |
| Fetal weight:placental weight | 1.47   | 0.07 | 1.52   | 0.06 |

<sup>\*</sup> Mean value was significantly different from that of the C group (P<0.05).

<sup>†</sup> Mean value was significantly different compared with pre-pregnant weight (P< 0.05).

Table 3. Maternal and fetal/placental analytes from animals fed as ad libitum controls (C, n 8) or fed a 70 % C diet (maternal nutrient restriction (MNR), n 6) from 30 to 90 d of gestation

(Mean values with their standard errors)

|                             | Pre-pregnant |      |        |      |       | Mate  | ernal |      |      | Fetal |       |      |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|--|
|                             | С            |      | C MNR‡ |      | (     | C MNR |       | С    |      | MNR   |       |      |  |
|                             | Mean         | SEM  | Mean   | SEM  | Mean  | SEM   | Mean  | SEM  | Mean | SEM   | Mean  | SEM  |  |
| Glucose (mg/l)              | 808          | 64.6 | 837    | 60.5 | 744   | 96-3  | 858   | 106  | 471  | 43.5  | 390   | 74.4 |  |
| BUN (mg/l)                  | 149          | 10.1 | 135    | 7.6  | 103†  | 6.7   | 75*†  | 4.3  | 110  | 5.8   | 80*   | 5.2  |  |
| Creatinine (mg/l)           | 10           | 0.6  | 10     | 0.6  | 9     | 0.8   | 9     | 0.7  | 8    | 0.4   | 8     | 0.4  |  |
| BUN:creatinine              | 15.0         | 0.87 | 13.9   | 1.42 | 11.6† | 1.40  | 8-4†  | 0.61 | 14.0 | 0.96  | 10.0* | 0.98 |  |
| Total protein (g/l)         | 71           | 1.6  | 69     | 1.2  | 67    | 1.9   | 67    | 1.6  | 26   | 0.6   | 27    | 0.4  |  |
| Albumin (g/l)               | 39           | 0.9  | 39     | 1    | 31†   | 1.6   | 32†   | 1.4  | 18   | 0.4   | 19    | 0.4  |  |
| Globulin (calculated) (g/l) | 32           | 1.3  | 30     | 0.5  | 37†   | 1.7   | 35†   | 0.8  | 8    | 0.4   | 8     | 0.4  |  |
| Albumin:globulin            | 1.2          | 0.06 | 1.3    | 0.03 | 0.8†  | 0.05  | 0.9†  | 0.04 | 2.5  | 0.14  | 2.3   | 0.15 |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Mean value was significantly different from that of the C group (P < 0.05).

acid glutamine in MNR mothers, were reduced at 90dG in comparison with pre-pregnant concentrations (Table 4). Maternal plasma amino acids at 90dG were similar in the MNR and C groups. MNR resulted in elevated fetal glycine, taurine and  $\beta$ -alanine (P < 0.05). The fetal:maternal ratio was increased with MNR for glycine, β-alanine and arginine (Table 5). The only fetal amino acid that correlated with maternal concentrations in plasma was glutamine (r 0.95; P < 0.01). In fetuses, no significant differences in plasma

amino acid concentration between sexes were found (Table 6). Also, no differences were found between C and MNR females while very few males in the C group prevented comparisons of C v. MNR males.

#### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first report exploring the effects of MNR on maternal and fetal amino acid levels in non-human

Table 4. Nutritionally essential and non-essential amino acid plasma levels (µM) in female baboons before pregnancy (PP) and ad libitum-fed control (C, n 8) or globally nutrient-restricted mothers (maternal nutrient restriction (MNR), n 6; fed a 70 % C diet) from 30 to 90 d of gestation (dG)

(Mean values with their standard errors)

|                     | CI     | C PP  |         | dG    | MNR-    | MNR† PP |         | 90 dG |
|---------------------|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
|                     | Mean   | SEM   | Mean    | SEM   | Mean    | SEM     | Mean    | SEM   |
| Essential           |        |       |         |       |         |         |         | ·     |
| Arg                 | 195.5  | 7.14  | 124.5*  | 7.58  | 191.8   | 6.52    | 117.8*  | 4.95  |
| His                 | 105.5  | 3.30  | 92.2    | 2.22  | 99.8    | 4.22    | 94.7    | 6.56  |
| lle                 | 77.6   | 2.90  | 46.0*   | 2.40  | 71.5    | 4.4     | 46.0*   | 3.75  |
| Leu                 | 134.5  | 4.57  | 71.0*   | 3.37  | 126.9   | 4.92    | 64.9*   | 6.32  |
| Lys                 | 141.3  | 5.79  | 128-2   | 5.75  | 165⋅5   | 14.36   | 142.9   | 13.98 |
| Met                 | 32.2   | 0.99  | 20.9*   | 0.92  | 27.4    | 0.89    | 18-1*   | 0.85  |
| Phe                 | 68.7   | 3.12  | 34.1*   | 1.92  | 67.4    | 1.36    | 30.0*   | 0.96  |
| Thr                 | 96.4   | 3.48  | 71.9*   | 5.21  | 92.2    | 3.57    | 68-1*   | 7.08  |
| Val                 | 180-8  | 7.67  | 101.9*  | 5.18  | 159⋅0   | 8.15    | 98.8*   | 10.60 |
| Total essential     | 1032-4 | 26.83 | 690.8*  | 26.64 | 1001.6  | 36-21   | 681.2*  | 47.33 |
| Non-essential       |        |       |         |       |         |         |         |       |
| Ala                 | 267.7  | 10.83 | 182.9*  | 12.1  | 280.9   | 24.21   | 210.8*  | 26.83 |
| Asn                 | 43.6   | 2.19  | 27.4*   | 1.19  | 37.3    | 5.22    | 26.2*   | 2.24  |
| Asp                 | 25.4   | 1.39  | 6.3*    | 0.53  | 26.1    | 0.98    | 5.9*    | 0.62  |
| β-Ala               | 26.8   | 2.91  | 12.4*   | 0.92  | 25.4    | 2.91    | 13.0*   | 1.61  |
| Cit                 | 30.4   | 3.20  | 19.6*   | 1.51  | 29.5    | 1.39    | 17.8*   | 3.01  |
| Gln                 | 519-6  | 11.89 | 304.6*  | 15.87 | 445.8   | 55.94   | 355-2   | 25.58 |
| Glu                 | 127-4  | 6.47  | 47.9*   | 4.33  | 150-5   | 16.97   | 43.4*   | 5.96  |
| Gly                 | 381.6  | 9.30  | 200.6*  | 11.37 | 417.0   | 60.93   | 195.9*  | 9.74  |
| Orn                 | 28.1   | 1.59  | 12.6*   | 1.37  | 31.5    | 3.69    | 13.0*   | 1.15  |
| Ser                 | 132.4  | 5.37  | 77.6*   | 3.00  | 140-2   | 13.53   | 86.5*   | 7.76  |
| Tau                 | 138-9  | 3.56  | 88.5*   | 4.96  | 145.8   | 18-89   | 82.4*   | 9.20  |
| Trp                 | 47.5   | 2.69  | 30.2*   | 2.09  | 47.9    | 3.80    | 23.8*   | 1.91  |
| Tyr                 | 43.1   | 1.66  | 25.8*   | 1.80  | 39.2    | 2.92    | 24.3*   | 1.09  |
| Total non-essential | 1812.5 | 27.54 | 1036-5* | 32.35 | 1817-00 | 127-41  | 1098-2* | 45.98 |

<sup>\*</sup> Mean value was significantly different compared with the PP state (P<0.05).



<sup>†</sup> Mean value was significantly different compared with the pre-pregnant state (P<0.05).

<sup>‡</sup>MNR data are from the mothers that were randomly assigned to MNR in the pregnancy group. Biochemical determinations were made in serum with the Beckman Synchron CX5CE (Beckman Coulter, Inc.).

<sup>†</sup> MNR data are from mothers that were randomly assigned to MNR in the pregnancy group.



Table 5. Fetal values (µM) and fetal (FET):maternal (MAT) ratios of essential and non-essential amino acid plasma levels in ad libitumfed control (C, n 5) v. globally nutrient restricted (maternal nutrient restriction (MNR), n 6; fed a 70 % C diet) from 30 to 90 d of gestation (Mean values with their standard errors)

|                     | FET C   |        | FET N    | MNR    | FET:MAT C |      | FET:MAT MNR |      |
|---------------------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|------|-------------|------|
|                     | Mean    | SEM    | Mean     | SEM    | Mean      | SEM  | Mean        | SEM  |
| Essential           |         |        |          |        |           |      |             |      |
| Arg                 | 216.70  | 47.57  | 338-34†  | 41.78  | 1.57      | 0.39 | 2.86*       | 0.34 |
| His                 | 155.51  | 18.75  | 150.79   | 17.49  | 1.75      | 0.21 | 1.60        | 0.18 |
| lle                 | 87.74   | 6.13   | 78.02    | 14.25  | 2.02      | 0.12 | 1.67        | 0.21 |
| Leu                 | 87.97   | 20.86  | 108.08   | 25.01  | 1.40      | 0.26 | 1.63        | 0.27 |
| Lys                 | 502.83  | 41.28  | 571.87   | 69.59  | 4.03      | 0.19 | 4.12        | 0.52 |
| Met                 | 52.27   | 4.92   | 43.12    | 5.62   | 2.57      | 0.2  | 2.38        | 0.32 |
| Phe                 | 60.35   | 7.13   | 56.29    | 8.29   | 1.82      | 0.15 | 1.89        | 0.27 |
| Thr                 | 181.02  | 18.71  | 163.56   | 30.52  | 2.37      | 0.22 | 2.36        | 0.30 |
| Val                 | 217-47  | 20.24  | 221.05   | 34.66  | 2.21      | 0.2  | 2.24        | 0.21 |
| Total essential     | 1561.86 | 148-63 | 1731.13  | 231.69 |           |      |             |      |
| Non-essential       |         |        |          |        |           |      |             |      |
| Ala                 | 447.5   | 32.13  | 571.02   | 79.18  | 2.42      | 0.37 | 2.71        | 0.27 |
| Asn                 | 74.66   | 5.86   | 62.99    | 9.68   | 2.66      | 0.14 | 2.39        | 0.29 |
| Asp                 | 17.74   | 3.45   | 17.35    | 3.07   | 2.82      | 0.69 | 3.18        | 0.69 |
| β-Ala               | 12.68   | 0.85   | 22.88*   | 2.40   | 0.94      | 0.09 | 1.92*       | 0.32 |
| Cit                 | 34.81   | 3.41   | 51.55    | 21.50  | 1.65      | 0.15 | 3.32        | 1.51 |
| Gln                 | 814-3   | 79.45  | 732.10   | 113.12 | 2.63      | 0.21 | 2.01        | 0.21 |
| Glu                 | 116.52  | 22.39  | 166-82   | 53.34  | 2.09      | 0.50 | 4.04        | 1.22 |
| Gly                 | 405.35  | 29.03  | 1054.01* | 101.07 | 2.24      | 0.21 | 5.49*       | 0.66 |
| Orn                 | 66.75   | 7.92   | 76.34    | 15.72  | 5.77      | 0.58 | 5.99        | 1.12 |
| Ser                 | 151.73  | 17-28  | 188-37   | 30.14  | 1.96      | 0.25 | 2.18        | 0.26 |
| Tau                 | 291.01  | 37.43  | 480-32*  | 56.41  | 3.58      | 0.22 | 6.43        | 1.27 |
| Trp                 | 43.63   | 1.54   | 33.59    | 5.13   | 2.59      | 0.39 | 2.14        | 0.32 |
| Tyr                 | 63.74   | 4.46   | 53-20    | 9.11   | 1.37      | 0.05 | 1.48        | 0.24 |
| Total non-essential | 2377.56 | 230.00 | 3510-53* | 325.64 | 1.57      | 0.39 | 2.86*       | 0.34 |

<sup>\*</sup> Mean value was significantly different from that of the C group (P<0.05).

primates. We show that maternal circulating amino acid concentrations were maintained at normal levels and fetal amino acid availability was not impaired in response to 30% restriction of global maternal nutrient intake in pregnant baboons. However, weight gain in MNR mothers

Table 6. Amino acids in fetal plasma by sex (Mean values and standard deviations)

|            | Ма   | ıle  | Fem  | Female |    |  |
|------------|------|------|------|--------|----|--|
| Amino acid | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD     | P* |  |
| Gln        | 2.91 | 0.35 | 2.71 | 0.38   | 1  |  |
| His        | 4.99 | 0.78 | 4.56 | 0.63   | 1  |  |
| Gly        | 4.23 | 0.22 | 4.14 | 0.43   | 1  |  |
| Thr        | 5.17 | 0.34 | 5.04 | 0.32   | 1  |  |
| Cit        | 6.64 | 0.31 | 6.55 | 0.39   | 1  |  |
| Arg        | 5.07 | 0.25 | 4.93 | 0.34   | 1  |  |
| β-Ala      | 6.51 | 0.55 | 6.51 | 0.57   | 1  |  |
| Tau        | 5.16 | 0.21 | 5.2  | 0.5    | 1  |  |
| Ala        | 3.48 | 0.14 | 3.68 | 0.78   | 1  |  |
| Tyr        | 5.68 | 0.26 | 5.44 | 0.62   | 1  |  |
| Trp        | 2.96 | 0.33 | 2.74 | 0.39   | 1  |  |
| Met        | 5.95 | 0.39 | 5.88 | 0.42   | 1  |  |
| Val        | 6.36 | 0.2  | 6.05 | 0.42   | 1  |  |
| Phe        | 4.09 | 0.23 | 3.92 | 0.53   | 1  |  |
| lle        | 3.62 | 0.17 | 3.56 | 0.54   | 1  |  |
| Leu        | 3.91 | 0.22 | 3.73 | 0.45   | 1  |  |
| Orn        | 5.38 | 0.2  | 5.33 | 0.34   | 1  |  |
| Lys        | 4.02 | 0.18 | 4.01 | 0.45   | 1  |  |

Mean contrast a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons

was significantly reduced, suggesting an adaptation in maternal-fetal resource allocation to maintain fetal growth within the normal range. We have shown previously that these adaptive mechanisms may fail later in gestation when fetal nutrient demands increase exponentially, leading to IUGR and a pre-diabetic phenotype (17). This result is supported by studies in The Gambia during the wet season when food shortages and strenuous work put pregnant women into negative energy balance. Deliveries during this time show fetal growth faltering, but only in the last 4 weeks of gestation when fetal demand on maternal resources is at its highest (18). However, fetal weight is a poor metric of optimal fetal development, and our previous(11-13) and present results show that the mother, placenta and fetus have begun making adaptive responses much earlier in gestation.

Pregnancy decreased the circulating levels of twenty out of twenty-two amino acids, with seven of these being essential amino acids, which is consistent with the hypoaminoacidaemia of pregnancy reported in the literature  $^{(19-21)}$ . The lower concentrations of these amino acids in pregnancy may be explained by increased blood volume (22) and may also reflect active transport of amino acids into the fetal circulation by the placenta to be utilised for fetal growth (23). The finding that the magnitude of the decrease differed markedly between amino acids relates to the fact that each amino acid has its own unique and tissue-specific metabolic pathway<sup>(4)</sup>. Because dietary aspartate, glutamate and glutamine are



<sup>†</sup> Mean value was marginally significantly different from that of the C group (P=0.085).

almost completely oxidised by enterocytes, these amino acids in plasma are derived primarily from endogenous synthesis from branched-chain amino acids<sup>(7)</sup>. A decrease in the availability of leucine, isoleucine and valine probably contributes to the reduced levels of the glutamate-family amino acids, which in turn would result in low levels of their metabolites, i.e. alanine, ornithine, citrulline and arginine.

The lower maternal circulating concentrations of amino acids in pregnant baboons when compared with the nonpregnant state are consistent with the findings in women (24-28). For example, total plasma N was lower at 18-25 weeks of gestation in women in comparison with non-pregnant levels, with all of the non-essential and essential amino acids measured, except lysine, histidine and threonine, contributing to this decrease (24). The present study shows similarities and differences with the findings reported for non-pregnant v. pregnant rhesus monkeys at mid-term by Kerr<sup>(29)</sup>. For example, while the ratio of total amino acids for pregnant to non-pregnant rhesus monkeys was 0.85, indicating a decrease over the first half of pregnancy, taurine, alanine, valine, lysine and arginine ratios were actually increased (range 1.03-1.65) and isoleucine was nearly unity (0.92). However, statistics were not given for the ratios<sup>(29)</sup>. In contrast, in rats, total amino acids for non-pregnant compared with pregnant animals at both 19 and 21 d of gestational age were not different in control-fed animals<sup>(30)</sup>. These inter-species differences may arise from differences in methodology or they may reflect varying placental function and/or maternal and fetal metabolic needs in different species.

As expected, concentrations of amino acids in both MNR and C fetuses were higher than in mothers due to the active transport of amino acids across the placenta (23). In the present study, fetal serum concentrations of glycine, β-alanine and taurine were 2.5-, 1.8- and 1.5-fold, respectively, higher in MNR fetuses compared with C fetuses. A similar effect on fetal proline, alanine and glycine levels, together with a decrease in serine concentrations, was observed in human small-for-gestational age compared with appropriate size for gestational age fetuses. Economides et al. (28) suggested that elevated proline, alanine and glycine serum concentrations in the small-for-gestational age fetuses might result from reduced use in oxidation or gluconeogenesis. The significantly (P<0.05) reduced BUN and BUN:creatinine in MNR mothers and fetuses (Table 3) is consistent with decreased amino acid metabolism as a strategy to conserve amino acids, while the lower albumin in pregnant v. pre-pregnant mothers is probably due to the blood volume expansion of pregnancy. The lack of a difference in total protein speaks to how successfully the MNR animals are compensating at mid-gestation. Finally, the increase in globulin in mothers with pregnancy is interesting and probably reflects changes in production and utilisation that are little affected by nutrient restriction at least in the first half of pregnancy.

In humans, Kalkhoff et al. (31) have demonstrated a strong positive relationship between plasma serine levels in mothers and birth weight of their offspring. The large increase in glycine in MNR group fetuses in the present study in comparison with C fetuses is not unique to the baboon and has been described in sheep fetuses from mothers subjected to 50% nutrient restriction and in small-for-gestational age fetuses in human pregnancy (26,28,32). However, rat fetuses subjected to 100% nutrient restriction in late gestation do not have elevated glycine, suggesting that more studies are needed on this amino acid in models of pregnancy across different species (30).

In the present study, circulating concentrations of essential amino acids were not different in MNR v. C baboon fetuses, consistent with the maintained maternal amino acid concentrations at this stage of gestation. This finding also suggests that placental amino acid transport capacity is relatively unaltered in MNR fetuses at 90dG. However, fetuses were not growth restricted at 90dG in the present study and while amino acids may be adequate in the first half of pregnancy, based on our previous study with postnatal animals<sup>(17)</sup> and on human studies<sup>(18)</sup>, we have reason to believe that fetal amino acid concentrations will be reduced with MNR later in pregnancy. In human pregnancies, small-for-gestational age fetuses typically have lower circulating concentrations of some essential amino acids and a higher ratio of non-essential:essential amino acids<sup>(24–28)</sup>.

In rat fetuses of dams on 100% food restriction on days 19 and 21 of gestation, total fetal serum amino acids were decreased by approximately 40% while phenylalanine, tryptophan, glutamine + glutamate and lysine levels were increased in the 21 d, but not in the 19 d, fetuses. Furthermore, term dog fetuses studied at the end of a 72h, 100% maternal fast showed no changes in serum alanine, aspartate, glutamate or urea concentrations, but did have a 30% decrease in glutamine<sup>(33)</sup>. These variable effects of maternal nutrient restriction on fetal amino acid concentrations are likely to be due to differences in duration and severity of the nutrient restriction and species differences as well as differences in methodologies used. This demonstrates the importance of using data obtained in the non-human primate in addition to data from other species to better understand changes in human pregnancy for translation to humans.

In summary, nutrient-restricted pregnant baboons lost their body mass in the first half of pregnancy to the advantage of the growth of their placentas and fetuses. While fetal growth was largely maintained in the first half of pregnancy, MNR can result in changes unrelated to overall body growth, such as epigenetic regulation of key metabolic pathways that will be manifest as adverse effects in postnatal life<sup>(34)</sup>. Furthermore, our recent study (17) indicates that these adaptive mechanisms can fail later in gestation, producing a metabolically significant change in postnatal phenotype, as fetuses demand an increasingly larger share of a limited nutrient supply, leading to IUGR.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (HD021350) and the National Center for Research Resources (P51 RR013986). We are greatly indebted to Cathy Snider at the Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, TX for her help with the processing of blood samples and biochemical analyses. M. J. N. participated in the study design and was one of the three principal investigators who obtained the grant and oversaw the animal



1388



management. T. J. M. participated in the study design and was one of the three principal investigators who obtained the grant and oversaw the animal management, and assisted with the data and statistical analysis and contributed to the writing of the paper. P. W. N. participated in the study design and was one of the three principal investigators who obtained the grant and oversaw the animal management, and conducted the data analysis and contributed to the writing of the paper. G. W. conducted the amino acid analyses. S. L. J. performed the initial data analyses. T. J. participated in the analysis and writing of the paper. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- Walker SP, Wachs TD, Gardner JM, et al. (2007) Child development: risk factors for adverse outcomes in developing countries. Lancet 369, 145-157.
- Sankaran S & Kyle PM (2009) Aetiology and pathogenesis of IUGR. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 23, 765-777.
- Armitage JA, Khan IY, Taylor PD, et al. (2004) Developmental programming of the metabolic syndrome by maternal nutritional imbalance: how strong is the evidence from experimental models in mammals? J Physiol 561, 355-377.
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Cudd TA, et al. (2004) Maternal nutrition and fetal development. J Nutr 134, 2169-2172.
- Flynn NE, Meininger CJ, Haynes TE, et al. (2002) The metabolic basis of arginine nutrition and pharmacotherapy. Biomed Pharmacother **56**, 427–438.
- Stipanuk M & Watford M (2000) Amino acid metabolism. In Biochemical and Physiological Aspects of Human Nutrition, pp. 233-286 [MH Stipanuk, editor]. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders.
- Wu G & Morris SM (1998) Arginine metabolism: nitric oxide and beyond. Biochem J 336, 1-17.
- Ozaki T, Nishina H, Hanson MA, et al. (2001) Dietary restriction in pregnant rats causes gender-related hypertension and vascular dysfunction in offspring. J Physiol 530, 141-152.
- Woodall SM, Breier BH, Johnston BM, et al. (1996) A model of intrauterine growth retardation caused by chronic maternal undernutrition in the rat: effects on the somatotrophic axis and postnatal growth. J Endocrinol 150, 231-242.
- 10 Prentice AM, Goldberg GR & Poppitt SD (1996) Reproductive stresses in undernourished and well-nourished women. Bibl Nutr Dieta 1-10.
- 11. Antonow-Schlorke I, Schwab M, Cox LA, et al. (2011) Vulnerability of the fetal primate brain to moderate reduction in maternal global nutrient availability. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108, 3011-3016.
- 12. Cox LA, Nijland MJ, Gilbert JS, et al. (2006) Effect of 30 per cent maternal nutrient restriction from 0.16 to 0.5 gestation on fetal baboon kidney gene expression. J Physiol 572, 67-85.
- Li C, Schlabritz-Loutsevitch NE, Hubbard GB, et al. (2009) Effects of maternal global nutrient restriction on fetal baboon hepatic IGF system genes and gene products. Endocrinology 150, 4634-4642.
- Schlabritz-Loutsevitch NE, Howell K, Rice K, et al. (2004) Development of a system for individual feeding of baboons maintained in an outdoor group social environment. J Med Primatol 33, 117-126.
- 15. Schlabritz-Loutsevitch NE, Hubbard GB, Dammann MJ, et al. (2004) Normal concentrations of essential and toxic elements in pregnant baboons and fetuses (Papio species). J Med Primatol 33, 152-162.

- 16. Wu A, Ying Z & Gomez-Pinilla F (2004) Dietary omega-3 fatty acids normalize BDNF levels, reduce oxidative damage, and counteract learning disability after traumatic brain injury in rats. J Neurotrauma 21, 1457-1467.
- 17. Choi J, Li C, McDonald TJ, et al. (2011) Emergence of insulin resistance in juvenile baboon offspring of mothers exposed to moderate maternal nutrient reduction. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 301, R757-R762.
- Prentice AM, Cole TJ, Foord FA, et al. (1987) Increased birthweight after prenatal dietary supplementation of rural African women. Am J Clin Nutr 46, 912-925.
- Kalhan SC (2000) Protein metabolism in pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 71, 12498-12558.
- Kalhan SC, Tserng KY, Gilfillan C, et al. (1982) Metabolism of urea and glucose in normal and diabetic pregnancy. Metabolism 31, 824-833.
- Schoengold DM, deFiore RH & Parlett RC (1978) Free amino acids in plasma throughout pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol **131**, 490-499.
- Peck TM & Arias F (1979) Hematologic changes associated with pregnancy. Clin Obstet Gynecol 22, 785-798.
- Lasuncion MA, Lorenzo J, Palacin M, et al. (1987) Maternal factors modulating nutrient transfer to fetus. Biol Neonate **51**, 86-93.
- Cetin I, Ronzoni S, Marconi AM, et al. (1996) Maternal concentrations and fetal-maternal concentration differences of plasma amino acids in normal and intrauterine growthrestricted pregnancies. Am J Obstet Gynecol 174, 1575–1583.
- Cetin I, Marconi AM, Corbetta C, et al. (1992) Fetal amino acids in normal pregnancies and in pregnancies complicated by intrauterine growth retardation. Early Hum Dev 29, 183-186.
- Cetin I, Corbetta C, Sereni LP, et al. (1990) Umbilical amino acid concentrations in normal and growth-retarded fetuses sampled in utero by cordocentesis. Am J Obstet Gynecol **162**. 253–261.
- Cetin I, Marconi AM, Bozzetti P, et al. (1988) Umbilical amino acid concentrations in appropriate and small for gestational age infants: a biochemical difference present in utero. Am J Obstet Gynecol 158, 120-126.
- Economides DL, Nicolaides KH, Gahl WA, et al. (1989) Plasma amino acids in appropriate- and small-for-gestational-age fetuses. Am J Obstet Gynecol 161, 1219–1227.
- Kerr GR (1968) The free amino acids of serum during development of Macaca mulatta. II. During pregnancy and fetal life. Pediatr Res 2, 493-500.
- Arola L, Palou A, Remesar X, et al. (1984) Effects of 24-hour starvation period on metabolic parameters of 20-day-old rats. Arch Int Physiol Biochim 92, 297-303.
- 31. Kalkhoff RK, Kandaraki E, Morrow PG, et al. (1988) Relationship between neonatal birth weight and maternal plasma amino acid profiles in lean and obese nondiabetic women and in type I diabetic pregnant women. Metabolism 37, 234 - 239.
- Kwon H, Wu G, Meininger CJ, et al. (2004) Developmental changes in nitric oxide synthesis in the ovine placenta. Biol Reprod 70, 679-686.
- Kliegman RM, Miettinen EL & Adam PA (1981) Fetal and neonatal responses to maternal canine starvation: circulating fuels and neonatal glucose production. Pediatr Res 15, 945-951
- Nijland MJ, Mitsuya K, Li C, et al. (2010) Epigenetic modification of fetal baboon hepatic phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase following exposure to moderately reduced nutrient availability. J Physiol 588, 1349-1359.